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## ABSTRACT

W e develop an analytical di usion-equation-type approxim ation scheme for the one-dim ensional coagulation reaction A + A ! A w ith partial reaction probability on particle encounters which are otherw ise hard-core. T he new approxim ation describes the crossover from the $m$ ean- eld rate-equation behavior at short tim es to the universal, uctuation-dom inated behavior at large tim es. The approxim ation becom es quantitatively accurate when the system is initially close to the continuum behavior, i.e., for sm all initialdensity and fast reaction. For large initialdensity and slow reaction, lattige e ects are nonnegligible for an extended initial tim e interval. In such cases our approxi$m$ ation provides the correct description of the initialm ean- eld as wellas the asym ptotic large-tim e, uctuation-dom inated behavior. H ow ever, the interm ediate-tim e crossover betw een the two regim es is described only sem iquantitatively.

PACS num bers: 8220 M jand $05.40 .+\mathrm{j}$

## 1. Introduction

Reaction-di usion system s in low dim ensions have been investigated recently with em phasis on uctuation-dom inated e ects, speci cally, the breakdow $n$ of the standard chem ical rate equations which correspond to the \m ean- eld" approxim ation of the reaction kinetics. M uch recent interest has been focused on the sim plest reactions of tw o-particle coagulation, A + A ! A, and annihilation, A + A ! inert, on the onedim ensional lattice [1-13]. Indeed, in the di usion-lim ited, instantaneous-reaction case, these processes show non-m ean- eld power-law decay of the A-particle density in one dim ension. Exact results have been derived indicating that the particle concentration per unit length, $c(t)$, decays according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{t} \text {-large }) \quad 1 \stackrel{\mathrm{p}}{=} \overline{\mathrm{Dt}} \text {; } \tag{1:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D$ is the single-particle di usion constant in the dihute lim it, and $t$ is the tim $e$. E xperim ental veri cation of the theoretical predictions has been initiated recently [14].

The result (1.1) di ers $m$ arkedly from the prediction of the rate equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d c}{d t} / \quad \succsim \tag{1:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

appropriate for these reactions. Indeed, the naive $m$ ean- eld power law is sim ply

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\mathrm{m}} \text { ean- eld }(t-\text { large }) \quad \quad=\mathrm{D} t \text {; } \tag{1:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ' is the lattioe spacing. M odi ed $m$ ean- eld treatm ents can capture the di usioncontrolled scaling in som e cases [15].
$N$ ow if the reactions on particle encounters are not instantaneous but rather occur w ith probability $q$, where $0<q \quad 1$, then for slow reaction, $q$ 1, one $m$ ight expect the $m$ ean- eld expressions to apply initially although for large tim es a crossover to the uctuation-dom inated behavior (1.1) is expected. E xtensive num erical studies of the annihilation reaction, A + A ! inert, w ith partial reaction probability [16-17], indicate that there is a crossover from the $m$ ean- eld to the uctuation-dom inated behavior. Sim ilar crossover e ects were observed in related dim er-deposition models with di usional relaxation [18]. H ow ever, due to lack of any theoretical description of these crossover e ects, the data interpretation [16-17] has been a $t$ to an ad-hoc analytical expression: a t-dependent-e ective-exponent power law, with no conclusive results. In fact the analytical form used [16-17] is know $n$ to be w rong in other better understood $m$ ean- eld to uctuation crossovers in static criticalphenom ena [19], and it is also inconsistent with a certain dim ensional-analysis scaling form [3] appropriate in the lim it of vanishing initial density.

A pproxim ate theoretical description of the $m$ ean- eld to uctuation-dom inated crossover is im portant for several reasons. Firstly, it will provide guidance on the lim its of validity of the rate-equation approxim ation and ilhum inate its m icroscopic foundations. Secondly, it will shed light on the universality of the large-tim e uctuation dom inated power-law behavior (1.1). F inally, it can suggest scaling form $s$ and variablecom binations to use in actual data ts, as well as directions of im proving the sim plest $m$ ean- eld approxim ation schem es in those regim es where $m$ ean- eld rate equations do apply; see [20] for a discussion of $m$ ultiparticle reactions for which data ts can be im proved by a carefulchoice of the $m$ ean- eld $m$ odel variant.

This work reports a new di usion-equation type approxim ation scheme for the description of the $m$ ean- eld to uctuation-dom inated crossover in one-dim ensional reactions. We consider the coagulation reaction, A + A! A, rather than annihilation.

Indeed, while these reactions have $m$ any com $m$ on features [1-13], the form er, coagulation reaction, is described for $q=1$ by a local di usion equation for the interparticle distance, in the continuum lim it [8]. This provides a convenient starting point.

W hen the particles do not react w ith probability 1, their interactions upon encounters must be speci ed. W e assum e hard-core interactions in cases of no reaction; our m odel is de ned in Section 2. It has been anticipated in the literature [21-22] that a di usive description of the partial reaction probability system w ill involve the so-called \radiation" boundary conditions [23]. H ow ever, no speci c im plem entations have been reported yielding results for one-dim ensional reactions.

In fact, the form of the radiation boundary conditions tums out to be the least straightforw ard aspect of the problem ; the radiation boundary conditions are discussed in detail in Section 3. A nalytical results w ithin our approxim ation schem e are derived in Section 4. Various lim titing form sas well as com parison with num ericalM onte C arlo data and concluding discussion are given in Section 5.
2. D i usive A pproxim ation for Interparticle D istribution

W e consider particles hopping on a line, each independently, and on pairw ise encounters sub ject to reaction A + A ! A w ith probability $q$, where $0 \quad q \quad 1$, and to hard-core interaction (i.e., the hopping attem pt fails) w ith probability 1 q. Let c(t) denote particle concentration per unit length at tim e $t$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(0)= \tag{2:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the initial concentration. The interparticle distribution $w$ ill be denoted by $P(x ; t)$. It is proportional to the probability density to nd interparticle distance $x \quad 0$, at tim $e$ t 0. It w ill be nom alized as follow s:

$$
\int_{0}^{\text {Z }} P(x ; t) d x=\frac{C(t)}{}:
$$

W e consider a random intialdistribution of particles

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x ; 0)=e^{x}: \tag{2:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

O uraim is to develop an approxim atedi usion-type description of the tim e-evolution of the distribution $P$. Thus we assum e that the tim e-dependence can be m odeled by a probability balance equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ P}{@ t}=\frac{@ J}{@ x}: \tag{2:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The current $J$ will have the di usive com ponent due to particle hopping,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\mathrm{di}}=2 \mathrm{D} \frac{@ \mathrm{P}}{@ \mathrm{x}}: \tag{2:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere $D$ is the single-particle di usion constant in the dihute lim it, i.e., when the hardcore constraint is ine ective in slow ing dow $n$ the particle hopping. It is well know $n$ that the interparticle distance then evolves w th tw ice the particle di usion constant.

In addition, the evolution of $P$ is a ected by the reaction, which essentially enters via the boundary conditions at $\mathrm{x}=0$, and by the hard-core constraint on the particle $m$ otion during those encounters which do not lead to reaction. Let us consider rst the $e$ ect of the hard core interactions.

On each such hard-core encounter, illustrated by $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}=0$ in F igure 1, the two interparticle distances nearest to the distance betw een the tw o colliding particles, each cannot evolve purely di usively but rather they are driven to shorten. In $F$ igure 1, the interparticle distances $x_{m} \quad 1$ and $x_{m}+1$ are thus correlated $w$ ith each other and $w$ ith the $m$ iddle distance $x_{m}$, $w$ th the correlation being strongest $w$ hen $x_{m}$ approaches zero. W hile the exact $m$ odeling of such correlations $m$ ight be quite com plicated and involve $m$ any-body e ects, it can be $m$ odeled approxim ately, phenom enologically, by assum ing a random (in tim e and in coordinate $x$ ) \driving force" pushing the $x$-distances tow ard the origin of the $x$-axis.

Thus we approxim ately $m$ odel the hard-core e ects by including a drift term in the current. The drift velocity is in the negative-x direction, ofm agnitude conveniently denoted by $v=2 \mathrm{D}\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & \mathrm{q}\end{array}\right) \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{t}):$

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\text {hard tore }}=2 D(1 \quad q) p(t) P(x ; t): \tag{2:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proportionality to $1 \quad q$ is suggested by the fact that this factor is the probability
of the hard-core interaction rather than reaction, on encounter. T he quantity $p(t)$ was introduced to represent the fact that the drift e ect due to the hard-core interactions should be proportionalto the density of particle pairs at contact, given by P ( $0 ; t$ ), which suggests that we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(t)=P(0 ; t): \tag{2:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

F inally, the dim ensionless constant in (2.6) was determ ined by the observation that for no reaction (only hard-core interactions, $q=0$ ) the stationary solution for all tim es m ust be given by (2.3), while the sum of the di usive and hard-core currents, generally given by

$$
J=\quad 2 \mathrm{D} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { q } \tag{2:8}
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{pP}+\frac{@ P}{@ \mathrm{x}} ;
$$

m ust be identically zero for $\mathrm{q}=0$.

Let us introduce the reduced tim e variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { 2D } t: \tag{2:9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we get the equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{@ P}{@}=(1 \quad q) P \frac{@ P}{@ x}+\frac{\varrho^{2} P}{@ x^{2}} ;  \tag{2:10}\\
p()=P(0 ;): \tag{2:11}
\end{gather*}
$$

The rst equation follow sfrom (2.4) w ith (2.8). The solution is well de ned only after the boundary conditions at $\mathrm{x}=0$ are added. The boundary conditions are discussed
in Section 3. N ote how ever that our approxim ate equation is nonlinear. Thus we are going to solve it w ithin an adiabatic approxim ation to be speci ed in Section 4.
3. R adiation Boundary C onditions and U niversality

At $\mathrm{x}=0$, we assum e tim e-dependent radiation boundary conditions [23],

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(0 ; t)=2 \mathrm{D} \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{P}(0 ; \mathrm{t}) ; \quad \mathrm{t}>0 \text {; } \tag{3:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which correspond to partial absorption of the di users. Of course, in our case the \absorption" at the origin $m$ eans the disappearance of an interparticle distance due to coagulation reaction. W e will now address the issue of $m$ odeling the quantity a ( t ) in (3.1).

G enerally, the applicability ofdi usion-type approxim ation schem es im proves when the system is close to the continuum lim it. Speci cally, if the initial density is sm all as com pared to the inverse of the $m$ icroscopic lattice spacing, i.e., ' 1 , and the reaction is fast, ( $1 \quad$ q) 1 , then the continuum approxim ation should becom e $m$ ore accurate. Lattice e ects are m ore signi cant for large particle densities, as well as for slow reactions since the latter are expected to be described by the $m$ ean- eld (latticedependent) expressions for extended tim es. For instantaneous reactions, $q=1$, the hard-core constraint is irrelevant and the description is in term s of an ordinary, linear di usion equation, which then provides an extrem ely accurate approxim ation, exact in the continuum lim it [8]. For a discrete-space $m$ odeling of the di usion-controlled lim it, see [24].

Thus we expect that our approxim ation schem e will also be more accurate for sm aller densities and faster reactions. H ow ever, we cannot fully elim inate the lattioespacing dependence because our aim is to describe the crossover from the lattioedependent $m$ ean- eld behavior for short tim es to the universal, continuum -lim it, uctuation dom inated behavior at large tim es.

In a lattioe hopping-di user model, one can show that the quantity a entering the radiation boundary conditions, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\text {lattice }}=\frac{q}{(1 \quad q)}, 1+0 \frac{v^{\prime}}{D}: \tag{3:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere the absonption probability at the origin, $q$, and the drift velocity tow ards the origin, v, were assum ed constant. Thus initially we can assum e this lattice expression in our m odel as well,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(0)=\frac{q}{(1 \mathrm{q})}, \tag{3:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we neglected the higher-order term s in '.

Q uite generally for the coagulation reaction A + A ! A, one anticipates that the density (1.1) approaches a universalpower-law behavior, independent of the initial density and of the reaction probability $q$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(-\operatorname{large})=p^{1} \text {; } \tag{3:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the universal coe cient has been calculated [8] for the case of instantaneous reaction, $q=1$, corresponding to $a=1$ in the radiation boundary conditions (3.1). $N$ ote that in this lim iting full adsonption case $P(0 ; t>0)=0$ and the problem is just the ordinary di usion on half-line $x \quad 0$ because there is no hard-core induced \drift" current.

O ur num erical M onte C arlo studies, details of which will be reported in Section 5, support the expectation of the universal coe cient in (3.4). A simplistic line of argum ent for this universality appeals to the recurrence property of the one-dim ensional
random walk. O nce two particles $m$ eet at late tim es when the system is dihute, they w ill have m any additionalencounters w ith each other before being $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ ixed" by di usion $w$ th other surrounding particles which are distance of order $1=C(t)$ aw ay. The partial reaction probability is thus expected to be e ectively \renorm alized" to 1.
$N$ ote how ever that the sam e tw o-particle correlation that leads to these repeated encounters also perturbs the density locally. In fact, in the problem of tw o particles (one interparticle distance) which coagulate w ith partial reaction probability, the average particle num ber, initially 2 , exceeds the nal, in nite-tim e value 1 by an am ount proportional to $1={ }^{\mathrm{p}}$ - for large tim es, w ith a nonuniversal coe cient. Thus the universality of the coe cient in (3.4) is unlikely to be explained at the two-particle level only; it $m$ ust be attributed to $m$ any-body uctuation e ects. An important conclusion is that the quantity $a(t)$ in the radiation boundary conditionsm ust be forced to diverge phenom enologically. It cannot get \renom alized" dynam ically w ithin the two-particle relative-distance di usive $m$ odel; the t-dependence of a ( $t$ ) $m$ ust be introduced by hand.

In our calculations we took

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(t)=a(0)=c(t): \tag{3:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This choice was favored because it yielded a convenient closed calculation schem e, to be speci ed shortly. It is also dim ensionally correct. Furthem ore, the e ective reaction probability $q_{\text {e ective }}(t)$, im plied by the choioe (3.5), approaches 1 as $1=\frac{p}{=}$, which seem s reasonable in view of the sim plistic two-particle argum ent presented above.
4. Solution with in the A diabatic A pproxim ation

T he set of relations (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), (2.10), (2.11), (3.1), (3.5), should be solved for $P(x ; t)$ and $c(t)$. In order to $m$ ake the calculation tractable, and given the fact that this whole treatm ent is not exact but just an approxim ation schem e, we w ill treat the problem adiabatically. Thus, we rst solve (2.10) w ith constant p, supplem ented by the boundary conditions (3.1) w ith constant a. G iven the initial condition (2.3), the resulting problem is solved for $P$ ( $x ; t ; p ; a$ ). The relations (2 2), (2.11), (3.5), evaluated w ith this \adiabatic" $P$-fiunction, provide a set of three equations for the quantities $p$, $a, c$, as functions of tim e.

It is convenient to de ne the quantily

$$
b=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & q
\end{array}\right) p:
$$

It is also useful to recall the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\frac{q}{(1 \quad q)}{ }^{\circ} c \text {; } \tag{4:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which follow s from (3.3) and (3.5). Thus we solve the di usion equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ P}{@}=b \frac{@ P}{@ x}+\frac{\varrho^{2} P}{@ x^{2}} ; \tag{4:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the initialcondition (2.3) and the boundary conditions at $x=0$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{~b}) \mathrm{P}(0 ;)=\frac{@ \mathrm{P}}{@ \mathrm{x}}(0 ;) \text { : } \tag{4:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he latter relation follow s from (2.8) and (3.1).

The solution $P(x ; \quad ; a ; b)$ is obtained $w$ ith $\quad$ xed $a$ and $b . ~ R$ ather cum bensom e calculations, not presented in detail here, yield the Laplace Transform of this function in the tim e variable. It tums out that the inverse Laplace Transform of $P(0 ;$; $;$;b) can be obtained in closed form . T he result can then be used to write, by (2.11), the equation


N ote that we essentially have two unknowns, p and c , since b and a are sim ply related to p and c , respectively. T he second equation connecting these two unknowns follow s from (2 2). In conventional di usion problem $s$, relation (2.4) for the probability current can be utilized to reduce the tim e-derivative of (2 2) to the form involving ux at the origin,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d c}{d t}=J(0 ; t) \tag{4:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J(0 ; t)$ can be further replaced by 2 D a $(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{P}(0 ; t)$, via (3.1). The derivation of (4.6) w ould rem ain valid for our m ore com plicated system of relations had we treated斗 exactly. H ow ever, it is not ensured a priori that the approxim ate functions, obtained w ithin our adiabatic schem e, identically satisfy (4.6). Thus despite its suggestive sim plicity, the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d c(t)}{d}=\quad a p ; \tag{4:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which follow s from (4.6), was not utilized w ithin our adiabatic solution schem e. We preferred to use directly the integral form (2.2) which is presum ably more \robust" in
preserving physically reasonable properties w hen setting up approxim ations (see further com $m$ ents in Section 5).

Fortunately, the Laplace Transform of the integral on the left-hand side of (2.2), calculated w thin the adiabatic approxim ation, can be inverted directly. A gain due to complexity of the interm ediate expressions we only quote the nal result, which was further sim pli ed by using (4.5):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{b} \quad \mathrm{a}}{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{p}+\frac{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}}{\mathrm{~b}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~b}}{2} \mathrm{e}^{(\mathrm{b})} \operatorname{erfc} \quad \frac{\mathrm{b}}{2} \mathrm{p}-\frac{\mathrm{b}}{2} \operatorname{erfc} \frac{\mathrm{~b} p}{2}-\quad: \tag{4:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relations (4.5) and (4.8) determ ine the two unknowns p and casfunctions of . They involve a standard error function,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{erfc}(y)=p_{y}^{2} e^{z} e^{z^{2}} d z \tag{4:9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and can be solved straightforw ardly to num erically obtain the plot of, for instance, c( ), and well as closed-form analytical expressions in various lim its.

## 5. R esults and D iscussion

N um erical M onte C arlo data for the coagulation reaction with partial reaction probability were obtained for periodic lattices of size $10^{5}$. T he lattice was random ly populated w th the initial density of particles, `per site. Then the particles hopped random ly and independently, w ith the rate of the hopping attem pts selected to keep the xed single-particle (dilute-lim it) di usion constant, D, value. W hen a particle attem pted to hop to an em pty target (nearest neighbor) site, it was sim ply m oved there. H ow ever if the target site happened to be occupied, the attem pting particle w as left in the original site w ith probability 1 q, or it w as rem oved (representing coagulation at the target site) $w$ ith probability $q$. The results for the density were recorded and averaged over $10^{3}$ independent M onte C arlo runs.

Figure 2 illustrates tw o typical situations. In the case of relatively large initial density and slow reaction, i.e., when the system takes a long tim e to reach continuum lim iting behavior, the approxim ation is sem iquantitative at interm ediate tim es although it is quite accurate for short tim es, and it reproduces the correct universal asym ptotic behavior at large tim es. The latter is not seen in Figure 2, but it can be evaluated analytically; see below.

In the case of low intial density and fast reaction, the continuum lim ting behavior sets in at earlier tim es. O ur approxim ation then follow $s$ the data quantitatively, although there is a sm all deviation at interm ediate tim es. In fact such sm all deviations are present also in the case of the instantaneous reaction [8] which is the optim al continuum -lim iting situation (see further com $m$ ents below ) form odeling by the di usion equation.

For short tim es, relations (4.5) and (4.8) suggest that the functions $c()$ and $p()$
can be expended in the $T$ aylor series in ${ }^{\mathrm{P}}$ - . For instance,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\quad \frac{2 q}{(1 q)},\left[1+(1 \quad q)^{\prime}\right]^{r}-+o(): \tag{5:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he derivation of all.but the leading term $s$ in this and other expansions reported in this section was carried out in $M$ athem atica, a sym bolic com puter language. Speci cally, the $O$ ( ) term in (5.1) has a nonzero, but rather com plicated coe cient.

For the density at short tim es, we derived the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\frac{q^{2}}{(1 q)^{\prime}}+\frac{p^{p-} q^{2}{ }^{2}}{\left.3^{1} q\right)^{2} \imath^{2}}\left[1+(1 \quad q)^{`}\right]^{3=2}+O\left({ }^{2}\right): \tag{5:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The absence of the $O{ }^{1=2}$ term in (5.2) is interesting. Indeed, let us consider the $m$ ean- eld rate equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{dc}}{\mathrm{~d}}=\quad \quad \mathrm{c} ; \tag{5:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the rate constant is denoted by . The solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{c}=\frac{2}{1+}=\quad 2+23^{2}+0 \quad 3: \tag{5:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the expansion (52) suggests that the mean- eld behavior applies \initially," in that the density has the $m$ ean- eld slope, corresponding to the rate constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{q}{(1 \quad q)}=a(0) ; \tag{5:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the latter expression probably applies m ore generally than for our particular choice of $a(t)$; cf. relations (3.3), (3.5), (4.7). In fact, the form of (4.7) suggests that
the $m$ ean- eld approxim ation which am ounts to assum ing that the probability of two particles to react is proportional to $c^{2}$, in fact takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(0 ; t)^{\prime} P_{m \text { ean }-e l d}(0 ; t)=\frac{c^{2}(t)}{}: \tag{5:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the short-tim e expansion coe cientsdiverge asq! 1. In fact our expression for $c()$ then coincides w th the sim ple-di usion results [8] for the instantaneousreaction case. The $m$ ean- eld approxim ation then breaks dow $n$ for allt 0 ; see [8] for details.

W e now tum to the large-tim e behavior. The functions $p()$ and $c()$ can be expanded in asym ptotic series in powers of ${ }^{1=2}$ in this lim it. $N$ ot surprisingly, the expansion is ill-de ned for $q=0$. Assum ing $q>0$, we derived the rst two leading term s ,

$$
\begin{gather*}
c()^{\prime} p \underline{1}+\frac{(1 q)^{\prime}}{q} ;  \tag{5:7}\\
p()^{\prime} \frac{(1 \quad q)^{\prime}}{2{ }^{2} q^{2}}+\frac{(1 \quad q)^{2}}{{ }^{2} q^{2}{ }^{3=2}} 5=2 \tag{5:8}
\end{gather*}
$$

The leading term in $c()$ is universal as expected.
Finally, both for short tim es and for large tim es, we checked that to order and $1={ }^{2}$, in the respective expansions, the relation (4.7) in not violated by the adiabaticapproxim ation results, i.e., the di erence of the left-and right-hand sides is of order higher than the powers indicated. H ow ever, no carefiul num erical or analytical tests were attem pted at interm ediate tim es.

In summary we proposed an analytical approxim ation scheme to describe the crossover from the initially $m$ ean- eld to asym ptotically uctuation-dom inated behav-
ior in one-dim ensional tw o-particle coagulation reactions. T he resulting expressions are not sim ple. H ow ever, they suggest the form of the sm all- and large-tim e behaviors, i.e., the appropriate variable com binations which are presum ably quite general. They also indicate that any \scaling-form " description of the crossover m ust allow for at least tw o scaling com binations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{Dt}} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\mathrm{q}}{\mathrm{q} \overline{\mathrm{Dt}}}(1 \mathrm{q}), \tag{5:9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The approxim ation schem e used in this work is not an obvious \leading order" in a system atic expansion but rather a phenom enologicalclosed-form m odel. It is hoped that this rst theoretical study will stim ulate further work on partial-reaction-probability di usion-reaction system $s$ and generally on the $m$ ean- eld to uctuation-dom inated crossover in nonequilibrium dynam icalm odels.
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Fig.1. A schem atic representation of the di usive m otion of four particles. W hen two $m$ iddle particles run into each other but do not react, their interparticle spacing, $x_{m}$, bounces o zero, while the nearby intenparticle distances, $x_{n} 1$, receive a \push" tow ards zero.

Fig. 2. N um ericalM onte C arlo results, solid lines, com pared to the approxim ate density $c$ ( ) calculated from (4.5) and (4.8), dashed lines. T he upper curves correspond to a typical case of initially strong lattice e ects, $=0: 8$ and $q=0: 1$ (large initial density and slow reaction), w hile the low er curves correspond to the case of nearcontinuum initialconditions, $=0: 1$ and $q=0: 9$, i.e., low density and fast reaction. T he latter data w ere $m$ ultiplied by 2 for better resolution. T he densities are in units of $1=$ ', while is $m$ easured in units of ${ }^{12}$.

