Ferrom agnetism of the 1-D K ondo Lattice M odel:

A Quantum Monte Carlo Study

M. Troyer and D.W urtz

Interdisziplinares Projektzentrum fur Supercomputing and Institut fur Theoretische Physik, ETH Zurich, CH-8092 Zurich, Switzerland

A bstract

The one dimensional K ondo lattice m odel is investigated using Q uantum M onte C arlo and transfer m atrix techniques. In the strong coupling region ferrom agnetic ordering is found even at large band llings. In the weak coupling region the system shows an RKKY like behavior.

In recent years the K ondo lattice m odel (K LM) has been investigated to describe the physics of the so called H eavy Ferm ion system s [1]. The question was addressed whether this simple m odel could account for the rich variety of phases found in H eavy Ferm ion m aterials, param agnetism, antiferrom agnetism as well as superconductivity. H owever, as a typical m odel of strongly correlated electron system s it could be analyzed by only few approxim ate treatm ents[2, 3] and has still resisted to give clear insight into the various possible ground state. It would be of particular interest to understand the phase diagram of the KLM. In this letter we will tack le this problem by using Q uantum M onte C arlo techniques for the one dimensional KLM. The results found here partially contradict the phase diagram s given by R ef.[4] obtained by variational methods.

The KLM consists of a lattice of L localized spins $(S_{i;f} = 1=2; i = 1; :::;L)$ coupled to a single band of conduction electrons (creation operator $c_{i;}^{y}$; i = 1; :::;L; = ";#). It is described by the Ham iltonian

$$H = t \begin{pmatrix} X & X^{L} \\ (c_{i;}^{Y} & c_{i+1;} + h c;) + J & S_{i} & S_{f}; \\ = "; \# i = 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(1)

$$S_{i} = \frac{1}{2} \left(c_{i,"}^{y} \quad c_{i,\#}^{y} \right) \sim \overset{B}{\overset{C}{\overset{C}{_{i,"}}}} \overset{C_{i,"}}{\underset{C_{i,\#}}{\overset{C}{_{i,\#}}}} \overset{C}{\underset{C_{i,\#}}{\overset{C}{_{i,\#}}}} ; \qquad (2)$$

where \sim are the usual Paulim atrices. The rst term denotes the hopping of conduction electrons between nearest neighbor sites. The second term is an antiferrom agnetic (J > 0) exchange coupling between localized spins and conduction electrons. The model can be derived from the periodic Anderson model in its strong coupling lim it [5].

The behavior of the KLM is understood only for som e limiting cases. At half band lling the ground state is a spin singlet [6]. The nature of this singlet changes from localized singlet pairs in the limit J=t! 1 to a collective singlet at smaller values of J=t. A spin gap has been found at intermediate values of J=t using Q uantum M onte C arlo methods [7] and for the entire range of the coupling using exact diagonalization [8]. On the other hand in the case of only one conduction electron the ground state of the system is known to be an incom pletely saturated ferrom agnet [9]. The same behavior has been found for two particles and large values of J=t [10]. Questions arising immediately are: what is the ground state of the system away from these special cases, where are the boundaries of the ferrom agnetic region? Contrary to expectations from RKKY mechanisms our results show ferrom agnetic ordering in the strong coupling region even for very large llings. In the weak coupling limit an RKKY like behavior is observed at intermediate temperatures.

We have employed a generalization of the standard Quantum Monte Carlo W orld Line Algorithm (WLA) and Quantum Transfer Matrix Algorithm (TMA) [11]. The standard checkerboard WLA has to be extended to add the localized spins [12]. There is no hopping in the localized band, therefore the only localmove we have to add is one that can exchange an up (down) spin in the conduction band with a down (up) spin in the localized band at any point of the checkerboard. This move accounts for the exchange interaction between the two bands. A global move that can change the spin of a world line allows for uctuations in the total magnetization. The system atic error due to the nite Trotter time step is controlled by extrapolating from results for t = 0.25 and t = 0.5. The usual zero winding number boundary conditions are used.

Due to the ferm ionic degrees of freedom there is a sign problem. This sign problem is most severe at values of J t and near llings of = 2=3, where = N = L and N is the number of conduction electrons. For larger or sm aller values of J=t and for a lling of = 1=3 the sign problem is not too severe to make M onte Carlo simulations impossible. To study the magnetic properties of the KLM we have measured the charge and spin structure factors and susceptibilities. The structure factors are de ned as the Fourier transforms of the real space correlations. For the conduction band we have for the charge and spin structure factors:

$$S_{charge}(q) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{j;k}^{X^{L}} e^{iq(j k)} (n_{j;"} + n_{j;\#}) (n_{k;"} + n_{k;\#}); \qquad (3)$$

$$S_{spin;c}(q) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{j,k}^{X^{L}} e^{iq(j-k)} (n_{j;r} - n_{j;\#}) (n_{k;r} - n_{k;\#}) = \frac{4}{L} \sum_{j;k}^{X^{L}} e^{iq(j-k)} S_{j}^{z} S_{k}^{z} : (4)$$

In the same manner we de ne the spin structure factor for the localized spins:

$$S_{\text{spin},f}(q) = \frac{4}{L} \sum_{j,k}^{X^{L}} e^{iq(j-k)} S_{j,f}^{z} S_{k,f}^{z};$$
(5)

The static susceptibility can be calculated as

$$(q) = \frac{1}{L} \int_{0}^{Z} d \int_{jk}^{k} e^{iq(j k)} Tr (S_{j}^{z} + S_{j;f}^{z}) e^{H} (S_{k}^{z} + S_{k;f}^{z}) e^{(-)H} ; \quad (6)$$

where is the inverse tem perature 1=T.

Simulations have been performed on lattices of L = 12;18 and 24 sites, at band llings of = 1=3;2=3 and temperatures down to t = 32. Coupling constants J=t=0.2;0:5;1;2;4 and 10 have been investigated. W ithin the error bars of our results we cannot see any nite size corrections. From this we conclude that the results show properties of the thermodynamic limit. In the gures we only show the data for the largest reasonable sizes allowed by the sign problem.

In the strong coupling region we observe a tendency toward ferrom agnetic ordering at both llings. The spin structure factor of the localized spins and the susceptibility both show a clear peak at q = 0 (Fig. 1,2(a)). Furthermore the q = 0 component of the susceptibility is the fastest diverging one when lowering the tem perature. We note that this ferrom agnetic ordering found at large band llings of = 1=3 and = 2=3 is not expected from the RKKY mechanism but is a new characteristic of the strong coupling region. A loo a slight tendency toward ferrom agnetic ordering can be observed in the spin structure factor of the conduction band (Fig. 2(b)). Double occupancy of a site is strongly suppressed as it costs energy of the order of J, leading to an elective on-site repulsion. Therefore the charge structure factor is essentially that of spinless ferm ions, showing a $4k_F$ structure (Fig. 3).

To get m one insight into the m agnetic properties we have studied the tem perature dependence of the static uniform susceptibility (q = 0) for J=t = 4 and = 1=3 (Fig. 4). We have sinulated systems of L = 6;12;18 and 24 sites by the M onte C and m ethod and the L = 6 site system with the TMA. Special care is necessary for L = 6 because the ground state is completely di erent depending on the boundary condition. It has been shown using exact diagonalization that the ground state is a spin singlet for periodic boundary conditions, while it is an incompletely saturated ferrom agnet for antiperiodic boundary conditions [10]. At J=t = 4 the ground state energy of the ferrom agnetic state is lower than that of the singlet state. We have calculated (T) both for periodic and antiperiodic boundary conditions in the canonical ensemble using the TMA. In the high tem perature regime (T) can be obtained for an in nite size system in the grand canonical ensemble by using the M = 1 approximation (M is the Trotter number) in the TMA [11].

At high tem peratures the susceptibility is the sum of that of a free conduction

electron system and that of free localized spins $T = \frac{1}{4}(1 + 2 = 2)$. When the temperature is lowered to about T = 1 the conduction electrons start to lock into singlets with localized spins and the susceptibility reduces to about the value for the remaining spin degrees of freedom T $= \frac{1}{4}(1 - 2)$. Lowering the temperature even further the spins start to order ferrom agnetically, leading to an increase in T and possibly a divergence in an in nite size system.

At small values of J=t a completely di erent behavior can be observed. The e ective on-site repulsion is smaller, leading to an increase in the $2k_F$ component of the charge structure factor. The charge structure factor resembles that of nearly free electrons. At the same time the q = 0 component of the conduction electron spin structure factor and susceptibility is reduced while a cusp en erges at $2k_F$. In the localized spins a $2k_F$ structure is induced by the conduction electrons. This behavior m ay be called RKKY liquid. At lower temperatures the $2k_F$ peaks in the spin structure factors become more pronounced while at the same time the q = 0 component is suppressed. From this we conclude that in the temperature regime of our simulations (t 32) the system is dominated by the RKKY interaction. It does not show a Heavy Ferm ion behavior, characterized by a wave vector k_F^0 = (+ 1) =2, including both conduction and localized electrons.

A problem arising in the small J=t region is that the elective coupling gets very small. A lthough the temperature of the M onte C arlo simulations is well below the Ferm i temperature, it is still orders of magnitude above the single in purity K ondo temperature $T_K \cdot T_K$ 10⁵ (10⁹) at J=t = 0.5 and = 1=3 (2=3). This makes it hard to get information on the ground state of the system from M onte C arlo

simulations.

In gure 5 we sum marize the results of our M onte C and simulations. At large values of J=t we nd ferrom agnetic ordering. There the q = 0 component of the susceptibilities is the dom inant and fastest diverging one. From our result we conclude that the ferrom agnetic state is stable for a much wider region of the phase diagram than suggested in R ef.[4]. In the small J=t region the system shows an RKKY liquid behavior, where the $q = 2k_F$ component of the susceptibilities and spin structure factors is the dom inant one. At J=t = 1 and = 1=3 the system show s a transitional behavior. Probably this point is near the phase boundary [13].

The calculations were done on the C ray Y -M P/364 of ETH Zurich and on the NEC SX -3/22 of the Centro Svizzero diCalcolo Scienti ∞ C SC S M anno. The work was supported by the Sw iss N ational Science Foundation under grant num ber SN F 21-27894.89 and by an internal grant of ETH Zurich. W e want to thank T M . R ice, K. U eda, H. T sunetsugu and M. Sigrist for stimulating discussions.

References

- [1] For a review, PA.Lee, TM.Rice, JW. Serene, L.J. Sham, and JW.W ilkins, Comments Condens. Matt. Phys. 12, 99 (1986).
- [2] N.Read, D.M. Newns, and S.Doniach, Phys.Rev.B 30, 3841 (1984); P.Coleman, Phys.Rev.B 29, 3035 (1984).
- [3] T M. Rice and K. Ueda, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55, 995 (1985); Phys. Rev. B 34, 6420 (1986).

- [4] P.Fazekas and E.M uller Hartmann, Z.Phys.B Condensed Matter 85, 285 (1991)
- [5] J.R. Schrie er and P.A. Wol, Phys. Rev. 149, 491 (1966).
- [6] K.Ueda, H.Tsunetsugu, and M.Sigrist, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 1030 (1992).
- [7] R.M. Fye and D.J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 3177 (1990); Phys.Rev.B 44,7486 (1991).
- [8] H.Tsunetsugu, Y.Hatsugai, K.Ueda, and M.Sigrist, to be published.
- [9] K. Yam am oto and K. Ueda, J. Phys. Soc. Jap. 59, 3284 (1990); M. Sigrist,
 H. Tsunetsugu, and K. Ueda, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 2211 (1991).
- [10] M. Sigrist, K. Ueda, and H. Tsunetsugu, to be published
- [11] M. Suzuki (editor), Quantum Monte Carlo Methods, Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences 74 (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1987) and references given therein.
- [12] M. Troyer, Helvetica Physica Acta 64, 700 (1991).
- [13] An open question is whether the behavior we can observe using M onte C arb techniques is the ground state behavior or there is a crossover to a di erent state at lower temperatures. Further investigations are necessary.

Figure captions

- Fig. 1 Static uniform susceptibility for the K ondo lattice model at = 1=3and J=t ranging from 0.5 to 4. The temperature is t = 24. At this lling $2k_F = =3$.
- Fig. 2 Spin structure factors for (a) the localized spins and (b) the conduction band for the same parameters as in Fig. 1.
- Fig. 3 Charge structure factors for the same parameters as in Fig. 1.
- Fig. 4 Tem perature dependence of the static uniform susceptibility for a lling of = 1=3. The solid lines show the M = 1 approximation for high tem peratures and the transferm atrix (TMA) results for periodic (PBC) as well as antiperiodic (APBC) boundary conditions. M onte C arlo data for L = 6 and L = 12 sites and zero winding boundary conditions are included. Due to the sign problem the results for larger lattices have much larger error bars. They show no qualitatively di erent behavior.
- Fig. 5 Phase diagram of the Kondo Lattice Model. The Monte Carlo sim ulations have been performed at the points shown here. The dierent symbols denote points with ferrom agnetic, transitional and RKKY liquid behavior.