Is the dispersion anomaly of the electron spectrum induced by the charge-density-wave in high- T_c superconductors?

T. Zhou¹ and Z. D. W ang^{1;2}

¹D epartm ent of Physics and Center of Theoretical and Computational Physics, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong, China ²National Laboratory of Solid State M icrostructures, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China

(Dated: April 15, 2024)

We propose that the presence of a rotationally symmetric charge density wave (CDW) with the modulation of 4-lattice constant in high-T_c superconductors is essentially responsible for an anom alous quasiparticle dispersion revealed recently by angle-resolved photoem ission spectroscopy (ARPES) experiments. We elaborate clearly that the nodal quasiparticle is well-de ned at low energies, while the dispersion breaks up at the energy E₁ and reappears at the energy E₂. Our results are in good agreement with both the ARPES and scanning tunneling microscopy experiments.

PACS numbers: 74.25.Jb, 71.45 Lr

Recently, scanning tunneling m icroscopy (STM) experin ents have been performed to search for hidden electronic orders in high-T_c superconductors. A checkerboard pattern with the spatial four-unit-cell modulation for the local density of states was rst seen around m agnetic vortex cores in slightly overdoped sam ples by Ho man et al. []. This kind of modulation pattern was also reported in later STM experiments, in zero eld and in both the superconducting (SC) and norm al states [2, 3]. In addition, the Fourier transform of the STM spectra (FT-STM) reveals four non-dispersive peaks at about (2 = 4;0) and (0; 2 = 4) in the norm al [2] and SC state [3]. All of these experimental results indicate that a kind of charge density wave (CDW) order with a characteristic wave vector in the CuO bond direction of q = 2 =4 exists likely in high-T_c superconductors [4].

On the other hand, an intriguing anom alous guasiparticle dispersion was very recently observed in angleresolved photoem ission spectroscopy (ARPES) experiments [5, 6], i.e., a well-de ned nodal quasiparticle dispersion is broken down between the two higher energies E_1 and E_2 , which drops in a waterfall-fashion with a very low spectral weight. Below E_2 , the quasiparticle dispersion reappears and disperses tow ards the zero center. These anom alous features were observed in several fam ilies of cuprates, in under-, optim al- as well as overdoped samples, and below or above the SC transition tem perature. In hole-doped samples, the energies E_1 and E₂ are around 0:35 and 0:8 eV, respectively. W hile in the electron-doped samples (P r_{1} $_{x}\,LaC\,e_{x}\,C\,uO_{4}$), the corresponding energies are found to be signi cantly larger with E_1 being around 0:6 eV [6]. The mechanism of these features is still unclear while it should be independent of the SC order. In addition, sim ilar anom alous higher-energy features were also observed in the insulating cuprate $Ca_2CuO_2Cb_2$ [7]. Thus the mode coupling picture [8] can hardly account for these higher-energy

behaviors because it is expected to happen only in m etallic system s. Note that, it was proposed before that the CDW order may cause several other puzzling features seen in ARPES experiments [9, 10, 11]. Moreover, a connection between the ARPES and the FT-STM spectra was recently established [12, 13] through the autocorrelation of the ARPES (AC-ARPES) spectra. Therefore, it is natural and signi cant to ask whether the abovem entioned anom alous dispersion observed in ARPES experiments can also be originated from the CDW order, which has already been detected by the STM experiments. We here answer this question clearly by studying the spectral function and the FT-STM based on a sim ple phenom enological model including the CDW order, though we note that a recent theory based on a new representation of the t J m odel with two-band ferm ions was also proposed for the anom aly [14].

At present, how ever, our know ledge on the origin and e ects of the CDW order is still far from complete. W hat the phase diagram of such an order is and whether it exists in all cuprate materials are still unclear [9]. In fact, the STM experiments are mostly carried out on the $B_{1_2}Sr_2CaCu_2O_{8+x}$ and $Ca_2_xNa_xCuO_2C_2$ sam ples. W hile a similar four-unit-cell structure was also found in the YBa2Cu3Ov samples by di use x-ray scattering m easurem ents [15]. On the other hand, it seems widely believed that the CDW order may have the same physics as the stripe order [9], which has been seen in the $La_2 \times Sr_x CuO_4$ sample [16]. In addition, the stripe order is suggested to exist in various doping region and to be the ground state of the cuprates [17]. Considering the above experim ental and theoretical results, in this paper, we employ a phenom enological scenario that admits the existence of the CDW order to look into and elaborate its e ect on the spectral function. The FT-STM spectra are also evaluated within our simple model and are com pared with the STM experiments. We dem onstrate that the CDW scattering is able to naturally lead to the

higher-energy anom aly in ARPES experiments and the non-dispersive peaks in the FT-STM spectra. Considering the robustness of this higher-energy anom aly, our results suggest that the CDW order be likely more robust in high-T_c superconductors.

W e start with the following phenom enological H am iltonian with a CDW order being taken into account,

$$H = H_{BCS} + H_{CDW}; \qquad (1)$$

where H_{BCS} is the BCS-type Ham iltonian given by

$$H_{BCS} = \begin{cases} X & X \\ W_{k}c_{k}^{y} c_{k} + \\ K; & K \end{cases} (_{k}c_{k}^{y}c_{k}^{y} c_{k}^{y} + hx;); (2)$$

with " $_{\rm k}$ = 2t(cosk_x + cosk_y) 4t⁰ cosk_x cosk_y , $_{\rm k}$ = $_0$ (cosk_x cosk_y)=2, and H $_{\rm C\,D\,W}$ is the CDW scattering term ,

$$H_{CDW} = \bigvee_{0k}^{X} (V c_{k+Q}^{V} c_{k} + h:c:);$$
(3)

with Q = (0;2 = 4) and (2 = 4;0) being the CDW scattering wave vectors. Hereafter, the related parameters are chosen as t = 0:35 eV, t⁰ = 0.25t. The chemical potential is determined by the doping density = 0:12. We here use the isotopic s-symmetry CDW with the intensity V = 0:1 eV [18]. We have examined that our results are not sensitive to the slight changes of the chosen parameters and the robustness of our main conclusion with respect to the CDW scattering intensity V.

The electronic spectral function is given by A (k;!) =1= Im G (k; ! + i), where the retarded G reen's function G (k; ! + i) is obtained by diagonalizing the H am iltonian [Eq.(1)]. The intensity plots of A (k;!) are presented in Figs.1 (a-d). We also plot the bare quasiparticle dispersions " $_{k}$ in the absence of the CDW scattering (the solid white lines) as a function of the momentum k for com parison. As seen, at low energies, the quasiparticle dispersions coincide alm ost with the bare ones. W hile they deviate from the bare ones when the momentum is close to $K_0 = (=4; =4)$. Then they break up at the energy E_1 around the momentum K₀ and the quasiparticles would be ill-de ned within $E_1 < E < E_2$. At the energy E_2 the dispersions reappear and disperse tow ards the (0;0) point. These results are independent on the SC order. W hile the energy E i's for the electron-doped cuprates are larger than the corresponding ones for the hole-doped sam ples, nam ely, E $_1$ $0:35 \, \mathrm{eV}$, E_2 0:75 eV in the hole-doped ones while E_1 0:6 eV , \mathbb{E}_2 0**:**95 eV in the electron-doped ones. These results are well consistent with the experiments [5, 6]. E_i as a function of the CDW scattering intensity V is plotted in Figs.1 (e) and (f). E_0 is the bare quasiparticle energy at the momentum K_0 . E_1 and E_2 approach to E_0 as V approaches to zero. As V increases, E_1 decreases and E_2 increases linearly. The main results are stable and robust as V changes slightly.



FIG.1: (Color online) Panels (a-d) are the intensity plots of the spectral function as functions of the momentum and energy in the normal state (a,b) and SC state (c,d), respectively. The solid white lines represent the bare dispersions "k. Panels (e,f) are the energies E_i versus the CDW scattering intensity V in the normal state (see the text). The left and right panels are for the hole- and electron-doped cases, respectively.

A sound explanation for the described anomalous higher-energy features can be given based on the follow ing scattering characteristic illustration. The st Brilbuin zone as well as the norm al state Ferm i surfaces of the electron- and hole-doped cuprates are presented in Fig2(a). As seen, the Brillouin zone may be divided into sixteen parts in the presence of the CDW term , labeled as $^{0}1^{0}$ to $^{0}16^{0}$. When the CDW term acts on an electron in part ${}^{0}i^{0}$, it makes the electron hop to the four neighboring parts [19]. Let us assum e that an electron at A_0 in region ${}^{0}1^{0}$ has the momentum (k;k) (i.e., along the diagonal direction). The e ect of the CDW term makes it hop to A_{14} in the neighboring regions ⁰8;4;2;11⁰, respectively, as shown in Fig.2(a). The corresponding momentums for the electrons at A_{1} are (k =2;k);(k;k+ =2);(k+ =2;k);(k;k =2), respectively. We present the bare dispersions for A_i (i = 0 4) in Fig.2 (b). The dispersions for the electrons at A_1 and A_4 (or A_2 and A_3) coincide because they are symmetric points. We can see from Fig 2(b) that the quasiparticle energy at A_0 is different from that at A_i as the momentum is far from K_0 . Thus the hopping from A_0 to A_i is di cult to occur because the energy conservation condition is not satis ed. As a result, the CDW term has little e ect on the hopping for this case, namely, the quasiparticle is well-de ned and the dispersion coincides alm ost with the bare one, as shown in Fig.1(a). When the mom entum decreases and m oves close to K $_{\rm 0}$, the energy at



FIG. 2: (a) The norm al state ferm i surfaces for the holedoped (solid line) and electron-doped (dashed line) cuprates, respectively. The scattering from A_0 ! A_i indicates the hopping caused by the CDW term. (b) The bare dispersion of quasiparticles at A_0 (along the diagonal direction) and the corresponding dispersions of that at A_i for the hole-doped case.

 A_0 is closer to that at A_1 and A_4 . The CDW term in uences signi cantly the dispersion. Thus it deviates from the bare one and the spectral weight reduces gradually. W hen the m om entum reaches K $_0$, the bare quasiparticle energy at A_0 just equals to that at A_1 and A_4 , as seen in Fig.2(b), so that the hopping from A_0 to $A_{1;4}$ can occur easily, which destroys the state of the quasiparticle at A₀ and leads the dispersion to break up at this m om entum . As a result, the dispersion is pinned by the CDW term and the quasiparticle is ill-de ned. W hile, the quasiparticle dispersion is no longer pinned by the CDW term when the bounding energy is high enough (larger than E_2), and thus it reappears and disperses towards (0;0) point. In fact, when the electron leaves the K 0 point, the energy at A_0 is different from that at A_i and thus the quasiparticle is well-de ned again.

In the SC state, the quasiparticle energy at A₀ is the same as that in the norm al state along the diagonal direction, while that at A_i is changed due to the presence of the SC gap. M eanwhile, the quasiparticle energy at A₀ equals to that at A_{1;4} at the momentum K₀ because A_{1;4} is along the nodal direction at this momentum. Thus the addressed anom aly depends weakly on the SC order parameter $_0$. For the electron-doped cases, since the Ferm i momentum K_F along the diagonal direction is greater than that of the holed-doped sam ple, as seen from the Ferm i surfaces shown in Fig.2 (a), the bounding energy at K₀ is larger. A s a result, the anom alous energy



FIG. 3: (Color online) Panels (a) and (b) are the intensity plots of the AC-ARPES spectra C (q;!) at the zero energy in the norm aland SC state ($_0 = 0.04 \text{ eV}$), respectively. W hite circles are used to denote the energy-independent peaks. Panels (c) and (d) are the two-dimensional cuts for the spectra along (0;0) to (2;0) with the energy increasing from 0.02 eV to 0.02 eV (from the bottom to the top) in the norm aland SC state, respectively.

gies E_1 and E_2 are larger than those of the hole-doped ones, consistent with the experim ental results [6].

We now turn to address the FT-STM spectra in holedoped cuprates. As we mentioned above, the FT-STM spectra can be related to the ARPES spectra through the AC-ARPES function C (q;!), given by [12],

$$C (q;!) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k}^{X} A (k;!) A (k + q;!):$$
(4)

Very recently, it was concluded experim entally that the FT-STM spectra are in good agreement with the AC-ARPES spectra at low energies for various doping densities [13]. Therefore, we here use the AC-ARPES to deduce the FT-STM spectra and compare the results with the STM experiments. The calculated intensities of the AC-ARPES spectra at zero energy, in the norm aland SC state, are shown in Fig.3(a) and Fig.3(b), respectively. The log scale is used so that the weak features can be revealed. As shown, four peaks appear at the mom entum s (2 = 4; 0) and (0; 2 = 4). These peaks are independent of the energies, as seen m ore clearly in Figs.3 (c) and (d), which are the two-dimensional cuts of the spectra for the energies from 0:02 to 0:02 eV. These non-dispersive peaks in the FT-STM spectra were observed experim entally in the norm al state [2]. In the SC state, while they are suppressed by the SC order, they were also indeed observed by STM experiments as well [3].

The consistency between the FT-STM and AC-ARPES



FIG. 4: (Color online) Panels (a) and (b) are the intensity plots of ARPES spectra at zero energy in the norm al and SC state, respectively. Panel (c) is the replotting of the spectra in the SC state while the log scale is used.

spectra indicates that the non-dispersive peaks may be explained based on the quasiparticle interference model [20, 21], i.e., the momentum softhe peaks in FT-STM spectra are just the wave vectors that connect the tips of the constant energy contour. Based on this picture, the various dispersive peaks observed by STM experiments in the SC state [20, 22] are reproduced successfully. At present, in the presence of the CDW order, the intensity plots of the ARPES spectra at zero energy are depicted in Figs.4 (a) and (b)/(c) for the normal state and SC state, respectively; since the ARPES spectrum is reduced to a dot along the nodal direction in the SC state [Fig.4 (b)], we re-plot it by using a log scale in Fig.4 (c) to reveal the weak features caused by the CDW order. As we discussed above, the ARPES spectra break up at the momentum sK_i = (=4;q) and K_i⁰ = (q; =4) because of the CDW scattering, leading to the tips of the spectra at these momentum s. In the corresponding AC-ARPES spectra, the peaks appear at the momentum sQ_i that connect the tips of the ARPES spectra, as denoted in Figs.4 (a) and (c). As a result, although the CDW order has a very weak e ect on the low energy ARPES spectra, which is di cult to be detected directly by the ARPES experiments, the non-dispersive peaks induced by the CDW order appear clearly in the AC-ARPES spectra (or the FT-STM spectra) and can be detected m ly by the STM experiments.

To conclude, based on a phenom enological model, we have for the rst time elaborated that the CDW scattering is able to cause the intriguing dispersion anomaly observed in the ARPES spectra for both hole-doped and electron-doped sam ples as well as the non-dispersive peaks in the FT-STM spectra. A clear physical picture of the mechanism was presented based on the scattering analysis in the presence of the CDW order.

W e thank Y.Chen, L.H.Tang, and Q.H.W ang for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the RGC grants of Hong Kong (HKU 7050/03P and HKU-3/05C), the NSFC (10429401), and the 973 project of China (2006CB 601002).

- [1] J.E.Ho man et al., Science 295, 466 (2002).
- [2] M. Vershinin et al., Science 303, 1995 (2004); T. Hanagurietal, Nature 430, 1001 (2004).
- [3] C. Howald et al., Phys. Rev. B 67, 014533 (2003); A.
 Fang et al., Phys. Rev. B 70, 214514 (2004); K. M cE lroy et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 197005 (2005); A. Hashim oto, N. M om ono, M. O da, and M. Ido, Phys. Rev. B 74, 064508 (2006).
- [4] D. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B 66, 214515 (2002); D. Podolsky,
 E. Dem ler, K. Dam le, and B. I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. B
 67,094514 (2003); S. Sachdev and E. Dem ler, Phys. Rev.
 B 69,144504 (2004); J.X. Li, C.Q. W u, and D. H. Lee,
 Phys. Rev. B 74, 184515 (2006).
- [5] J. Graf et al., cond-m at/0607319; B. P. Xie et al., cond-m at/0607450; J. Graf, G.H. Gweon, and A. Lanzara, cond-m at/0610313; T. Valla et al., cond-m at/0610249; J. Chang et al., cond-m at/0610880.
- [6] Z.H.Pan et al., cond-m at/0610442.
- [7] F.Ronning et al., Phys. Rev. B 71, 094518 (2005).
- [8] M. Eschrig and M. R. Norman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 277005 (2002); T.Cuk et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 117003 (2004); J.X. Li, T. Zhou, and Z.D. W ang, Phys. Rev. B 72, 094515 (2005).
- [9] A.M. Gabovich, A.I. Voitenko, and M. Ausloos, Phys. Rep. 367, 583 (2002); S.A.K ivelson et al., Rev. M od. Phys. 75, 1201 (2003).
- [10] A. Dam ascelli, Z. Hussain, and Z.-X. Shen, Rev. Mod. Phys. 75, 473 (2003).
- [11] K.M. Shen et al., Science 307, 901 (2005).
- [12] R.S.Markiewicz, Phys. Rev. B 69, 214517 (2004).
- [13] K.McElroy et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 067005 (2006).

- [14] Q.H.W ang, F.Tan, and Y.W an, cond-m at/0610491.
- [15] Z.Islam et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 157008 (2004).
- [16] J. M. Tranquada et al., Nature 375, 561 (1995); ibid. Phys.Rev.Lett.78, 338 (1997); K.Yam ada et al., Phys. Rev.B 57, 6165 (1998); A.Lucarelli et al., Phys.Rev. Lett. 90, 037002 (2003); T.Noda, H.Eisaki, and ShinichiUchida, Science 286, 265 (1999); X.J.Zhou et al., Science 286, 268 (1999).
- [17] K. Machida, Physica C 158, 192 (1989); J. Zaanen and O. Gunnarsson, Phys. Rev. B 40, 7391 (1989);
 V. J. Emery and S. A. Kivelson, Physica C 209, 597 (1993); V. J. Emery, S. A. Kivelson, and J. M. Tranquada, Proc. Nati. A cad. Sci. U S A. 96, 8814 (1999);
 K. Machida and M. Ichioka, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 68, 2168 (1999); J. M. Tranquada, J. Phys. IV 131, 67 (2005);
 ibid, cond-mat/0508272; E. Arrigoni, E. Fradkin, and S. A. Kivelson, Phys. Rev. B 69, 214519 (2004); M. Raczkowski, A. M. Oles, and R. Fresard, Low Temp. Phys. 32, 305 (2006).
- [18] A further study for the sym m etry of the CDW m ay agree better with experiments, while it is not a m ain concern of the present paper.
- [19] Taking into account the periodicity in the momentum space, the parts that including the border of the B rillouin zone, such as parts 2 and 7, are also seen as the neighbor parts.
- [20] K.McElroy et al., Nature 422, 592 (2003).
- [21] Q.H.W ang and D.H.Lee, Phys.Rev.B 67, R020511 (2003).
- [22] J.E.Ho man et al., Science 297, 1148 (2002).