

Comment on "Jamming Percolation and Glass Transitions in Lattice Models."

Toninelli et. al. recently introduced the knights model, a correlated percolation system [1]. They claimed to prove that the critical point of this model was the same as that for directed percolation (DP), and then used this to show (assuming a conjecture about DP, described later in a more detailed proof [2]) that this model has a discontinuous phase transition with a diverging correlation length. However, there is an error in their work, so that these results are unproven for their model. Their proofs can, however, be modified to work for a similar model.

The square lattice of the knights model can be divided into three equivalent disjoint sublattices (SLs); one SL is shown in Fig. 1a of [1]. In their proof that $\kappa_c^{\text{knights}} = \kappa_c^{\text{DP}}$, Toninelli et. al. claim that a site at the corner of a very large void can only be stable if it is part of a very long DP path in a single SL (see Fig. 1c of [1]). However, this claim is not true. As shown in Fig. 1a, a northeast directed chain in one SL (#1) can terminate in a diamond, and be stabilized by a diamond in a different SL (#2). A site at the corner of a void can be made stable by a series of such linked diamonds, cycling between the three SLs, and never having DP paths of length greater than 7 in any SL. The claim in [1] is thus false, and the subsequent results that rely on it, such as the discontinuity of the transition and the diverging correlation length, are currently unproven.

Is it possible that despite this error, $\kappa_c^{\text{knights}} = \kappa_c^{\text{DP}}$? The following argument shows this to be unlikely. Consider a modification of DP that we call Jumping DP. As in DP, we occupy sites on the square lattice with probability p , and have directed bonds to the north and east. However, we define additional connections. We divide the lattice into disjoint 9×9 blocks, and for each block if the two hollow squares of sites shown in Fig. 1b are occupied, we with probability s add a directed bond from the southwest square to the northeast square. The critical point of this model is a function of s : $\kappa_c^{\text{Jump DP}}(s)$.

By repeating Fig. 1a three times, to create diamonds




FIG. 1: (a) Northeast chains in SL 1 end, and are stabilized by northeast chains in SL 2. Numbers indicate sublattices. (b) A configuration that receives an additional connection (the dashed line) with probability s . (c) A modification of the knights model for which the proofs in [1] are valid.

connecting SL #1 to #2 to #3 to #1, we obtain a structure that links two separated diamonds in SL #1, through 24 sites in the other two SLs. The mapping from SL #1 to the more conventional form of DP takes the occupied sites of SL #1 in this structure to the marked sites in Fig. 1b. So if we restrict ourselves to looking at sites in SL #1, sites that appear disconnected may be connected by these 24 sites. In finite chains in Jumping DP are stable in finite clusters in the knights model, and thus $\kappa_c^{\text{knights}} = \kappa_c^{\text{Jump DP}}(p^{24}) = \kappa_c^{\text{DP}}$.

It is reasonable to think that these extra connections should depress the critical probability, so that $\kappa_c^{\text{Jump DP}}(s) < \kappa_c^{\text{DP}}$ for all $s > 0$, implying $\kappa_c^{\text{knights}} < \kappa_c^{\text{DP}}$. In [3] it was shown that if percolation was "enhanced" by adding, for specified subconfigurations, extra local connections with probability s , this would strictly decrease the critical probability, for any $s > 0$, so long as a single enhancement could create a doubly-infinite path where none existed before. The results of [3] are for undirected percolation, and thus do not provide a mathematically rigorous proof here. But they are analogous enough to strongly suggest that $\kappa_c^{\text{Jump DP}}(s) < \kappa_c^{\text{DP}}$ for all $s > 0$. It is difficult to see how adding such a new route for paths to infinity could leave the critical probability completely unchanged.

The situation in Fig. 1a can occur only because in the knights model two northeast chains can cross without having sites in common. If the knights model is modified to one where this is impossible, as with the neighbor connections on the triangular lattice shown in Fig. 1c (to be compared with Fig. 1b of [1]), the results found in [1] hold, and the critical point is κ_c^{DP} . One complication is that the proofs in [1] that the transition is discontinuous with a diverging correlation length implicitly assume that if northeast and northwest chains cross, they must have sites in common, so that one path blocks the other, but this assumption is neither true for the knights model, nor for the model in Fig. 1c. However, the proofs can be modified so that everywhere northeast and northwest chains cross, they cross in a large number of places. It can then be shown that since a local change in the vicinity of any crossing can create the desired blocking, at least one such blocking occurs with high probability. The proofs in [1] can thus be made valid (assuming the conjecture in [2]) for the model in Fig. 1c, with one exception: we are unable to verify the relatively tight upper bound on the crossover length claimed in [1].

M. Jeng and J.M. Schwarz

Physics Dept., Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY 13244
PACS Numbers: 05.20.-y, 05.50.+q, 61.43.Fs, 64.70.Pf

[1] C. Toninelli, G. Biroli, and D.S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 035702 (2006).

[2] C. Toninelli and G. Biroli, cond-mat/0512335.

[3] M. Aizenman and G.R. Grimmett, J. Stat. Phys. 63, 817 (1991).