

CCNY-HEP-92/7

Various condensed matter Hamiltonians
in terms of $U(2/2)$ operators
and their symmetry structures

Ko Okumura

Department of Physics, City College of
the City University of New York, New York, NY 10031

December 31, 2021

Abstract

We rewrite various lattice Hamiltonian in condensed matter physics in terms of $U(2/2)$ operators that we introduce. In this representation the symmetry structure of the models becomes clear. Especially, the Heisenberg, the supersymmetric t - J and a newly proposed high- T_c superconducting Hamiltonian reduce to the same form $H = t \sum_{\langle jk \rangle} \sum_{ac} X_j^{ac} X_k^{ca} (-1)^{F(c)}$. This representation also gives us a systematic way of searching for the symmetries of the system.

On leave from Department of Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Keio University, Yokohama 223, Japan

I INTRODUCTION

Recently the study of strongly correlated electrons on a lattice has become one of the central problems in solid state physics in connection with high- T_c superconductivity. In this paper we concentrate on the symmetry structures of those Hamiltonians which have been proposed as models of high- T_c superconductivity, that is, the Hubbard, Heisenberg, t - J models and a new model recently proposed by F. Essler, V. Korepin and K. Schoutens (EKSM model) [1].

The innovation made here is the introduction of q -Clifford operators. The lattice Hamiltonians are usually described by c_j which form a basis of the fundamental representation of $U(2)$. It is known that 4 real and imaginary components of c_j and c_j^\dagger (see (2.1)) form a 4-dimensional Clifford algebra [2]. Through multiplications of the c_j 's one can construct a maximal set of 16 independent operators which form $U(2/2)$ algebra [3, 4]. Then it is natural that the $U(2/2)$ symmetry of the system should manifest itself if we express the model in terms of these $U(2/2)$ operators.

The $U(2/2)$ operators are explicitly given in terms of the t - and X -operators in Sec. II. Both of them are the generators of the $U(2/2)$ superalgebra in different bases which is essentially generated by the 4-dimensional Clifford algebra.

With the $U(2/2)$ operator representation some of the symmetry structures of the models become transparent. This representation also gives a

systematic method of finding out the symmetries of the system.

Supergroup $U(M/N)$ is quite important in condensed matter physics. It is a unitary transformation of the states assigned to each site. Indeed the Heisenberg model has $U(2)$ symmetry, t-J model $U(1/2)$ and the new model $U(2/2)$. Notice here that these models with $U(M/N)$ symmetry are all solvable at least in one-dimension. If we want a solvable multiband model we may need a higher $U(M/N)$ [3].

Now let us specify the models we deal with explicitly. The first one is the Hubbard model.

$$H_{\text{Hubb}} = -2t \sum_{\langle jk \rangle} \sum_{\sigma} c_{j\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k\sigma} + U \sum_{j=1}^L n_j^{\uparrow} n_j^{\downarrow} \quad (1.1)$$

where operator c_j (c_j^{\dagger} ; $j = 1, \dots, L$) defined on each site (L : total number of lattice site) satisfy anti-commutation relation

$$[c_j, c_{j'}^{\dagger}] = \delta_{jj'}; \quad \text{others} = 0; \quad (1.2)$$

The number operator n_j is defined by $n_j = \sum_{\sigma} n_{j\sigma}$ ($n_{j\sigma} = c_{j\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma}$) and is the chemical potential.

In what follows $\sum_{\langle jk \rangle}$ implies the summation over the nearest neighbors in which the pairs $(j;k)$ and $(k;j)$ have to be counted once each so that the summand can be always considered as symmetric under interchanging $j \leftrightarrow k$.

If we set the chemical potential to be $U=2$, the system has the $SO(4)$ symmetry [5, 6]. This condition is satisfied at half-filling [7]. One of the subgroups of $SO(4)$ is the spin $SU(2)$ formed by the spin operators $S =$

$\prod_{j=1}^L S_j^z, S^z = \prod_{j=1}^L S_j^z$ such that

$$S_j^+ = c_j^\dagger c_{j\#}; \quad S_j^- = c_{j\#}^\dagger c_j; \quad S_j^z = \frac{1}{2}(n_j - n_{j\#}); \quad S_j^x = S_j^y \quad (1.3)$$

Another subgroup of this $SO(4)$ symmetry is the pairing symmetry recently pointed out by C. N. Yang and S. C. Zhang [6].

Next we consider the Heisenberg model defined by

$$H_{\text{Heisen}}^S = J \sum_{\langle jk \rangle}^X (S_j \cdot S_k - \frac{1}{4}) \quad (1.4)$$

and the t-J model

$$H_{t-J}^{\text{eff}} = -2t \sum_{\langle jk \rangle}^X c_j^\dagger c_k + J \sum_{\langle jk \rangle}^X (S_j \cdot S_k - \frac{n_j n_k}{4}) \sum_{j=1}^L n_j \quad (1.5)$$

where we have used the notation $S_j \cdot S_k = \prod_{\alpha=x,y,z} S_j^\alpha S_k^\alpha$. Notice that H_{t-J}^{eff} acts on the Hilbert space where no double occupancy of sites are allowed. In other words the true t-J Hamiltonian is $H_{t-J} = P H_{t-J}^{\text{eff}} P$ where P is the Gutzwiller projection operator; $P = \prod_{j=1}^L P_j = \prod_{j=1}^L (1 - n_j^\uparrow n_j^\downarrow)$. Setting $U = 2zJ = 2t = J$ with z the number of the nearest neighbor sites of each site, we get the $U(1/2)$ supersymmetric t-J model [8].

There are close relations among the above three models, that is, H_{Hubb} reduces to H_{Heisen} in the strong coupling limit ($U=t \gg 1$) at half-filling [9] and also reduces to H_{t-J} in the large U limit in a certain sense [10]. But the supersymmetric t-J model ($2t=J$) itself can not be obtained from H_{Hubb} :

The final example is the EKS model [1]. This model describes strongly correlated electrons on a general d -dimensional lattice as a new exactly solvable model for high- T_c superconductivity. This model has many interesting

features but here we focus our attention on its symmetry structure $U(2/2)$.

One of the subgroup is $SU(2)$ formed by spin operators;

$$J_j^- = c_j c_{j\#}; \quad J_j^+ = c_{j\#}^+ c_j^+; \quad J_j^z = \frac{1}{2}(n_j - 1); \quad J_j^x = \frac{1}{2}(c_j + c_{j\#}^+); \quad J_j^y = \frac{1}{2}(c_j - c_{j\#}^+); \quad (1.6)$$

Then (the supersymmetric part of) the EKS Hamiltonian is given by

$$H_{EKS} = \sum_{\langle jk \rangle} t_{jk} \sum_{\alpha} c_j^{\alpha} c_k^{\alpha} (1 - n_j - n_k) + \sum_j \kappa_j S_j^z S_{j+1}^z + \sum_j \left(n_j - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(n_{j\#} - \frac{1}{2} \right) g; \quad (1.7)$$

In the above definitions of the Hamiltonians we have included the chemical potential term only if such a term contributes to bringing the system higher symmetries.

II VARIOUS HAMILTONIANS IN TERMS OF $U(2/2)$ OPERATORS [2]

At each site we introduce the operators

$$\begin{aligned} J_j^1 &= c_j + c_j^+ & J_j^2 &= i(c_j - c_j^+) \\ J_j^3 &= c_{j\#} + c_{j\#}^+ & J_j^4 &= i(c_{j\#} - c_{j\#}^+) \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

which satisfy $\{J_j^i, J_k^j\} = 2\delta_{jk}\delta^{ij}$. We further introduce $J_j^5 = \frac{1}{2}(J_j^1 J_j^2 + J_j^3 J_j^4)$ and $J_j^6 = \frac{1}{2}(J_j^1 J_j^3 - J_j^2 J_j^4)$. Then (as we see explicitly in (2.7)) the 16 operators $t_j = (1_j; J_j^1; J_j^2; J_j^3; J_j^4; J_j^5; J_j^6)$ form the superalgebra $U(2/2)$ where $J_j^1, J_j^2, J_j^3, J_j^4$ are the Fermi operators while $1_j, J_j^5, J_j^6$ are Bosonic operators. Notice here that the local t_j can also form $U(4)$, $U(3/1)$ and $U(1/3)$ but the global

$t_j = \sum_{j=1}^P t_j$ forms only $U(2/2)$. With these operators the Hamiltonian becomes [11] (omitting constant terms)

$$H_{Hubb} = i \sum_{\langle jk \rangle}^X (t_{jk}^1 + t_{jk}^3) + \frac{U}{4} \sum_j^X t_j^5 + \left(\frac{U}{2} - \frac{U}{4}\right) \sum_j^X (t_j^{12} + t_j^{34}); \quad (2.2)$$

$$H_{Heisen}^S = \frac{J}{2^5} \sum_{\langle jk \rangle}^X (1 - t_j^5) t_k^5; \quad (2.3)$$

$$H_{EKS} = \frac{t}{2} \sum_{\langle jk \rangle}^X t_j^5 \left(t_k^1 - \frac{1}{4} t_k^3 - 1 \right); \quad (2.4)$$

In the above expression, noting that t_j^1 and t_j^3 corresponds to $O(4)$ vector or tensor indices, some $O(4)$ invariances of the models are transparent as we specify in Sec. III, that is, if there are no un-contracted indices j or k , the term is $O(4)$ invariant.

Next we consider the following 16 hermitian matrices $T_j = (1; \sigma_j; iA_j; \sigma_j^5)$ ($\sigma_j = \sigma_j^a$) which correspond to a 4×4 matrix representation of t_j or matrix of the fundamental (defining) representation of $U(2/2)$ with $\text{tr}(T_j T_k) = 4 \delta_{jk}$.

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} & T_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\sigma_3 \\ i\sigma_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & T_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\sigma_2 \\ i\sigma_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & T_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\sigma_1 \\ i\sigma_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ iA^1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & iI \\ iI & 0 \end{pmatrix} & iA^2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_3 \\ \sigma_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & iA^3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_2 \\ \sigma_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & iA^4 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ T_{12} &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_3 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_3 \end{pmatrix} & T_{13} &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 \end{pmatrix} & T_{14} &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ T_{34} &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_3 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_3 \end{pmatrix} & T_{24} &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 \end{pmatrix} & T_{23} &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ T_5 &= \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} & T_6 &= \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Here τ_i 's are 2×2 Pauli matrices, I and O are 2×2 unit and zero matrices respectively. Note here that τ_i and iA_i take the form of the Fermi block matrix while the others Bose block matrix (see P. Freund in [4]). Again, notice that T can form $U(4)$ etc. other than $U(2/2)$ though the global form only $U(2/2)$. Now the X_j^{ac} operators are defined as another different basis of the same $U(2/2)$ algebra, or a linear combination of the τ_j 's;

$$X_j^{ac} = \frac{1}{4} T_{ca} \tau_j \quad \text{or} \quad \tau_j = T_{ac} X_j^{ac} \quad (2.6)$$

where T_{ca} is the $(c;a)$ element of the 4×4 matrix and Einstein's sum rule (as we will use in what follows implicitly) is implied. Notice that $a;c = 1;2$ correspond to the Bose sector while $a;c = 3;4$ to the Fermi sector. As clear from the definition, X_j^{ac} forms an $U(2/2)$ algebra as τ_j does, which is very similar to the $U(N)$ algebra;

$$[X_j^{ac}; X_{j^0}^{a^0c^0}] = \delta_{jj^0} (X_j^{ac^0} X_{j^0}^{a^0c} - X_j^{a^0c} X_{j^0}^{ac^0}) \quad (2.7)$$

where $[\ , \]$ represents commutator() or anti-commutator(+) the latter occurring only if both operators are fermionic [12].

X_j^{ac} is essentially the Hubbard projection operator [3]. Especially at each site we can set $(X_j^{ac})_{mn} = \delta_{ma} \delta_{nc}$ which is helpful in the actual calculation.

With the X operators, EK S, supersymmetric t-J [13], and Heisenberg ($J = 2t$) model become

$$H_{EKS} = t \sum_{\langle jk \rangle} \sum_{ac=1;2;3;4} X_j^{ac} X_k^{ca} (1)^{F(c)}; \quad (2.8)$$

$$P H_{tJ}^{eff} P = \sum_{\langle jk \rangle} \sum_{ac=1;3;4} X_j^{ac} X_k^{ca} (1)^{F(b)} = P H_{EKS} P; \quad (2.9)$$

$$P_F H_{H\text{eisen}}^S P_F = \sum_{\langle jk \rangle} \sum_{ac=3;4} X_j^{ac} X_k^{ca} (1)^{F(b)} = P_F H_{EKS} P_F; \quad (2.10)$$

$$P_B H_{H\text{eisen}} P_B = \sum_{\langle jk \rangle} \sum_{ac=1;2} X_j^{ac} X_k^{ca} (1)^{F(b)} = P_B H_{EKS} P_B; \quad (2.11)$$

where $F(b) = 0$ ($F(f) = 1$) with $b = 1;2$ ($f = 3;4$) and $H_{H\text{eisen}}$ is the Heisenberg model written not in terms of the S -spin operator but in terms of the τ -spin operator. We have introduced two projection operators P_F and P_B , P_F for the two singly occupied states $c_j^+ |i\rangle$, $c_{j\#}^+ |i\rangle$ and P_B for the non- or doubly-occupied states $|i\rangle$, $c_j^+ c_{j\#}^+ |i\rangle$;

$$P_F = \prod_{j=1}^L P_F^j; \quad P_F^j = 1 - (n_j - 1)(n_{j\#} - 1) \quad n_j n_{j\#} = (1 - \frac{5}{j})=2 \quad (2.12)$$

$$P_B = \prod_{j=1}^L P_B^j; \quad P_B^j = 1 + (n_j - 1)n_{j\#} + (n_{j\#} - 1)n_j = (1 + \frac{5}{j})=2 \quad (2.13)$$

In the above expression, we can see clearly the fact that the supersymmetric t - J model and Heisenberg models correspond to certain subsectors of the EKS model as pointed out in [1]. In addition, noting that various subelements of X^{ac} form $U(M/N)$, several $U(M/N)$ invariances of the models manifest themselves as we discuss more extensively in Sec. III.

III A SYSTEMATIC ANALYSIS OF THE SYMMETRIES OF THE MODELS

If we want to search for the symmetry of the system in question described by a one-band lattice Hamiltonian as in our models, a general form of symmetry

operators can be written as

$$S = \sum_{l=1}^L (V_{l-1,l} + T_{l-1,l} + iA_{l-1,l}^5 + P_{l-1,l}^5) \quad (3.1)$$

or

$$\tilde{S} = \sum_l x_l^{\text{ac}} X_l^{\text{ac}} \quad (3.2)$$

where $V; T; A; P$ or x are complex numbers with $T_{l-1} = T_l$.

Calculating the commutator between S or \tilde{S} and the Hamiltonian in question, we can find the conditions which have to be satisfied by the coefficients $V; T; A; P$ or x to render the operator S or \tilde{S} to be the symmetry of the system.

First examine the Hubbard model at half-filling ($\rho = U=2$);

$$\begin{aligned} [S; H_{\text{Hubb}}] = & 2it^P \sum_{\langle jk \rangle} \{ (V_{j-1,j}^1 - V_{j-1,j}^2 + V_{j-1,j}^3 - V_{j-1,j}^4) \\ & 2(T_j^{12} - T_k^{12}) \left(\frac{1}{j-1} + \frac{2}{j} \right) - 2(T_j^{34} - T_k^{34}) \left(\frac{3}{j-1} + \frac{4}{j} \right) \\ & 4(T_j^{14} + T_k^{23}) \left(\frac{4}{j-1} + \frac{1}{j} \right) - 4(T_j^{13} - T_k^{24}) \left(\frac{3}{j-1} + \frac{1}{j} \right) \\ & + A_{j-1,j}^5 \left(\frac{1}{j-1} + \frac{2}{j} + \frac{2}{j-1} + \frac{3}{j} + \frac{4}{j-1} + \frac{4}{j} \right) \\ & + P_{j-1,j}^5 \left(\frac{1}{j-1} + \frac{2}{j} + \frac{2}{j-1} + \frac{3}{j} + \frac{4}{j-1} + \frac{4}{j} \right) \} \\ & \frac{U}{2} \sum_j (V_{j-1,j}^5 + A_{j-1,j}^5) \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

At first sight, in the strong coupling limit ($t=0$), the system has a local $O(4) \times SU(2)_S \times SU(2)$ whose generators are $S_1; \sim_1$ and the Hamiltonian of the system commutes with S_1^5 . This implies the local $U(2)_S \times U(2)$ symmetry whose generators are $(S_1; (1 - S_1^5)=2); (\sim_1; (1 + S_1^5)=2))$. For general U and t , we notice that, by setting $T_j^{12} = T_k^{12} = \text{const}$ and the other

coefficient to be zero, $T_j^{12} = \prod_{j=1}^L T_j^{12}$ commutes with H_{Hubb} : ($L = U=2$). In a similar way we can find other symmetry operators. Let us summarize in the following way.

1. $T_j^{12} = T_k^{12} = \text{const}, \text{others} = 0$ (T_j^{12})
2. $T_j^{34} = T_k^{34} = \text{const}, \text{others} = 0$ (T_j^{34})
3. $T_j^{14} = T_k^{23} = \text{const}, \text{others} = 0$ ($T_j^{14} T_k^{23}$)
4. $T_j^{14} = T_j^{23} = e^{i\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\gamma}}, \text{others} = 0$ ($\sum_Y \prod_j e^{i\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\gamma}} (T_j^{14} + T_j^{23})$)
5. $T_j^{13} = T_k^{24} = \text{const}, \text{others} = 0$ ($T_j^{13} + T_k^{24}$)
6. $T_j^{13} = T_j^{24} = e^{i\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\gamma}}, \text{others} = 0$ ($\sum_Y \prod_j e^{i\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\gamma}} (T_j^{13} + T_j^{24})$)

4 and 6 may need some explanation. Here we have assumed the lattice constant to be 1 merely for simplicity. $\vec{\alpha}$ denotes the d-dimensional vector whose elements are all 1 and $\vec{\gamma}$ is the d-dimensional integer vector which implies one site on the lattice. Then for the nearest neighbors j, k the relation $T_j^{14} + T_k^{23} = T_j^{13} - T_k^{24} = e^{i\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\gamma}} + e^{i\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\gamma}} = 0$ holds [14]. The above 6 generators form $SO(4) \times SU(2)_S \times SU(2)_Y$ [6] whose generators are $(T_j^{12}; T_j^{34}; T_j^{14} T_k^{23}; T_j^{13} + T_k^{24}; \sum_Y \prod_j e^{i\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\gamma}} (T_j^{14} + T_j^{23}); \sum_Y \prod_j e^{i\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\gamma}} (T_j^{13} + T_j^{24}))$ ($S; \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\gamma}$) with $\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\gamma} = (\sum_Y \prod_j e^{i\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\gamma}}; \sum_Y \prod_j e^{i\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\gamma}}; \sum_Y \prod_j e^{i\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\gamma}})$ as introduced in [6].

By a similar analysis (though it is clear from (2.3)) we find the symmetry operators for $H_{\text{H eisen}}^S$: global $(S; \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\gamma})$ and local $\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\gamma}$. The first 6 operators form $O(4) \times SU(2)_S \times SU(2)_Y$ and, combined with $\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\gamma}$ and 1, are enlarged to form $U(2)_S \times U(2)$ whose generators are $((S; (1 - \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\gamma})=2); (\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\gamma}; (1 + \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\gamma})=2))$. But

on the P_F restricted Hilbert space for this model this reduces to the $U(2)_S$ symmetry.

For other models, the following commutation rules hold.

$$[\tilde{S}; H_{EKS}] = 2t \sum_{\langle jk \rangle} \sum_{acd=1;2;3;4}^X (x_j^{ac} - x_k^{cd}) X_j^{ac} X_k^{cd} (-1)^{F(c)}; \quad (3.4)$$

$$[P \tilde{S} P; \tilde{H}_{tJ}] = 2t \sum_{\langle jk \rangle} \sum_{acd=1;3;4}^X (x_j^{ac} - x_k^{cd}) X_j^{ac} X_k^{cd} (-1)^{F(c)} = P [\tilde{S}; H_{EKS}] P; \quad (3.5)$$

$$P_F [\tilde{S}; H_{H\text{eisen}}^S] P_F = 2t \sum_{\langle jk \rangle} \sum_{acd=3;4}^X (x_j^{ac} - x_k^{cd}) X_j^{ac} X_k^{cd} (-1)^{F(c)} = P_F [\tilde{S}; H_{EKS}] P_F; \quad (3.6)$$

$$P_B [\tilde{S}; H_{H\text{eisen}}] P_B = 2t \sum_{\langle jk \rangle} \sum_{acd=1;2}^X (x_j^{ac} - x_k^{cd}) X_j^{ac} X_k^{cd} (-1)^{F(c)} = P_B [\tilde{S}; H_{EKS}] P_B; \quad (3.7)$$

Noting that X^{ac} with $a;c = 1;2;3;4$, $P X^{ac} P$ (or X^{ac} with $a;c = 1;3;4$), $P_F X^{ac} P_F$ (or $X^{f f^0}$ with $f; f^0 = 3;4$), and $P_B X^{ac} P_B$ (or $X^{b b^0}$ with $b; b^0 = 1;2$) form $U(2/2)$, $U(1/2)$, $U(2)_S$ and $U(2)$ respectively where $X = \sum_{j=1}^L X_j$, the symmetries of the models are clear.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to thank Professor Bunji Sakita for suggestions, discussions, and encouragement throughout this work. I also thank the Rotary Foundation for a scholarship. This work was supported by NSF grant PHY 90 20495 and PSCBHE grant 6 63351.

References

- [*] On leave from Department of Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Keio University, Yokohama 223, Japan.
- [1] F.H.L.Essler, V.E.Korepin and K.Schoutens, Phys.Rev.Lett. 68 2960 (1992) and Electronic model for superconductivity, preprint ITP-SB-92-20.
- [2] R.N.Mohapatra and B.Sakita, Phys.Rev.D 21, 1062 (1980).
- [3] K.Okumura, The supergroup $U(M/N)$ with regard to electronic Hamiltonian (submitted to J.Phys.Soc.Jpn.).
- [4] For general reference to supersymmetries, see, for example, the following references. See also [3]. P.G.O.Freund and I.Kaplan, J.Math.Phys. 17, 228 (1976); V.Rittenberg, A Guide to Lie Superalgebras, in Supergravities in diverse dimension Vol. 1, 64 (North-Holland/World Scientific 1989); P.G.O.Freund, Introduction to Supersymmetry (Cambridge University Press 1986)
- [5] C.N.Yang, Phys.Rev.Lett. 63, 2144 (1989).
- [6] C.N.Yang and S.C.Zhang, Mod.Phys.Lett.B 4, 759 (1990).

- [7] D. C. Mattis and L. F. Landovitz, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 2, 454 (1970);
A. Pelizzola, The half-filled Hubbard model in the pair approximation of
the cluster variation method, preprint POLFIS-TH 22/92.
- [8] D. Forster, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 2140 (1989); S. Sarker, J. Phys. A 23,
L409 (1990); S. Sarker, J. Phys. A 24, 1137 (1991); P. A. Bares, G.
Blatter and M. Ogoata, Phys. Rev. 44, 130 (1991).
- [9] For example, see A. P. Balachandran, E. Ercolessi, G. Morandi and
A. M. Srivastava, The Hubbard Model and Anyon Superconductivity { A
Review, preprint TPIM INN-90/26-T.
- [10] For example, see J. E. Hirsch, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 1317 (1985); see also
[9].
- [11] On P_F restricted Hilbert space (see (2.12)), $P_F H_{H_{eisen}}^S P_F =$
 $(J=2^6)^P_{<jk>} (1 \quad 5_j)_{j \quad k} (1 \quad 5_k).$
- [12] The 8 operators X_j^{fb} and X_j^{bf} with $b = 1; 2$ and $f = 3; 4$ are fermionic
operators at each site.
- [13] The general t-J model reduces to $H_{t-J} = 2t \sum_{<jk>}^P \sum_{f=3;4}^P X_j^{f1} X_k^{1f} +$
 $J \sum_{<jk>}^P \sum_{ff^0=3;4}^P (X_j^{ff^0} X_k^{f^0f} - X_j^{11} X_k^{11}) \quad (J = 2z) \sum_{<jk>}^P X_j^{11}.$
- [14] For example, in one dimension, $e^{ij} + e^{ik} = e^{ij} (1 + e^i) = 0.$