A nalysis of the D ynam ics of Liquid A lum inium : R ecurrent R elation A pproach

A.V.Mokshin^{1;2}, R.M.Yulm etyev^{1;2}, R.M.Khusnutdino^{1;2} and P.Hanggi³

¹D epartm ent of Physics, K azan State University, K azan, K rem lyovskaya 18, 420008, R ussia

²Departm ent of Physics, Kazan State Pedagogical University, Kazan, Mezhlauk 1, 420021, Russia and

³D epartm ent of Physics, University of Augsburg,

Augsburg, Universitatsstr. 1, D-86135 Germany

(D ated: M arch 23, 2024)

By use of the recurrent relation approach (RRA) we study the m icroscopic dynam ics of liquid alum inium at T = 973 K and develop a theoretical model which satis are all the corresponding sum rules. The investigation covers the inelastic features as well as the crossover of our theory into the hydrodynam ical and the free-particle regimes. A comparison between our theoretical results with those following from a generalized hydrodynam ical approach is also presented. In addition to this we report the results of our molecular dynam ics simulations for liquid alum inium, which are also discussed and compared to experimental data. The received results reveal that (i) the m icroscopical dynam ics of density uctuations is de ned mainly by the rst four even frequency moments of the dynam ic structure factor, and (ii) the inherent relation of the high-frequency collective excitations observed in experimental spectra of dynam ic structure factor S (k;!) with the two-, three- and four-particle correlations.

PACS num bers: 05.20 Jj,05.40.-a,61.20 Lc,61.20 Ne

I. IN TRODUCTION

The study of dynam ical processes related to atom ic motions in liquid systems present an attractive research topic, both of theoretical and experim entalorigin. This being so, the extent of corresponding experim ental know ledge about m icroscopic processes in liquids has continuously increased and revealed new features for various classes of liquids, which in turn call for an appropriate theoretical explanation [1]. As an intriguing example we mention the well-de ned oscillatory modes observed in liquid m etals occurring outside the hydrodynam ic region and clearly detectable as corresponding high-frequency peaks in the experim entally relevant observable { the dynam ic structure factor S (k;!) [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. Thus, spectra of the dynam ic structure factor can display, even on m icroscopical spatial scales, the triple-peak form known in the hydrodynam ics as the Brillouin triplet'.

At present various theories do exist (see, for instance, references [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]), which suggest an explanation of the Brillouin triplet' evolving at the nite values of wave-num berk. Their description may be found in excellent reviews [1, 15, 16], and we can assess that a great num ber of these theories are based on the generalization of equations of linearized hydrodynam ics. How ever, it is necessary to point out that hydrodynam ical equations are a physically correct description for a large wavelengths and time scales, where slow processes are strongly pronounced and consequently provide the signi cant contribution. Therefore, any wave-num ber and especially time (frequency) extension of various therm odynam ic and transport quantities or the inclusion of the additional non-hydrodynam ical contributions should be invoked with some care. A need to do so is particularly necessary for the description of fast processes, which are a m a pr com ponent of m icroscopic dynam ics of liquids and

in fact m ay contribute appreciably to the short-time behavior of corresponding relaxation functions (and/or into high-frequency scenario of their power spectra). The existence of nite frequency moments of S (k; !) can serve as a peculiar criterion to test and estimate the validity of a corresponding theoretical extension [17].

In the present work we study them icroscopic dynam ics of liquid alum inium on the basis of the theory developed within the fram ework of the so-called recurrent relation approach (RRA) [17]. According to the RRA, the time evolution of a dynam ical variable depends only on basis vectors, which span the underlying H ilbert space of the studied dynam ical variable and the dimensionality of the embedding space S [18]. Relaxation functions and terms de ning the interactions appear here as projections of dynam ical variables on the corresponding orthogonal basis vectors. If the dynam ical variable is a density uctuation then the RRA allows one to obtain the corresponding relaxation function in terms of frequency moments of the dynam ic structure factor.

As the speci c physical system under consideration we choose the dynam ics of liquid alum inium. This choice is related, forem ost, to the availability of high precision data for the Inelastic X -ray Scattering (IXS) for liquid alum inium near its melting point [19]. These data are generally consistent with the results ofm olecular dynam - ics simulations with various models for the inter-particle potentials [20, 21, 22]. Nevertheless, it is necessary to note that the full agreem ent between experimental and simulation methods in characterization of dynam ical features of liquid alum inium does not achieved (see p.916 of Ref. [1]).

The organization of the paper is as follows: In the next Section we present the theoretical fundam entals; in particular we review within our context the basic notions of the RRA [17, 18, 23] as these apply to the density uctuation case considered in the given work. In section III the study of various dynam ical regim es and their features for the case of liquid alum inium is presented. We also report in this section details and results of our molecular dynam ics simulations, which are compared with IXS-data. A resume with some concluding remarks is given in section IV.

II. THEORETICAL FRAM EW ORK

Let us consider a system of N identical classical particles of mass m evolving in the volum e V . We take the density uctuations

$$A^{(0)}(k) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} e^{ik r_j}$$
(1)

as an 'initial' dynam ical variable; k is the wave-vector. The time evolution of A $^{(0)}$ (k) is de ned by the Heisenberg equation

$$\frac{dA^{(0)}(k;t)}{dt} = i [P; A^{(0)}(k;t)] = i \hat{L} A^{(0)}(k;t); \quad (2)$$

where \hat{L} is the Liouville operator, which is Herm itian, H^P is the Ham iltonian of the system and [P;:::] is the Poisson bracket. A coording to the RRA scheme [17, 18] the quantity A⁽⁰⁾ (k;t) can be considered as a vector in an a priori chosen d-dimensional space S, i.e.

$$A^{(0)}(k;t) = \int_{0}^{\infty} a^{(0)}(k;t)f(k); \quad k = \frac{1}{2}kj \text{ is xed:} \quad (3)$$

Here the basis vectors' f_0 (k), f_1 (k), ..., f_{d-1} (k) spanning S are orthogonal, i.e.,

$$(f (k); f (k)) = (f (k); f (k)) ; (4)$$

and are interrelated by the following recurrent relation (RR-I):

$$f_{+1}(k) = iLf(k) + (k)f_{1}(k); \quad 0;$$

$$(k) = \frac{(f(k);f(k))}{(f_{1}(k);f_{1}(k))};$$

$$f_{1}(k) = 0; \quad 0(k) \quad 1: \quad (5)$$

Here $a^{(0)}(k;t)$ is the time dependent projection of A $^{(0)}(k;t)$ on the -th basis vector f (k); the brackets (:::; :::) denotes the scalar product of K ubo in the embedding space S [17]. Then, the relaxation function between two variables X and Y is de ned by

$$(X;Y) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z} \exp(H^{0})Y^{y} \exp(H^{0})X \text{ id };$$
 (6)

where X; Y S, = $(k_B T)^1$, k_B and T are the Boltzm ann constant and tem perature, respectively [?]. The angular brackets denote the average over the canonical ensemble with temperature $T=(k_{\rm B}~)^{-1}$. Note that in the classical case ($~!~0, \sim !~0)$, the relaxation function proportional to the usual correlation function [17]

On the basis of the set of vectors ffn (k)g one can construct a set of dynam ical variables fA $^{(n)}(k)g$ which we need for the description of the evolution of the system, obeying

$$A^{(n)}(k;t=0) = f_n(k); n = 0; 1; :::; d 1: (8)$$

The corresponding time evolution by analogy with equation (3) can be dened as

$$A^{(n)}(k;t) = \int_{-n}^{\infty} a^{(n)}(k;t)f(k):$$
(9)

Note that the set of functions $a_n^{(n)}(k;t)$ (i.e. for n =) in equations (3) and (9) are dened by projecting the dynamical variable A⁽ⁿ⁾(k;t) onto the corresponding basis $f_n(k)$, i.e.

$$a_{n}^{(n)}(k;t) = \frac{(A^{(n)}(k;t);f_{n}(k))}{(f_{n}(k);f_{n}(k))}$$
$$= \frac{(A^{(n)}(k;t);A^{(n)}(k;0))}{(A^{(n)}(k;0);A^{(n)}(k;0))};$$
(10)

where the last equality is obtained by taking into account equation (8). So, one can easily see that these functions are norm alized, i.e.

$$a_n^{(n)}$$
 (k;t= 0) = 1: (11)

Here and afterwards we simplify notation whenever the quantity involves the same upper and lower index by setting: $a^{(n)}(k;t) = a^{(n)}_n(k;t)$.

The functions $a^{(n)}(k;t)$ for > n, being de ned by the projection of the vector $A^{(n)}(k;t)$ on the basis f(k) with $n \notin$, are related to interactions between $A^{(n)}(k)$ and $A^{()}(k)$. Further, the set $a^{(n)}(k;t)$ obeys the following properties:

if > n then
$$a^{(n)}(k;t=0) = 0;$$
 (12)
if < n then $a^{(n)}(k;t) = 0;$

It is useful to note that the functions $a^{(n)}$ (k;t) are interrelated by the second recurrent relation (RR-II) [17], reading:

$$a_{+1}^{(n)}(k)a_{+1}^{(n)}(k;t) = \frac{da_{+1}^{(n)}(k;t)}{dt} + a_{-1}^{(n)}(k;t); \quad (13)$$

$$n = 0; 1; 2; \dots d \quad 1;$$

$$= n; n + 1; \dots d \quad 1;$$

$$n:$$

Thus, the set of recurrent relations (3) and (13) yield the time evolution of the dynam ical variable A $^{(n)}$ (k), which occurs in the space spanned by the orthogonal basis vectors f_n (k), f_{n+1} (k), ...

Similar to the well-known Zwanzig-M ori form alism , these recurrent relations obey the equation [23]:

which constitutes the exact reformulation of equation (2). The last equation can be rewritten in terms of the Laplace transform $f(z) = \begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} e^{zt} f(t) dt$ of the functions $a^{(n)}$ (t) as:

$$\mathbf{e}^{(n)}(\mathbf{k};z) = [z + {}_{n+1}(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{e}^{(n+1)}(\mathbf{k};z)]^{1}: \quad (15)$$

Then, the Laplace transform of relaxation function of the density uctuation $e^{(0)}(k;z)$ can be represented in the form of a continued fraction, i.e., [24, 25, 26]

$$\mathbf{e}^{(0)}(\mathbf{k};z) = \frac{1}{z + \frac{1}{z + \frac{2}{z + \frac{2}{z + \frac{3}{z + \frac{3}{z$$

Thus, the time behavior of density relaxation function $a^{(0)}(k;t)$ is fully dened by the dimensionality d of the space S and by the corresponding set of parameters $_{n+1}(k)$ (n = 0, 1, :::). To determ ine $A^{(0)}(k;t)$ [and $a^{(0)}(k;t)$] it is necessary to know the whole set of the parameters $_{n+1}(k)$.

The parameters n+1 (k) can be expressed in term s of normalized frequency moments of the dynamical structure factor S (k;!) [6, 27], i.e.,

$$!^{(p)}(k) = (i)^{p} \frac{d^{p} a^{(0)}(k;t)}{dt^{p}} = \frac{R_{1}}{\frac{R_{1}}{1}} !^{p} S(k;!) d!}{\frac{R_{1}}{1}};$$
(17)

which is related, in turn, with $a^{(0)}$ (k;t) through the Fourier transform as

$$S(k;!) = \frac{S(k)}{2} \sum_{1}^{2} e^{i!t} a^{(0)}(k;t) dt:$$
(18)

Because S (k;!) is even function of ! for a classical system, allodd frequency m om ents are equal to zero. M oreover, from equations (13) and (17) and taking into account equations (11) and (12) one nds the relations

$$\begin{array}{rcl} ! & (2) & (k) & = & _{1} & (k); & (19) \\ ! & (4) & (k) & = & _{1}^{2} & (k) + & _{1} & (k) & _{2} & (k); \\ ! & (6) & (k) & = & _{1} & (k) \left[& _{1} & (k) + & _{2} & (k) \right]^{2} + & _{1} & (k) & _{2} & (k) & _{3} & (k); \\ ! & (8) & (k) & = & _{1} & (k) f \left[& _{1} & (k) + & _{2} & (k) \right]^{3} + 2 & _{2} & (k) & _{3} & (k) \\ & & & & \left[& _{1} & (k) + & _{2} & (k) \right]^{3} + & _{2} & (k) & (10) & (k) & = & _{1} & (k) f & _{1} & (k) \left[& _{1} & (k) + & _{2} & (k) \right]^{3} \\ & & + & _{1} & (k) & _{2} & (k) & (1 & (k) + & _{2} & (k)) \right] \\ & & & & \left[& _{1} & (k) + & _{2} & (k) + & _{3} & (k) \right] \\ & & & \left[& _{1} & (k) + & _{2} & (k) + & _{3} & (k) \right] \\ & & & \left[& _{1} & (k) + & _{2} & (k) + & _{3} & (k) \right] \\ & & & \left[& _{1} & (k) + & _{2} & (k) + & _{3} & (k) \right] \\ & & & \left[& _{1} & (k) + & _{2} & (k) + & _{3} & (k) \right] \\ & & & + & _{2} & (k) & _{3} & (k) \left[& _{1} & (k) + & _{2} & (k) \right] \\ & & & + & _{2} & (k) & _{3} & (k) \left[& _{1} & (k) + & _{2} & (k) \right] \\ & & & + & _{2} & (k) & _{3} & (k) \left[& _{1} & (k) + & _{2} & (k) \right] \\ & & & + & _{3} & (k) + & _{4} & (k) + & _{5} & (k) \right] g; \\ & & & & \vdots \vdots \vdots \end{array}$$

On the other hand, in the classical case, the frequency parameters $_{n}$ (k) can be obtained in accordance with the help of equations (5) and (7) to read:

$$_{1}(k) = \frac{hf_{1}f_{1}}{hf_{0}f_{1}} = \frac{k_{B}T}{m} \frac{k^{2}}{S(k)};$$
 (20)

$$hf_{1}f_{1}f_{1} = \frac{k_{B}T}{m}k^{2}; hf_{0}f_{1} = S(k);$$

By analogy with equation (20) it follows that

$${}_{2}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{\mathbf{k}_{B} T}{m_{Z}} \mathbf{k}^{2} \ 3 \ \frac{1}{S(\mathbf{k})}$$
(21)
+ $\frac{1}{m} r_{1}^{2} u(\mathbf{r}) [1 \exp(i\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{r})]g(\mathbf{r})^{3} d;$

$${}_{3}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{{}_{2}(\mathbf{k})} \left(15 \frac{\mathbf{k}_{\rm B} T}{m} \mathbf{k}^{2} + F(\mathbf{k}) - \frac{1}{{}_{2}(\mathbf{k})} \left[{}_{1}(\mathbf{k}) + {}_{2}(\mathbf{k}) \right]^{2} \right)$$
(22)

Here, denotes the number density, S (k) is the static structure factor, g (r) is the pair distribution function, u (r) is the inter-particle potential and the su x l denotes the component parallel to k, whereas the term F (k) denotes the combination of integral expressions containing the inter-particle potential with two- and three-particle distribution functions. In the general case, the param – eters $_n$ (k) at large nth order also contain the distribution functions of n, (n 1), ... and n = 2. As a result, we can see that if the studied system is characterized by strongly pronounced potential interactions then the problem of nding the time (frequency) dependence of the dynam ical variables A ⁽ⁿ⁾ (k) and/or the functions $a^{(n)}$ (k;t) $[a^{(n)}$ (k;z), see equations (15) and (16)] is reduced to the problem of truncating the chain of coupled n-th particle distribution functions [28].

III. DYNAM ICAL REG IM ES AND THEIR FEATURES

A. Short wavelength dynam ics

Let us consider the spatio-tem poral regime of a monatom ic liquid, for which one can neglect the interaction between particles. Obviously, this case corresponds to the short-time dynamics which is restricted to length scales smaller than the mean free path (i.e., the regime of high k-values). Then, the terms characterizing the strength of interaction between particles are negligible, and the static structure factor S(k)! 1. Upon observing that the second (integral) term in equation (21) and F(k) in equation (22) are negligible one nds

$${}_{1}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{\mathbf{k}_{B} T}{m} \mathbf{k}^{2};$$

 ${}_{2}(\mathbf{k}) = 2 {}_{1}(\mathbf{k}); {}_{3}(\mathbf{k}) = 3 {}_{1}(\mathbf{k}):$ (23)

From the rst equality of equation (17) and equation (19) one derives that equations (23) represent the short-time behavior of $a^{(0)}$ (k;t) described by the G aussian function,

$$a^{(0)}(k;t) = e^{(1/k)t^2 = 2}$$
: (24)

Then, from equation (13) one nds that other functions $a_n^{(0)}$ (k;t) de ning interactions of density uctuations with other dynam ical variables assume the following form

$$a_n^{(0)}(k;t) = \frac{t^n}{n!} e^{-1(k)t^2=2}; n = 0; 1; \dots$$
 (25)

Note in this case that the values of time scales determined by $1 = {}_{n+1}$ (k) with the increase of n become smaller, and the ratio between neighboring terms converges from 2 towards 1 upon increasing n; explicitly we have

$$\frac{n+2}{n+1} \frac{(k)}{(k)} = \frac{n+2}{n+1}$$
(26)

As a result, for this regime of large k-values (opposite to the hydrodynamic limit) one can conclude that although the time scales of relaxation functions upon increasing of n also increase, they eventually become equal, i.e $\lim_{n \ge 1} \sum_{n+2}^{n+2} (k) = \sum_{n+1}^{n+2} (k) = 1$.

B. The uid dynam ics at interm ediate wavelengths

O by iously, the sim pli cations used in the previous case are incorrect form icroscopic spatial ranges on the scale of order of som e inter-particle distances, where interaction



Figure 1: M ain plots: the scattering intensity I (k;!) of liquid alum inium at temperature T = 973 K for di erent wavenum bers. The solid lines present the m olecular dynam ics results convoluted with the experim ental resolution and involving the detailed balance condition, open circles with error bars are the IX S-data of reference [19]. Insets: the di erence between m olecular dynam ics results and interpolated experim entaldata at the xed k, i.e. $I_{M D}$ (k;!) $I_{IX S}$ (k;!), in the units 10 ³ps.

between particles is considerable. This implies a more complex num erical (theoretical) evaluation of the param – eters $_{n+1}(k)$, starting with the second param eter, i.e at n = 1 and higher.

On the other hand, the parameters $_n$ (k) can be found from molecular dynamics simulations. This is very convenient and useful tool, but it requires a priori the detailed information about the interaction of particles in the study system. A coording to this method, one can nd the 'initial' dynamical variable A ⁽⁰⁾ (k) from simulation data [see equation (1)], and then receive numerically the structural parameters $_n$ (k) from RR-I [see equation (5)]. O byiously, for higher precision the carried out results should be averaged over time iterations.

We have performed such numerical analysis based on ourmoleculardynamics simulations for liquid alum inium

	S (k)		! (2) (k)			$I(k;! = 0) (10^{-3} ps)$	
k (nm ¹)	IX S	M D	$k_B T k^2 = m$	IX S	M D	IX S	M D
4.2	0.010	0.029	5,288	5.294	5.443	0.250	0.805
5.4	0.013	0.032	8.741	8.732	11.010	0.320	0.826
7.8	0.016	0.034	18,239	18.241	23.170	0.350	0.837
9.0	0.020	0.037	24,283	24.192	32.758	0.390	0.844
10.2	0.025	0.037	31.190	30.925	40.351	0.425	0.896
11.4	0.027	0.045	38.961	39.021	47.598	0.434	0.910
12.6	0.030	0.050	47.595	47.631	59.238	0.520	1.174
13.8	0.036	0.059	57.092	57.137	72.048	0.601	1,253

with the particle density $n = 0.0528 \text{ A}^{-3}$ at the tem perature T = 1000 K. The interaction of N = 4000 particles in bedded in the cubic cell (L = 42.32 A) with the periodic boundary conditions was realized using the so-called glue' potential [29]. The time step t applied in the integration of the equation of motion was 10⁻¹⁴ s. A fter the bringing of the system to equilibrium state, 100000 time steps were made [30, 31].

Results for the static structure factor S (k) = hf₀ (k) ji and the rst unnom alized frequency moment $!_{un}^{(1)}$ (k) = hjf₁ (k) ji deduced from molecular dynamics simulations are presented in table I, where these quantities are com – pared with the corresponding values S (k) = S (k;!)d! and $!_{un}^{(1)}$ (k) = $!^2$ S (k;!)d! obtained on the basis of experimental IX S-data for the dynamical structure factor S (k;!) of liquid alum inium [19]. In this table we also give the exact theoretical prescription for the rst unnorm alized frequency moment $!_{un}^{(2)}$ (k) = k_B T=m.

As can be seen, the theoretical values of $!_{un}^{(2)}$ (k) are in agreem ent with the IXS-data of reference [19] that is a quite expected, because the norm alization of experimental data is performed to the rst sum rules (for details, see also reference [1]), whereas the values of $!_{un}^{(2)}$ (k) obtained from simulations are overestimated in comparison to IXS-data for all wave-numbers. The values of the static structure factor S (k) from molecular dynamics are also higher of IXS-data $(S^{M D} (k)=S^{IXS} (k))$ 1:5 2:9). As a result, the ratio between the values of the rst structural parameter $_1(k) = h \neq_1(k) \neq_2(k)$ from IX Sdata [32] and the corresponding values from molecular dynam ics sim ulations is 12 2:8. The analysis perform ed by us reveals that the di erence of high-order structural param eters obtained from IXS-data and from m olecular dynam ics simulations is also observed, namely,

 $\frac{M}{2} \stackrel{D}{(k)} = \frac{1}{2} \stackrel{X}{s} \stackrel{K}{(k)} 22 \quad 6.7 \text{ and } \frac{M}{3} \stackrel{D}{(k)} \stackrel{K}{=} \frac{1}{3} \stackrel{X}{s} \stackrel{K}{(k)}$ 1.8 6.1. Figure 1 compares the experimental scattering intensity I (k;!) of liquid aluminium near melting tem – perature [19] and the results of molecular dynamics sim – ulations. Although results of simulations are in a good agreem ent with the IX S-data for the high-frequency region, simulation data give higher values of central peak in comparison to the experimental ones. The exact values of I (k;! = 0) from numerical simulations and from IX S are presented in table I. On this basis, one can conclude that although the 'glue' potential of reference [29] describes correctly some equilibrium characteristics of liquid alum inium, it is unsuitable to reproduce the microscopical dynamics features of liquid alum inium, and it is un t for noting of the structural parameters $_n$ (k).

There exists also another scheme which is based on a phenom enological estimation of the structural param – eters $_{n}$ (k). The recent experimental IX S-data of the intensity I (k;!) for liquid alum inium at T = 973 K [19] allows one to estimate the experimental frequency moments and, as a result, to identify $_{n+1}$ (k). For this procedure we employed as a thing function the model for the classical dynamic structure factor S (k;!) as detailed in [33], i.e.,

$$S(k;!) = S(k)\frac{a_{1}}{2} \operatorname{sech} \frac{!_{1}}{2} + S(k)\frac{(1-a)_{2}}{4} \operatorname{sech} \frac{(!+!_{0})_{2}}{2} + \operatorname{sech} \frac{(!-!_{0})_{2}}{2}; \quad (27)$$

with the model parameters a, 1, 2 and $!_0$. It is worthwhile to note that a similar approach has been used also in [34], where the experimental S (k;!) of liquid metals was tted by a sum of three G aussian functions in order to derive the rst and second order memory functions numerically. However, as it was established during the tting procedure for liquid aluminium, the model function of [33] allows one to realize a better adjustment to the experimental data (see gure 1) than the combination of G aussian functions. As a result, after taking into account the detailed balance condition [1, 18], i.e.,

$$S_{q}(k;!) = \frac{-! = k_{B} T}{1 - e^{-! = k_{B} T}} S(k;!); \quad (28)$$

Table II: Ratio of neighboring parameters $_{n}(k) = _{n+1}(k) = _{n}(k)$ (n = 1, 2, 3 and 4) deduced according to equation (19) on the basis of experimental frequency moments for liquid aluminium at T = 973 K.

k (nm ¹)	1 (k)	2 (k)	3 (k.)	4 (k)
4.2	2.008	1.357	3.787	0.935
5.4	2.043	1.484	2.839	0.926
7.8	2.105	1.344	2.455	0.915
9.0	2.466	1.301	2.310	0.934
10.2	2.890	1.376	1.926	0.964
11.4	2.866	1.504	1.680	0.920
12.6	2.581	1.465	1.723	0.920
13.8	2.519	1,239	1.929	0.957

the convolution of $S_q(k;!)$ with the resolution function R (k;!) was deduced to read:

$$Z = E(k) = E(k) = R(k;! !^{0})S_{q}(k;!)d!;$$
(29)

wherein E (k) denotes the form factor. The resulting function $I_f(k;!)$ has been adjusted to the experimental scattering function so that the the rst two sum rules are obeyed identically [for S (k) and $_1$ (k)]. The subscript f used here for the intensity I (k;!) denotes the fact that it is the result of a tting procedure. The comparison of the theoretical norm alized second frequency parameter from equation (20) with the obtained one from integration of the tting dynamic structure factor S (k;!) according to equation (17) is depicted in the main part of gure 3. Finally, the remaining parameters $_{n+1}(k)$ (n = 1, 2, :::) were deduced from S (k;!), which yields the best tting of $I_f(k;!)$ to the experimental data. The found values of the rst ve parameters vs. k are given in the inset of gure 3.

During this procedure the following features for the studied wave-number region were identied:

(i) although the frequency moments and parameters $_{n+1}$ (k) are sensitive to the form of S (k;!), the ratio of the neighboring parameters, $_n$ (k) = $_{n+1}$ (k) = $_n$ (k) (n = 1, 2, :::), is invariable for the various tted func-

tions, which reproduce the experim ental data within the acceptable bounds;

(ii) with increasing n for the studied wave-num ber region the following feature of these parameters is observed: $_{n+1}(k) > _{n}(k) (_{n}(k) > 1)$. This feature is not valid for n = 4;

(iii) $_4$ (k) is slightly larger than $_5$ (k), 0:915 $_4$ (k) 0:964 (see table II).

On this basis, we further suppose that all ratios of higher order beginning with n = 4 are approximately equal to 1, i.e. $_{n}(k)$ ' 1 for n 4. This su ces to obtain the exact equation for dynam ic structure factor. From the physical point of view, taking into account that the frequency parameters can be considered as characteristics of time scales for corresponding quantities [18, 35], such an approximation means that the average time scales of the relaxation processes related to the dynam ical variables $A^{(n)}$ (n 4) are approximately equal, i.e. $_{n+1}^{1=2}$ (k) for n $n^{1=2}$ (k) = 4. Therefore, the time scales of heighbor' relaxation processes in this spatial range become equal at low levels and become strongly pronounced in com parison with the short wavelength dynamics. As a result, in the fram ework of the RRA one can exactly obtain the relaxation function $a_3^{(3)}$ (k;t) in the following form :

$$a^{(3)}(k;t) = \frac{1}{p_{4}(k)t} J_{1}(2^{p_{4}(k)}t); \qquad (30)$$

where J_1 is the Bessel function of the rst order. Further, relaxation functions of other dynamical variables and functions characterizing their interactions can be expressed by m eans of integral equations. However, the term of the greatest interest a priori is $a^{(0)}$ (k;t), the Fourier transform of which, S (k;!), presents a experimentally m easurable quantity. Therefore, from equation (30) we obtain

$$\mathbf{a}^{(3)}(\mathbf{k};z) = \frac{z + [z^2 + 4_{4}(\mathbf{k})]^{1-2}}{2_{4}(\mathbf{k})} :$$
(31)

Then, from equations (16) and (13) we can deduce the dynam ic structure factor to read:

$$2 \frac{S(k; !)}{S(k)} = \frac{1(k) 2(k) 3(k)}{4(k) 3(k)} \frac{[4 4(k) !^{2}]^{1=2}}{!^{6} + A_{1}(k)!^{4} + A_{2}(k)!^{2} + A_{3}(k)};$$

$$A_{1}(k) = \frac{\frac{2}{3}(k) 2(k)[2 4(k) 3(k)]}{4(k) 3(k)} 2_{1}(k) \frac{2}{3}(k) + \frac{2}{1}(k);$$

$$A_{2}(k) = \frac{\frac{2}{2}(k) 4(k) 2_{1}(k) \frac{2}{3}(k) + \frac{1}{3}(k) 2(k)[2 4(k) 3(k)]}{4(k) 3(k)} + \frac{2}{1}(k);$$

$$A_{3}(k) = \frac{\frac{2}{1}(k) \frac{2}{3}(k)}{4(k) 3(k)};$$
(32)



Figure 2: The scattering intensity I (k;!) of liquid alum inium at temperature T = 973 K. The solid lines depict the results of the theoretical model (32), whereas the open circles present the IX S-data of reference [19]. The theoretical line-shapes have been modi ed to account for the quantum mechanical detailed balance condition and have been broadened for the nite experimental resolution elects as described in the text.

Note that the denom inator of the well known hydrodynam ic dynam ic structure factor S (k;!) is also represented as the bicubic polynom ial (in the variable !) of equation (32) (see reference [36]), whereas the num erator of the hydrodynam ic model contains the biquadratic polynom ial. The point is that at the crossover into the hydrodynam ical region (as well as into the region of freeparticle motion) the equation for the dynam ic structure factor (32) becom esm odi ed. This is so because the rules of ratio for the frequency param eters are also changed. A sw ill be presented in the next section, the so developed theory yields the correct hydrodynam ical asym ptotic behavior.

The comparison of the theoretical results of the dynamic structure factor S (k;!) of liquid aluminium at T = 973 K according to equation (32) with data of IX S are presented with gure 2. As can be deduced from this gure, the theoretical results reproduce well the experim ental data.

C. High-frequency modes

From the analysis of the experiments it is known that inelastic features of scattering spectra are pronounced in the normalized longitudinal current relaxation function

$$G_{J}(k;t) = \frac{(J^{L}(k;0);J^{L}(k;t))}{(J^{L}(k;0);J^{L}(k;0))};$$
(33)

which is related to the dynam ic structure factor by

$$S(k)_{1}(k) \mathcal{G}_{J}(k;!) = !^{2} S(k;!):$$
 (34)

The last equation can be readily obtained from

$${}_{1}(k)G_{J}(k;t) = \frac{\theta^{2}a^{(0)}(k;t)}{\theta t^{2}}$$
: (35)

The quantity $\mathfrak{S}_{J}(\mathbf{k}; !)$ possesses one minimum at ! = 0and two high-frequency maxima, being contrary to the



Figure 3: Main: the solid line corresponds to the theoretical results of the second frequency moment from equation (20), whereas the full circles present the values extracted by means of an integration of the resolution de-convoluted, classical S (k;!) used to reproduce the experimental IX S-data (see in the text). The dashed and the dotted lines present the hydrodynam ical limit (see equation (42a) with the isotherm all sound velocity c_0 (k) = 4700 m/s, from reference [19]) and the free-particle situations $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ (k) = $1 = \frac{p}{m}$ k), respectively. Inset: the values of the rst ve parameters obtained from the frequency moments of the dynam ic structure factor S (k;!) corresponding to the best t of I_f (k;!) to the experimental data, as detailed in the text.

dynam ic structure factor. M oreover, the side peaks in $\mathcal{C}_{J}(k;!)$ correspond to those of S (k;!).

The inelastic features of the spectrum $\mathcal{G}_{J}(k;!)$ are dened by the solution in regard to z = z(k) of the following equation (see equation (2.54) in Ref. [15]):

$$z + \frac{1}{z} k^{(2)} + \frac{1}{z} k^{(2)} k^{(2)} = 0;$$
 (36)

where $\mathbf{e}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{z})$, according to the above obtained results, has the form

$$\mathbf{e}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{z}) = \frac{2}{\mathbf{z}(2)(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{z})} = \frac{2}{\mathbf{z}(2)(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{z})} = \frac{2}{\mathbf{z}(4)(\mathbf{k})} = \frac{2}{\mathbf{z$$

G enerally, equation (36) possesses com plex solutions z = R e[z(k)] + iIm[z(k)], where Im[z(k)] de nes the positions of the inelastic peaks in $\mathfrak{G}_J(k; !)$, whereas R e[z(k)] characterizes the widths of these peaks.

Introducing for convenience the notation Q (k) for the ratio between the parameters $_4$ (k) and $_3$ (k), and the dimensionless quantity (k), with the latter characterizing the frequency region:

$$Q(k) = 2 \frac{4(k)}{3(k)}$$
 1; (38a)

$$(k) = \frac{z^2}{4(k)};$$
 (38b)

the condition for the existence of side peaks in Eq. (36), can be rewritten in the following form (see Ref. [38, 39, 40]):

$$z^{2} + z_{2}(k) \frac{1 + Q(k)}{zQ(k) + p z^{2} + 4_{4}(k)} + 1(k) = 0: (39)$$

To investigate this equation further it is convenient to consider the following limiting situations. First, the region of crossover into the hydrodynam ical limit can be characterized by j(k)j = 1. This condition allows one to span the region of sm all frequencies (i.e. the large time scales). Then, the dispersion equation takes the following form :

$$z^{3} + \frac{2 \frac{1}{4} (k)}{Q(k)} z^{2} + \frac{1}{4} (k) + \frac{2}{2} (k) (1 + Q(k))}{Q(k)} z + \frac{2 \frac{1}{4} (k)}{Q(k)} = 0; \quad (40)$$

A lthough the exact algebraic solution of a cubic equation is feasible, it is not of much practical use here because its inherent algebraic complexity. Let us note that equation (40) is similar to the dispersion equation obtained by M ountain (see equation (15) in reference [41]). Therefore, following the convergent scheme for approximating solutions [41] one nds

$$z_{1;2}(k) = igk k^{2};$$
 (41)
 $z_{3}(k) = 2 \frac{\frac{1+2}{4}(k)}{Q(k)};$

where the adiabatic sound velocity c_s , the sound dam ping param eter , and the ratio of the speci cheat at constant pressure to the speci cheat at constant volume = $c_p = c_v$ read for k ! 0:

$$c_s = {p - c_0}; \quad \lim_{k! = 0} {}_1(k) = c_0^2 k^2;$$
 (42a)

$$= \frac{1}{Q(k)} \frac{\frac{1}{4} (k)}{Q(k)};$$
 (42b)

$$= 1 + \frac{2(k)[1 + Q(k)]}{1(k)Q(k)};$$
 (42c)

respectively, and $c_0(k)$ is the isotherm al sound velocity. The equations (41) are the results of the hydrodynam ical Landau-Placzek theory [43], and the real and im aginary parts of the rst two solutions de ne the positions and widths of the M andelshtam B rillouin doublet. The approximate solutions (41) are valid, when the di erence between $_4(k)$ and $_3(k)$ is su ciently large in comparison with di erences between $_3(k)$, $_2(k)$ and $_1(k)$ [44, 45]. This condition corresponds to the requirement of the M ountain approximation procedure (p 208, [41]) and is related to the divergence of the frequency parameters in the hydrodynam ical lim it.

If one considers the large-frequency region (regardless ofk), i.e. the opposite extrem e w ith $z^2 = {}_4(k)$ 1, then here equation (39) yields

$$z_{1,2}(k)$$
 $i \frac{p}{1(k) + 2(k)}$ $i! (k);$ (43)

which corresponds to a typical 'instantaneous' solid-like response [6, 42].

As can be seen from the dispersion equation (39) both, the widths and positions of the side peaks depend on the rst four parameters $_n(k)$ (n = 1, 2, 3 and 4). In gure 4 the square of various frequencies are compared to the square of the frequency of collective excitations, $\frac{1}{c}(k)$, extracted from the experimental data [19]. The results of gure 4 justify that the values of the squared frequencies of the side peaks are arranged into the interm ediate region between hydrodynamical prescriptions' with

 $_{1}$ (k) and values ! $_{L}^{2}$ (k) corresponding to the 'solid-like' behavior [equation (43)], while the results of our model yield the true squared frequencies of side peak, ! $_{c}^{2}$ (k). The fact, that inelastic peaks are fully dened by the rst four frequency param eters, indicates directly that the existence of side peak features in the studied region depend on both, two- and three-particle as well as four-particle interactions, which are taken into account by these param eters. A lthough the high-frequency motions can be considered as a remnant of solid dynamics, the large values of lifetimes of the underlying excitations (in com – parison with the solid-state ones) are distinctive for the dynamics of a liquid, where two-, three- and four-particle correlations are strongly pronounced at the length scales of the order of some A ngstrom s.



Figure 4: The short dashed line corresponds to _1 (k) from equation (20); the open triangles present the values of _1 (k), which possesses the limiting behavior $\lim_{k! \ 0} 1$ (k) = $(c_s k)^2$ and thus corresponds to the squared frequency of the B rillouin peak from hydrodynamical prescription (= $c_p = c_v = 1.4$ from references [1, 37]); the lled circles denote the squared frequency of the side peak $\frac{1}{c}^2$ (k) in the experimental IX S-spectra [19]; the solid line presents the theoretical predictions; the open squares are the values of _2 (k), which are obtained through the second and fourth norm alized frequency moments of the resolution de-convoluted, classical dynamic structure function S (k; !) extracted from the IX S-spectra [see equation (19)]. The squared frequency of the 'solid-like' excitations _1 (k) + _2 (k) !² (k) is presented by the stars.

IV. RESUME AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

A sm entioned in the Introduction, the treatm ent of the experim ental data of Inelastic N eutron Scattering (IN S) and IX S experim ents can be conveniently perform ed in the fram ework of generalized hydrodynam ics m ethods. R ecall that for the hydrodynam ical regim e the following equation holds [16]

$$_{2}$$
 (k)a⁽²⁾ (k;t) = $\frac{2 L k^{2}}{m}$ (t) + (1) (k)e^{D K²t};
(44)

where $_{\rm L}$ is the longitudinal viscosity and D $_{\rm T}$ is the thermal di usion. The last equation is obtained from a reasoning that is related to the hydrodynam ical approach and is correct only for the dynam ical description for large temporal and spatial scales, i.e. t ! 1 and k ! 0. However, this form of relaxation function breaks down when addressing the short-time features. From the point of view of the RRA the relaxation function corresponding to the Hermitian system can not exactly assume the time dependence of the form (44), because the norm alized condition (11) is violated. One of the simplest generalization of the hydrodynam ical approach consists in

the assumption about the extended (not instantaneous) character of the decaying viscosity term involving various elementary contributions. So, in references [1, 19] it was shown that for the correct reproduction of the experimentalS (k;!) (including the case of uid alum inium [19]) the three exponential decay term s must be included at least in $a^{(2)}$ (k;t),

$$a^{(2)}(k;t) = \begin{cases} X \\ G_{j}(k)e^{t=j(k)}; \\ j=;th \end{cases}$$
(45)

where (k) and (k) are the relaxation times associated with viscosity processes, whereas the (k) = $1=D_{\rm T}k^2$ is the relaxation time scale of thermal contribution [the second term in equation (44)], G; the are the weights of the corresponding contributions. A line the weights of the corresponding contributions. A line this ansatz also has the violation of the normalized condition (11), it can be used as a su ciently good approximation to study T H z frequency ranges (see in reference [46]).

Indeed, as can be seen from gure 3, the frequency parameter $_4$ (k) assumes the most signi cant values (in comparison to others) and thereby it denes the shortest time scales $2 = \frac{1}{4}$ (k) 10^{14} s. Then the condition j (k) j 1 (see equation 38b) allows one to span the frequency (time) range ! < 10^{14} s¹ (t > 10^{14} s), which corresponds to the microscopic dynamics and is mainly available to IX S and IN S.As a result, we not from equation (37) that [47]

$$\mathbf{e}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{z}) = \begin{pmatrix} X & G_{j}(\mathbf{k}) \\ j & \frac{z + j^{1}(\mathbf{k})}{z + j^{1}(\mathbf{k})}; & G_{j}(\mathbf{k}) = 1; \\ j = 1; 2; 3; 5; \dots; \qquad (46) \end{cases}$$

where $G_j(k)$ and $_j(k)$ are expressed in terms of $_3(k)$ and $_4(k)$ (see for details reference [46]). The given equation at j = 3 yields the same result as equation (45), whereas the coarse approximation at j = 1 corresponds to the viscoelastic model. Thus, we thereby justify that the expansion of the function $a^{(2)}(k;t)$ into elementary exponential contributions can be used as a good approximation in the description of the dynamics (but only) at long and intermediate time scales [9] bounded by the picosecond regime.

W ith this present study we have explored the dynam – ics of liquid alum inium at T = 973 K on the basis of the RRA, when the relaxation functions of the density uctuations can be exactly extracted from the known relations between the frequency parameters $_{n}$ (k) =

 $_{n+1}(k) = _n(k)$, (n = 1, 2, :::). In the formulation of the RRA the parameters $_n(k)$'s constitute the structural characteristics of the embedding H ilbert space S of the investigated dynamical variables. As a result, the peculiarities of the dynamics depend directly on the dimension d of the space S and the related parameters, $_n(k)$'s. If, in particular, $_n(k) ! 0$, then the realized embedding space S can be considered as the (n + 1) dimensional one, and the exact solution for the relaxation function can be found [17, 18, 23]. In the opposite situation $_n(k) ! 1$, which can be observed in the hydrodynam – ical limit, the corresponding exact solution causes problem s due to the divergence of the frequency parameters $_n(k)$ and $_{n+1}(k)$.

In this work we extracted phenom enologically these frequency parameters on the basis of the rst ve frequency m om ents of the experim ental dynam ic structure factor. The values of these ve parameters increase with growing index n. A similar behavior occurs for large values of k (the free-particle case) where these parameters approach each other at large n. In the studied wavenum ber region this approxim at identity is observed at n = 4 already. The assumption that this holds as well at higher order n allow sone to develop a theoretical model, which turns out to be in quantitative agreem ent with the experimentally observed IXS-data for liquid alum inium and which satis es all the corresponding sum rules. We found that the st four even frequency moments appeared in the continued fraction of RRA (see equation (16)) as well as in the Zwanzig-M ori's form alism are necessary to restore the genuine m icroscopic dynam ics of liquid alum inium . Moreover, our analysis reveals that the high-frequency features of m icroscopic collective dynamics in liquid alum inium depend on two-, three- and four-particle correlations. The given nding can be useful in detailed investigations of equilibrium features of uid alum inium, at the estimation of the many-particle distribution functions, and in the studying of cluster phenom ena of liquid alum inium [48] near the melting.

V. ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

We thank M.H.Lee for helpful discussions and are grateful to T.Scopigno for providing IXS-data of liquid alum inium. This work is supported by G rant of RFBR No. 05-02-16639a, RNP No. 21.1.741 and by the Germ an Research Foundation, SFB-486, Project A 10 (P.H.).

- [L] T. Scopigno, G. Ruocco and F. Sette, Rev. M od. Phys 77, 881 (2005).
- [2] J. P. Boon, and S. Yip, Molecular Hydrodynamics (M cG raw-Hill, New York, 1980).
- [3] J.-L. Barrat and J.-P. Hansen, Basic concepts for simple and complex liquids (University Press, Cambridge, 2003).
- [4] N. March, and M. Tosi, Atom ic Dynamics in Liquids (Dover, New York, 1991).
- [5] J.-P. Hansen, and I. M cD onald, Theory of Sim ple Liquids (A cadem ic, New York, 1986).
- [6] U.Balucani and M. Zoppi, D ynam ics of the Liquid State (Clarendon P ress, Oxford, 1994).

- [7] R.L.M cG reevy and E.W .J.M itchell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55, 398 (1985).
- [8] C. Bruin, J. C. van Rijs, L. A. de Graaf, and I. M. de Schepper, Phys. Lett. A 110, 40 (1988); I.M. de Schepper, E.G. D. Cohen, C. Bruin, J.C. van Rijs, W. Montfrooij, L.A. de Graaf, Phys. Rev. A 38, 271 (1988).
- [9] W. Gotze, J. Phys. C 11, A1 (1999); H Z. Cummins, ibid. 11, A 99 (1999); L. Sjogren, Phys. Rev. A 22, 2866 (1980); 22, 2883 (1980); W. Gotze and M R. Mayr, Phys. Rev. E 61, 587 (2000).
- [10] I.M. de Schepper, E.G.D.Cohen, C.Bruin, J.C.van Rijs, W.Montfrooij, and L.A. de Graaf, Phys. Rev. A 38, 271 (1988); I.M. Mryglod, I.P.Omelyan, and M. V.Tokarchuk, Mol.Phys. 84, 235 (1995); T.Bryk and I. Mryglod, Phys. Rev. E 63, 051202 (2001).
- [11] T. Scopigno, U. Balucani, G. Ruocco, and F. Sette, Phys. Rev. E 65, 031205 (2002).
- [12] U.Ba le, E.Guarini and F.Barocchi, Phys. Rev. E 73, 061203 (2006).
- [13] L.E.Bove, F.Sacchetti, C.Petrillo, and B.Domer, Phys. Rev.Lett. 85, 5352 (2000); L.E.Bove, F.Sacchetti, C. Petrillo, B.Domer, F.Fom isano, and F.Barocchi, Phys. Rev.Lett. 87, 215504 (2001); LE.Bove, B.Domer, C. Petrillo, F.Sacchetti and J.B.Suck, Phys. Rev. B 68, 024208 (2003); LE.Bove, F.Fom isano, F.Sacchetti, C.Petrillo, A.Ivanov, B.Domer and F.Barocchi, Phys. Rev. B 71, 014207 (2005).
- [14] S.Hosokawa, Y.Kawakita, W.-C.Pilgrim, and H.Sinn, Phys. Rev. B 63, 134205 (2001).
- [15] F.Yoshida, S.Takeno, Phys.Rep. 173, 301 (1989).
- [16] J.R.D.Copley, S.W. Loveæy, Rep. Prog. Phys. 38, 461 (1975).
- [17] M.H.Lee, Phys.Rev.Lett.51, 1227 (1983); Phys.Rev. E 62, 1769 (2000); Phys.Rev.E 61, 3571 (2000).
- [18] U.Balucani, M.H.Lee, and V.Tognetti, Phys. Rep. 373, 409 (2003).
- [19] T.Scopigno, U.Balucani, G.Ruocco, and F.Sette, Phys. Rev.E 63, 011210 (2001).
- [20] D. J. Gonzalez, L. E. Gonzalez, J. M. Lopez, and M. J. Stott, Phys. Rev. B 65, 184201 (2002); J. Chem. Phys. 115, 2373 (2001).
- [21] D .J.G onzalez, L.E.G onzalez, and J.M .Lopez, J.Phys. C 13, 7801 (2001).
- [22] I.Ebbsjo, T.K inell, and I.W aller, J. Phys. C: Solid St. Phys. 13, 1865 (1980).
- [23] M H.Læ, Phys. Rev. E 62, 1769 (2000), M H.Læ, Phys. Rev.Lett. 85, 2422 (2000).
- [24] R. Zwanzig, Phys. Rev. 124, 1338 (1961); H. Mori, Prog. Theor. Phys. 33, 423 (1965); Prog. Theor. Phys. 34, 399 (1965).
- [25] P. Scho eld, Inelastic Scattering of Neutrons in Solids and Liquids (IAEA, Vienna, 1961).
- [26] P.Scho eld, Physics of Simple Liquids ed. H N V.Tem perley, J.S. Rowlinson and G.S. Rushbrooke (Amsterdam, 1968).
- [27] It is convenient sometimes to use the unnormalized frequency moments $!_{un}^{(p)}(k) = \int_{1}^{1} !^{p} S(k; !) d!$. In this definition, the zeroth frequency moment characterizes the static structure factor, i.e. $!_{un}^{(0)}(k) = S(k)$.
- [28] N. N. Bogoliubov, Problem s of Dynam ic Theory in Statistical Physics (Gostekhizdat, Moscow-Leningrad, 1946)

(in Russian) [Reprinted in: Studies in statistical mechanics 1 (J. de Boer and G.E. Uhlenbeck, eds., Am sterdam, N orth-H olland, 1962)].

- [29] F. Ercolessi, M. Parrinello and E. Tosatti, Philos. M ag. A 58, 213 (1988); F. Ercolessi and J. B. A dam s, Europhys. Lett. 26, 583 (1994).
- [30] J. J. Erpenberck and W . W . W ood, Phys. Rev. A 26, 1648 (1982).
- [31] To estim ate the frequency range allow able at the m olecular dynam ics simulation for cell with this size [30], one can nd the inverse time required for a sound wave to cross the entire periodic cell. At the length L = 42.32 A and the sound velocity c_s ' 4750 m/s, it is possible to consider the frequency range ! c_s =L, ie ! 1 ps¹.
- [32] The procedure of the phenom enological estimation (from IX S-data) of structural parameters will be discussed in detail below.
- [33] S. Singh, and K. Tankeshwar, Phys. Rev. E 67, 012201 (2003).
- [34] K.-E.Larsson, and W.Gudowski, Phys. Rev. A 33, 1968 (1986).
- [35] A.V.Mokshin, R.M.Yulm etyev, and P.Hanggi, Phys. Rev.Lett. 95, 200601 (2005).
- [36] The comparison to result of hydrodynam ical model allows one to nd the following correspondence: $A_1 (k) = 2[(k^2)^2 (c_0 k)^2] + (D_T k^2)^2;$ $A_2 (k) = [(k^2)^2 + (c_0 k)^2]^2 + 2(D_T k^2)^2 [(k^2)^2 (c_0 k)^2];$ $A_3 (k) = (D_T k^2)^2 [(k^2)^2 + (c_0 k)^2]^2.$
- [37] M. Inui, S. Takeda, and T. Uechi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 61, 3203 (1992)
- [38] It is interesting to note that the fraction in the second term of Eq. (39) has the same form as the Laplace transform of the velocity relaxation function of the model by Rubin [39, 40] { 'in purity in the harm onic lattice', where the ratio $_4$ (k) = $_3$ (k) corresponds to the mass ratio in the Rubin model.
- [39] R. Zwanzig Nonequilibrium statistical mechanics (University Press, Oxford, 2001).
- [40] R. J. Rubin, Phys. Rev. 131, 964 (1963), R. J. Rubin, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 90, 3061 (1968); R. J. Rubin and R. Zwanzig, J.M ath. Phys. 2, 861 (1961).
- [41] R D.Mountain, Rev.Mod.Phys. 38, 205 (1966).
- [42] The same asymptotic behavior is recovered from the viscoelastic model (see for example reference [6]).
- [43] L.Landau and G.P laczek, Physik Z.Sow jetunion 5, 172 (1934).
- [44] In reference [45] it was found that for the case of liquid sodium the ratio $_4$ (k)= $_3$ (k) assumes 100 and even higher at sm aller, m icroscopic spatial scales.
- [45] R.M.Yulm etyev, A.V.Mokshin, T.Scopigno, and P. Hanggi, J.Phys.: Condens. Matter 15, 2235 (2003); R. Yulm etyev, P.Hanggi and F.Gafarov, Phys. Rev. E 62, 6178 (2000).
- [46] A. V. Mokshin, R. M. Yulmetyev, and P. Hanggi, J. Chem. Phys. 121, 7341 (2004).
- [47] Note that expansion (44) does not contradict to claims of RRA. It should be taken into account, that this expansion is suitable for nite frequency range only.
- [48] E.G.Noya, J.P.K.Doye, and F.Calvo, Phys. Rev. B 73, 125407 (2006).