

DENSITY PATTERNS IN GRANULAR MEDIA

Gerald H. Ristow and Hans J. Herrmann

Hochleistungsrechenzentrum, KFA,
D-5170 Jülich, Germany,

Abstract

Recent experiments by Baxter et al. showed the existence of density waves in granular material flowing out of a hopper. We show, using Molecular Dynamics Simulations, that this effect is a consequence of static friction and find that these density fluctuations follow a $1/f$ spectrum. The effect is enhanced when the opening angle of the hopper decreases.

Moving dry granular media, like sand, show a rich variety of rather astonishing and scarcely understood phenomena^[1-21]. Famous are the so-called "Brazil nut" segregation^[3-51] and the heap formations that occur under vibrations^[6-81]. More recently a series of experiments have given evidence that under certain circumstances density patterns are generated inside the flowing medium. Baxter et al.^[9], for instance, visualized wave-like patterns emanating from the outlet of a two dimensional wedge-shaped hopper using X-rays. Also previous authors^[10-121] had noted the formation of similar structures. Similarly rather erratic shock-like density waves have been observed in flow through pipes^[13] and down inclined planes^[14]. Another experimentally observed ubiquitous phenomenon in granular media seems to be 1/f noise. Lui and Jaeger^[15] recently measured the acceleration of a particle inside a bulk of glass beads that were excited by a small amplitude vibration. Its Fourier spectrum in time showed power law decay over many orders of magnitude. Baxter^[16] also observed power law decay in the frequency dependent forces that act on the wall of a hopper. For avalanches going down the slope of a sand pile theoretical considerations of self-organized criticality^[17] led to the proposal that their size and life times distributions were power laws which was in fact only verified experimentally on very small piles^[18].

The existence of these erratic density and force inhomogeneities are intimately related to the ability of granular materials to form a hybrid state between a fluid and a solid: When the density exceeds a certain value, the critical dilatancy^[19;20], granular materials are resistant to shear, like solids, while below this density they will "fluidify". In the presence of density fluctuations the rheology therefore can become rather complex. Two microscopic facts seem to be responsible for the strong density fluctuations: On one hand one has in granular media solid friction between the grains. This means that when particles are pushed against each other a finite force is needed to start or maintain a relative tangential motion between them. On the other hand a granular material is internally disordered giving a natural source of noise. The strong non-linearity coming from friction produces instabilities in the density which enhance the fluctuations coming from the noise.

Various attempts have been made to formalize and quantify the complicated rheology of granular media. Continuum equations of motion^[21], a cellular automaton^[22] and a random walk approach^[23] have been proposed. But none of them has yet been able to explain these heterogeneous waves. This is why we chose to study these phenomena using Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulations of inelastic particles with static and dynamic friction in two dimensional systems. In fact, MD simulations^[24;25] have already been applied to granular media to model segregation^[51], outflow from a hopper^[26;27], shear flow^[28], convection cells on vibrating plates^[29;30], avalanches on a sand pile^[31], flow through a pipe^[32] and others.

We consider a system of N spherical particles of equal density and with diameters d either all equal or chosen randomly from a Gaussian distribution of width w around $d_0 = 1 \text{ mm}$. These particles are placed into a hopper having an opening angle α and at the bottom an opening of diameter D . When two particles i and j overlap (i.e. when their distance is smaller than the sum of their radii) three forces act on particle i : 1.) an elastic restoration force

$$\mathbf{f}_{el}^{(i)} = Y (j\mathbf{r}_{ij} - j \frac{1}{2} (d_i + d_j)) \frac{\mathbf{r}_{ij}}{j\mathbf{r}_{ij} - j} ; \quad (1a)$$

where Y is the Young modulus and \mathbf{r}_{ij} points from particle i to j ; 2.) a dissipation due to the inelasticity of the collision

$$\mathbf{f}_{\text{diss}}^{(i)} = -m_{\text{eff}} (\mathbf{v}_{ij} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ij}) \frac{\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ij}}{r_{ij}} = -m_{\text{eff}} v_{ij}^n \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ij}; \quad (1b)$$

where ϵ is a phenomenological dissipation coefficient and $\mathbf{v}_{ij} = \mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j$ the relative velocity between the particles; 3.) a shear friction force which in its simplest form can be chosen as

$$\mathbf{f}_{\text{shear}}^{(i)} = -s m_{\text{eff}} (\mathbf{v}_{ij} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{t}}_{ij}) \frac{\hat{\mathbf{t}}_{ij}}{r_{ij}} = -s m_{\text{eff}} v_{ij}^t \hat{\mathbf{t}}_{ij}; \quad (2a)$$

where s is the shear friction coefficient and $\hat{\mathbf{t}}_{ij} = (\hat{t}_{ij}^y, \hat{t}_{ij}^x)$ is the vector \mathbf{r}_{ij} rotated by 90° . Eq. 2a is a rather simplistic description of shear friction. In our case it is important to include real static friction which is done by a static friction force^[33]: When two particles start to touch each other, one puts a "virtual" spring between the contact points of the two particles. Be s the total shear displacement of this spring during the contact and k_s is the restoring frictional force (static friction). The maximum value of the restoring force is then according to Coulomb's criterion proportional to the normal force F_n and the proportionality constant is the friction coefficient μ . Cast into a formula this gives a friction force

$$\mathbf{f}_{\text{friction}}^{(i)} = -\text{sign}(s) \min(k_s m_{\text{eff}} s; F_n) \hat{\mathbf{t}}_{ij}; \quad (2b)$$

where s is the shear displacement integrated over the entire collision time. When particles are no longer in contact with each other the spring is removed. It is, however, not straightforward to implement the above technique when the particles are allowed to rotate, i.e. to be able to roll on each other. Therefore we did not take angular momentum into account. In fact, when particles have strong deviations from the spherical shape rotations are strongly suppressed. Often it is however useful to go an intermediate way and to include dynamic friction but not static friction and allow for the particles to have rotations^[5;27;29]. In that case one uses a combination of eqs. 2a and 2b:

$$\mathbf{f}_{\text{dyn}}^{(i)} = -\min(s m_{\text{eff}} v_{ij}^t; F_n) \hat{\mathbf{t}}_{ij}; \quad (2c)$$

and introduces also equations of motion for the angular momentum of the particles.

When a particle collides with a wall the same forces act as if it would have encountered another particle of diameter d_0 with infinite mass at the collision point. The walls are in fact made out of small particles themselves and in order to introduce roughness on the wall these particles are chosen randomly from a distribution of two radii. The only external force acting on the system is gravity $g = 10 \text{ m/s}^2$.

As initial positions of the particles we considered that they are placed at random positions inside a space several times as high as the dense packing. The initial velocities are set to zero. After that the particles are allowed to fall freely under gravity. We took a Young modulus of $Y = 10^6 \text{ g/s}^2$ and a time step of $\Delta t = 3.3 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ s}$ and run our program on 8 or 16 processors of an Intel PSC/860 and an IBM RS/6000{550}.

In Fig. 1 we see a snapshot of the outgoing particles at four different time steps for material parameters consistent with the experiment of Baxter et al.^[2]. We clearly see that close to the outlet

large holes appear which then propagate in an attenuated form upwards. These patterns quickly vary in time. When the opening angle of the hopper is reduced the contrast in the patterns becomes more pronounced. The holes are in general stretched in the horizontal direction but their shape seems rather random. Particularly striking are these structures when watched in a movie. When the static friction is switched off the structures disappear and the density of the outgoing particles becomes homogeneous. This agrees with Baxter et al.'s experimental observation that density patterns only occur for rough and not for smooth sand. When the friction with walls is switched off the density waves also disappear.

A more quantitative approach can be made by measuring the local densities. We binned space in units of $1.56d_0$ and counted the number of particles that have their center of mass inside the box averaged over 100 consecutive iteration steps. This density is plotted in Fig. 2 as a function of time and space. We see that the low density regions form curved stripes pointing to rather short lived waves. No regular structure in the distance and magnitude of the waves can be noted. They rather look like independent shock waves with random amplitudes coming in a random sequence. Larger waves usually have small densely packed precursors. Strong similarities can in fact be seen with space-time plots of the density of granular media in pipes^[32] and of cars on highways having traffic jams^[34].

In Fig. 3a we see the density profile as a function of time for a point four particle diameters above the outlet. No regularity can be seen. The over four components averaged Fourier transformed data are shown in a log-log plot in Fig. 3b. Clearly they fall on a straight line over nearly two decades. The slope is about -1. This means that we have found $1=f$ noise. This is consistent with the picture that has emerged from the mentioned experiments.

When particles of equal size are taken we observed equally well developed density patterns and find roughly the same power law decay of the spectrum. The effect is reduced when the diameter D of the outlet becomes too large. If it is too small the flow of sand can entirely stop due to arching. The critical diameter D_0 when this arching sets in has been studied before with similar techniques^[26] where it was found that D_0 is larger when the particles have the same size. When we consider smooth walls, i.e. all wall particles having the same radii, we do not find density waves and the power spectrum looks significantly different. It shows an upwards curved slope with increasing frequency which one also finds when configurations block during the outflow. A similar effect was also found in simulations of flow on an inclined plane^[35].

Baxter et al. also observed that there exists a critical angle θ_0 above which stagnation regions exist next to the walls of the container. We also found these regions one example is shown in Fig. 4.

We have observed in a very simple modelization that density waves are generated and distributed with frequency like $1=f$. Two ingredients were found essential to generate them: static friction and disorder. The static friction tends to align the particles, i.e. to form fronts of particles moving exactly with the same vertical velocity. These fronts are nucleated randomly at the walls. Their size distribution (density contrast) comes by itself into a critical state namely a power law distribution. It therefore has the properties of self-organized criticality (SOC)^[17]. It is, however, very important to notice that our simulations were made for rather small systems as compared to real systems. It could therefore be that for systems of millions of particles a cut-off exists in this

power law .

We have shown in this paper that similar to the avalanches that one observes on the surface of a sand pile also inside the bulk of granular material one has avalanche behavior which like the ones on the surface shows self-organized criticality on small scales^[18]. Surface avalanches for large piles however seemed to have a characteristic size due to inertia^[36]. In fact, rather avalanches in the holes than in the matterd substance could be relevant here and one might speculate that the bulk avalanches might be a better example for asymptotic SOC than the ones on the surface. The mechanisms that generate the patterns are similar but not identical to the original sandpile models. While the static friction similarly generates waiting times with a threshold it is not the motion of the sand itself that constitutes the avalanches but it is the group velocity of the holes between them : An individual particle can easily go from one dense region to the other by going fast through a region of low density. There is therefore a back flow of information similar to the jamming on highways^[34].

Although our simulations are two dimensional, we think that they do capture the essential mechanisms that occur also in three dimensional experiments. It should be mentioned that in fluidized beds (low Bagnolds number) where the granular medium is surrounded by a fluid and the hydrodynamic interactions become important a similar phenomenon as the one described here, called slugging, is observed^[37]. The mechanisms involved seem, however, quite different.

present address: Groupe de Matière Condensée et Matériaux, Université de Rennes I, Campus de Beaulieu, 35000 Rennes, France

References

1. H.M. Jaeger and S.R. Nagel, *Science* 255, 1523 (1992)
2. S.B. Savage, in *Disorder and Granular Media* ed. D. Bideau (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1992); S.B. Savage, *Adv. Appl. Mech.*, 24, 289 (1984); C.S. Campbell, *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* 22, 57 (1990)
3. J.C. Williams, *Powder Techn.* 15, 245 (1976)
4. A. Rosato, K.J. Strandburg, F. Prinz and R.H. Swendsen *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 58, 1038 (1987) and *Powder Techn.* 49, 59 (1986); P. Devillard, *J. Physique* 51, 369 (1990)
5. P.K. Haff and B.T. Werner, *Powder Techn.* 48, 239 (1986)
6. M. Faraday, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. London* 52, 299 (1831)
7. P. Evesque and J. Rajchenbach, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 62, 44 (1989); *C. R. Acad. Sci. Ser. 2*, 307, 1 (1988) and 307, 223 (1988); C. Laroche, S. Douady and S. Fauve, *J. de Physique* 50, 699 (1989); P. Evesque, *J. Physique* 51, 697 (1990); J. Rajchenbach, *Europhys. Lett.* 16, 149 (1991)
8. J. Walker, *Sci. Am.* 247, 167 (1982); F. Dinkelacker, A. Hubler and E. Luscher, *Biol. Cybern.*

- 56, 51 (1987)
9. G W .Baxter, R P .Behringer, T .Fagert and G A .Johnson, Phys.Rev.Lett. 62, 2825 (1989)
10. O .Cutress and R F .Pulfer, Powder Techn. 1, 213 (1967)
11. A .D rescher, T W .Cousens and P L .Bransby, Geotechn. 28, 27 (1978)
12. R L .M ichalowski, Powder Techn. 39, 29 (1984); J D .Athey, J O .Cutress and R F .Pulfer
Chem . Eng. Sci. 21, 835 (66); P M .B lair-F ish and P L .Bransby, J.Eng. for Industry 95, 17
(73); J .Lee, S C .C ow in and J S .Tem pleton, Trans. Soc. Rheol. 18, 247 (74)
13. T .Poschel, preprint HLRZ ..92
14. D .B ideau, private communication
15. C -h. Lui and S R .Jaeger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 2301 (1992) & preprints
16. G W .Baxter, PhD thesis
17. P .Bak, Tang and W iessenfeld, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 381 (1987)
18. G A .Held, D H .Solina, D T .Keane, W J .Hom and G .G rinstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 1120
(1990)
19. O .Reynolds, Phil. Mag. S. 20, 469 (1885)
20. Y M .Bashir and J D .Goddard, J. Rheol. 35, 849 (1991)
21. S B .Savage, J. Fluid Mech. 92, 53 (1979); G M .H om sy, R .Jackson and J R .G race, J. Fluid
Mech. 236, 477 (1992); S B .Savage and K .Hutter, J. Fluid Mech. 199, 177 (1989)
22. G W .Baxter and R P .Behringer, Phys. Rev. A 42, 1017 (1990), Physica D 51, 465 (1991)
23. H .Caram and D C .Hong, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 828 (1991)
24. M P .Allen and D J .Tildesley, Computer Simulation of Liquids, Oxford University Press,
Oxford, 1987
25. D .Tildesley, in Computational Physics, edited by R D .Kenway and G S .Paw ley, NATO
Advanced Study Institute, Edinburgh University Press, 1987
26. G .Ristow, J. Physique I 2, 649 (1992) and IJM P C .
27. D C .Hong and J A .M cLennan, Physica A 187, 159 (1992)
28. C S .Campbell and C E .Brennen, J. Fluid Mech. 151, 167 (1985); P A .Thomson and G S .
Grest, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 1751 (1991); D M .Hanes and D L .Inman, J. Fluid Mech. 150,
357 (1985); O R .Walton and R L .Braun, J. Rheol. 30, 949 (1986)
29. J A C .Gallas, H J .Herrmann and S .Sokolowski, Phys. Rev. Lett., 69, 1371 (1992)
30. Y -h. Tagushi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 1367 (1992)
31. J .Lee and H J .Herrmann, preprint HLRZ 44/92
32. T .Poschel, preprint HLRZ 47/92
33. P A .Cundall and O D L .Strack, Geotechnique 29, 47 (1979)
34. K .Nagel and M .Schreckenberg, preprint
35. T .Poschel, preprint HLRZ 18/92
36. H M .Jaeger, C -h. Lui and S .Nagel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 40 (1988); P .Evesque, Phys. Rev.
A 43, 2720 (1991)
37. J F .Davidson and D .Harrison, Fluidization, (London Academic, 1971)

Figure Captions

Figure 1. In this sequence, we show the out flow behavior with static friction for an initial configuration of roughly 1500 particles. The parameters were chosen as following: $\theta = 500H/z$, $\theta_s = 250H/z$, $k_s = 1000g/s^2$; $\mu = 30$; $D = 8d_0$; $\alpha = 0.5$. (a) initial configuration, (b) after 10,000 iterations, (c) after 100,000 iterations, (d) after 140,000 iterations

Figure 2. This figure shows the spatial density fluctuations (vertical axis) for a typical out flow simulation as a function of time (horizontal axis) for $\theta = 100H/z$, $\theta_s = 500H/z$, $k_s = 1000g/s^2$; $\mu = 10$; $D = 10d_0$ and $\alpha = 0.5$. Dark areas mark regions with lower densities.

Figure 3. We consider an out flow sequence for the same parameter values as in figure 1 but we constantly fill in particles from above. a) shows the density fluctuations $6d_0$ above the hole. One clearly sees a quite random behavior. b) shows the log-log plot of the FFT analysis of the density fluctuations. A straight line with slope -1 is drawn to guide the eye.

Figure 4. Due to static friction, 314 particles remain in the hopper for an opening angle of $\theta = 150$ ($\theta = 100H/z$, $\theta_s = 0H/z$, $k_s = 1000g/s^2$; $D = 10d_0$ and $\alpha = 0.5$).