H istogram M onte C arlo study of multicritical behavior in the hexagonal easy-axis H eisenberg antiferrom agnet

A.Mailhot, M.L.Plumer, and A.Caille

Centre de Recherche en Physique du Solide et Departem ent de Physique Universite de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Quebec, Canada J1K 2R1

(M arch 1993)

Abstract

The results of a detailed histogram M onte-C arlo study of critical- uctuation e ects on the magnetic- eld temperature phase diagram associated with the hexagonal H eisenberg antiferrom agnet with weak axial anisotropy are reported. The multiphase point where three lines of continuous transitions m erge at the spin- op boundary exhibits a structure consistent with scaling theory but without the usual um bilicus as found in the case of a bicritical point.

75.40 Mg, 75.30 Kz, 75.40 Cx

Typeset using REVT_EX

The frustration of antiferrom agnetically coupled sites in a triangular array gives rise to noncolinear spin order and magnetic-eld tem perature phase diagram swhich exhibit a rich variety of structure.^{1,2} In the case of a hexagonal lattice with weak (c-axis) axial anisotropy, a novel type of multicritical point occurs at which three lines of continuous transitions merge at the spin-op and param agnetic phase boundaries. A lthough the full phase diagram has been observed only in the quasi-one-dimensional hexagonal antiferrom agnet C sN iC l_{a} sim ilar behavior is expected in¹ R bN iC l_{2} , C sM n I_{3} , and C sN iB r_{3} . For ross features of the experimental results for C sN iC la have been reproduced by the analysis of a phenomenological Landau-type free energy constructed from symmetry arguments.⁸ In zero eld, two continuous transitions occur, identi ed by the components of the spin vector M .9 As the tem perature is low ered from the param agnetic phase 1, a linear phase 2 with M $_z$ (where zkc) is stabilized at T_{N1} , and at $T_{N2} < T_{N1}$ an elliptically polarized phase 3 with M_z and M_x occurs. In a magnetic eld applied along the caxis, a rst-order spin- op transition to a helically polarized phase 4 with M $_{\rm x}$ and M $_{\rm v}$ is found. Each of the ordered states is characterized by a period-three modulation in the basal plane and a period-two structure along the caxis. (The helical phase 4 is similar to the well-known 120 spin struture of triangular antiferrom agnets). Scaling analysis of the multicritical point where phase 1, 2, 3, and 4 meet suggests that the behavior of all the three lines of continuous transitions are governed by the same crossover exponent .¹⁰ It is the purpose of the present work to exam ine in detail this behavior by m eans of accurate histogram M onte C arb simulations of the anisotropic Heisenberg antiferrom agnet.

The easy-axis H am iltonian studied here is given by¹¹

$$H = J_k \sum_{\substack{\langle ij \rangle}}^{X} S_i S_j$$
(1)

where $J_k > 0$ and $J_2 > 0$ are the nearest-neighbor antiferrom agnetic exchange interactions along the caxis and in the basal plane, respectively, D < 0 is the single-ion anisotropy, and H is magnetic eld applied along the caxis. A lthough $J_k >> J_2$ for C sN iC l_2 , we consider here the isotropic case $J_k = J_2 = 1$ for simplicity and since M onte-C arlo simulations of m odels with strongly anisotropic parameters require considerably m ore computing e ort. For this reason, we also chose a value for D = 0.2, which is small enough for our purposes; the ground states which occur as a function of H are consistent with those observed experimentally.¹¹ (In contrast with the case D = 1, for example, which yields a phase diagram with a completely di erent structure.^{1;11}) The present work serves to compliment and extend the M onte C arlo studies perform ed at H = 0 on this and similar H am iltonians,^{12;13} as well as one cursory examination of the phase diagram .¹⁴

This study was made in an attempt to accurately estimate the phase-boundary lines close to the multicritical point at (T_m ; H_m). It was anticipated to be a numerically challenging problem since uctuations involving all three components of M are important in this region of the phase diagram. The Ferrenberg-Swendsen histogram method of M onte C arlo simulations o ers the possibility of the precise determination of transition points by the temperature at which extrem a occur in therm odynamic functions for nite-size system s.¹⁵ R elevant components of the staggered susceptibility, de ned according to the components of the order parameter M involved in the transition of interest,¹¹ were used for this purpose. Simulations were performed on a lattice of size 12 12 12. Runs of 12 10⁶ M onte C arlo steps per spin were made, with the initial 2 10⁵ steps discarded for therm alization. For a given value of magnetic eld, single histogram swere made at one or more T to ensure that the maxim a in the susceptibility occured close to at least one simulation temperature.

The results shown in Fig. 1 con m the general stucture determ ined by the phenom enological Landau m odel⁶ as well as by a m olecular- eld treatm ent of the H am iltonian (1).¹ (In the latter case, two di erent types of linear and elliptical states (2A, 2B, 3A, and 3B) were distinguished by a relative phase angle, as in the M onte C arlo studies at $H = 0.^{12;13}$ Such distinctions are beyond, and not relevant to, the goals of the present work.) The detailed behavior near the multicritical point at $T_m = 0.915(5)$, $H_m = 2.62(4)$, how ever, is quite di erent from both m ean- eld results. There is clear indication that both the 1-2 and 1-4 transition lines approach this point with slopes that are the same in m agnitude as that of the 3-4 spin- op line. This is an e ect of critical uctuations and is predicted by scaling theory.¹⁰ Less clear is that the 2-3 transition line exhibits the sam e predicted tendency. A remarkable feature of the 1-4 line is its initial curvature to the left as the eld increases. In the usual case of the bicritical point associated with unfustrated antiferrom agnets with weak axial anisotropy, only two critical lines are involved.¹⁶ These also approach this point asymptotically with slopes that are the sam e in magnitude as the spin- op line and are governed by the sam e crossover exponent \cdot . In contrast with the present case, both of these lines have always been found to approach the bicritical point from the right, forming an um bilicus structure.¹⁷ This behavior has also been observed in M onte C arlo simulations.¹⁸ There appears to be no argument from scaling theory, however, that relates the signs of the initial slopes of critical lines emanating from a multicritical point. We note that this opposite-slope behavior has not been observed in C sN if l_3 . This is not surprising since the m odel parameters used in here are not relevant for quasi-one-dimensional materials, a feature which may obscure this unusual e ect.

In conclusion, these M onte C ando results demonstrate that signi cant critical- uctuation e ects are associated with this novel multicritical point. W hile there are strong symmetry arguments to support the conclusion m ade in R ef. 10 that the 1-2 and 2-3 transitions belong to the xy and Ising universality classes, respectively, the nature of the 1-4 transition, and that of the multicritical point itself, remain somewhat unsetteled. The interpretation m ade in R ef. 10 is that these transitions are related to the new chiral universality classes proposed by K awam ura.¹⁹ (The resulting crossover-exponent value ' 1.04 is not inconsistent with the results of F ig. 1.) A zaria et al^{20 {23} have argued that such transitions exhibit nonuniversal critical behavior, where a rst-order, m ean-eld tricritical or 0 (4) universality can occur. It is not clear what type of scaling behavior for the multicritical point can be expected in these cases.

4

ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

This work was supported by NSERC of Canada and FCAR du Quebec.

REFERENCES

Present address: INRS-EAU, 2800 rue Einstein, C.P. 7500, Sainte-Foy, Quebec, Canada G 1V 4C7.

- ¹M L.P lum er and A.Caille, J.Appl. Phys. 70, 5961 (1991).
- ² M L.P lum er, A.Caille, and K.Hood, Phys. Rev. B 39, 4489 (1989).
- ³ P.B. Johnson, J.A. Rayne, and S.A. Friedberg, J. Appl. Phys. 50, 1853 (1979).
- ⁴ M. Poirier, A. Caille, and M. L. Plumer, Phys. Rev. B 41, 4869 (1990).
- ⁵ H.Kadowaki, T.Inami, Y.Ajiro, K.Nakajima, and Y.Endoh, J.Phys. Soc. Japan 60, 1708 (1991).
- ⁶S.Maegawa, T.Kohmoto, T.Goto, and N.Fujiwara, Phys. Rev.B 44, 12617 (1991).
- ⁷ M. Chiba, Y. A jiro, H. Kikuchi, S. Maegawa, and T. Morimoto, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 61, 1758 (1992).
- ⁸ M L.P lum er, K. Hood, and A. Caille, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 45 (1988).
- ⁹X.Zhu and M.B.Walker, Phys.Rev.B 36, 3830 (1987).
- ¹⁰ H.Kawamura, A.Caille, and M.L.Plumer, Phys. Rev. B 41, 4416 (1990).
- ¹¹ A.Mailhot, M.L.Plumer, and A.Caille, J.Appl. Phys. 67, 5418 (1990).
- ¹² S. Miyashita, to be published in Computer Simulation Studies in Condensed Matter Physics IV, edited by D.P. Landau, K.K. Mon and H.B. Schuttler (Springer-Verlag, Berlin).

¹³ T.O hyam a and H.Shiba, J.Phys. Soc. Japan 61, 4174 (1992).

¹⁴GA.Petrakovski, N.V.Fedoseeva, S.S.Aplesnin, and V.K.Korolev, Sov.Phys.Solid State 31, 1372 (1990).

- ¹⁵ A M. Ferrenberg and R.H. Swendsen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 2635 (1988); A M. Ferrenberg and D.P. Landau, Phys. Rev. B 44, 5081 (1991); JN. Reimers, JE. Greedan, and M. Bjorgvinsson, Phys. Rev. B 45, 7295 (1992).
- ¹⁶ M E.Fisher, Phys Rev. Lett. 34, 1634 (1975); JM.Kosterlitz, D.R.Nelson, and M.E. Fisher, Phys.Rev.B 13, 412 (1976).
- ¹⁷Y.Shapira, J.Appl.Phys. 57, 3268 (1985).
- ¹⁸ D.P. Landau and K.Binder, Phys Rev. B 17, 2328 (1978).
- ¹⁹ H. Kawamura, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 55, 2095 (1986); Phys. Rev. B 38, 4916 (1988); ibid.
 42, 2610 (E) (1990); J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 61, 1299 (1992); ibid 59, 2305 (1990).
- ²⁰ P. Azaria, B. Delam otte, and T. Jolicoeur, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 3175 (1990); J. Appl. Phys. 69, 6170 (1991).
- ²¹ T. Bhattacharya, A. Bilbire, R. Lacaze, and Th. Jolicoeur, Phys. Rev. B (submitted).

²² M L.P lum er, A.M ailhot, and A.Caille, Phys. Rev. Lett. (submitted).

²³ A.M ailhot, M L.P lum er, and A.C aille, unpublished.

FIGURES

FIG.1. Phase diagram with H kzkc near the multicritical point at $T_m = 0.915(5)$, $H_m = 2.62(4)$ as determined by M onte C arlo simulations (points). Indicated are the paramagnetic phase 1, linear phase 2 with M_z, elliptical phase 3 with M_z; M_x and spin-opped helical phase 4 with M_x; M_y.