D ilution E ects on O rdering in the S = 1=2 H eisenberg A ntiferrom agnet on the Square Lattice

J.Behre

Institut fur Theoretische Physik, Universitat Hannover, Appelstr. 2, 3000 Hannover 1, Germany em ail (internet): behre@kastor.itp.uni-hannover.de

S.Miyashita

G raduate School of H um an & E nvironm ental Studies, K yoto U niversity, K yoto, Japan em ail (B innet): m iya@ JPN Y IT P

(December 31, 2021)

The in uence of dilution with non {m agnetic in purities on the order in the ground state of the S = 1=2 H eisenberg antiferrom agnet is investigated by Q uantum M onte C arlo simulations. D ata of the spin correlation functions and them odynam ic properties for system sizes up to L L = 16 16 and for in purity concentrations up to = 37.5% are presented. In the low doping regime the correlation length shows similar dependence on temperature as in the pure case. The staggered m agnetization hN_z^2 () i in the ground state which is the order parameter of the present m odel is estimated by extrapolating data at low temperatures. Im purity concentration dependence of hN_z^2 () is presented. hN_z^2 () i decreases m onotonically with in purity concentration and becomes very sm all around c 0:35 which is much smaller than the classical percolation threshold.

PACS numbers: 75.10 Jm , 75.30 Kz

I. IN TRODUCTION

In this article we show new results on the spin correlation functions and the long range order of the diluted H eisenberg antiferrom agnet on the square lattice with S = 1=2. O riginally this work was intended to understand the e ects created upon doping of the high tem perature superconductor materials. It is now generally believed that the pure antiferrom agnet with S = 1=2 on the square lattice has long range order^{1;2}. But the value of the order parameter is much reduced against its classical value due to quantum uctuations. The interesting point now is the in uence of inhom ogeneities on the competition between order and quantum uctuations.

For our purpose it is necessary to study large lattices and these systems are too large to use exact diagonalization. Therefore we used the Quantum M onte C arb m ethod (QMC). Because we are working only with static in purities, the m inus sign problem does not su on the present QMC. And our results m ight be applicable to experiments with m aterials like La_2Cu_1 MgO₄ and La_2Cu_1 ZnO₄³. In these materials magnetic copper atoms are substituted by non{m agnetic zinc orm agnesium atoms, so there are static non {m agnetic site in purities, which are the same type of in purities we are considering in our simulations.

In the present paper we report the temperature and impurity concentration dependence of the spin correlation functions and also the long range order in the ground state.

We have studied the spin correlation functions for various concentrations in a wide tem perature region including lower tem peratures than the previous M anousakis' work⁴. We analyze the concentration dependence of the correlation length in the form

$$(T;) = C () e^{\frac{2 - s()}{T}} :$$
 (1)

The correlation data t this behavior well. And the estimated spin stiness $_{\rm S}$ () is monotonically decreasing with impurity concentration.

In the classical analog of the model, the long range order exists as long as the lattice itself percolates. Namely, the long range order vanishes at an in purity concentration corresponding to the percolation threshold, $_{\rm c} = 0.41^5$. In the present paper, the in purity concentration dependence of the long range order is reported. We would like to point out that the amount of the long range order becomes very small for 0.35, which is much smaller than $_{\rm c} = 0.41$. The concentration dependence of percolating lattice sites has a dependence ($_{\rm c}$) with < 1 and therefore it has a convex shape. On the other hand, the obtained dependence of the long range order for the present model has a concave shape. Furtherm ore, it is suggested that the critical value of the concentration is also reduced in the quantum case.

0 urm ethod is described in chapter II. The results for the spin {spin correlation functions are shown in chapter III and those for the long range order in chapter IV. The results are summarized and discussed in chapter V.

II.M ETHOD

The model including the inhom ogeneities used here can be described by the Ham iltonian

$$H = J \prod_{\substack{\langle i,j \rangle \\ \langle i,j \rangle}}^{X} \mathbf{J}_{i} \mathbf{J}_{j} \mathbf{J}_{i} \mathbf{J}_{j} \mathbf{J}_{i} \mathbf{J}_{j} \mathbf{J}_{i} \mathbf{J}_{j} \mathbf{J}_{i} \mathbf{J}_{j} \mathbf{J}_{i} \mathbf{J}_{j} \mathbf{J}_{i} \mathbf{$$

where $"_i = 0$ for holes otherwise $"_i = 1$ (for spins), S_i are the S = 1=2 spin operators ($S_i = \frac{1}{2} \sim_i$), and the sum runs over nearest neighbour pairs i and j, J is the antiferrom agnetic coupling constant. The positions of the holes were chosen random ly but xed for one simulation, so they are static non {m agnetic in purities. The concentration of im purities is de ned by ,

$$= 1 \qquad \underset{i}{\overset{X}{\underset{i}=L^{2};}}$$
(3)

where L is the linear system size of the square lattice and N = L - L is the number of lattice sizes.

For the simulation we used the Quantum M onte C arb m ethod based on the Suzuki{Trotter formula and checker board decomposition^{6;7;1}. Periodic boundary conditions were applied to the x{, y{ and Trotter directions. In addition to standard local ip types we used special ip types in the neighbourhood of the impurities, local loop ips around sm all clusters of holes or single holes and non straight global ips in the x{y plane. Details of these new ip types are described in⁸. These new ip types are necessary for the ergodicity of the algorithm.

We study system sizes L L with L = 4;8;12;16 for in purity concentrations up to = 37:5% corresponding to 6;24;36;96 holes. We perform simulations at various temperatures. The lowest one is T = 0.05J. For this low temperature it is necessary to go up to 9 10 M onte C arlo steps and Trotter numbers m = 32. We perform simulations for each sample with 3 or 4 di erent Trotter numbers m and then extrapolate form to in nity by using a linear function in $(\frac{1}{m^2})$. An extrapolation up to quadratic order is used as a test for the error of the extrapolation. From these data the ground state properties are obtained by extrapolations.

The data for L = 4 are available from exact diagonalization. From these data we estimate the low temperature properties by summing up several low lying levels with the canonical weight. They are in good agreement with the data obtained by QMC. Larger systems are simulated by QMC. For each system size and hole concentration we average the data over ve di erent samples with hole positions chosen random ly. Between them we found only small variation. A veraging overmore than ve samples is too much computer time consuming.

To study such a big parameter regime it is necessary to make an optimized and fully vectorized program. Locations of the new type ips for a given hole con guration are listed up and stored before the simulation. All transition probabilities for each iptype, which are given by ratios of Boltzm ann factors between possible local spin con gurations, are also stored in tables in the beginning of the run. During the simulation the computer only has to compare the transition probability for a ip with a random number. If the ip is accepted, the con guration is replaced by the ipped con guration which is also stored in another table.

A fler one sweep, namely after each ip type is tested over the whole lattice, physical quantities are calculated. The data of 10000 sweeps are combined to one bin. The samples for each bin seem to be statistically independent with G aussian distribution, so they can be used to calculate statistical errors. These data are extrapolated form to in nity as mentioned above and then averaged over di erent con gurations with the same system size and hole concentration. A veraging and extrapolation is done using a weighting procedure, each data point is weighted by the reciprocal of the square of its error. Final error bars are estim ated only by this procedure. The further treatment of the correlation data are described in chapter III and the sublattice magnetization in chapter IV.

III. CONCENTRATION DEPENDENCE OF THE SPIN CORRELATION FUNCTIONS

One interesting property of the system is how the spin correlation function depends on the distance between two spins

$$c(\mathbf{r}) = (1)^{r} \frac{4}{L^{2}} \int_{i}^{X} hS_{i+r}^{z}S_{i+r}^{z}i; \qquad (4)$$

where we only change the positions along the $x \{ or y \{ direction \} \}$

In Fig. (1) the spin correlation functions for the system size L = 16 and temperature T = 0.1J is shown for di erent hole concentrations. The correlation functions decrease with distance, although the data are symmetric at r = 8 because of the periodic boundary conditions. They also monotonically decrease with hole concentration for each distance. In references^{9;10;8} the enhancements of short range correlation functions near impurities are reported. But in the norm alization of Eq. (4) the enhancements by nearest neighbour correlations near impurities are compensated by decreasement of the number of pairs of spins.

In order to study the tem perature dependence of the correlation length, we have to t our data to the expected correlation function. There is no agreem ent in the literature about the right form of this function as can be seen in the discussion of^{d_1} . D ing and M akivic¹² used the form

$$c(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{r} \quad \mathbf{e}^{-}; \tag{5}$$

where they used both of A and as the tting parameters and found 0.4. However spin wave calculations¹³ and the Schwinger boson method¹⁴ give = 1, the renorm alization group approach² gives = 0.5 and some other authors^{15;16;4} do not use the algebraic decaying part corresponding to = 0.

If we generalize the tem perature dependence of the correlation length proposed by C hakravarty et.al² to the case with in purities, we have⁴

$$(T;) = C () e^{\frac{2}{T}}:$$
 (6)

The prefactor C and the spin stiness $_{\rm S}$ now depend on the hole concentration and we want to test the validity of the form and specify the parameter regime where the form is valid.

We tried the least square ts of the data of the correlation function to the form Eq. (5) with di erent values of . In Fig. (1) the thing curves using = 1=2 are shown. The ts look excellent and the errors for the the domenation lengths are very sm all (if we exclude the nearest neighbour spin pairs for the t). If we use = 1 we get nearly the same results if the the correlation length is sm aller than the system size. We not no significant di erence for these two values of . But if we put = 0 we not bigger deviations of the thing functions from the data points, and the thing errors are much enhanced. In our analysis, there are too sm all number of data points to use also as a thing parameter. If we would use the two parameters, and , as the thing parameter, varies largely to the shapes. In particular, for the cases where we found a long correlation length by using a xed value of , the two parameter thing concludes an algebraic decay without exponential part, namely $\notin 0$ and ' 0.

So we estimated the correlation lengths by tting using = 1=2. Their logarithm is values are plotted in Fig. (2) over 1=T for the dimensional concentrations. Only the correlation lengths which are smaller than the system size are plotted. For these data the size dependence is small. Data appear in straight lines in this graph. Thus the temperature dependence is consistence with Eq. (6) and we can estimate the spin stimess $_{\rm S}$ from the slopes. In this graph error bars are not drawn because some of them are overlapping and they are rather confusing for the eye. For temperatures higher than T = 0.5J the error bars are nearly like the point size. At T = 0.25J, the error bars for low concentrations, where the correlation length becomes comparable to the system size, increase up to 10%. In the estimation of $_{\rm S}$, this fact is taken into account by using a weighted tting procedure. The ts are acceptable, but for high concentrations other t functions cannot be excluded.

The concentration dependence of the spin sti ness is given in Fig. (3) and the estimated prefactor C () in Fig. (4). For the pure system (= 0) we nd

$$_{\rm S} = 0.1734 \quad 0.0003J$$

C = 0.393 0.008 : (7)

The shown errors are those which come only from our weighted tting procedure and are de nitely too small. The values are in the range of other authors as discussed in¹¹. The prefactor slightly increases with concentration up to = 0.25. The spin sti ness decreases by more than a factor of two in this regime and saturates afterwards. This

behavior does not agree with the scaling properties proposed by M anousakis⁴, who used a smaller parameter regime.

Recently Yanagisaw a^{17} has predicted making use of the non{linear {m odel with impurities that the exponential dependence on the temperature Eq. (6) changes to a linear dependence 1=T at a very small impurity concentration and that is a temperature independent constant for larger than some critical concentration $_{\rm c}$ where the system is not ordered. The present data do not quantitatively agree with the work although we cannot rule out a transition to the linear dependence.

In this chapter the data for the long range order in the ground state are studied. The order parameter of the system is the sublattice magnetization

$$hN_{z}^{2}i = \frac{1}{N^{2}} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} X & X & 2 \\ & i & j \\ & iA & jB \end{array}$$
(8)

where A and B denote the two di erent sublattices. In Fig. (5a) the sublattice m agnetization is plotted against the tem perature for di erent hole concentrations and for the system size L = 8. Fig. (5b) shows the data for L = 12 and Fig. (5c) for L = 16. For L = 8 there is no big variation for the low tem peratures and the extrapolation to T = 0 is sm ooth, which m eans that the system is near the ground state at the low tem peratures. But for L = 12 and L = 16 the errorbars are enlarged at low tem peratures, although we could estim at a general tendency of the concentration dependence. In order to obtain these data, up to 9 10 M C S have been perform ed for lattices with several Trotter num bers. Still the errorbars are rather large. This shows the general di culty to obtain low tem perature data with QMC.

We regard the data at the lowest tem perature as the ones in the ground state and extrapolate these data to the therm odynam ic limit, namely L to in nity. The extrapolation is done using a polynom ial in 1=L. This is the same extrapolation scheme as in¹, where it is assumed that the spin correlation function decays by a power law c(r) $\frac{1}{r}$ as is indicated by the spin wave theory. In Fig. (6) the data are plotted against 1=L for some concentrations. The size dependences are smoothly extrapolated as well as the pure case. Two di erent extrapolations are shown, a linear one and one with a polynom ial up to the quadratic order. The extrapolated value for = 0.375 is less than zero. That m eans the extrapolation scheme is no longer applicable here and the order param eter would already vanish.

To compare our sublattice magnetization with the spontaneous magnetization m $^{y} = hS_{i}^{z}i$ calculated in the spin wave theory, one has to consider the rotational invariance in spin space and the di erence between S and . In the pure case (= 0) we nd

$$m^{y} = \frac{r}{\frac{3}{4}hN_{z}^{2}i} = 0.315 \quad 0.04:$$
(9)

There are many results of this quantity as are seen in review $\operatorname{articles}^{11;18}$, e.g. Anderson¹⁹ found in spin wave calculations m⁹ = 0:303 and Reger and Young¹ found m⁹ = 0:30 0:02 with QMC.Our result is a little bit higher.

In Fig. (7), the linear extrapolated data and the data at T = 0.1 for various sizes are plotted against the concentration. The concentration dependences for each size are nearly described by a straight line. This suggests that there is only a small cooperative e ect of the holes for the global order. The local e ect found in ⁸ seems to be averaged out. The error bars are large in the middle concentration regime. The deviation of data in di erent samples is also large here. This observation in plies that the order parameter depends more on the special arrangement of the holes for these concentrations. Here we see the extrapolated long rage order decreases with the concentration. It should be noted that the concentration dependence has a concave shape in contrast to a convex shape of the concentration dependence of the number of the percolating cluster which is equivalent to the long range order in the classical model. This suggests that quantum uctuations have a stronger e ect at higher concentrations. If the system has smaller quantum

uctuations than the critical value, the quantum uctuations are irrelevant and the classical picture of ordering is realized. For example, the pure case belongs to this case and also cases with low concentrations obviously belong to this case. If the concentration becomes large, how ever, quantum uctuations might exceed the critical value. Then the system shows a kind of a quantum disordered state where the long range order disappears although the lattice itself percolates. This critical value may correspond to $_{\rm c}$ in the reference¹⁷ and then his conclusion qualitatively agree with the present results.

A lthough the uctuation is rather large for de nite conclusion, we would estimate the lowest concentration where the order parameter becomes zero in Fig. (7). We interpolate the data points and nd roughly

$$_{\rm c} = 0.345 \quad 0.015:$$
 (10)

The error is only interpolated from the errorbars of the extrapolated data points and m ight be too sm all. In order to obtain a better value of the critical concentration, it is necessary to simulate larger systems at low ertem peratures near our critical concentration and to average over m ore di erent hole con gurations. But at present this would exceed the ability of standard computers. However the above estimation in plies that the critical concentration is low er than the classical percolation threshold $_{c} = 0.41^{5}$. This point should be studied m ore in the future.

There are only very few studies on the doped case. P in entel and O $bach^{20}$ nd in spin wave calculations to the t{J m odel $_{c} = 0.32$, which they interpret as an upper bound for the sublattice m agnetization. But the order in their m odel is destroyed by the m obility of the impurities.

V.SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

We have extended the usual QMC algorithm to treat inhom ogeneities in a lattice. Our new ip types enabled us to simulate diluted H eisenberg antiferrom agnets on the square lattice. Results on the correlation length and the long range order have been presented.

From the spin correlation function, temperature and concentration dependences of the correlation lengths are investigated. The correlation lengths show an exponential dependence on 1=T over a wide concentration regime. The spin sti ness decreases more than 50% when the impurity concentration increases from zero to 0.25. It saturates around = 0.25 and it seems to stay nite even at concentrations where the sublattice magnetization vanishes. But data for high concentrations have a rather large deviation and we do not exclude that the temperature dependence of the correlation length changes from the exponential form to a linear form at high concentrations.

The sublattice magnetization at T = 0 is estimated by extrapolation of data with nite L to in nity. For the pure case we get the same results of other authors. The sublattice magnetization of doped systems shows a similar size dependence as the pure case. We ind a monotonic decrease of the order parameter with hole concentration. From the global shape of the concentration dependence, we conclude that the quantum incluations have a stronger elect at the high concentration region. Although the error bar is large, the critical concentration where the system becomes disordered is estimated as $_{c} = 0.345$. This value is smaller than the classical percolation threshold. It is suggested that quantum incluation causes a quantum disordered state in the ground state.

It is di cult to compare directly the present data with the experimental data for doped La $_2$ CuO₄ because of the following two reasons. First, in the two-dimensional Heisenberg model the Memmin {Wagner theorem²¹ forbids breaking of a continuous symmetry in two{dimensional models at nite temperatures, while in the materials there is a small interplane coupling which creates a non zero N eel{temperature. Second, in the materials, doping creates mobile holes which cause dimensional metry in two the static holes. But recently Cheong et. al.³ investigated La₂Cu₁ MgO₄ and La₂Cu₁ ZnO₄, where doping leads to non{magnetic static impurities, which is closer to the present model although they are still itinerant systems. They not that the Neel{temperature goes to zero for a concentration is clearly dimensional materials which cause day a model with S = 5=2 or by an Ising{model. So there is also a hint for a reduction of the classical percolation threshold from experiments.

We hope that the concentration dependence of the long range order which is presented in this paper is found experimentally in some realmaterials and furthermore that the problem, whether the $_{\rm c}$ and the percolation threshold is dimensioned in future. This seems discut for numerical methods at the present situation. We also hope for the appearance of very high performance special computers which may solve the problem.

The authors would like to thank P rofessor M ikeska for his continuous encouragement. The numerical simulations for the present study was very extensive. We spent more than 1500 CPU {hours on the FU JIT SU S400/40 (5 G ops peak performance) of the Regionales Rechenzentrum N iedersachsen and several thousand hours on IBM R S6000 workstations. The present study has been partially supported by a G rant-in-A id for scientic C Research on P riority A rea from M inistry of E ducation, Science and C ulture of Japan.

³S.{W.Cheong, A.S.Cooper, L.W. Rupp, B.Batlogg, J.D.Thompson and Z.Fisk, Phys. Rev. B 44, 9739 (1991).

¹ J.D.Reger and A.P.Young, Phys. Rev. B 37, 5978 (1988).

² S.Chakravarty, B.I.Halperin and D.R.Nelson, Phys. Rev. B 39, 2344 (1989).

⁴ E.M anousakis, Phys. Rev. B 45, 7570 (1992).

⁵ J.W. Essam, Phase Transitions and Critical Phenom ena vol2, Ed.C.Domb and M.S.Green (A cadem ic, 1972) p.197.

⁶ M .Suzuki, Commun.Math.Phys.51, 183 and 1454 (1976).

⁷ S.M iyashita, J.Phys. Soc. Jpn. 57, 1934 (1988).

⁸ J.Behre and S.M iyashita, J.Phys.A:Math.Gen. 25, 4745 (1992).

⁹ J.Behre, S.M iyashita and H.{J.M ikeska, J. of M agnetism and M agnetic M aterials 104–107, 863 (1992).

- ¹⁰ S.M iyashita and J.Behre, in Computational Approaches in Condensed M atter Physics, Springer Proceedings in Physics 70, ed.by S.M iyashita, M.Im ada and H.Takayama, (Springer, Berlin, 1992) p.145.
- ¹¹ T.Bames, Int. J. M od. Phys. C 2, 659 (1991).
- ¹² H.Q.D ing and M.S.M akivic, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 1449 (1990).
- ¹³ M. Takahashi, Phys. Rev. B. 40, 2494 (1989).
- ¹⁴ D.P.A rovas and A.Auerbach, Phys. Rev. 38, 316 (1988).
- ¹⁵ G.Gom ez{Santos, J.D. Joannopoulos and J.W. Negele, Phys. Rev. B 39, 4435 (1989).
- ¹⁶ E.M anousakis and R. Salvador, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 840 (1988).
- ¹⁷ T.Yanagisawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 1026 (1992).
- ¹⁸ E.M anousakis, Rev. M od. Phys. 63, 1 (1991).
- ¹⁹ P.W .Anderson, Phys. Rev. 86, 694 (1952).
- ²⁰ I.R.Pim entel and R.O mbach, Phys. Rev.B 46, 2920 (1992).
- ²¹ N.D.Merm in and H.W agner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 22, 1133 (1966).

FIG.1. The concentration dependence of the correlation function at T = 0.1.

FIG.2. The tem perature dependence of the correlation length.

FIG.3. The concentration dependence of the correlation parameter $\ _{\rm S}$.

FIG.4. The concentration dependence of the correlation parameter C $% \left({{{\bf{C}}_{{\rm{c}}}}} \right)$.

FIG.5. Tem perature-dependence of hN_z^2 i for (a) L = 8 (b) L = 12 and (c) L = 16.

FIG.6. Size dependences of hN $_{z}^{2}$ i

FIG.7. Concentration-dependence of M_z^2 i for di erent system sizes and extrapolated values.