E ect of Loops on the V ibrational Spectrum of Percolation N etw ork

H isao N akanishi^y H LR Z, K FA {Julich, Postfach 1913 W -5170 Julich, G erm any

^yP resent and perm anent address: D epartm ent of P hysics, P urdue U niversity, W est Lafayette, Indiana 47907 U S A .

We study the elects of adding bops to a critical percolation cluster on the diffusional, and equivalently, (scalar) elastic properties of the fractal network. From the num erical calculations of the eigenspectrum of the transition probability matrix, we nd that the spectral dimension d_s and the walk dimension d_w change suddenly as soon as the oppy ends of a critical percolation cluster are connected together to form relatively large bops, and that the additional inclusion of successively smaller bops only change these exponents little if at all. This suggests that there is a new universality class associated with the bop-enhanced percolation problem.

Keywords: Percolation, Diusion, Vibration, Spectrum, Aerogel

PACS num bers: 64.60A, 82.70G, 05.40

I. Introduction

O ne of the few experim entally accessible m easures of the degree to which a structure is fractal [1, 2] is the spectral dimension d_s [3]. The spectral dimension was originally de ned [4] from the low energy behavior of the vibrational density of states n (E) of a fractal, elastic network:

$$n(E) = E^{d_s=2} i;$$
 (1)

where E is the energy of a mode. The energy is proportional to $!^2$ where ! is the angular frequency of the vibrational mode, and writing the above asymptotic relation in terms of the density of states per unit interval in !, we have the relation

$$(!) !^{d_s 1};$$
 (2)

in which d_s has replaced the Euclidean dimension d in a more familiar equation for the phonon density of states.

The exponent d_s can be measured by various optical and neutron scattering experiments [5], and for some silica aerogels in particular, a value in the neighborhood 1:3 0:01 was found. Now, such a fractal is sometimes compared to the critical percolation cluster [6] since the latter is the sim plest and best studied m odel of an equilibrium random fractal. If this comparison is made for the silica aerogel, the experimental value of d_s happens to be close to the d_s of the scalar elastic problem [4] on the critical percolation cluster in d = 3, and much larger than the corresponding vector elastic result of about $d_s = 0.9$ [1]. (Vector elasticity is the problem where the displacement of each node in the network is a vector rather than a scalar.) Courtens [5] argued that this is not an evidence for scalar elasticity but rather that an aerogel has very few oppy endings unlike a percolation cluster. That is, most oppy endings which may form during the growth of an aerogelare connected together to form loops during the aging and (supercritical) drying processes. Thus the nalstructure is much m ore rigid with higher value of d_s although the fractal dimension d_f is essentially the same.

In this paper, we test this suggestion, but for the simpler, scalar elasticity problem, on two- and three-dimensional critical percolation clusters. In this case, each bond connecting two (occupied) nearest neighbor sites of the cluster acts like an ideal spring of uniform spring constant in the sense that it exerts a Hooke's law force based on the di erence in the (scalar) displacements of the two sites. The elects of bops are studied by adding controlled amount (and size) of bops and calculating the dynamic exponents d_s and d_w [3]. As far as we know, this is the rst quantitative study of the elects of adding bops on the structural properties of a stochastic fractal.

We study this problem as a di usion problem using the vibration {di usion analogy [4] for scalar elasticity. A coording to this correspondence, e.g., the vibrational spectrum of the network can be calculated as the spectrum of the transition probability matrix W [7, 8], where the elements W _{ij} of W is simply the hopping probability per step from node j to node i in the discrete network. Thus this matrix W contains the information on both the network topology and the dynam ics of the model of diffusion. We choose for our calculations the so-called blind ant random walk [3] as a model of di usion although the speci c choice of the type of random walk is irrelevant for our results. For a blind ant, the random walker attempts to move without the know ledge of which neighbors are part of the cluster (thus available) and which ones are not, and thus when it happens to choose an unavailable neighbor, it cannot hop and must wait at its current position for the next time step.

From the point of view of the di usion problem, the interesting quantities include the probability P (t) for a random walker to return to its starting point after t steps and the root-m ean-square distance R (t) traveled by the random walk in time t. These quantities are expected to have the power-laws for asymptotically long times t:

P (t) t
$$d_s=2$$
 (3)

$$R(t) \quad t^{1=d_w}:$$
(4)

These relations m ay be considered to de ne the exponents d_{s} and d_{w} .

We calculate these exponents by approximately diagonalizing the matrix W using the method of Ref. [9, 8]. Once the diagonalization is done, we compute two quantities, the density of eigenvalues n() (where denotes the eigenvalues of W) and a certain function () (which is the product of n() and some coe cient determined when the stationary initial state distribution is expanded in terms of the eigenvectors of W [10]). These functions are expected to behave, asymptotically near = 1 [8],

$$n() j \ln j^{d_s=2}$$
(5)

()
$$j \ln j^{1} 2=d_w$$
: (6)

II. Loop Addition

In this section, we characterize the clusters for which the calculations described in Section I are performed. The procedure is as follows: we instigenerate random realizations of occupied sites with the known critical probability p_c (independently of each other) on either a square or simple-cubic grid of a predeterm ined size (edge length L). The percolation problem is then constructed by imposing the nearestneighbor connectivity among the occupied sites using periodic boundary condition in all directions. We then choose only those realizations whose maximal cluster spans the grid in all directions as well as wraps in all directions, i.e., that those on which there is a path that winds around the grid (with the periodic boundaries) in each coordinate direction. The maximal, wrapping cluster generated in this way is an allowable starting con guration.

In order to add bops in a controlled manner, we rst mark all perimeter sites which are neighbors to two or more occupied sites (i.e., those empty sites which would connect two or more occupied sites if they were occupied). For each of these multiple-perimeter sites, we must decide the size of the bop it closes. While it is very easy to determ ine the size of the smallest bop, that is not a very interesting quantity, since it may also close a much larger bop and such a larger bop may dom inate the rigidity of the structure. On the other hand, the size of the largest bop itself is also not an interesting quantity since in principle there are many bops that are embedded in the interior of the cluster with little in uence on the cluster's rigidity.

Thus we use the following procedure to determ ine the elective size of the loop a multiple-perimeter site closes: For each such site, we consider every pair of occupied neighbors in turn. For each pair, we calculate the minimum loop size by a burning method [11], spreading a refrom each end and determining the rst contact of the two res. Then we compute the maximum among these minimum loops sizes attached to the perimeter site in question. This is the appropriate loop size P, the size of the

4

as

oppiest bop associated with the particular multiple-perimeter site. We then add all multiple-perimeter sites with P greater than or equal to a certain predeterm ined value P_o to control how oppy a bop must be for it to be closed. For example, $P_o = 1$ will close no bop, and P = 4 will close all bops for both the square and simple cubic lattices.

In this paper, we compare the results for $P_o = 1$, 12, 8, and 6. A typical starting cluster and the corresponding loop-added clusters on the square lattice are shown in F ig.1. C learly, the fuzzy and oppy endings present in the original cluster (a) become thicker and better connected successively in (b), (c), and (d) as more loops are added, giving the impression of im age sharpening. The nal structure in (d) with $P_o = 6$ appears to be a much more solid object than the original; yet, the fractal dimension of all these clusters are virtually the same, being about 1:9.

The above procedure is followed after the starting con guration is xed. The applicable multiple-perimeter sites are ordered in a particular way (in a typewriter fashion due to the construction of the cluster), and the addition procedure applied only once in the xed order, with no recursion. That is, once a site is determined to be either added or not, we do not later consider it again for addition and also we do not consider perimeter sites new ly created by this process itself. This removes the problem of the com plete lling of lakes and fjords which would occur particularly when P_o is small, but does not solve the problem of the nal structure depending som ewhat on the initial ordering of the perimeter sites. Physically, no recursion rule m ight correspond to the assumption that the form ation of additional bonds during aging and drying is largely simultaneous and not sequential.

III.N um erical R esults

Our num erical results for n () for the density of states and () (related to the velocity autocorrelation function of the random walk by a Laplace transform [9, 8]) are plotted in Fig 2 and Fig 3 respectively. We see that generally d = 3 data appear to be behaving in a clearer way than those of d = 2. We sum marize the exponent estimates for d_s and d_w in Tables I and II where the error estimates are mostly from the least squares regression and do not take into account any nite size e ects or other system atic errors that may be present.

The grid sizes for the calculations shown in the gures are L = 100 for the square lattice and L = 30 for the simple cubic lattice (although we have also checked for the cluster size dependence using smaller grids of L = 50 for the square lattice and L = 20 for the simple cubic lattice). The spanning and wrapping percolation clusters (at p = 0.593 p_c for the square and p = 0.312 for the simple cubic lattice) contained about 4600 sites for d = 2 and 4000 sites for d = 3 before adding any loops. For $P_o = 12$, the size increases to about 5050 and 4400, for $P_o = 8$, to about 5250 and 4900, and for $P_o = 6$, to 6100 and 5700 for d = 2 and d = 3, respectively. For each value of P_o , averages were taken over 400 independent cluster realizations for the square lattice, and for the simple cubic lattice, the number of clusters used varied slightly as 481, 480, and 479 for $P_o = 12$, 8, and 6, respectively.

Thus, both the size of the clusters and the number of independent samples in the disorder ensemble are rather modest; however, they are comparable to the previous calculations by the same method for the $P_o = 1$ case [8] where the results for p_o were in excellent agreement with other calculations of d_s and d_w as well as with the scaling relation $d_s = 2d_f = d_w$ [3] (but see also [12]). The scope of the calculations were CPU time limited mainly because of the need to calculate the eigenvalues and eigenvectors very accurately (usually to 6 digits) for the largest 200 or so eigenvalues. These calculations took typically 3 hours of CPU time on one C ray Y-M P processor for each P_o for the square lattice and 6 hours for each P_o for the simple cubic lattice. Performing these calculations on workstations for long periods of time would solve the CPU limitation, but then the memory becomes the limiting factor.

Since the slope in the log-log plot of Fig.2 must equal $d_s=2$ 1 (cf. Eq.(5)), it is obvious that the original percolation clusters ($P_o = 1$) yield completely di erent values of d_s in both d = 2 and 3 even from the case of $P_o = 12$. Indeed, the estimate of d_s is about 1:30 for both d = 2 and d = 3 if $P_o = 1$, but for the loop-added cases, the estimates are d_s 1:7 for d = 2 and 2:0 for d = 3. Since the case $P_o = 12$ contains only about 10 % more sites and visually looks very similar to the original percolation cluster (cf. Fig.1 (a) and (b)), this is a clear evidence that the structural properties are very sensitive to the addition of even a few loops. On the other hand, the slopes of the data points for the three nite values of P_o do not di er very m uch from each other. Thus once the larger loops are added, any further addition of sm aller loops do not appear to a ect the results very much.

There does seem to be a tendency for the slope to get steeper when more loops are added. This tendency is within the statistical standard deviations for d = 2 but de nitely outside reasonable statistical errors for d = 3. A study of smaller clusters (L = 50 for the square and L = 20 for the simple cubic lattices) also shows die erent behaviors for d = 2 and 3. For the square lattice, this slope gets consistently steeper for all $P_o = 12$, 8, and 6 as L increases; however, the increase in the slope is well within the statistical standard deviation in all cases. For the simple cubic lattice, on the other hand, the slope becomes less steep for $P_o = 12$ and steeper for $P_o = 6$ as L increases, the changes being slightly outside of the statistical errors. These considerations suggest that the diement d_s for diement $P_o < 1$ might be a reale ect for d = 3 but less likely to be so for d = 2. However, a more complete study, e.g., of the nite size e ects is needed to de nitively answer this question. In any case, the diemences in the slope among the $P_o = 12$, 8, and 6 are far smaller than the diemence between these values of P_o and $P_o = 1$.

Compared to the results for the density of states n(), the results for () in Fig.3 show a similar, but much less drastic relative di erences in d_w between the bop-added clusters and the original percolation clusters. That is, the exponent d_w changes from about 2:9 for the original cluster to about 2:4 for the bop-added case for d = 2, a change of only about 17 % compared to the case of d_s where the change is more than 30 %. This can be understood since the addition of a few bops may not change the velocity autocorrelation of a random walker in t steps as much as its chances to return to the starting point, or put another way, the structural rigidity is much more sensitive to the addition of a few bops than the overall random walk displacement on the same network.

A gain, the three-dimensional results appear to be better behaving than the twodimensional ones. However, in both cases, the slopes in the log-log plot of Fig.3 are signi cantly steeper for $P_o = 1$ than for the loop-added clusters, with a hint of a slight trend for the larger slope for $P_o = 6$ in d = 3. Since this slope must equal $1 \quad 2=d_w$ (cf. Eq.(6)), a larger slope in plies a larger value of d_w . These di erences am ong $P_o < 1$ are, however, at least as ill-de ned as for the case of n().

7

IV . Sum m ary

In sum mary, we have presented the analysis of the dynam ical properties of the random walk con ned to bop-enhanced critical percolation clusters. Through the vibration (di usion analogy [4], this work has in plications for the (scalar) elasticity problem on the same fractal network. From the numerical calculations of the eigenspectrum of the transition probability matrix, we nd what appears to be the crossover to a new universality class characterized by a signi cantly larger spectral dimension d_s and signi cantly smaller walk dimension d_w as soon as the oppy ends of a critical percolation cluster are connected together to form relatively large bops. The additional inclusion of successively smaller bops does not seem to further change the exponents d_s and d_w very much. This result supports the observation of Ref.[5] that the deviation between the experimental observation of d_s of an aerogel and the previous theoretical calculations for the vector elasticity of the critical percolation cluster is due to the lack of oppy endings in the aerogel because of the chemical reactions during aging and (supercritical) drying.

The loop-enhanced percolation problem is reminiscent of the problem of the external surface of the critical percolation cluster. The latter can be de ned in several ways, e.g., by the percolation hull [6] and by the perimeter sites accessible to a random walk from the outside. The accessibility in that case depends on the size of the walker [13]; in a som ewhat similar way, the oppiness of the loops in our problem depends on the minimum loop size P_0 . As in our case, the external perimeter problem also leads to one new universality class (in addition to the one for the hull) in two dimensions [13]. One dimensions while this is not so for the external perimeter problem.

Beyond the qualitative analogy, there m ight lurk a more quantitative one, since the problem of the external surface is that of successively controlling the accessibility for a particle di using from outside while our problem is that of successively controlling the reach of a particle di using on the cluster itself. This is, how ever, beyond the scope of this work and must await further research.

8

A cknow ledgm ents

I am grateful to Hans Herrm ann for suggesting this problem and to him and D ietrich Stau er for helpful discussions. This work was carried out while I was visiting the HLRZ Supercomputer Center at KFA {Julich in Germany. I would like to thank the Center and Hans Herrm ann for warm hospitality.

References

- [1] B.M andelbrot, FractalGeometry of Nature (Freeman, San Francisco, 1982).
- [2] J.Feder, Fractals (Plenum, New York, 1988).
- [3] See, e.g., S. Havlin and D. Ben-Avraham, Adv. Phys. 36 (1987) 695.
- [4] S.A lexander and R.Orbach, J.Phys. Lett. (Paris) 43 (1982) 625.
- [5] E.Courtens, R.Vacher and E.Stoll, in Fractals in Physics, eds. A. A harony and J.Feder (North-Holland, Am sterdam, 1989).
- [6] D. Stau er and A. Aharony, Introduction to Percolation Theory (Taylor and Francis, London, 1992).
- [7] A.B.Harris, Y.Meir and A.Aharony, Phys. Rev. B 36 (1987) 8752.
- [8] H. Nakanishi, S. Muckherjee and N. H. Fuchs, preprint (1992).
- [9] N.H.Fuchs and H.Nakanishi, Phys. Rev. A 43 (1991) 1721.
- [10] D. Jacobs and H. Nakanishi, Phys. Rev. A 41 (1990) 706.
- [11] H.J.Hemmann, D.C.Hong and H.E.Stanley, J.Phys. A 17 (1984) L261.
- [12] H.Nakanishi and H.J.Herrm ann, HLRZ preprint.
- [13] T.Grossman and A.Aharony, J.Phys. A 16 (1986) L745; 20 (1987) L1193.

FIGURES

- Fig. 1: The result of adding successively smaller bops to the critical percolation cluster is illustrated with: (a) a typical spanning and wrapping critical percolation cluster on the square lattice; (b) when all bops of bop parameter P 12 are added; (c) when all bops of P 8 are added; (d) when all bops of P 6 are added. The grid size is 100 100.
- Fig. 2: Density of eigenvalues n() for (a) the square lattice and (b) the simple cubic lattice. The symbols , ,2, and correspond to P $_{\circ} = 1$, 12, 8, and 6, respectively. Lines drawn are linear least squares to the corresponding data shown here. The data for $P_{\circ} = 1$ are from Ref.[8].
- Fig. 3: The function () for (a) the square lattice and (b) the simple cubic lattice. The symbols , ,2, and correspond to P $_{\circ} = 1$, 12, 8, and 6, respectively. Lines drawn are linear least squares to the corresponding data shown here, and the data for $P_{\circ} = 1$ are from Ref.[8]

TABLES

Table 1: Num erical estimates of the exponents d_s and d_w for loop-enhanced critical percolation cluster on the square lattice. The results for $P_o = 1$ are from Ref.8. The error estimates are mainly from the least squares regression.

P _o	ds		d _w	
1	1:30	0:02	2:86	0:04
12	1 : 69	0:12	2 : 40	0:12
8	1 : 66	0:10	2 : 42	0:12
6	1 : 63	0:11	2:39	0:15

Table 2: Num erical estimates of the exponents d_s and d_w for loop-enhanced critical percolation cluster on the simple cubic lattice. The results for $P_o = 1$ are from Ref.8. The error estimates are mainly from the least squares regression.

Po	d _s		d _w	
1	1:30	0:02	3 : 70	0 : 07
12	1 : 99	0:02	2 : 67	0 : 07
8	1 : 94	0:02	2 : 76	0 : 08
6	1 : 89	0:01	2 : 76	0:04