## Delocalization of Flux Lines from Extended Defects by Bulk R andom ness

Leon Balents Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138

M ehran K ardar P hysics D epartm ent, M assachusetts Institute of Technology, C am bridge, M A 02139 (D ecem ber 31, 2021)

We study the delocalization by bulk random ness of a single ux line (FL) from an extended defect, such as a columnar pin or twin plane. In three dimensions, the FL is always bound to a planar defect, while there is an unpinning transition from a columnar pin. Transfer matrix simulations con m this picture, and indicate that the divergence of the localization length from the columnar defect is governed by a liberation exponent  $_{?} = 1.3$  0.6, for which a \mean-eld" estimate gives  $_{?}$  0.78. The results, and their extensions, are compared to other theories. The e ects may be observable in thin samples close to H <sub>c1</sub>.

74.60 Ge, 74.40.+ k,74.20 H i,64.60 Fr

It is now well known that uctuations can drastically change the nature of the Abrikosov phase of type II superconductors. Therm all disorder can melt the vortex lattice, forming a ux liquid at both low and high vortex densities [1]. Quenched random ness also leads to new behavior [2], which depends upon its degree of correlation. Point defects, such as vacancies or interstitials, encourage line wandering, and may lead to the form ation of a glassy phase with a non-zero critical current, called a vortex glass  $\beta$ ]. In the extrem e low density lim it of a single ux line (FL), analytical [4] and num erical [5] work has shown that this is indeed the case, though the existence of the vortex glass away from H<sub>c1</sub> is still unclear. M ore recently, the experim ental creation of \colum nar," or linear, pinning sites [6] has inspired theoretical treatm ent of the resulting \Bose glass" phase [7]. Tw in planes, planar defects which occur naturally as a type of grain boundary, may lead to a di erent phase [7].

In this letter, we study the behavior of an individual ux line in the presence of both point disorder and a single extended defect (e.g.a colum narpin; see Fig.1). The free energy of a d-dim ensional FL of length L interacting with an n dim ensional extended defect is

$$F = \int_{0}^{Z} \frac{dz}{2} \left( \frac{dx}{dz} \right)^{2} + V_{R} (x(z);z) (x_{2}, (z)) ; (1)$$

where x (z)  $2 <^{d-1}$  is the displacement of the ux line, x<sub>2</sub> (z)  $2 <^{d-n}$  is the component perpendicular to the defect, ~ is the sti ness, (x<sub>2</sub>) is a short-range potential concentrated near x<sub>2</sub> = 0, and V<sub>R</sub> (x;z) is a random potential representing the point disorder, with

$$hV_R(x;z)V_R(x^0;z^0)i = {}^2(x x^0)(z z^0):$$
 (2)

For n = 1 or n = 2, this model describes pinning by a columnar or a planar defect, respectively.

3, the wanderings of a single ux line (FL) In d are controlled by the random potential due to impurities. W hile in higher dimensions, a line freely uctuating due to therm ale ects is stable to weak random ness [4], in this paper we consider mainly situations where the random ness is strong enough to be relevant. In such cases, the behavior at long length scales is dom inated by a zero tem perature xed point [3]. However, even at T = 0, there can be a non-trivial transition between ux lines localized or free from the extended defect [8]. The zero tem perature phase transition also governs the singular behavior at nite tem peratures. The relevance of disorder, and the dom inance of zero tem perature xed points simplies certain aspects of the calculation. The partition sum over all therm ally uctuating con gurations is dom inated by the optim al path which m inim izes Eq.1, now reqarded as an energy.

The behavior of the FL in the absence of the extended defect, = 0, is well-understood from extensive

theoretical and num erical work [4,9,5]. The energy per unit length is self-averaging and approaches a constant, E (L)=L ! hE (L)i=L  $E_0$ : F luctuations in energy and transverse extension also grow with length (albeit m ore slow ly), and are described by non-trivial pow er-law s

$$E AL' and x BL;$$
 (3)

where for short-range correlated disorder, the exponents ! and depend only on d and obey ! = 2 1 [10]. is exactly 2=3 in d = 2, approximately 0.61 in d = 3, and gradually reduces to 1/2 in higher dimensions [5].

First, we obtain a lower critical dimension by considering if the delocalized FL is stable to in nitesimal pinning, . If the FL is conned by the defect, following Nattermann and Lipowsky [11] the localization length, ', is estimated by minimizing the FL energy

$$E (L;') = L = '^{d n} + A L = '^{\frac{1}{2}} E_0 L:$$
 (4)

The rst term is the attractive contribution from the defect, while the second term uses Eq.3 to account for the energy cost of con ning the FL into  $L = 1^{1}$  regions of length  $1^{1}$  and width '. Whether or not the pinning term is dominant at large distances determ ines its relevance. A weak potential is irrelevant for  $d > d_1$ , where  $d_1$  is the lower critical dimension, dened by

$$(d_1 \quad n) = 1 \quad !:$$
 (5)

U sing the above estimates of ,  $d_1 = 2$  for columnar defects (n = 1), while  $3 < d_1 < 4$  for planar defects (n = 2). A single ux line in three dimensions is thus always pinned by a planar defect. When weak pinning is relevant, m inimizing Eq.4 yields a localization length that diverges for small as

$$\frac{0}{2}$$
; where  $\frac{0}{2} = \frac{1}{1 \cdot (d \cdot n)}$ : (6)

For the planar defect,  $\frac{0}{2}$  3:6. These results are also obtained by power counting in Eq.1, taking into account the rescaling of temperature.

For  $d > d_1$ , there is a transition between a delocalized phase for small and a localized phase for large . At such a transition, the localization length diverges as

where  $(_{c})=_{c}$  is the reduced pinning strength. This divergence is accompanied by singular behavior in the energy,

$$(hE_{s}(L;)i+E_{0}L)=L^{1}; \qquad (8)$$

which de nes a \heat capacity" exponent . For the borderline dimension  $d_1 = 2$  for n = 1, numerical simulations indicate a depinning transition as the defect energy is reduced [8].

To construct a mean eld (MF) theory of delocalization, consider a FL very near the transition point on the localized side. Such a line makes large excursions away from the defect, forming \bubbles" of typical size z and z in the longitudinal and transverse directions (see Fig.1). For large z, the energy of a single bubble relative to a pinned segment is

$$E(z) = (E_0)z \quad Az':$$
 (9)

The rst term is the energy cost (per unit length) of leaving the defect to wander in the bulk; the second term is a typical excess energy gain available (at scale z) due to a favorable arrangement of impurities. M inimizing this energy gives the scaling z \* and \* ?, with

$$_{k}$$
 (M F) = 1=(1 !); and  $_{?}$  (M F) = =(1 !): (10)

A sum ing that the probability of encountering a favorable bubble is independent of its length z, the total num – ber of favorable bubbles is proportional to L=z. The energy of the FL thus scales as

$$E(L) / E_0 L + \frac{L}{z} E(z)$$
 (E<sub>0</sub> + )L: (11)

The corresponding heat capacity exponent, = 0, satis es a modi ed hyperscaling form, 1 =  $(1 \ !)_k$ , appropriate to a zero tem perature xed point.

W e know of no obvious way of determ ining the upper critical dimension for the validity of the MF argument. In low dimensions, the argument may break down in a number of ways. The energy of a bubble con guration, in which interior returns are not allowed, may be di erent from Eq.9. Intersections of the defect and the FL become probable when the sum of their fractal dimensions, n + 1 =, is greater than d, yielding a critical dimension  $d_i$ , which satis es

$$(d_u n) = 1:$$
 (12)

For columnar defects,  $2 < d_u < 3$ , while for planar defects,  $3 < d_u < 4$ . The above result is certainly a lower bound for the true upper critical dimension, but it is in – portant to realize that for the therm all depinning transition it incorrectly gives  $d_u = n = 2$ , rather than the exact result of  $d_u = n = 4$ . O ther factors, such as a renorm alization of the linear term in Eq.9 by smaller scale bubbles or correlations between adjacent bubbles, may lead to a higher  $d_u$  for the disorder-induced delocalization as well. Taken at face value, the above result in plies that the case of a columnar pin in three dimensions falls in the meaneld regime. Application of Eq.10 then gives  $_2 = 0.78$ , using the numerical values for and ! in d = 3 [5].

We now describe two approaches that attempt to go beyond the MF treatment. In the  $\necklacemodel"$  applied by Lipow sky and F isher (LF) to the borderline case of n = 1 and d = 2 [13], the FL partition function is decomposed into con gurations with all possible sequences of pinned and unpinned (bubble) segments, and calculated by Laplace transform s. For therm aldelocalization, since the partial partition functions of pinned and unpinned segments are known, this can be done exactly. In the presence of random ness, the partition functions are not known exactly, and furtherm ore are them selves random quantities. LF propose using pre-averaged form s that depend only on the exponents and ! . A lthough it is unclear that such pre-averaging faithfully reproduces the desired quenched quantities, LF's results agreed with both replica [8] and numerical [14] treatments of that case. A straightforward extension of their treatment to generaln and d, [15] gives

These results are substantially dimensions in both low and high dimensions. In particular, for the columnar pin in d = 3, the necklace model gives  $_{?}$  (NL) 2.8. (Hwa has obtained the results of Eq.13 from a dimension approach [18].)

A recent preprint [16] by K olom eisky and Straley (K S) treats this problem for n = 1 by the renorm alization group (RG), and concludes

$$\stackrel{8}{\geq} \frac{8}{1 \cdot (d \cdot 1)} \quad \text{for} 0 < (1 \cdot 1) < 1 \cdot !$$

$$\stackrel{?}{\leftarrow} \stackrel{\text{(K S)}=}{\stackrel{?}{\rightarrow}} \frac{1}{(d \cdot 1) \cdot (1 \cdot 1)} \quad \text{for} 1 \cdot ! < (d \cdot 1) < 2 \cdot 2! \quad (14)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{(I 1)}}{\stackrel{1}{\rightarrow} 1} \quad \text{for} 2 \cdot 2! < (d \cdot 1) \quad .$$

These results coincide with ours in the rst regime, and at high dimensions. However, we remain unconvinced about the identi cation of the upper critical dimension, and the exponents in the intermediate regime [19]. For the case of the columnar pin in three dimensions, Eq.14 gives  $_{2}$  (KS) 1:5.

To di erentiate between these theories, we exam ined the problem num erically for colum nar and planar defects in three dimensions by a transfer-matrix method, which locates the optim alpath exactly in a strip of nite width. To enhance perform ance, we chose the z direction along the diagonal of a cubic lattice, with random energies on the bonds. The energies of optim alpaths term inating at position x at height z obey the recursion relation

$$E (x; z+1) = \min_{j \in x^0; z=1} fE (x^0; z) + (x; x^0; z) \qquad (x_?)g; (15)$$

where  $(x;x^0;z)$  is a random energy for the bond connecting x and  $x^0$  at height z, and  $(x_2)$  is an appropriate lattice delta function indicating when the FL is on the defect. The above recursive computation is polynom ial in the length L, allow ing us to exam ine very long lines (L = 2.5  $10^6$  lattice constants with a transverse width W = 250 lattice units). The z-averaged end-point

displacem ent from the defect settles to a constant value,  $hx_{2}^{2}i_{z}$  $(W)^2$ : In the localized phase, (W) converges to a nite value as W ! 1, while in the delocalized phase, it grows as '(W) / W. By examining '(W) for several pinning energies and widths W, we nd clear evidence for a depinning transition from a colum narpin, but none from a planar defect (see Fig. 2), consistent with our prediction for  $d_1$ . Log-log ts to power-law form s yield  ${}^{0}_{2} = 2:3$  0:1 for n = 2 and  ${}^{2}_{2} = 1:3$ 0**:**6 for n = 1 (see Fig. 3). D istance from the critical region may cause additional system atic errors. The curvature in Fig. 3 suggests that this is indeed the case for the planar defect, which may explain the discrepancy with the result of Eq.6. Given the result for n = 1, it is tempting to rule out Eq.13. However, we must caution that related num erical sim ulations [14] in d = 2, see an e ective exponent of  $_{2} = 1$  (the mean-eld estimate), before reaching the true asymptotic value of  $_{?} = 2$ . Resolution of this issue requires m ore extensive simulations.

The actual situation in superconductors is com plicated by several factors. Both defects and FLs appear at nite densities and with lengths limited by the sample thickness. First consider a single FL in a random set of colum narpins. The FL can be unpinned from a particular pin by two mechanisms. W hen the localization length, ', becom es com parable to the typical defect separation  $d^{1=2}$ , the FL can freely wander between pins. (It ď m ay still be collectively localized by random ness in the distribution of columnar pins at a much larger length scale.) The second mechanism, originally described in the context of the bose glass [17], involves a long-range hopping mechanism in which a FL wanders to a distant pin. The energy cost of such a move (/  $L^{1=3}$ ) is compensated by the uctuations in pinning energy (/  $L^{1=2} = \sqrt{b}$  with b = 3 = (2) 2). A long enough sample,  $L_{hop}$  /  $\sqrt{2.8}$ , will have su ciently many favorable L regions to allow such hops. The pinning e ects described in this paper, are thus applicable only for L  $L_{hop}$  and 'd.A nite density of FLs introduces another length  $_{\rm FL}^{\rm 1=2}$  . The dilute bose glass for  $`_{\rm FL}$ scale '<sub>FL</sub> 'd is subject to the same constraints, and is unstable to the above hopping mechanism [17] for L  $L_{hop}$  . In the over dense lim it of 'FL 'd, the hopping mechanism is no longer relevant. A rem nant of the unpinning transition m ay still be observed for ` 'FL. Sim ilar considerations hold for the case of grain boundary pinning.

C olum nar pins and grain boundaries are very e ective sources of FL pinning in superconductors. However, point defects in the bulk provide a competing mechanism for unpinning FLs from these extended defects. We provide analytical and num erical arguments that the FL is alwayspinned to a planar defect, but that there is a transition in the case of the colum nar pin. The requirements for observation of the transition and the localized phase can be satis ed close to H<sub>cl</sub>, although the size of this region in the cuprite materials is extremely narrow. It is hoped that more sensitive probes will be developed to investigate these and other subtle elects in this regime.

W e acknow ledge discussions with T.Hwa and D.R. Nelson. This research was supported by the NSF through grant num ber DMR  $\{90\{01519.$ 

- [L] D. R. Nelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 1973 (1988); D. R.
   Nelson and H. S. Seung, Phys. Rev. B 39, 9153 (1989).
- [2] A.I.Larkin and Y.N.Ovchinikov, J.Low Temp. Phys. 34,409 (1979).
- [3] M.P.A.Fisher, Phys.Rev.Lett 62, 1415 (1989); D.S.
   Fisher, M.P.A.Fisher, and D.A.Huse, Phys.Rev.B 43, 130 (1991).
- [4] M.Kardar and Y.-C.Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 2087 (1987).
- [5] J. K rug and H. Spohn in Solids Far From Equilibrium: G rowth, M orphology and D effects edited by C.G odreche (C am bridge U niversity P ress, N ew York 1990).
- [6] L. Civale, A. D. Marwick, T. K. Worthington, M. A. Kirk, J. R. Thompson, L. Krusin-Elbaum, Y. Sum, J. R. Clem and F. Holtzberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 648 (1991).
- [7] D. R. Nelson and V. M. Vinokur, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 2398 (1992).
- [8] M.Kardar, Phys. Rev. Lett 55, 2235 (1985); Nucl. Phys.
   B 290 582 (1987).
- [9] D. S. Fisher and D. A. Huse, Phys. Rev B 43, 10728 (1991).
- [10] E.Medina, T.Hwa, M.Kardar, and Y.-C.Zhang, Phys. Rev.B 39, 3053 (1989).
- [11] T. N attern ann and R. Lipowsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 1508 (1988).
- [12] M.E.Fisher, J.Stat. Phys. 34, 667 (1984).
- [13] R.Lipowsky and M.E.Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 472 (1986).
- [14] M. Zapotocky and T. Halpin-Healy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 3463 (1991); J.W uttke and R. Lipowsky, Phys. Rev. B 44, 13042 (1991).
- [15] L.Balents and M.Kardar, unpublished.
- [16] E.Kolom eisky and J.Straley, preprint (1992).
- [17] T.Hwa and D.R.Nelson, preprint (1992).
- [18] T.Hwa, private communication.
- [19] It is possible that K S use the perturbative R G equations beyond their range of validity. In particular, they conclude a marginal relevance of , and hence the absence of a depinning transition in d = 2. This is in disagreement with the numerical simulations of [8,14], and the non-perturbative RG of [18].

FIG.1. A ux line localized around a colum narpin in three dimensions (d = 3, n = 1). W hite portions of the FL indicate when it is on the defect.

FIG.2. '(W) for various widths and defect energies for (a) the columnar pin and (b) the planar defect. The bond energies were uniform by distributed integers between 0 and 4095. The region of rapid change near  $_{\rm c}$  375 indicates the transition for the columnar pin, while no such feature is present in the case of the planar defect.

FIG.3. Logarithm ic plots of the localization length 'versus the reduced pinning strength for (a) the colum narpin and (b) the planar defect. The di erent curves in (a) account for the uncertain value of  $_{\rm c}$ .