Tunneling into a two-dimensional electron system in a strong

magnetic eld

Song He, P.M. Platzman

AT&T Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, NJ 07974

B.I.Halperin

D epartm ent of P hysics, H arvard U niversity C am bridge, M A 02138 (A pril 13, 1993)

Abstract

We investigate the properties of the one-electron G reen's function in an interacting two-dimensional electron system in a strong magnetic eld, which describes an electron tunneling into such a system. From nite-size diagonalization, we nd that its spectral weight is suppressed near zero energy, reaches a maximum at an energy of about $0.2e^2 = \frac{1}{2}$, and decays exponentially at higher energies. We propose a theoretical model to account for the low-energy behavior. For the case of C oulom b interactions between the electrons, at even-denom inator lling factors such as = 1=2, we predict that the spectral weight varies as $e^{\frac{1}{2}-j! j}$, for ! ! 0. PACS num bers: 73.4 H, 73.40 G

Typeset using REVT_EX

High mobility e^+ or e doped G aA s-G aA IA s quantum wells constitute a remarkable, almost ideal, many-body system. In such a system, C oulomb interactions among the electrons play a vital role in determining its properties. This is particularly true when the system is immersed in a strong perpendicular magnetic eld which quenches the kinetic energy of the electrons, the so-called fractional quantum H all regime. In order to experimentally probe the properties of such systems, investigations have primarily utilized measurements of the magnetotransport coe cients [1]. However, within the last year, a variety of spectroscopic probes have begun to play an important role [2] [3] [4] [5].

A recent experiment [5] measured the low temperature high eld bulk tunneling characteristics of a bilayer system with electron density n =2 $\frac{2}{2}$ in each layer, coupled together by a weak tunnel barrier, separated by a distance d $2n^{1=2}$. The experimental I-V characteristics in the range 0:48 < < 0:83 exhibited a strong suppression of the tunneling current at low biases, sim ilar to what was observed in R ef. [4], and a broad, pronounced peak in the neighborhood of eV_{max} 0:4 e^2 = 1. Here is the dielectric constant, and 1 is the magnetic length.

In this paper we construct a theory of this type of tunneling experiment. In particular, we present exact numerical results on the one-electron G reen's function for a small number of particles which we believe gives reliable information at energies comparable to eV_{max} . At lower energies we construct a model which directly relates the I-V curve to the low-lying density uctuations in the system. A comparison of the theory with experiment is made.

Since the layer separation d is large, we can, to the stapproximation, ignore coupling between the layers. In this limit, it may be shown that for electrons conned to the lowest Landau level, the tunneling current obtained from the general G olden rule expression becomes:

$$I = e^{2} l_{c}^{2} d! A_{+} (!) A (eV !);$$
(1)

where V is the voltage di erence between the layers, is the tunneling matrix element, is the area of the system, and A (!) are the spectral weights to add (substract) an electron

to (from) the right (left) layer. W ritten in term s of the operators $^{\gamma}$ and $^{\circ}$ which creates or annihilates an electron at the origin, these spectral weights are:

$$A_{+}(!) = {}^{X} j_{1}; N + 1 j^{\gamma} j_{2}; N i j^{2}$$

$$(! + + E_{0;N} E_{jN+1}); \qquad (2)$$

A
$$(!) = {}^{X} j_{1}; N = 1jj_{1}; N = 1j_{1}$$

 $(! + E_{2N} = E_{2N-1});$ (3)

where (+ +)=2, $= E_{0,N-1} E_{0,N}$, and are the chemical potentials for adding and subtracting an electron form an N-electron system respectively. Note that for an incompressible state, the chemical potential jum p + > 0. Therefore the low-bias suppression of the tunneling current observed in the experiment in plies that the low-energy spectral weight of the one-electron G reen's function must vanish strongly for ! ! 0, in contrast to the behavior of a norm al Ferm i liquid. We shall see that this behavior can be understood using rather simple arguments.

The mean value !+ of the energy in A₊ (!) is determined by the expectation value of the H am iltonian \hat{H} in the \initial state", j₁i ^{^y} D; N i. W e m ay estimate this if we consider j₁i to be an assemblage of quasiparticles, packed together as closely as possible to give one extra electron charge in a disc about the origin. Since the maximum electron density in any Landau level is $(2 \ f_c)^{-1}$, and the background charge is $(2 \ f_c)^{-1}$, the minimum radius of the charged disc is $r_+ = l_c^{-\frac{q}{2}} = (1 \)$. W e may estimate !+ as being equal to the C oulom b self energy of this disc, or roughly !+ $0.2\hat{e}=l_c$ for 1=2. The mean energy ! of A (!) may be estimated similarly assuming the hole charge is spread out in a disc of radius $r = l_c^{-\frac{q}{2}} = 1$ If the spectral weights are concentrated near !, then the tunneling current should have a maximum at eV_{max} !+ + ! $0.4\hat{e}= l_c$, the coe cient being roughly independent of in the range of $0.2 \le < 0.8$.

To understand crudely the suppression of A (!) at small values of !, consider a circular droplet of electron liquid with a uniform density corresponding to lling factor . In the

sym m etric gauge for the magnetic vector potential, and in the absence of disorder, the total angular momentum M perpendicular to the layer is a conserved quantity. The value of M of a uniform droplet containing N electrons is $M_{0,N} = N^2 = 2$, so that $M_{0,N+1} = M_{0,N}$ N = . The initial state j₁ i with an electron added to the origin of the N electron droplet has angular momentum M_{0,N}, which is very di erent from M_{0,N+1}. Thus not only is the state j₁ i orthogonal to the groundstate of the (N + 1)-electron droplet, it should also have little overlap with any of the low-lying excited states. In order for the low-energy excitations to carry away the necessary angular momentum, they must be created far from the origin, which means that there is little overlap with the initial state that is only perturbed at the origin.

If the lling fraction corresponds to a quantized H all state with denominator 2p + 1, then the elementary charged excitations are quasiparticles with charge = (2p + 1). Ignoring boundary excitations, and assuming a repulsive interaction between the quasiparticles, we expect that the lowest states of a droplet with one extra electron charge contain 2p + 1quasiparticles well separated from each other. In order to obtain the correct total angular m om entum, there must be at least one neutral excitation, such as a magnetoroton [7] in addition. Thus, in the quantized Hall case there should be a true energy gap in A_+ (!), with a threshold $!_+$ that is slightly larger than $(2p + 1)^{\mathbf{u}_+}$, where \mathbf{u}_+ is the energy to add a single quasiparticle. In Ref. [8], it was proposed that for the principal quantized Hall states = p=(2p+1), the combination (2p+1)⁴, should be only weakly dependent on p, and at the threshold $!_+$ obtained in this way is only slightly smaller than the mean energy $!_+$ estimated above. For other quantized Hall states the value of !+ should be much smaller than this, and for a compressible state the true threshold must occur at ! = 0. Nevertheless, to obtain a low energy state with the correct total charge and angular momentum, we must produce excitations far from the origin, so that A_+ (!) should be very small for !! 0, as we nd in our quantitive estimates below.

In order to study A (!) for energies around !, we have perform ed exact calculations of system s of up to 10 electrons in the lowest Landau level in a spherical geometry. In the

4

spherical geom etry, due to the rotational symmetry, the eigenstates of the system can be classi ed by their total angular m om entum \hat{L}^2 and \hat{L}_z . We consider only systems where the groundstate of the initial N particle system has a zero total angular m om entum. Since an electron has an intrinsic orbital angular m om entum 1 = S N =2 when it is conned to the surface of a sphere with a magnetic monopole of total ux N at the center, we see that when one more electron is added, the resultant (N + 1)-electron system has a total angular m om entum S. Therefore the spectral weight is nonzero only for states with angular m om entum S.

In Fig.1, we show the histogram softhe spectral weight A (!), de ned as A_+ (!) for ! > 0 and A (!) for ! < 0, for a compressible system of 8 electrons and at an N = 17 close to = 1=2. Apparently there are a few dom inant peaks in A (!) at energies comparable to $0.2e^2 = 1$. There is no weight at energies below these peaks due to nite size e ect: there are simply no states with the correct angular momentum in this energy interval for such e^{j;}∍ a small system. The high energy behavior is shown in the inset. We nd A (!) $0.026e^2 = 1.W$ e attribute the high energy tail to states where the added electron with is accompanied by one or more excitations of a short wavelength density mode within the lowest Landau level. A calculation including higher Landau levels would presum ably show additional high-energy side bands shifted up by multiples of the cyclotron frequency. In Fig. 2, we show A (!) for a system of 8 electrons at a lling factor = 1=3. Note that in the case, the tunneling peak position is $eV_{max} = 0.6e^2 = 1.W$ e have also done calculations at = 1=3for system s with up to 10 electrons. We nd by 1=N extrapolation that eV_{max} $0:5e^2 = 1$ for an in nite system at = 1=3. Calculations at other lling factors in the range 1=32=3give results qualitatively similar to Fig. 1 and Fig. 2, and suggest a tunneling peak energy $0:6) \stackrel{2}{\leftarrow} 1$ in all cases. **(0:**4 eV_{m ax}

In order to predict the low-energy behavior in a compressible state, we employ a number of approximations which reduce the physics of this problem to that of the X-ray edge problem. We treat the added electron as if it were an in nitely massive foreign particle inserted into the N-electron system. Physically this should be a good approximation because of

5

the large magnetic eld which suppresses the e ect of exchange on a short distance scale and practically eliminates recoil e ects. If \hat{H}_N is the Ham iltonian of the N -electron system before insertion, the Ham iltonian after the insertion has the form

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}} = \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{N} + \mathbf{E}^{0} + \sum_{q}^{X} V_{q} \hat{\mathbf{q}}$$
(4)

where $V_q = 2 e^2 = q$ is the Fourier transform of the Coulomb interaction, and \uparrow_q is the density operator for the original N-electron system, projected onto the lowest Landau level. The constant E⁰ is chosen so that the groundstate energy of \hat{H} coincides with the correct groundstate energy of the (N + 1)-electron system.

Follow ing the m ethod of M ahan [6], Langreth [9], and Shung and Langreth [9], treating the last term in Eq.(4) as a weak perturbation in a linked cluster expansion of the extra electron self-energy, we immediately arrive at an analytic expression for the imaginary time electron G reen's function $G_+() = e^{C()}$, where

C() =
$$\sum_{q}^{X} V_{q}^{2} \frac{d!}{0} \frac{\text{Im}}{!^{2}} (1 \text{ e}^{!})$$
: (5)

where (q; !) is the density response function of the electron system. Since G_+ () is the Laplace transform of A_+ (!), Eq.(5) is equivalent to replacing the electron system by a set of H arm onic oscillators with the same density response [10].

Since we are interested in the low-energy behavior of A_+ (!) (i.e. long time behavior of G_+ ()), we need some estimate of (g;!) at low energies and long wavelengths. Recently Halperin, Lee, and Read [8] proposed a Chem-Sim ons Ferm i liquid theory of the = 1=2 state, which implies that, for Coulomb interaction, in the absence of impurity scattering,

(q;!) is dom inated by a di usive mode at sm all q and !, i.e.

$$(q; !) = \frac{1}{V_q} \frac{1}{1 \quad i! = q_1^2};$$
 (6)

where, according to the RPA calculation in Ref. [8], $= e^2 l_e = 4$ for = 1=2. For a general compressible state, we expect that Eq.(6) still holds but with some modi ed value of . Substituting Eq.(6) into Eq.(5), we obtain, for large ,

C()
$$\frac{e^{2}}{2} - \frac{z}{0} dx dy \frac{1}{xy^{2}(1+x^{2})} (1 e^{xy^{2}})$$

 $2 \frac{p}{10};$ (7)

where $!_0 = e^2 = 2$]. Since A_+ (!) 0, the ! 1 behavior of the Laplace transform G determ ines the low frequency behavior of A_+ , and we nd, for sm all !,

$$A_{+}(!) = e^{!_{0}=!}$$
: (8)

The identical result is obtained for the hole contribution, A (!). Combining this with Eq.(1), we nd at smallbias V, at = 1=2, the tunneling current is

$$I \int_{0}^{Z_{eV}} d! \exp \left[!_{0} \left(\frac{1}{!} + \frac{1}{eV_{eV}} \right) \right] e^{V_{0} = V}; \qquad (9)$$

where $eV_0 = 4!_0$. O by iously, the tunneling current I at small V vanishes faster than any power law in V.

For general lling fraction close to = 1=2, we expect that Eqs.(7) (8) (9) should apply for interm ediate times or energies, and that eventually there will be a crossover to some other behavior. For a pure system at a high order quantized H all state, we expect to nd a true gap at su ciently low energies; for a compressible state at any even-denom inator fraction that can be described as a Chem-Sim ons Ferm i liquid, the ultimate !! 0 behavior will be similar to Eq.(8), but with a value of !₀ that depends on the lling fraction. When in purity scattering is taken into account, one nds that at long wave lengths, the relaxation rate q^2 , in the denominator of Eq.(6), must be replaced by the two-dimensional dielectric relaxation rate, 2 q xx = , where xx is the diagonal conductivity at = 1=2. This substitution leads to a modi cation of the low-energy behavior to A (!) exp ($x [\ln (2_{xx} = \frac{1}{2}!)]^2$), where $x = \hat{e} = (2^{-2} xx)$ is of the order ten in typical experiments.

The interaction between layers m ay also be taken into account, although the analysis is m ore complicated in this case. It appears that the m ost important e ect is a downward shift of the peak voltage $V_{m ax}$, by an amount $e^2 = d$, which rejects a reduction of the mean energy of the initial tunneling state due to the attraction between the electron and the hole. In order to relax towards a uniform groundstate at large value of in aginary time , the electron and the hole must spread in space so that on average they are far apart. The long time relaxation must be controlled by the relaxation of the density di erence in the two layers, which for a system without in purities, and wavevectors q 1=d, has a rate q^3 , according to the theory in Ref. [8]. This in turn gives a tunneling current I exp (const=V) for su ciently sm all V.

The theoretical predictions obtained above from our calculations are in good overall agreem ent with the experiments of E isenstein et al. [5,11]. For example, the peak position obtained in our simple model is quite close to the observed value of $0.4e^2 = 1$. The experimental behavior on the low bias side of the tunneling peak has also been t by a formula $e^{V_0=V}$, sim ilar to Eq.(9) above. How ever, the experimental value eV_0 0:9é= lismuch Т sm aller than the value 2 $e^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ predicted by our theory. For the range of biases where this behavior is observed, the wavelength of the density uctuation contributing signi cantly to the relaxation is estimated to be 31, which is small compared to the inter-layer separation and the typical scattering lengths in the system. This implies that inter-layer screening and in purity scattering should not have a signi cant e ect in modifying the diffusive behavior of (q;!) at the wavelengths and frequencies involved. Thus it seems that som e other factor must be responsible for the di erence between the experim ental and theoretical results. Am ong the possibilities are that the frequencies probed by the experiment are still too high for the asymptotic form in Eq.(8) to be valid; or that the experimental dependence arises from residual long wavelength uctuations in the concentration of the two doping layers, which would tend to smear out any steep rise in the tunneling characteristic. However, is is also possible that our theoretical analysis, simply using the RPA value of , gives a poor estimate of the coe cient $!_0$ in Eq.(8).

In sum m ary, we have investigated the properties of the one-electron G reen's function in an interacting two-dimensional electron system in a strong magnetic eld through nitesize diagonalization and a low-energy model. We not that the spectral weight is always suppressed at low energies, with the form of A (!) exp (b=j!) for the compressible

8

state at = 1=2. We have also discussed the implications of our results on the electron tunneling into such a system .

A cknow ledgem ents: The authors are grateful for helpful conversations with J.P.E isenstein, R.A shoori, P.A.Lee, and R.H.M orf. W ork at Harvard was supported in part by NSF grant DMR-91-15491.

REFERENCES

- [1] R.E.Prange and S.M.Girvin, editors, The Quantum HallE ect (Springer-Verlag, NY 1990) 2nd ed.
- [2] A. Pinczuk, K.W. West, and L.N. Pfeier, PRL, to be published.
- [3] A.J.Tuberfeld, et al., PRL 65, 637 (1990); B.B.Goldberg, et al; PRL 65, 641 (1990),
 H.Buhman, et al., PRL 65, 1056 (1990).
- [4] R.C.Ashoori, et al., PRL 64, 681 (1990).
- [5] J.P.Eisenstein, L.N.Pfeier, and K.W.West, PRL 69, 3804 (1992).
- [6] G.D.Mahan, Many body Physics, (Plenum, NY, 1990). See pages 749-768 and 798-815.
- [7] S.M. Girvin, A.H. MacDonald, and P.M. Platzman, Phys. Rev. B 33, 2481 (1986).
- [8] B. I. Halperin, P.A. Lee, and N. Read, Phys. Rev. B 47, 7312 (1993).
- [9] D.C. Langreth, Phys. Rev. 1, 471 (1970); W.K. Shung and D.C. Langreth, Phys. Rev. B 33, 2241 (1986).
- [10] K D. Schotte and U. Schotte, Phys. Rev. 182, 479 (1969).
- [11] J.P.Eisenstein, Private communication.

FIGURES

FIG.1. The histogram s of the spectral weight A (!) of the one-electron G reen's function at a compressible state obtained for an 8-electron system with ux number N = 17. $\log_{10}A$ (!) is shown in the inset. All energies are measured from the chemical potential.

FIG.2. The histogram s of the spectral weight A (!) of the one-electron G reen's function at an incompressible state obtained for an 8-electron system with ux number N = 21 corresponding to = 1=3.