An rf superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) consists of a superconducting ring interrupted by a Josephson junction (JJ). When driven by an alternating magnetic field, the induced supercurrents around the ring are determined by the JJ through the celebrated Josephson relations. This system exhibits rich nonlinear behavior, including chaotic effects. Recently, quantum rf SQUIDs have attracted great attention, since they constitute essential elements for quantum computing. In this direction, rf SQUIDs with one or more zero and/or π ferromagnetic JJs have been constructed. In this Letter we show that rf SQUIDs may serve as constituent elements for nonlinear magnetic metamaterials (MMs), i.e., artificial, composite, inherently non-magnetic media with (positive or negative) magnetic response at microwave frequencies.

Classical MMs are routinely fabricated with regular arrays of split-ring resonators (SRRs), with operating frequencies up to the optical range. The SRRs are equivalently RLC circuits in series, featuring a resistance $R$, a capacitance $C$ and an inductance $L$, working as small dipoles. In turn, adopting the resistively and capacitively shunted junction (RCSJ) model for the JJ, the rf SQUIDs are not dipoles but, instead, they feature an inductance $L$ in series with an ideal Josephson element (i.e., for which $I_c = I_c$), shunted by a capacitor $C$ and a resistor $R$ (Fig. 1(c)). However, the fields they produce are approximately those of small dipoles, although quantitatively they are affected by flux quantization in superconducting loops. Consider an rf SQUID with loop area $S = \pi a^2$ (radius $a$), in a magnetic field of amplitude $H_{ext}$, frequency $\omega$, and intensity $H_{ext} = H_{0} \cos(\omega t)$ perpendicular to its plane ($t$ is the time variable). The field generates a flux $\Phi_{ext} = \Phi_{0} \cos(\omega t)$ threading the SQUID loop, with $\Phi_{0} = \mu_0 S H_{0}$, and $\mu_0$ the permeability of the vacuum. The flux $\Phi$ trapped in the SQUID ring is given (in normalized variables) by

$$f = f_{ext} + \beta i,$$

where $f = \Phi/\Phi_0$, $f_{ext} = \Phi_{ext}/\Phi_0$, $i = I/I_c$, $\beta = \beta_L/2\pi = L I_c/\Phi_0$, $I$ is the current circulating in the ring, $I_c$ is the critical current of the JJ, $L$ is the inductance of the
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An rf superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) array in an alternating magnetic field is investigated with respect to its effective magnetic permeability, within the effective medium approximation. This system acts as an inherently nonlinear magnetic metamaterial, leading to negative magnetic response, and thus negative permeability, above the resonance frequency of the individual SQUIDs. Moreover, the permeability exhibits oscillatory behavior at low field intensities, allowing its tuning by a slight change of the intensity of the applied field.
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**FIG. 1:** Schematic drawing of the SQUID array, along with the equivalent circuit for an rf SQUID in external flux $\Phi_{ext}$. 
SQUID ring, and $\Phi_0$ is the flux quantum. The dynamics of the normalized flux $f$ is governed by the equation

$$\frac{d^2 f}{dt^2} + \gamma \frac{df}{dt} + \beta \sin(2\pi f) = f_{\text{ext}}, \quad (2)$$

where $C$ and $R$ is the capacitance and resistance, respectively, of the JJ, $\gamma = L\omega_0/R$, $\omega = \omega_0$, $\omega_0^2 = 1/LC$, and

$$f_{\text{ext}} = f_{\text{eo}} \cos(\Omega \tau), \quad (3)$$

with $f_{\text{eo}} = \Phi_{\text{eo}}/\Phi_0$, and $\Omega = \omega/\omega_0$. The small parameter $\gamma$ actually represents all of the dissipation coupled to the rf SQUID.

An approximate solution for Eq. (2) may be obtained for $\Omega$ close to the SQUID resonance frequency ($\Omega \sim 1$) in the non-hysteretic regime $\beta_L < 1$. Following Ref. 20, we expand the nonlinear term in Eq. (2) in a Fourier-Bessel series of the form

$$\beta \sin(2\pi f) = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(-1\right)^{n-1} J_n(n\beta_L) \sin(2\pi nf_{\text{ext}}), \quad (4)$$

where $J_n$ is the Bessel function of the first kind of order $n$. By substiting Eq. (3) in Eq. (4) and carrying out the Fourier-Bessel expansion of the sine term, one needs to retain only the fundamental $\Omega$ component in the expansion. This leads to the simplified expression

$$\beta \sin(2\pi f) \simeq D(f_{\text{eo}}) \cos(\Omega \tau), \quad (5)$$

where $D(f_{\text{eo}}) = -2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(-1\right)^{n} J_n(n\beta_L) J_1(2\pi nf_{\text{eo}})$. By substitution of Eq. (3) in Eq. (2), the latter can be solved for the flux $f = f_{\text{eo}} \cos(\Omega \tau + \theta)$ in the loop, with

$$f_{\text{eo}} = \frac{f_{\text{eo}} - D}{\sqrt{\gamma^2 \Omega^2 + (1 - \Omega^2)^2}}, \quad \theta = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{-\gamma \Omega}{1 - \Omega^2}\right), \quad (6)$$

where $\theta$ is the phase difference between $f$ and $f_{\text{ext}}$. The dependence of $D$ and $f_{\text{eo}}$ on $f_{\text{eo}}$ for low field intensity is illustrated in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), respectively. For larger $f_{\text{eo}}$ the coefficient $D$ approaches zero still oscillating, while $f_{\text{eo}}$ approaches a straight line with slope depending on $\Omega$ and $\gamma$. For $\gamma \ll 1$ and not very close to the resonance, $\theta \simeq 0$. It is instructive to express the $\gamma = 0$ solution as:

$$f = \pm \left| f_{\text{eo}} \right| \cos(\Omega \tau), \quad \left| f_{\text{eo}} \right| = (f_{\text{eo}} - D)/\left[1 - \Omega^2\right]. \quad (7)$$

The plus (minus) sign, corresponding to a phase-shift of 0 ($\pi$) of $f$ with respect to $f_{\text{ext}}$, is obtained for $\Omega < 1$ ($\Omega > 1$). Thus, the flux $f$ may be either in-phase (+ sign) or in anti-phase (- sign) with $f_{\text{ext}}$, depending on $\Omega$.

This is confirmed by numerical integration of Eq. (2), as shown in Fig. 2, where we plot separately the three terms of Eq. (1) in time. The quantities $f$, $f_{\text{ext}}$, and $\beta i$ are shown for two periods $T = 2\pi/\Omega$ in each case, after they have reached a steady state. For $\Omega < 1$ (Figs. 2(a) and 2(b)), the flux $f$ (green-dashed curves) is in-phase with $f_{\text{ext}}$ (blue-dotted curves), while for $\Omega > 1$ (Figs. 2(c) and 2(d)) the flux $f$ is in anti-phase with $f_{\text{ext}}$. The other curves (red-solid curves) correspond to $\beta i$, the response of the SQUID to the applied flux. Away from the resonance, the response is (in absolute value) less than (Fig. 2(a), for $\Omega = 0.63$) or nearly equal (Fig. 2(d), for $\Omega = 8.98$) to the magnitude of $f_{\text{ext}}$. However, close to resonance, the response $\beta i$ is much larger than $f_{\text{ext}}$, leading to a much higher flux $f$ (Figs. 2(b) and 2(c) for $\Omega = 0.9$ and $\Omega = 1.1$, respectively). Moreover, in Fig. 2(c), $f$ is in anti-phase with $f_{\text{ext}}$, showing thus extreme diamagnetic (negative) response. The numerically obtained amplitudes $f_{\text{eo}}$ (depicted as black circles for $\Omega = 0.9$ and blue diamonds for $\Omega = 1.1$ in Fig. 2(b)) are in fair agreement with the analytical expression, Eq. (6). The agreement becomes better for larger $f_{\text{eo}}$.

We now consider a planar rf SQUID array consisting of identical units (Fig. 1(a)), and forming a lattice of unit-cell-side $d$; the system is placed in a magnetic field $H_{\text{ext}} \equiv H$ perpendicular to SQUID plane. If the wavelength of $H$ is much larger than $d$, the array can be treated as an effectively continuous and homogeneous medium. Then, the magnetic induction $B$ in the array plane is

$$B = \mu_0(H + M) \equiv \mu_0\mu_r H, \quad (8)$$
and using Eqs. (1), (3), and (7), we get
\[ M \text{ permeability of the array. Introducing } \tilde{\mu} = \mu_r = \mu_r(\Omega) \text{ should be taken for } \Omega = 0 \text{ (a); } 1.01 (b). \]

where \( \tilde{F} = \pi^2 (\mu_0 a/L) (a/d)^3 \). The coefficient \( \tilde{F} \) has to be very small (\( \tilde{F} \ll 1 \)), so that magnetic interactions between individual SQUIDs can be neglected in a first approximation. Recall that the plus sign in front of \( |f_0|/f_0 \) should be taken for \( \Omega < 1 \), while the minus sign should be taken for \( \Omega > 1 \). In Fig. 3 we plot \( \mu_r \) both for \( \Omega < 1 \) (Fig. 3(a)) and \( \Omega > 1 \) (Fig. 3(b)), for three different values of \( \tilde{F} \). In real arrays, that coefficient could be engineered to attain the desired value. In both Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), the relative permeability \( \mu_r \) oscillates for low intensity fields (low \( f_{ext} \)), while it tends to a constant at larger \( f_{ext} \). In Fig. 3(a) (\( \Omega < 1 \)), the relative permeability \( \mu_r \) is always positive, while it increases with increasing \( \tilde{F} \). In Fig. 3(b), however, \( \mu_r \) may assume both positive and negative values, depending on the value of \( \tilde{F} \). With appropriate choice of \( \tilde{F} \), it becomes oscillatory around zero (green-dashed curve in Fig. 3(b)) allowing tuning from positive to negative \( \mu_r \) with a slight change of \( f_{ext} \).

In conclusion, we have shown that a planar rf SQUID array exhibits large magnetic response close to resonance, which may be negative above the resonance frequency, leading to effectively negative \( \mu_r \). For low field intensities (low \( f_{ext} \), \( \mu_r \) exhibits oscillatory behavior which gradually dissipates for higher \( f_{ext} \). This behavior may be exploited to construct a flux-controlled metamaterial (as opposed to voltage-controlled metamaterial demonstrated in Ref. 15). The physical parameters required for the rf SQUIDs giving the dimensionless parameters used above are not especially formidable. An rf SQUID with \( L \approx 105 \) pH, \( C \approx 80 \) fF, and \( I_c \approx 3 \) mA, would give \( \beta \approx 0.15 \) (\( \beta_L \approx 0.94 \)). For these parameters, a value of the resistance \( R \approx 3.6 \) kΩ is required in order to have \( \gamma \approx 10^{-3} \), used in the numerical integration of Eq. (2). However, our results are qualitatively valid for \( \gamma \) even an order of magnitude larger, in which case \( R \approx 360 \) Ω. We note that \( \omega_0 = \omega_p/\sqrt{\Delta_L} \), where \( \omega_p \) is the plasma frequency of the JJ. For the parameters considered above, where \( \beta_L \) is slightly less than unity, the frequencies \( \omega_0 \) and \( \omega_p \) are of the same order. However, \( \omega_p \) does not seem to have any special role in the microwave response of the rf SQUID. Du et al. have studied the quantum version of a SQUID array as a LH metamaterial, concluded that negative refractive index with low loss may be obtained in the quantum regime. Consequently, \( \mu_r \) can be negative at some specific frequency range. However, their corresponding expression for \( \mu_r \) is linear, i.e., it does not depend on the amplitude of the applied flux, and thus it does not allow flux-tuning. Moreover, experiments with SQUID arrays in the quantum regime, where individual SQUIDs can be described as two-level systems, are much more difficult to realize.
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