

Spectral sum rules for the Tomonaga-Luttinger model

K. Schonhammer and V. Meden

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Göttingen, Bunsenstrasse 9,

D-3400 Göttingen, Germany

(Received 10. May 1993)

Abstract

In connection with recent publications we discuss spectral sum rules for the Tomonaga-Luttinger model without using the explicit result for the one-electron Green's function. They are useful in the interpretation of recent high resolution photoemission spectra of quasi-one-dimensional conductors. It is shown that the limit of infinite frequency and band cutoff do not commute. Our result for arbitrary shape of the interaction potential generalizes an earlier discussion by Suzumura. A general analytical expression for the spectral function for wave vectors far from the Fermi wave vector k_F is presented. Numerical spectra are shown to illustrate the sum rules.

PACS numbers: 71.45.-d, 71.20.-b, 79.60.-i

In recent publications the universal [1,2] and the nonuniversal [3] spectral properties of the Tomonaga-Luttinger (TL) model for one-dimensional interacting fermions have been studied. The results were used in the attempt to interpret high resolution photoemission measurements on quasi-one-dimensional conductors. [4-7] For the discussion of angular integrated spectra a sum rule of the type proposed by Suzumura [8] is useful. In the following we present simple derivations of two versions of the sum rule which generalize Suzumura's result to the case of an interaction potential with arbitrary shape and do not require the explicit knowledge of the interacting Green's function $G^<(x;t)$ of the model. Our discussion shows clearly that the infinite frequency limit and the limit of infinite momentum cutoff do not commute. Explicit numerical results are used to illustrate this behavior.

We study the TL model with a finite-range interaction. In the fermionic representation the kinetic energy \hat{T} and the interaction term \hat{V} are given by

$$\hat{T} = \sum_{k>0} v_F k \hat{a}_{k,+}^\dagger \hat{a}_{k,+} + \sum_{k<0} (v_F) k \hat{a}_{k,-}^\dagger \hat{a}_{k,-} \quad (1)$$

$$\hat{V} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} \int_{-L}^L dx \int_{-L}^L dx' \hat{\psi}_i^\dagger(x) \hat{\psi}_j^\dagger(x') v_{ij}^{(0)}(x-x') \hat{\psi}_j(x') \hat{\psi}_i(x) \quad (2)$$

With finite band cutoffs $\pm \Lambda$ and $\Lambda \gg 1$ the ground state is well defined as $\hat{H} = \hat{T} + \hat{V}$ has a lower bound. Later we examine the limits $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$ and $\Lambda \rightarrow 1$. For a zero-range potential, $v_{ij}^{(0)}(x) = v_{ij}^{(0)} \delta(x)$ the interaction terms with $i=j=0$ and $i=j=\infty$ (the g_4^k -terms in the "gology" classification [9]) vanishes because the product of field operators at the same point $\hat{\psi}_i^\dagger(x) \hat{\psi}_i(x)$ is zero due to the Pauli principle (not because $v_{ij}^{(0)}$ is zero itself). These terms contribute for finite-range interactions. If one wants to describe interacting nonrelativistic fermions as in Tomonaga's original paper [10] all interaction terms are equal $v_{ij}^{(0)}(x-x') = v(x-x')$ where v is the spin independent two body potential.

The spectral function $\chi^<(k;\omega)$ relevant for angular resolved photoemission is given by

$$\chi_{+;}(k;!) = h_0^N \hat{A}_{k;}, \quad ! + \hat{H} = E_0^N \hat{a}_{k;}, j_0^N i \quad (3)$$

and the total spectral weight per unit length is obtained by a momentum integration after performing the limit $L \rightarrow 1$

$$\chi_{+;}(!) = \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{dk}{2} \chi_{+;}(k;!): \quad (4)$$

The spectral weight is different from zero for $! < \mu$, where $\mu = E_0^N - E_0^{N-1}$ is the chemical potential. For fixed $+$ integration over $!$ yields $\int_{-1}^{+1} \chi_{+;}(!) d! = n_{+;}$, where the density $n_{+;}$ is independent of the interaction strength. This leads to the (trivial) first version of the sum rule

$$\lim_{+! \rightarrow 1} \lim_{! \rightarrow -1} \int_{-1}^{+1} d! \chi_{+;}(!) - \chi_{+;}(!)^{(0)} = 0 \quad (5)$$

where $\chi_{+;}(!)^{(0)} = (v_F k_F - !)(! - v_F +) = (2 - v_F)$ is the spectral function for noninteracting fermions.

A more interesting sum rule can be obtained by commuting the limits $+$ and $!. For j much larger than $v_F k_c$, where $l=k_c$ is the range of the interaction, one expects $\chi_{+;}(!)$ to approach $\chi_{+;}(!)^{(0)}$ such that the frequency integral in (5) reaches a well defined value independent of j for $j \rightarrow k_F j_F \rightarrow j \rightarrow v_F k_c$. This is guaranteed by the fact that for $k \rightarrow k_F$ the shape of the spectral function $\chi_{+;}(k;!)$ becomes independent of the momentum, β i.e. $\chi_{+;}(k;!)=f(! - v_F k)$, where the function f decays rather quickly away from its maximum. This property is sufficient to derive the other form of the sum rule. For a special form of the interaction this shape independence has been demonstrated in Ref. [3]. We later present an explicit expression for the form of the function f for an arbitrary interaction potential.$

In order to calculate

$$A_{+;}() = \int_{-1}^{+1} d! \chi_{+;}(!) - \chi_{+;}(!)^{(0)} \quad (6)$$

we split the momentum integration into two parts

$$A_{+; } () = \int_{k_F}^{Z_1} \int_0^k \frac{dk}{2} \langle \epsilon_{+; } (k; !) \rangle d! + \int_0^{Z_1} \int_k^{Z_1} \frac{dk}{2} \langle \epsilon_{+; } (k; !) \rangle d! \quad (7)$$

where $\langle \epsilon_{+; } (k; !) \rangle = \langle \epsilon_{+; } (k; !) \rangle^{(0)}$ with $\langle \epsilon_{+; } (k; !) \rangle^{(0)} = (k_F - k) (k_{+} - ! - \sqrt{k})$ and $k = k_F - k_c$ is chosen such that $\langle \epsilon_{+; } (k; !) \rangle$ is practically zero for $! < 0$.

Performing the $!$ -integration first, yields for the second term

$$(A_{+; } ())^{(2)} = \int_{k_F}^{Z_1} \frac{dk}{2} n_{k,+}; \quad n_{k,+}^{(0)} : \quad (8)$$

As the occupation numbers $n_{k,+}$ in the interacting case approach the noninteracting ones for $k = k_F - k_c$ the lower integration limit can be replaced by $+$, which shows that $(A_{+; } ())^{(2)}$ vanishes. In the first term we can replace $\langle \epsilon_{+; } (k; !) \rangle$ by $f(! - \sqrt{k})$ and change the k -integration variable to $x = ! - \sqrt{k}$

$$A_{+; } () = \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{F}} \int_{-1}^{Z_1} \int_{! - \sqrt{k}}^{Z_1 - \sqrt{k}} [f(x) - (x)] dx d! : \quad (9)$$

If we perform the limit $+$ $! = 1$ the upper integration limit of the x -integration goes to infinity. Now we partially integrate with respect to $!$. The boundary terms vanish due to the assumptions about k and the function $f(x)$ and one obtains

$$A_{+; } () = \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{F}} \int_{-1}^{Z_1} [f(! - k \sqrt{F}) - (! - k \sqrt{F})] d! = \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{F}} \int_{-1}^{Z_1} x f(x) dx : \quad (10)$$

In the second line we have used that the function f is normalized to unity. This result shows that $A_{+; } ()$ is given by the difference $\frac{1}{2}$ of the first moments of the k -dependent spectral functions which is k -independent for $k = k_F - k_c$. We therefore have

$$\lim_{! \rightarrow 1} \lim_{+! \rightarrow 1} \int_{-1}^{Z_1} d! \langle \epsilon_{+; } (!) \rangle = \lim_{+! \rightarrow 1} \int_{-1}^{Z_1} d! \langle \epsilon_{+; } (!) \rangle^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{F}} \quad (11)$$

i.e. the limits $! \rightarrow 1$ and $+$ $! \rightarrow 1$ do not commute as mentioned earlier. The expression on the right-hand side (rhs) of Eq. (11) can be calculated easily, as for $k = k_F - k_c$

the spectral function $\chi_{+,i}^<(k;!)>$ equals $\chi_{+,i}^<(k;!)> = \chi_{+,i}^<(k;!)> + \chi_{+,i}^>(k;!)>$ i.e. $\chi_1^< = \chi_1^< - \chi_1^>$ where $\chi_1^<$ is the difference of the first moments of the total spectral function $\chi_{+,i}^<(k;!)>$, which can easily be calculated

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi_1^<(k))_{+,i} &= \frac{Dnh}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{V}_{+,i}^<(\mathbf{k}) \hat{A}_{+,i}^<(\mathbf{k}) d\mathbf{k} \\ &= \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\mathbf{k}^0} \hat{V}_{+,i}^<(\mathbf{k}^0) n_{\mathbf{k}^0,0} \\ &= \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\mathbf{k}^0} \hat{V}_{+,i}^<(\mathbf{k}^0) n_{\mathbf{k}^0,0} \\ &= \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\mathbf{k}^0} \hat{V}_{+,i}^<(\mathbf{k}^0) n_{\mathbf{k}^0,0} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

In order to interpret the sum rule Eq. (11) it is necessary to observe that the chemical potential of the interacting system and therefore the threshold differs from the noninteracting value $\mu^{(0)} = v_F k_F$. The value of μ can be read off the particle number operator dependent terms of \hat{V} . It is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= k_F v_F + \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\mathbf{k}^0} \hat{V}_{+,i}^<(\mathbf{k}^0) n_{\mathbf{k}^0,0} \\ &= \frac{1}{2L} \sum_{\mathbf{k}^0} \hat{V}_{+,i}^<(\mathbf{k}^0) : \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

If one artificially shifts the threshold of the unperturbed spectral density to the same value as in the interacting case we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \chi_{+,i}^<(\omega) &= \frac{1}{2 v_F} \\ &= \frac{1}{4 v_F} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{2} \mathcal{G}_4^k(\mathbf{k}) : \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

This generalizes the sum rule presented by Suzumura [8] to the case of an interaction potential with arbitrary shape. We point out that a straightforward generalization of Suzumura's approach, which uses an approximate explicit form of the Green's function $G_{+,i}(\mathbf{x};t)$, does not in general give the correct form of the sum rule, as only $\mathcal{G}_4^k(k=0)$ and the value of the interaction cut-off enters. [11] There are various suggestions in the literature that $\mathcal{G}_4^k(k)$ is an

odd function of k . This is incorrect, in fact $g_4^k(k)$ is an even function of k for the original Tomonaga model and the rhs of Eq. (14) is different from zero if $v(x=0)$ is different from zero.

How can one understand the difference between the two forms (5) and (11) or (14) of the sum rule? The answer is simple and can be illustrated by the numerical results presented in Ref. [3]: The total spectral weight missing in the low energy regime is pushed all the way to the lower end of the spectrum, which extends beyond the limit for noninteracting electrons. This part of the weight is accounted for in the form (5) but it is not if the limits $\epsilon \rightarrow 1$ and $\epsilon \rightarrow -1$ are interchanged as in Eq. (11).

We finally illustrate our assumption about the shape independence of the spectral function $G_+^<(k; \epsilon)$ for momenta $k = k_F \pm k_\epsilon$ by explicitly presenting an expression for the function f (which was not necessary to obtain the expression on the rhs of Eq. (14)).

In the thermodynamic limit the Green's function has the form

$$iG_+^<(x;t) = \frac{i=2}{x - v_F t - i0} e^{i(k_F x - t)} \exp \left[-F^<(x;t) \right] \quad (15)$$

where $F^<(x;t)$ can be calculated e.g. by bosonization. In the most general case $F^<(x;t)$ is given by [1]

$$\begin{aligned} F^<(x;t) = & \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{q} e^{-iq(x - v_F^<(q)t)} e^{-iq(x - v_F t)} \\ & + 2s_c^2(q) \cos(qx) e^{iqv_F^c(q)t} \int_0^1 dq \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{q} [c^<(s)] dq \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where $v_F^{cs}(q) = v_F + g_4^{cs}(q) = 2 g_2^{cs}(q) = 2^{1/2}$ is the Fermi velocity of the charge (spin) degrees of freedom, $1 + 2s_c^2(q) = v_F + g_4^{cs}(q) = -v_F^{cs}(q)$ and $g_i^{cs}(q) = g_i^k(q) - g_i^2(q) = 2$. Under rather weak assumptions on the $g_i(k)$ ($i = 1, 2, 4$) the function $\exp F^<(x;t)$ is analytic in x in a strip around the real axis. If the Fourier transform of $G_+^<(x;t)$ with respect to the x -variable is performed by contour integration, only the pole at $v_F t + i0$ contributes for $k = k_F \pm k_\epsilon$. This yields the shape independence and the explicit result for the shape function in the form of a Fourier integral

$$G_{+}^{<}(k;!) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{+1} dt e^{i(! - v_F k)t} e^{i(v_F k_F - !)t} e^{F(v_F t; t)} : \quad (17)$$

In contrast to the method presented in Ref. [3] this result allows to calculate the shape function $f(!)$ for arbitrary potentials. Singularities of the spectrum or its derivatives can be obtained by the large t asymptotic expansion of the momentum integral in (16), which determines the function $F(v_F t; t)$. For smooth potentials the frequencies $!^{CS}(q_0^{CS}) = q_0^{CS} v_F^{CS}(q_0^{CS})$ with q_0 determined by the stationary phase condition $(\partial ! / \partial q)|_{q_0} = v_F$ lead to prominent features in the spectral function. A numerical calculation of the shape function f for a spin independent two particle interaction $(g_4^k(q) = g_2^k(q) = g(q), g_2^k(q) = g_2^k(q) = g(q))$ is shown in Fig. 1 for repulsive interactions $v(q) = g_4(q) = g_2(q) = 0$. The full curve corresponds to a step, the dotted line to a Gaussian function for $v(q)$ and the dashed curve to an approximation discussed below. As $v_F(q) = v_F$ for all values of q the spectra have a sharp threshold. For the Gaussian potential the first derivative is singular at $! = v_F k = !^c(q_0^c)$ and has a discontinuity at $2!^c(q_0^c)$. For the step potential the first derivative shows a discontinuity at $! = v_F k = v_F k_c$ as obtained by a different method in Ref. [3]. These spectral functions are exact within accuracy of the drawings. Approximate results for the Green's function $G_{+}^{<}(x; t)$ and corresponding results for spectral functions have been obtained by various authors [12,8,11] by replacing the momentum integral in (16) for an interaction potential of range $r = 1/k_c$ by an exponential cutoff $\int_0^{R_1} \exp(-q/k_c) :::: dq$ and the q -dependent interactions by their $q = 0$ values. By this approximation essential features of the spectra are lost as the dashed curve in Fig. 1 shows. In Fig. 2 we present the corresponding results for the total spectral weight $G_{+}^{<}(!)$, which are monotonic functions of frequency. This is in contrast to the model [8] of a spin dependent interaction with $g_4^k(q) = 0$, respectively a pure interbranch interaction (spin dependent or spin independent) with $g_4^k(q) = g_2^k(q) = 0$, which are unphysical assumptions for finite-range interactions. [3]

REFERENCES

- [1] V . M eden and K . Schonham m er, Phys. Rev. B 46, 15753 (1992)
- [2] J. Voït, Phys. Rev. B 47, 6740 (1993)
- [3] K . Schonham m er and V . M eden, Phys. Rev. B 47, ??? (1993)
- [4] B . D ardel, D . M alterre, M . G rioni, P . W eibel, Y . Baer, and F . Levy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 3144 (1991)
- [5] B . D ardel, D . M alterre, M . G rioni, P . W eibel, Y . Baer, C . Schlenker, and Y . Petro , Europhys. Lett. 19, 525 (1992)
- [6] C . Coluzza, H Berger, P . A m eras, F . G ozzo, G . M argaritondo , G . Indlekofer, L . Forro , and Y . Hwu, Phys. Rev. B 47, 6625 (1993)
- [7] B . D ardel, D . M alterre, M . G rioni, P . W eibel, Y . Baer, J. Voït, and D . Jérôm e, unpublished
- [8] Y . Suzum ura, Prog. Theor. Phys. 63, 51 (1980)
- [9] see e.g. J. Solym , Adv. Phys. 28, 201 (1979)
- [10] S. Tom onaga, Prog. Theor. Phys. 5, 544 (1950)
- [11] J. Voït, unpublished
- [12] I E . D zyalshinskii and A . I. Larkin, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 65, 411 (1973) [Sov. Phys. JETP 38, 202 (1974)]

FIGURES

FIG .1. Shape function f for the step interaction (solid line), the Gaussian interaction (dotted line) and the approximation which is independent of the details of the interaction (dashed line) for $v_F(q=0) = 2v_F$. The energy is measured relative to $v_F k_F$.

FIG .2. Total spectral weight ζ_+ for the step interaction (solid line) and the Gaussian interaction (dotted line) for $v_F(q=0) = 3v_F$. The dashed curve shows again the discussed approximation. The energy is measured relative to $v_F k_F$.