Spin Tunneling, Berry phases and Doped Antiferrom agnets

A ssa A uerbach D epartm ent of P hysics, Technion, H aifa 32000, Israel.

December 31, 2021

PACS numbers: 75.10.Jm

Interference e ects between Berry phase factors in spin tunneling system shave been discussed in recent Letters by Loss, D iV incenzo and G rinstein (LDG) [1] and von D elft and H enley (vDH) [2]. A sphysical realizations, LDG proposed tunneling of m agnetic clusters, and vDH proposed an anisotropic spin ham iltonian and the H eisenberg antiferrom agnet on the K agom e lattice. In these examples, for certain spin sizes s, the classical ground state degeneracies are not lifted by tunneling processes.

This C om m ent points out that B erry phases in spin tunneling are important in another interesting case: the two dimensional doped antiferrom agnet. This model is often used to describe cuprate superconductors. We shall see that the Berry phases of Refs. [1, 2] can explain the ground state momentum of the single hole, as found by nite size numerical simulations of the t-J model [3].

In Ref. [4], the hole in the antiferrom agnet has been cast as a spin tunneling problem. First the t-J model was extended to $s > \frac{1}{2}$, which was then described in a spin coherent states path integral by the ham iltonian function χ

$$H = J_{iji}^{(1)} (1_{j}) (1_{j}) E^{hole}[;t]$$
(1)

t is the hole hopping parameter. $_{i}$ and E^{hole} are the hole density and energy. In the large s limit, the path integral can be expanded about the ground states of H, and E^{hole} and can be approximed by adiabatic functions of the instanateous spin con gurations.

The sem iclassical expansion yields the following results [4]: For 1 < t=J < 4:1, (1) is minimized by the versite polaron (one ipped spin at site a). Translational invariance is restored by spin tunneling paths, and the polaron acquires a disperion relation

$$_{k} = \int_{j}^{X} e^{ik j}$$
(2)

where the tunneling rates $_{ij}$ vanish for i; j on di erent sublattices. As for a particle in a double well, in the absence of Berry phases $_{ij}$ is negative. Here we shall see that for half odd integer spins one obtains an extra m inus sign, such that > 0, thereby shifting the ground state m om entum from k = (0;0); (;) to the line $\cos k_x + \cos k_y = 0$.

The sign of $_{ab}$ is determ ined as follows. Consider a polaron in a local antiferrom agnetic order in the \hat{x} direction. The tunneling path is parametrized by ': the average angle of rotation of spins a and b. To satisfy energy conservation, H [] E = 0, we let the z components be in aginary, i.e. \cos_i ! $i\sim_i$. Following Ref. [2] (see also Refs. [5, 6]), and summing over the clockwise and anticlockwise rotations of 'we obtain

$$ab = N \exp \left(\begin{array}{c} Z \\ 0 \end{array} \right)^{X} (s \quad \frac{i}{2}) \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{d}{i} \\ \frac{d}{i} \end{array} \right)^{X} e^{i}$$
(3)

N is the uctuation prefactor, and the Berry phases are

$$= \int_{0}^{Z} d' \int_{i}^{X} (s - \frac{i}{2}) \frac{d_{i}}{d'}$$
(4)

T

The term of order s is simply $2 \,$ s, due to the rotations of $_{a}$ and $_{b}$. O ther spins retrace their paths and their contribution to that term vanishes. Thus,

$${ x } e^{i} = e^{i2 \ s} \cos \left({ x } \frac{z}{2} \right) \frac{d'}{2 \ d'}$$
(5)

which yields

$$= e^{i2} s_{jj}$$
(6)

Therefore the dispersion of a single hole in the half odd integer antiferrom agnet is maximized at k = (0;0);(;) in agreement with Ref. [3]. For integers how ever, Eqs. (2,6) predict the ground state of the t-J m odel to be at k = (0;0) or k = (;).

I thank C.Henley and A.G arg for helpful conversations. The hospitality of the Aspen Center for Physics is gratefully acknow ledged. This work is supported by the U.S-Israeli B inational Science Foundation G rant No. 90-00441-1 and the Fund for the Promotion of Research at the Technion.

References

- D.Loss, D.P.D iV incenzo and G.Grinstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 3232 (1992).
- [2] J. von Delft and C.L. Henley, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 3236 (1992).
- [3] See e.g.E.D agotto, R.Joynt, A.M oreo, S.B acciand E.G agliano, Phys. Rev.B 41, 9049 (1990) and references therein.
- [4] A.Auerbach and B.E.Larson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 2262 (1991).
- [5] A.Garg and G.H.Kim, Phys. Rev. B 45, 12921 (1992).
- [6] A.Auerbach and S.Kivelson, Nucl. Phys. B 257 [FS14], 799 (1985). See Eq. (3.8).