Spontaneous Spin-Polarization of Ballistic E lectrons in Single M ode Q uantum W ires due to Spin-Splitting

Gerhard Fasoland Hiroyuki Sakaki

Quantum Wave Project (ERATO), JRDC,

Keyaki House 302, 4-3-24 Kom aba, Meguro {ku, Tokyo 153, Japan

and

Research Center for Advanced Science and Technology (RCAST) University of Tokyo, 4-6-1 Kom aba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153, Japan

We show that a quantum wire device with spin splitting can work as an active spin polarizer. Hot electrons in one 'spin' subband (e.g. 'spin-up') may pass such a device with weak electron pair scattering, while electrons in the opposite subband ('spindown') may have high conversion probability into the 'spin-up' subband, resulting in spin polarization of a hot electron beam. Under di erent circum stances a hot electron beam passing through a single mode quantum wire may induce a steady state magnetization of the background electron gas in a section of the wire weakly coupled to the environment.

PACS numbers: 73.40.-c, 72.10.Bg

Typeset Using REVTEX

In the present letter we predict two related new e ects, achievable in devices based on single mode quantum wires with spin splitting of the electron bands: (1) a quantum wire structure, acting as an active spin polarizer for hot electrons, and (2) a device structure where a beam of hot electrons induces a steady state magnetization of the background electron gas in a section of the quantum wire. These e ects allow the construction of several new devices and experiments. One possibility is the exploration of the spin resolved band structure and magnetic properties of quantum dots and other microstructures.

Transport in electron wave guides and in quantum wires is presently an active area of research (for a review see R ef. [1]). It is expected, that the transition from two-dimensional (2D) to single mode one-dimensional (1D) systems will show even more dramatic e ects than the step from three dimensions (3D) to 2D. Two interesting e ects are predicted here. We are here mainly concerned with transport in narrow single mode high mobility quantum wires (i.e. with a width around 10nm) and carriers with an excess energy (typically a few meV) above the Ferm i energy, although we expect that the present results can be more generally applied.

Fig. 1 introduces electron pair scattering processes in Single mode' quantum wires. This type of process breaks the electron phase. A lihough not ipping electron spin directly, it acts e ectively like a spin ip process. The scattering partners must be in di erent 'spin' subbands | in a strictly 1D quantum wire, rst order electron pair scattering is forbidden for partners in the same spin subband. (In 2D, for com parison, pair scattering for electrons in the same spin subband is not forbidden, but expected to be about 50% weaker than for pairs with partners in opposite spin subbands [2]).

The bands in bulk sem iconductors lacking inversion symmetry are in general spin-split in zero magnetic eld, with splitting term sproportional to k jand k j³ [3]. In group III-V quantum wells or hetero-structures there are additional spin splitting terms due to microscopic electric elds and con nem ent [4] [5]. Spin splitting in 2D has recently been experimentally demonstrated by Raman scattering [6] and in transport [7] [8]. Fascinating new transport elects due to spin splitting have been predicted recently [9]. Splitting of the spin subbands

2

is also expected for quantum wires. In quantum wires, the wave functions of the two spin split subbands will have mixed character. We label spin-up' and spin-down' bands here according to the major contribution.

Fig. 2 (a) shows a typical electron-electron pair scattering process in a quantum wire with spin-splitting. An electron in the 'spin-up' subband at (p_1 ;") scatters with a spindown electron at (k_1 ;#), resulting in a hole at (k_1 ;#), and electrons at ($k_1 \quad q_1$;#) and at ($p_1 + q_1$;"). This process has a much lower probability than a sin ilar process for an electron in the 'spin-down' subband, because the nal state ($p_1 + q_1$;") has a high probability of being occupied, while the state k_1 has a high probability of being empty. Therefore, an electron injected into the 'spin-up' subband is likely to pass the quantum wire without scattering. An electron ($k_2 \quad q_2$;#) injected into the 'spin-down' subband, on the other hand, has an increased scattering probability as shown in Fig.2 (b). There is a high probability that an injected electron in the 'spin-down' subband is converted into a hot 'spin-up' subband electron at sim ilar energy. Therefore the quantum wire can act as an active electron polarizer. This e ect relies on the k dependence of the spin splitting, mentioned above. The polarizer e ect survives mixing of the spin subband wave functions due to con nement.

For our calculation we express the scattering rate for an electron at wavevector p with spin as:

$$\frac{1}{e^{e}}_{p;} = \frac{2}{h} \sum_{k\neq q}^{X} f_{k;0} (1 \quad f_{k,q;0}) (1 \quad f_{p+q;}) \frac{hk}{p+q;} \frac{q; \ 0; p+q; \ JV \ Jk; \ 0; p; \ 1}{(q; (E_{p}; E_{p+q;}) = h)}$$

$$(E_{p+q;} + E_{k,q;0} \quad E_{p;} \quad E_{k;0})$$
(1)

where hk q; 0 ; p + q; j jk; 0 ; p; i = $e^{2}F_{ijkl}^{1D}(q w) = (L_{0}r)$ is the 1D Coulomb interaction matrix element. $F_{ijkl}^{1D}(q w)$ is the Coulomb Form factor, calculated by numerical integration, and w is the wire width. The dielectric function (q; $(E_{p}; E_{p+q};)=h)$ is calculated by integrating Ehrenreich's expression taking account of nite temperature and the spin-split electron band structure including non-parabolicity. The spin subband dependence of the electron pair scattering rates reported in this letter is caused by the Ferm ipopulation factor $f_{k; 0}$ (1 $f_{k q; 0}$) (1 $f_{p+q; 0}$). Equation 1 is integrated numerically, taking a quantum wire of width 100A and square prole, with electron density $n = 1.6 \quad 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and at temperature T = 1.4K, and with in nite connement potential. We include a single spin-up' band and a single spin-down' band. For the band dispersion we use that of bulk G aAs in the [110] orientation as a model. In an experimental quantum wire, the precise value of the spin-splitting and the composition of the wave functions will depend on the crystallographic details and them icroscopic electric elds present in the structure. Spin splitting is expected to be around lm eV in G aA snear the Ferm ienergy, thus limiting the predicted elects to temperatures below around 10K. Higher temperatures are possible formaterials with stronger spin splitting. Fig. 3 shows, that the 'forward' pair scattering rate (i.e. with partners near + k_F) increases with increasing excess energy for one spin' subband (here spin-up'). The spin' subband dependence is alm ost absent for the weaker backward' scattering, i.e. with partners near k_F. C alculations show that for hot electrons on balance a strong spin subband dependence of the total scattering rates remains.

Fig.4 demonstrates schem atically the construction of such a quantum wire spin polarizer. The wire length has to be less than the scattering length for 'spin-up' electrons (using the convention of the present Letter), less than the probability for scattering with partners near $k_{\rm F}$ and longer than the scattering rate for electrons in the 'spin-down' subband. Calculation shows that this can be fullled in G aA s=A l_xG a₁ _xA s based quantum wires, for excess energies of the order of 5m eV, operating temperatures of T = 4K or below, and wire lengths in the m-range. A coustic phonon scattering is expected to be weaker than electron scattering e ects up to at least 100K, while we expect that optical phonon scattering will destroy this e ect above approximately 100K. Su ciently high mobility is required, so that in purity and roughness scattering are lower than electron-electron scattering. Since in-built m icroscopic electric elds a ect the spin-splitting of the quantum wire, and since interface roughness can a ect m icroscopic electric eld, it could also negatively a ect the

4

spin polarization phenomena. Plasmon scattering is a possible loss mechanism reducing e ciency and is neglected here.

So farwe have assumed that the background electron gas in the wire is su ciently coupled to the environment, so that its distribution is not disturbed by the injected electron beam. The opposite limit is the case of weak coupling of the background electrons in a section of the wire to the surroundings, as demonstrated in Fig. 5. In this case the injected electron beam will ip background electrons between spin subbands with unequal probability, leading to unequal spin populations and a steady state magnetization of the background electrons.

In sum mary, we have shown that an active electron spin polarizer can be constructed from a quantum wire with spin splitting of electron bands. We have calculated the spin dependent di erential electron pair scattering rates as a function of electron excess energy. We have introduced a further related elect: a hot electron beam can induce spin polarization (corresponding to a steady state magnetization) in a section of a quantum wire, which is weakly coupled to the surroundings. This work opens the possibility of a large range of spin dependent experiments in microelectronic structures.

ACKNOW LEDGM ENTS

The authors would like to express their gratitude to Professor Yasuhiko Arakawa, Dr. YasushiNagam une and Dr. Jun-IchiM otohisa for helpful discussions. Support of this work by the NTT Endowed Chair at RCAST and the SakakiQ uantum W ave Project' of ERATO is gratefully addrow ledged. GF would like to express his gratitude to Dr. Bernard Jusserand for stimulating discussions and for sending preprints prior to publication.

REFERENCES

- [1] C.W. Beenakker and H. van Houten, Solid State Physics, ed. by H. Ehrenreich andD. Turnbull, A cadem ic Press (San Diego) 44, 1 (1991).
- [2] G.Fasoland H.Sakaki, Solid State Commun. 84, 77 (1992).
- [3] M. Cardona, N. E. Christensen, and G. Fasol, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 2831 (1986), and Phys. Rev. B 38, 1806 (1988).
- [4] G. Lommer, F. Malcher, and U. Rossler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 728 (1988).
- [5] G. Bastard, W ave M echanics Applied to Sem iconductor H eterostructures', Les E ditions de Physiques, Les U lis, (1990).
- [6] B. Jusserand, D. Richards, H. Peric, and B. Etienne, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 848 (1992).
- [7] B.Das, D.C.M iller, S.Datta, R.Reifenberger, W.P.Hong, P.K.Bhattacharya, J.Singh, and M.Ja e, Phys. Rev. B 39 1411 (1989).
- [8] P.D.D resselhaus, C.M.A.Papavassiliou, R.G.W heeler, and R.N.Sacks, Phys.Rev. Lett. 68, 106 (1992).
- [9] G.Goldoniand A.Fasolino, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 2567 (1992).

FIGURES

FIG.1. Diagram of intrasubband electron-electron pair scattering processes in a quantum wire: the electron pair (p ";k #) undergoes a pair scattering process, resulting in the pair (p #;k "). In a quantum wire only electron pairs with partners in di erent 'spin' subbands can scatter. These processes, introduced here, break the electron phase and have the character of spin ip processes.

FIG.2. This gure demonstrates that a stream of hot electrons injected into a single mode quantum wire with an excess energy above the Ferm i level m ay become spin polarized. (a) A spin-up electron injected with excess energy and wave vector p_1 has low scattering probability. (b) An injected electron injected into the Spin-down' subband with wave vector k_2 q_2 has high scattering probability, represented by bold arrows. A fler such pair scattering, a hot electron with spin up at p_1 is produced, which has again low scattering probability. Thus for a stream of hot electrons, the component in the Spin-down' subband m ay be converted into Spin-up' subband electrons, while the Spin-up' component m ay pass with little scattering.

FIG. 3. D i erential electron-electron pair scattering rates as a function of the wave vector of the scattering partner for the two spin orientations, and for di erent excess energy measured from the Ferm isurface. For hot electrons (> 0), electron pair scattering rates may be orders of magnitude larger for one of the two spin subbands (here spin-down) than for the opposite orientation. This e ect is due to the Ferm ipopulation factors and the Pauliprinciple. (D ivergences in the calculated scattering rates at the point q = 0 have been elim inated from the Figure. They are typical for 1D systems, are not expected to break electron phase, and are not in portant for hot electrons). Note that the scattering rates are only weakly spin subband dependent for backward' scattering, i.e. $q = 2k_F$. C alculations show that for hot electrons a strong spin subband dependence of the total scattering rates survives.

FIG.4. Schematic design of an active quantum wire spin polarizer. (a) Outline of the conduction band potential of the wire structure. (b) population of the lowest spin-up' conduction subband, (c) population the lowest spin-down' subband as a function of position in the wire.

7

FIG.5. Schem atic diagram showing induced partial spin polarization (corresponding to and induced steady statem agnetization) expected for a hot electron beam propagating through a section of a quantum wire with spin split electron bands, in which the background electrons are weakly coupled to the background. Shaded areas indicates steady state distribution of passing hot electron beam, while the thick curves indicate the population of the background electrons in the quantum wire | note the unequal number of populated 'spin-up' and 'spin-down' bands representing an induced m agnetization.