W alls inhibit chaotic m ixing

E.Gouillart¹ N.Kuncio¹ O.Dauchot¹ B.Dubrulle¹ S.Roux² and J.L.Thi eault³

¹Service de Physique de l'Etat Condense, DSM, CEA Saclay, URA 2464, 91191 G if-sur-Y vette Cedex, France

²Surface du Verre et Interfaces, UMR CNRS/Saint-Gobain, 93303 Aubervilliers, France

³Department of M athematics, Imperial College London, SW 7 2AZ, United Kingdom

(D ated: M arch 23, 2024)

We report on experiments of chaotic mixing in a closed vessel, in which a highly viscous uid is stimed by a moving rod. We analyze quantitatively how the concentration eld of a low-di usivity dye relaxes towards hom ogeneity, and observe a slow algebraic decay of the inhom ogeneity, at odds with the exponential decay predicted by most previous studies. V isual observations reveal the dom inant role of the vessel wall, which strongly in uences the concentration eld in the entire dom ain and causes the anomalous scaling. A simplied 1-D model supports our experimental results. Q uantitative analysis of the concentration pattern leads to scalings for the distributions and the variance of the concentration eld consistent with experimental and numerical results.

Low -Reynolds-number uid mixing has a variety of applications ranging from geophysics to industrial mixing devices. W hile turbulent ows lead to highly e cient mixing, simple laminar ows with chaotic Lagrangian dynam ics also prom ote rapid hom ogenization [1]. Dynamical systems approaches based on ow kinematics have provided a rst insight into chaotic mixing [2, 3]. A deeper understanding of hom ogenization processes is gained by exam ining the interplay between chaotic stirring and diusion. Several experimental [4, 5] and num erical [6, 7] studies obtained an exponential decay for the variance of a di usive scalar concentration eld in a chaotic m ixer. This behavior is attributed to an asym ptotic spatial structure of the scalar dubbed strange eigenmode [8], that results in a global exponential decay of the spatial contrast. However, these theories focus on ideal m ixing system s, e.g. with periodic or slip boundary conditions, far from the reality of industrial mixing devices with solid no-slip walls. It has been suggested [9, 10] that m ixing m ight be slower in bounded ow s, but experim ental evidence is still lacking.

In this Letter, we study experimentally dye hom ogenization by chaotic mixing in a 2-D closed ow. Precise measurements of the concentration eld yield \slow " algebraic decay of an inhom ogeneity, at odds with the expected exponential decay. We relate quantitatively this slow mixing to the chaotic nature of trajectories initially close to the no-slip wall, which end up escaping in the bulk and slow down the whole mixing process.

A cylindrical rod periodically driven on a gure-eight path gently stirs viscous sugar syrup inside a closed vessel (Fig. 1 (a)). The stirring scale is comparable to the vessel size, in contrast to other devices such as arrays of magnets [4, 5]. This protocol is a good candidate for e cient mixing: we can observe on a Poincare section [11] (Fig. 1 (a)) { computed numerically for the corresponding Stokes ow { a large chaotic region spanning the entire domain, including the vicinity of the wall. The signature of chaotic advection can also be observed in Fig. 1 (a), where a complex lamellar pattern is created by the stretching and folding of an initial dye blob into exponentially thin laments. The uid viscosity = 5 10 4 m^2 s¹ together with rod diameter '= 16mm and stirring velocity U = 2 cm s¹ yield a Reynolds number Re = U '= ' 0:6, consistent with a Stokes ow regime. A spot of low-di usivity dye (Indian ink diluted in sugar syrup) is injected at the surface of the uid, and we follow the evolution of the dye concentration eld during the mixing process (see Fig. 1). The concentration eld is measured through the transparent bottom of the vessel using a 12-bit CCD cam era at resolution 2000 2000.

Despite the exponential stretching occurring in the bulk, the resulting variance 2 (C) of the concentration eld (m easured in a large central rectangular region) decays surprisingly slow ly with time t as a power law t $^{\rm m}$ with m ' 3.2 (Fig. 1 (b)), and not exponentially as expected. This behavior persists until the end of the experiment (35 periods), by which time the variance has decayed by m ore than three orders of m agnitude. M oreover, concentration probability distribution functions (PDFs) shown on Fig. 1 (c) exhibit wide power-law tails on both sides of the m ost probable value. The probability of \white" (zero) concentration decays very slow ly with time, whereas the peak shifts tow ards low er values.

In order to understand these suprising scalings, we rst describe the various mechanisms at play during the mixing process. A blob of dye, initially released close to the vessel center, is transformed into a complicated pattern expanding towards the wall with time. We distinguish at each instant the growing \mixed region", delimited by the advected blob frontier, and the remaining wall region where C = 0, in the vicinity of the vessel wall. This distinction is obvious in Fig. 1 (a) where one can observe a central heart-shaped mixed region spans the entire ow, uid particles initially close to the zero-velocity wall eventually escape from the wall region to wander through the whole chaotic region. Trajectories escape along the unstable manifold of parabolic separation



FIG. 1: (a) Chaotic mixing experiment in a closed vessel: a rod moves periodically on a gure-eight path (see Fig. 2 (a)) and transforms an initial spot of dye into a complicated lamentary pattern. Inset: Poincare section obtained numerically for the corresponding Stokes ow . Note that the chaotic region spans the entire domain. (b) Evolution of the variance of the concentration eld in a xed central region. : experiment, : numerical simulation (see Fig. 3 for a description). Solid line ts: contribution of the white pixels $\frac{2}{W}$ ' (2 log t+ log w_B)=(log t²) derived below. (c) Experimental concentration PDFs in the bulk at time t = 13;17;31 periods. Both sides of the peak can be tted by power laws (Cm ax C)² (red and blue plots). Inset: left (\light-gray") tail of the peak, P (C) against j_{max} (t) C j.

points on the wall [3, 12]. The signature of such an escape path can be visualized on Fig. 1 (a) where unmixed uid from the wall region is \sucked" inside the mixed region through its white cusp, close to the rod. This results in the periodic injection of broad white strips that can be observed inside the mixed region. The mixed region then grow stow ards the wallto make up for this mass in jection. Incom pressibility combined with zero-velocity condition at the wall leads [9] to a shrinking distance between the m ixed region border and the wall scaling as $d(t) / t^{-1}$. This scaling is veried experimentally. The area of unmixed uid from the wall region in jected at each period inside the mixed region then scales as $d_{+}(t) / t^{2}$. As a result, the mean concentration value inside the bulk decreases with time as $(1 \quad d(t))^{-1}$. Simultaneously, the mixed region is stretched and folded at each half-cycle of the rod m ovem ent (see Fig. 2 (a)) in a baker's m aplike fashion [13]. However, it should be noted that the two folded parts are not stacked directly onto each other



FIG.2: (a) Transport mechanisms: (i) the rod stretches and folds the mixed region and (ii) a white strip of unmixed uid is injected between the two folded parts. (b) Stretched strips of dye (black) are smeared out by di usion on a scale w_B (gray). The concentration C of a pixel x is then given by adding the concentrations coming from strips inside a box of size w_B around x.

but separated by the newest injected white strip. Also note that the part \attached" to the rod has experienced m ore stretching than the one \left behind". Brie y, (i) chaotic stretching im poses that the typical width of a dye lament in the bulk shrinks exponentially down to the di usion orm easurement scale, yet (ii) wide strips of unmixed uid of width $d_{\tau}(t) / 1=t^2$ are periodically inserted between these ne structures. In the following we derive how these two elects lead to the observed scalings.

For this purpose, we sim plify the 2-D problem by characterizing only 1-D concentration pro les C (x;t) along a secant to the stretching direction { the dashed segments on Fig.2 (a) { that is, we neglect the variation of the concentration along a dye lam ent on a scale com parable to the vessel size. W e thus call from now on \m ixed region" the intersection of the 2-D m ixed region with such a seqment. The e ect of the mixer during a half-period then am ounts to the action of a one-dim ensional discrete-tim e m ap that transform s concentration pro les by inserting an interval of width dt of uid from the wall region between two inhom ogeneously compressed images of the m ixing region at the previous time (see Fig. 2 (a)). Such a map f, de ned on [0;1] for sim plicity, evolves concentration pro les as C $(x;t+1) = C (f^{-1}(x);t)$ and meets the following requirements: (i) it is a continuous doublevalued function to account for the stretching/folding process; (ii) x = 0 is a marginally unstable point of f^{-1} ; the correct dynam ics close to the wall are indeed reproduced by imposing f¹(x) ' $x + ax^2 +$; a > 0 for sm all x; (iii) because of mass conservation, at each x, the local slopes of the two branches add up to 1.0 ther details of f are unessential for our discussion. Di usion is mimicked by letting the concentration pro le di use between successive iterations of the map. This model is a modi ed baker's map [13], with a parabolic point at x = 0, whereas the dynam ics are purely hyperbolic in a classical baker's m ap. Num erical simulations for a speci c choice off lead to results shown in Fig.1 (b) and Fig.3: both the power-law evolution of the variance and the di erent aforem entioned features of the experim ental PDFs are reproduced by the simulations.



FIG.3: Numerical concentration PDFs (t = 15; 18; 25) obtained by letting concentration proles evolve as C (x;t+1) = C (f¹(x);t), f(x) : f₁(x) = x ax² + (1+a)x³; f₂(x) = 1 ax² + (1+a)x³ with a = 0:9 and = 0:55. Upper (resp. lower) inset: light (resp. dark) gray tail, P (C) against \mathcal{D}_{max} C j. We observe the same power-law decay (C_{max} C)² on both sides of the maximum as in the experiment (Fig.1 (c)).

The map transforms an initial blob of dye of width so into an increasing number of strips with widths t, resulting from dierent stretching histories S_{0 1} inside the mixed region, where t is the compression experienced at time t. White strips also experience this multiplicative stretching from their injection time. As the mixed region grows towards the wall, di erent values of stretching will be sampled. It will nevertheless be justied below that the concentration measures only require know ledge of the stretching histories traced back during a nite number of periods. This allows us to de ne in a \quasi-static" approximation a slowly varying instantaneous \Lyapunov exponent" (t) = exp (h $\log (j \frac{0 f^{-1}(x)}{0 x}) j_{M_R}$), that is the geometric m ean of the com pression taken over the m ixed region (MR) at time t. Note that as the white strips are injected close to the center of the dom ain, the two branches off have comparable mean slopes, yielding the estimate

0:5. W ithout di usion, dye strips would have a typical width s_0^{t} at time t. However, the balance between stretching and di usion in poses that the width of a strip stabilizes at the B atchelor scale $w_{\rm B}$ = =(1)²), where is the di usion coe cient. w $_{\rm B}$ is thus the sm allest lengthscale that can be observed in the concentration pro le, and di erent elem entary strips m ay overlap (Fig. 2 (b)). Since the concentration eld is probed by averaging it on the pixel size w_{px} , which is smaller than $w_{\rm B}$, the concentration at a pixel is given by adding the contributions from strips contained in a box of size w_B around the pixel. Hence we characterize P (C) by considering the di erent combinations of strip widths for a zero-di usivity dye { the concentration pro le on Fig. 2 (b) { that one might nd in a box of size w_B . We will distinguish between three generic cases corresponding to

three di erent regions of the histogram P (C) (see Fig. 1 (c) and Fig. 3): a white (W) peak at C = 0 corresponding to injected white strips still wider than w_B , light gray (LG) and dark gray (DG) tails corresponding to respectively smaller and larger concentrations than the peak (m ean) concentration. Once we have quanti ed the proportion of boxes contributing to these di erent values of C, the variance will be readily obtained as $\frac{7}{2}$

2
 (C) = (C hCi)²P (C)dC = $^{2}_{W}$ + $^{2}_{LG}$ + $^{2}_{DG}$: (1)

Let us start with white (zero) concentration m easures that come from the stretched in ages of white strips injected before t. White strips injected at an early time have been stretched and wiped out by di usion, that is their width has become smaller than w_B. Hence the oldest white strips that can be observed have been injected at the time t_i(t) such that d_{ti} t t_i = w_B. Note that from t_{w hite} de ned by dt_{w hite} = w_B, the injected white strip is smaller than w_B and no white pixels can be observed. Before t_{w hite}, the number of white pixels is proportional to n_W = d_{ti}(t) d_t / (t t_i)(t_it) ¹ for large t (using d_t / t⁻¹). Ast t_i ' (2 logt + logw_B)=log t²). We deduce ²_W ' (2 logt + logw_B)=(log t²) for t < t_{w hite} and ²_W = 0 after t_{w hite}.

We now concentrate on the distribution of light gray values corresponding to white strips that have just been compressed below the cut-o scale w_B. We propose to approximate the measured value C as the average of the biggest white strip with width $< w_B$, and mixed "gray" uid whose concentration is close to the most probable concentration C_g. A box with a white strip of scale thus bears a concentration C = C_g (1 = w_B) and we can relate P (C) to the distribution of widths of the images of the injected white strips Q (). A white strip injected at t₀ is transformed into 2^{t-t_0} images with scales $d_{t_0} = t^{-t_0}$. Therefore Q () = $(=d_{t_0})^{\log(2) = \log(1)}$ (1= log), resulting in

$$P (C) = d_{E}^{\log 2 = \log (1)} \frac{W_{B}}{C_{g}(t)} W_{B} (1) \frac{C}{C_{g}} \frac{\log 2}{\log 2} 1$$

$$= g(t) C_{g} C : (2)$$

P (C) thus has a power-law tail in the light gray levels whose exponent depends on the mean stretching . We observe satisfactory agreem ent between this prediction and both experimental data and numerical 1-D simelations (see Fig. 1 (c) and Fig. 3) where for this tail P (C) / (C C_g) with . 2, consistent with . 0.5, a rather hom ogeneous stretching. A leo note that the amelation of the light-gray tail decreases as a power law $g(t) / d_F^{\log 2 = \log (1 -)} / t^{2 (\log 2 = \log (1 -))}$. We deduce

$${}^{2}_{LG} = g(t) {}^{Z}_{C_{g}} (C_{g} C)^{2} ()^{d}C$$

where () = 1 log2=log, and C m in is the smallest concentration observed (C m in = 0 fort < t_{white} and C m in = C g (1 d_t=w_B) fort > t_{white}). Fort < t_{white} the integral is constant and $^2_{LG}$ / g(t) / dt / t². On the other hand, fort t_{white} ,

$${}^{2}_{LG} = \frac{g(t)}{2+} [C_{g} C_{m in}]^{3} () / t^{(6+2\frac{\log 2}{\log})}$$
:

For () 2 as we observed, the exponent in the above power law is about 4.

F inally, the dark gray tail corresponds to boxes containing in ages of mixed regions from early times { thus with an important percentage of black { that have experienced little stretching. It is therefore not su cient to consider only the mean stretching as before, since stretching histories far from the mean are involved. Using the large-deviation function S for the nite-time Lyapunov exponents distribution [14], we derive

$$P(C;t) = \frac{2^{t t_0} \exp[(t t_0)S(\frac{\log w_B}{t t_0} + \log)]}{(C hCi)^2(t t_0)^2};$$

where t_0 (C) is the earlier time at which the mixed region had a mean concentration C, so that C = hC i(1 d_{to})¹. At a xed time the dark gray tail decreases as the dom in nant contribution (C hC i)², how everthe probability to observe a xed concentration value decays exponentially, allowing us to neglect $\frac{2}{D_G}$ in (1). Both the (C hC i)² shape and the rapid fall-o of the dark-gray tail can be observed on Fig. 1 (c) and Fig. 3.

We now sum these contributions to obtain 2 (C). In the experiment, the crossover $t_{w\,hite}$ is estimated as 30 periods. However, 3-D e ects inside the uid prevented us from conducting experiments for more than 35 periods. For this early regime, tting the data with ${}^{2}_{W}$ / (2 logt+ logw_B)=t² (black line on Fig.1 (b)) gives good results, except close to $t_{w\,hite}$ where the contribution of the light gray tail starts to dom inate. In contrast, in num erical simulations we observe (Fig.1 (b)) both the (2 logt+ logw_B)=t² behavior (black line), which can be interpreted as in the experiment, and the t ⁴ decay after $t_{w\,hite}$ (100 periods for the case studied) given by ${}^{2}_{LG}$.

Note nally that the algebraic nature of d(t) permits crude $\$ rst-order" approximations such as considering only the mean stretching given by the Lyapunov exponent as we did. The injection process dominates other mechanisms put forward to analyze concentration distributions, such as the evolution of the distribution by self-convolution due to the random addition of concentration levels [15, 16]. The strange eigenmode form alism also fails to describe this nonasymptotic regime as the spatial mixing pattern is still evolving.

In conclusion, we propose a scenario for 2-D m ixers with a chaotic region that extends to xed walls. As soon as the ow is su ciently slow close to the wall { a

rather generic situation { and keeps for long tim es an unm ixed pool close to a parabolic point, the unstable m anifold of which feeds uid into the mixing region, the entire concentration eld is a ected, regardless of distance from the walls. The algebraic scalings for the variance and concentration distributions can be predicted from the lam entary stirring pattern generated by a com bination of stretching, folding, and in jection of uid from the xed walls. Our reasoning could be extended to other algebraic expressions of d(t) resulting from di erent hydrodynamics at the wall. In the present case (no-slip wall), we derive a power-law (asymptotically t⁴) evolution for the decay of the concentration variance, and nd very good agreem ent between our analytical, experin ental, and num erical results. Our simulations of the well-studied viscous blinking vortex ow [3] also yield the same algebraic decay for the variance of a coarse-grained concentration, obtained by advecting a large number of points. These num erical results will be presented in a future paper, where we will generalize our approach to other systems characterized by continuous in jection of

The authors thank E.V illem aux and F.D aviaud for fuitful discussions.

[1] H.Aref, J.Fluid Mech. 143, 1 (1984).

inhom ogeneity, such as open ows.

- [2] C.W. Leong and J.M. Ottino, J.Fluid Mech. 209, 463 (1989); J.M. Ottino, The K inem atics of Mixing: Stretching, Chaos, and Transport (Cam bridge University Press, Cam bridge, U.K., 1989).
- [3] S.C. Jana, G.M. etcalfe and J.M. Ottino, J.Fluid Mech. 269, 199 (1994).
- [4] M.-C.Jullien, P.Castiglione and P.Tabeling, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3636 (2000).
- [5] D. Rothstein, E. Henry and J. P. Gollub, Nature (London) 401, 770 (1999).
- [6] D.R.Fereday and P.H.Haynes, Phys. Fluids 16, 4359 (2004).
- [7] D.R.Fereday, P.H.Haynes, A.W onhas and J.C.Vassilicos, Phys. Rev. E 65, 035301 (2002).
- [8] R. Pierrehumbert, Chaos Solitons Fractals 4, 1091 (1994).
- [9] M. Chertkov and V. Lebedev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 034501 (2003); H.Salm an and P.H. Haynes, Phys. Fluids 19,067101 (2007).
- [10] A.A.Schekochihin, P.H.Haynes and S.C.Cowley, Phys. Rev. E 70, 046304 (2004).
- [11] E .G ouillart, J-L.Thi eault, and M .D .Finn, Phys.Rev. E 73, 036311 (2006).
- [12] G.Haller, J.Fluid.Mech. 512, 257 (2004).
- [13] J.D. Fam er, E.Ott and J.A. Yorke, Physica D 7, 153 (1983).
- [14] E. Ott, Chaos in Dynam ical Systems (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U K., 1994).
- [15] E.V illerm aux and J.D uplat, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 184501 (2003).
- [16] A. Venaille and J. Som meria, arXiv:physics/0603225.