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## A bstract

The $2+1$-dim ensional quantum dim er $m$ odel on a square lattice, proposed by Rokhsar and $K$ ivelson as a theory of layered superconductivity, is shown to be equivalent to a m any-body theory of free, transversely oscillating strings obeying Ferm i statistics. A Jordan-W igner construction for string eld operators is presented. Topological defects are shown to be linearly con ned in pairs by dynam icalstrings. Exact upper and lower bounds are placed on the ground-state energy and the string tension . It is argued that the system is in a spin- uid phase and that there is no gap in the excitation spectrum .
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## 1 Introduction

In the last few years, progress has been $m$ ade in exactly solving statistical and quantum m odels in a total of three dim ensions. Som e cases of the six-edge statistical m odel in three dim ensions ${ }^{1}$, the $U$ (1) lattioe gauge $m$ agnet $^{2}$ and the quantum dim er m odel on a hexagonal lattice ${ }^{3}$ in $2+1$ dim ensions have been solved exactly.

In this article, the Rokhsar $K$ ivelson $m$ odel ( $R$ KM) on the square lattice ${ }^{4} w i l l$ studied from a sim iliar point of view. This system is a quantum dim er model on a square lattioe, proposed as an e ective theory of layered superconductors. It is found that, like the system $s$ solved in references $1,2,3$, the RKM is a system of noninteracting Ferm ionic strings. W hile the one-string problem is not, unfortunately, exactly solvable, it can be understood physically w ithout much e ort. M ore general m odels $w$ th a \diagonal" coupling or $w$ ith dynam ical holons ${ }^{4}$ are not accessible to the techniques used here.

It is found here that the behaviour of the RKM without the \diagonal" term is qualitatively sim iliar to that of the quantum dim er m odel on the hexagonal lattioe; topologicaldefects (holons) are con ned into pairs, but there is no gap in the spectrum and no long-range order. The ground state can be thus be thought of as a spin uid. It can be shown that dim er con gurations are the ground states of a particular $H$ eisenberg $m$ odel invented by $K$ lein ${ }^{5}$. P resum ably introducing further interactions in this $H$ eisenberg $m$ odel gives a system whose low -lying states are described by the RKM.

It is perhaps appropriate at this point to review som e of the history and issues surrounding the RKM and related problem s. Rokhsar and K ivelson's m odel has two param eters; a \resonance" coupling $J$ and a \diagonal" coupling $V$. These are coe cients of two di erent term $s$ in the H am iltonian. T hey were able to solve the m odelat $V=J$ where they found that the ground state was a superposition of every possible dim er state. For V > J they showed that the ground state was a \spinstaggered" state w ith spontaneous breaking of rotational and translation invariance. They suggested that for V < J a \spin-liquid" state with no long-range order should appear. They also argued that a \valence-bond-solid" or \oolumn" phase should appear for $V<0$. In this phase so-called colum $n$ con gurations dom inate, leading to a four-fold degeneracy of the ground state.Later, D om bre and K otliar, who exam ined $m$ ean eld theory for the $H$ ubbard $m$ odel and Read and Sachdev ${ }^{6}$, who studied $S U(\mathbb{N})$ generalizations of square-lattice $H$ eisenberg antiferrom agnets form ally sim iliar to the RKM, did not nd a spin-liquid phase, but did nd a valence-bond-solid phase appeared ${ }^{7}$. The RKM m odelwas then exam ined directly by Fradkin and Kivelson ${ }^{8}$ who used dilute gas $m$ ethods for the $V=0$ case and Sachdev, who studied the sam e problem num erically ${ }^{9}$. Fradkin and K ívelson argued that the $V=0$ ground state was a valence-bond-solid state. Sachdev found that the susceptibility of the order param eter for valence-bond-solid form ation was divergent w ith the volum e, and therby suggested that such a solid form ed for allV < J. Read and Sachdev later extended their analysis to antiferrom agnets on hexagonal lattices and argued that
valence-bond-solid form ation took place there as well10. For at least som e $H$ eisenberg m odels, the ground state is a valence-bond solid 11 . In reference 3 , it was shown that a hexagonal-lattioe quantum dim erm odelwas in a gapless uid phase ${ }^{12}$.

It w illbe show $n$ here that the ground state of the square-lattioe RKM at $\mathrm{V}=0$ is a uid and not a solid. The absence of long-range order is consistent w ith R okhsar and K ívelson's original picture of superconductivity. There do appear to be power-law correlations which m ay explain Sachdev's results ${ }^{9}$.

In this paper, the string form ulation is arrived at through the use of a generalization of the Jordan $W$ igner transform ation due to D otsenko and Polyakov for the three-dim ensional Ising $m$ odel ${ }^{13}$. In previous solutions ${ }^{1,2,3}$ antisym $m$ etrized string wave functions were used to diagonalize the $H$ am iltonian. The $m$ ain reason for presenting the m ore form al Jordan-W igner construction here is that som e readers m ay nd it $m$ ore straightforw ard as well as $m$ ore interesting from a $m$ athem atical point of view.

The RKM will rst be converted to a triangular-lattice problem which resembles the neutralU (1) lattice gaugem agnet ${ }^{2}, 14,15$. $N$ ext, the $H$ ibert space $w$ illlbe $m$ apped into that of strings $w$ ith an in nitely short-range, in nitely repulsive interaction. The interaction is rem oved by quantizing the strings as Ferm ions. T he eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the RKM can thereby be written in term sof the one-string eigenvectors and eigenvalues. The one-string problem is not exactly solvable, but physical argum ents indicate its low-lying spectrum is that of a $1+1$-dim ensional massless quantum eld theory of $D$ irac particles. It is argued that the spectrum of the full RKM is gapless. A linear potential exists betw een topological defects, due to the form ation of dynam ical strings. Exact upper and lower bounds are found on both the ground-state energy and the string tension.

## 2 The R okhsar-K ivelson M odel

The sites of a two-din ensional lattioe $w$ illl.be labeled by pairs of integers $\left(y^{1} ; y^{2}\right)=y$ and bonds $(y ; i)$ connecting $y$ to $y+\hat{i}$. A spin state $j(y ; i)>$ is de ned on each bond,
 present at $(y ; i)$. A dim er represents a -valence bond betw een two nearest-neighbor copper atom $s^{16}$. The Ham iltonian of the RKM is ${ }^{4}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=J^{x} \mathrm{x} \quad(y ; 1) \quad(y+\hat{i} ; 2) \quad(y+\hat{2} ; 1) \quad(y ; 2): \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Y
Here $\quad(y ; i)=(1=2)\left({ }^{x}\left(y ;\right.\right.$ i) $\left.i^{y}(y ; i)\right)$, where ${ }^{x},{ }^{y}$ and ${ }^{z}$ are the usual Pauli m atrioes. The Ham iltonian density applied to a plaquette (square) changes that plaquettes's state according to the rule:

w ith all other states annihilated. The RKM is a U (1) lattioe gauge theory ${ }^{2,14,15 ; ~ H}$ com $m$ utes $w$ th the $G$ auss' law operator de ned at a site $y$, adjacent to four links 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(y)={ }^{x}{ }^{z}(\mathbb{1}): \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

D im er states $j>$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
[G(y)+2] j>=0: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Ham iltonian is identical to that of the m odel discussed in reference 2 , though the $G$ auss' law condition (Z) is di erent. The gauge-invariant $W$ ilson loop operator de ned on a closed contour of consecutive links $C=f l_{1} ; l_{2} ;::: ; l_{l} g$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(C)={ }^{+}\left(l_{1}\right) \quad\left(l_{2}\right){ }^{+}\left(l_{3}\right)::: \quad\left(l_{1}\right): \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A topological defect is a site $y$ at which no dim ers are attached. Such defects are characterized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[G(y)+4] j>=0: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In reference 4 a second \diagonal" term was added to the H am iltonian, nam ely

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{d}=V^{x} S(y ; 1) S(y+\hat{1} ; 2) S(y+\hat{2} ; 1) S(y ; 2) ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \quad(y ; i)=\frac{1 \quad{ }^{z}(y ; i)}{2}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, only the $\mathrm{V}=0$ case w ill be considered.

## 3 R eduction to a Triangular Lattice

The RKM can be reduced to a spin $m$ odel on a triangular lattioe. The triangular lattice will be drawn as a square lattice with extra diagonal bonds. Upon making this reduction, $90^{\circ}$ rotational invariance is no longer m anifest. C onsider the bonds $(y ; 2)$, w th $y^{1}+y^{2}$ odd. These are half the bonds parallel to $\hat{2}$. T he occupation state at each of these bonds is a redundant degree of freedom. All such bonds may be contracted to points, leaving a triangular lattice. To see this, consider the possible dim er con gurations around one such bond:

| $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p p p$ | $p p p$ | $p p p$ | $p p p$ | $p p p$ |
| $p p p$ | $p p p$ | $p p p$ | $p p p$ | $p p p$ |
| $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p p$ |
| $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ |
| $p p p$ | $p p p$ | $p p p$ | $p p p$ | $p p p$ |
| $p p p$ | $p p p$ | $p p p$ | $p p p$ | $p p p$ |
| $p p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ |

The m iddle bond is now contracted to a point ( $F$ ig.1). The sites of the triangular lattice w ill be labeled by $\mathrm{x}=\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)$ and the bonds connecting x to $\mathrm{x}+\hat{1}$, connecting $x$ to $x+2$ and connecting $x$ to $x \quad \hat{2}$ by $(x ; 1),(x ; 2)$ and $(x ; 3)$ respectively. The state at each bond is represented by a spin $j_{j}(x ; i)>{ }_{\dot{Q}}{ }^{N}$ ext apply the unitary transform ation which ips the spins at allhorizontalbonds ${ }^{x}{ }^{x}(x ; 1)$ (ie. occupied bonds at ( $\mathrm{x} ; 1$ ) are now replaced by em pty bonds and vice-versa). The possible con gurations at a site of the triangular lattioe are now :

| $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $\rho @$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p @$ | $p$ |
| $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ |

respectively. The $f$ th, sixth, eighth and ninth con gurations have purposely been drawn w ith a break. The new H ilbert space is restricted by the $G$ auss law

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x) j>=0 ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
g(x)={ }^{z}(x ; 1) \quad{ }^{z}(x ; 2)+{ }^{z}(x ; 3) \quad{ }^{z}(x \quad \hat{1} ; 1)+{ }^{z}(x \quad \hat{2} ; 2) \quad{ }^{z}(x+\hat{1}+\hat{2} ; 3):(9)
$$

The Ham iltonian is now

$$
\begin{align*}
H & =\begin{array}{ccc}
x & x & (x ; 1) \\
x & (x ; 2) & (x+\hat{2} ; 3) \\
& +\quad(x+\hat{2} ; 3) & (x+\hat{2} ; 1) \\
(x+\hat{1} ; 2)] ;
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

This $H$ com $m$ utes with $g(x)$ de ned in (9). It interchanges states on triangles according to:

A typicalcon guration of the square lattioe is shown in F ig. 2. The basis states of the H ilbert space are strings extending across the lattice. T wo strings never overlap on any link.

The strings are not arbitrary paths. A ny unit segm ent of string on a vertical bond (that is, a bond in the 2 -direction) or on a bond at a $45^{\circ}$ (that is, a bond of string parallel to $\hat{1} \quad \hat{2}$ ) must be attached at the top to a segm ent of string on a
horizontalbond (in the 1-direction), com ing from the left, unless the verticalbond is on the boundary. In addition, a segm ent of string on a vertical or $45^{\circ}$ bond $m$ ust be attached at the bottom to a segm ent of string on a horizontalbond, going o to the right. Three im possible con gurations for two adjacent segm ents of a single string are:
$\begin{array}{lll}p & p & p \\ Q_{0} & @^{p} & p\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}p & p & p \\ p & p_{p} & p \\ p & p\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}p & p & p \\ \rho @ & p & p \\ p & p & p\end{array}$

The strings interact though a short-range, in nitely strong repulsive interaction at links. System s of B osonic strings w th such \hard-core" repulsion in $2+1$ dim ensions are equivalent to system s of free Ferm ionic strings ${ }^{2,3}$.

A ssum e the original lattioe of the RKM has the shape of a rectangle of vertical dim ension 2 N and horizontal dim ension L , where L is odd. Select for contraction those links $(y ; 2)$ for which $y^{1}+y^{2}$ is an odd num ber. Then the resulting triangular lattice has the appearance shown at the bottom of Fig.1. It is possible to see that all but one site of the left boundary $x^{1}=1$ of the triangular lattice is an endpoint of exactly one string. There are therefore $N$ strings attached to this boundary (it contains $\mathrm{N}+1$ sites). The site which is not an endpoint of a string is that at the bottom of the left boundary (it is possible for a string to pass though this site, but not end there). Sim iliarly, allbut one site of the right boundary $x^{1}=L$ of the triangular lattioe is an endpoint of exactly one string, the exception being the site at the top of the right boundary. The num ber of strings is conserved by the $H$ am iltonian. W ith this choice ofboundary condition, the top and bottom boundaries place no restriction on the shape a string $m$ ay take.

If the system were in a valence-bond-solid phase, the ground state w ould be spontaneously broken. It would be dom inated by one of the four colum $n$ con gurations shown in Fig.3. On the triangular lattice the colum $n$ con gurations becom e those shown in Fig.4. It will be argued here, that such sym $m$ etry breaking does not take place (provided there is no diagonal term (6) included in the H am iltonian). The argum ent hinges on the string spectrum being gapless. In fact, a much simpler argum ent can be $m$ ade against valence-bond-solid form ation. C onsider any plaquette containing two dim ers in a colum $n$ con guration. The state of this plaquette is com pletely free to uctuate. There is no preference for the two dim ers on this plaquette to be aligned along the 1 -direction or the 2 -direction. The con guration ofeach of the other plaquettes containing tw o dim ers can also uctuate. The solid cannot $m$ aintain its integrity and $m$ ust $m$ elt.

## 4 The O ne-String Problem

Each string is $m$ ade of segm ents attached end-to-end. A string con guration is described by a sequence ofnum bers $X(k)$, each an integer or half-integer, de ned below .

The $m$ idpoint of each segm ent has 1 -coordinate $x^{1}=n=2+1=2, n$ even, while the endpoints have 1 -coordinates $x^{1}=n=2+1=2, n$ odd. In this $w$ ay, both $m$ idpoints and endpoints of segm ents are labeled by the horizontal coordinate $n$. T he num ber X (k) is an integer or half-integer equal to the value of $x^{2}$ at the $m$ idpoint of the segm ent of string at $\mathrm{x}^{1}=\mathrm{k}+1=2$. C learly $\mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{k}$. The eigenstates of the one-string H am iltonian in the Schrodinger picture are wave functionals $S \mathbb{X}]=S \mathbb{X}(1) ;::: ; X(k) ;::: ; X(L)]$. $T$ hough the links $(x ; 1) w$ th $x^{1}=L$ do not exist on the triangular lattice, the num ber $X$ ( $L$ ) is still needed. The purpose of $X(L)$ is to specify how the string ends at the right boundary. These string wave functionals vanish for any choioe of $\mathbb{X}]$ which does not correspond to a string. De ne the raising and lowering operators on this space of wave-functionals by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[(k) ; X\left(k^{0}\right)\right]=k ; k^{0} ;\left[{ }^{y}(k) ; X\left(k^{0}\right)\right]={ }_{k ; k^{0}}: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The string con guration is next $m$ apped into a one-dim ensionalspin con guration fs $(n) g, s(n)=1$. A spin at odd $n$ is dow $n$ unless the string segm ent at $x^{1}=n=2$ is parallel to $\hat{2}$. A spins at even $n$ is dow $n$, unless the string segm ent whose $m$ idpoint is $x^{1}=n=2$ is tilted at $45^{\circ}$, i.e. parallel to $\hat{1} \quad \hat{2}$. The one-string $H$ am ittonian is equivalent to a spin-chain $H$ am iltonian under an inner-product-preserving transform ation A :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{Ah} \mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{y}} \text {; } \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{z}(211) A^{y}=2 x(1) ; x(11) 1 \quad 1 \text {; } \\
& A^{\mathrm{z}}(21) \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{y}}=2 \mathrm{x}(1+1) ; \mathrm{X}(1) \quad 1=2 \mathrm{X}(1) ; \mathrm{X} \text { (1 1) } 1=2 \quad 1 \text {; } \\
& 2 \mathrm{y}+1 \\
& A^{+}(211) A^{y}=(m)^{2} \text {; } \\
& m=21 \\
& A^{+}(21) A^{y}=(2 I){ }_{m=21+1}^{2 \bar{Y}+1}(m)^{2} \text {; } \\
& \text { A } \quad(211) A^{y}={ }^{2 Y(m)^{2}} \text {; } \\
& m=21 \\
& \text { A (21) } A^{y}={ }^{y}(21){ }^{2 \mathrm{I}+1}{ }^{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{~m})^{2} \text { : } \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Certain restrictions must be placed on spin con gurations if they are to be identi ed by 113) w ith string con gurations. They are:

1. the spins $s(n)$ and $s(n+1)$ cannot both be +1 ,
2. the spins $s(21)$ and $s(21+2)$ cannot both be +1 ,

The spin-chain $H$ am iltonian which describes the string is
where is taken to in nity to enforce the above restrictions 1 and 2. Notice that the last term breaks the invariance under translation by one lattioe spacing (this invariance is also broken by the boundary conditions). The num ber of up spins is xed by virtue of the fact that the spin chain has a global (1) invariance:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{h} ;{ }_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{2 \mathrm{~K}+1} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{n}=0:\right. \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The H am iltonian (14) describes spinless Fem ions which can hop from site to site, w ith strong nearest-neighbor and next-to-nearest-neighbor repulsion. T he Ferm ion elds are constructed through the Jordan -W igner transform ation ${ }^{17}$ :

These obey $\left[{ }_{n}^{y} ; m\right]_{+}=n, m$ with all other antioom $m$ utators vanishing. The lling fraction $m$ ust be $1=4$ by virtue of the boundary conditions (this is consistent $w$ ith (15) ). Ferm ions seperated from each other by $m$ ore than two lattioe spacings have the usual hopping dispersion relation $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}=2 \mathrm{~J}$ cosk, hence a Ferm isea form s in the ground state of (14). If the second term in (14) is ignored, the low-lying excitations are one-dim ensionalm assless $D$ irac particles ${ }^{17}$. It w illbe argued that this is true for the system 14) as well.

The additional repulsive interactions in (14) should not produce a gap above the Ferm i sea. There are two reasons why this should be so. The rst of these is that a repulsive short-range interaction cannot form bound states in the Ferm i uid. Secondly, the spin-chain is parity-invariant (in the sense that a spin at a given site is coupled in the sam e fashion to its left neighbor as its right neighbor), so that the left and right D irac sectors should be uncoupled, which is inconsistent with a gap. H ow ever, I do not have a proof of this claim .

The H am iltonian (14) cannot be solved exactly, but it should be possible to study its spectrum by num ericalm ethods. It is possible, how ever, to nd exact upper and lower bounds for its ground-state energy. W hile this can actually be done for the nite open chain, I will do so only for the ground-state energy per unit length in the therm odynam ic lim it.

For sim plicity, assum e that the $H$ am iltonian 14 is put on lattice of length $L$ w ith periodic boundary conditions, ie. $\stackrel{i}{n+L}^{i}=\frac{i}{n}$. C onsider the $H$ am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{R}=J_{n=1}^{X^{L}} n n+1+X_{n=1 j=1}^{X^{L}} X^{R}\left(1+n_{n}^{z}\right)(1+\underset{n+j}{z}) ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

on such a lattioe and where, as before, is taken to in nity. T he num ber $R$ is the range of the potentialand can be any non-negative integer ( T he $\mathrm{R}=0$ case is the XX chain ${ }^{17}$ ). For a speci ed lling fraction $\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{L}$, the ground-state energy per site of $h_{1}$ and $h_{2} w$ ill.be show $n$ to be low er and upper bounds, respectively, of the groundstate energy per site of $h$. In addition the ground-state energy per site of $h_{R}$ can be explicitly calculated for any lling fraction. This m odel was considered recently by G om ez-Santos, who noted that for particular llings its solution is a Luttinger liquid ${ }^{18}$.

It is straightforw ard to $n d$ all the eigenstates and eigenvalues of $h_{R}$. $C$ onsider the one-up-spin eigenstates. These states are labeled by wave num ber $k=2 \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{L}$ where $\mathrm{p}=0 ;:$ :: $\mathrm{L} \quad 1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
k>=x_{n=1}^{x^{L}} e^{i k n}+\frac{+}{n} j>; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $j>$ is the state $w$ ith all spins down (zero lling fraction). These states are eigenstates ofboth $h$ and $h_{R} w$ ith eigenvalues $E_{k}=2$ cosk. $T$ he eigenstates of $h$ and $h_{R} m$ ust have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{k}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{N}}>=\left({ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{0} \exp \left(i \mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{n}_{1}+\quad \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{in}_{\mathrm{N}}\right) \\
& { }_{k}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}\right) \stackrel{+}{\mathrm{n}_{1}} \quad \stackrel{+}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}} \mathrm{j}>\text {; } \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where the sum is over $1 \quad n_{1}<n_{2}<\quad$ in L . A state of the form 19) is an eigenstate of $h_{R}$ if and only if it is an eigenstate of the $X X$ m odel (free Ferm ions) and satis es the condition that $k\left(n_{1} ;::: ; n_{N}\right)=0$ if for some $r, n_{r+1} \quad n_{r} \quad R$. Thus any eigenstate of $h_{R+1}$ is also an eigenstate of $h_{R} w$ th the sam e eigenvalue. A state of the form 19) is an eigenstate of h if and only if it is an eigenstate of the X X m odel and satis es the condition that $k\left(n_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)=0$ if either :

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { 1. } \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}+1} & \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}} & \text { 1, for any } \mathrm{r}, \\
\text { 2. } \mathrm{n}_{2 \mathrm{p}+1} & \mathrm{n}_{2 \mathrm{p}} & \text { 2, for any } \mathrm{p} .
\end{array}
$$

These conditions im ply that any eigenstate of $h_{2}$ is also an eigenstate of $h$ and any eigenstate of $h$ is also an eigenstate of $h_{1}$. Therefore the ground state at lling fraction $f$ of $h_{2}$ is an eigenstate of $h$ and the ground state at Hing fraction $f$ ofh is an eigenstate of $h_{1}$. If ${ }_{R}(f)$ is the ground-state energy per site of $h_{R}$ and (f) is the ground-state energy per site of $h$, then the above considerations im ply

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \text { (f) } \quad(f) \quad{ }_{2}(f): \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The simplest way to calculate ${ }_{R}(f)$ is to notice that $h_{R}$ is just a free Ferm ion problem (XX chain) on an e ective lattice of size $L^{0}=L \quad N R=L(1 \quad f R)$. The e ective lling fraction is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{0}=\frac{N}{L^{0}}=\frac{f}{1} \mathrm{fR}: \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow $L^{0}{ }_{0}\left(f^{0}\right)$ is by de nition, the ground-state energy of the free Ferm ion problem on a lattice of size $L^{0}$ and lling fraction $f^{0}$. The ground-state energy per site of $h_{R}$ at lling fraction $f \mathrm{~m}$ ust therefore be

$$
{ }_{R}(f)=\frac{L^{0}{ }_{0}\left(f^{0}\right)}{L}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & f R \tag{22}
\end{array}\right) \circ\left(\frac{f}{1} f R\right):
$$

The ground-state energy density the free Ferm i problem on a large lattioe with this lling fraction is

$$
\begin{equation*}
o\left(\frac{f}{1 \mathrm{fR}}\right)=\quad 2 J \sin \left(\frac{f}{1 \mathrm{fR}}\right): \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the them odynam ic lim it, the energy per site of the string on a lattice on dim ensions N L is given by $=(1=4)=2$. From (22) and (23) the inequality (20) becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p} \frac{1}{3} \mathrm{~J} \quad \quad-\mathrm{J} ; \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
0: 184 \mathrm{~J} \quad 0: 159 \mathrm{~J}: \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5 The N-String P roblem

The solution of the N -string problem is essentially the same as in references 2 and 3. First the one-string $H$ am iltonian is found. Then the product of $N$ one-string eigenfintionals is antisym $m$ etrized in each $X(n)$. This gives an eigenfunctional of $\mathrm{H}_{1}+:::+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}$, where $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{k}}$ is the H am ittonian for the $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ string. It is in fact an eigenfunctional of the full H am iltonian, since it is guaranteed to vanish whenever strings overlap.

A ntisym m etrization can \break up" som e of the strings; it leads to choioes of X ] which are not continuously connected strings. The wave functionals autom atically vanish whenever this is the case.

Let $S_{N}$ be the perm utation group of $N$ ob jects. $G$ iven $N$ strings, there are $N$ values of $X(k)$ for each $k$, which $w i l l$ be labeled $X^{1}(k) ;:: ; X^{N}(k)$. Pem utations $s_{k}$ " $S_{N}$ will act by sending the values of $X(k)$ to $X^{s_{1}(1)}(k) ;:: ; X^{s_{k}(\mathbb{N})}(k)$. The antisym $m$ etrized product of $N$ string wave functionals quantum num bers (which are the $m$ om enta of Ferm ions in each string) $f g_{j}$ for $j=1 ;:: ; \% \mathrm{~N}$ is
$T$ he argum ent $\mathbb{X}]$ is then restricted to a fiundam ental region $F$ in which there is no overlapping of strings:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{1}(k)<X^{2}(k)<:::<X^{N}(k): \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proceedure is consistent because $S_{f} g_{1}::: f g_{N}$ [X ] vanishes just outside $F$, where links from di erent strings touch. W ith this restriction, $\mathrm{H}_{1}+:::+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}$ can be identi ed $w$ ith $H_{s}$, and hence w ith the RKM Ham ittonian and $S_{f} g_{1}::: f g_{N}$ X ] are indeed the correct eigenstates. T he energy eigenvalues are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{f} g_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{f} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{N}}}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{f} g_{1}}+:::+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{f} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{N}}}: \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reader who is not entirely convinced of the results of this section can nd a m ore com plete discussion ofm any-string theory in reference 3.

## 6 String F ield Theory

A $m$ ore form alm ethod of converting the $R \mathrm{KM}$ to a many-string problem is the stringJordan $W$ igner transform ation, sim iliar to that discussed for the three-dim ensional Ising m odel ${ }^{13}$.
 boundary to the right boundary. T hese links do not neccesarily constitute a string con guration consistent w ith the rules discussed in Section 3, but are assum ed to be a connected path, such that, for each $k=1 ;:: ;$ L there is a unique X (k). String eld operators $w$ ill be de ned for each $\mathbb{X}$ ].

A contour [X] is show $n$ in $F$ ig. 5. Let the set of links of the form $(x ; 1),(x ; 3)$, but not $(x ; 2)$ below $\mathbb{X}$ ] on the triangular lattioe be called $D . T$ he links of $D$ are show $n$ as dotted lines in $F$ ig.5. The string-destruction operator at $[X]$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 1"D } \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Sim iliarly, the string-creation operator at [X ] is

The string elds satisfy certain antioom mutation relations. The ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ 's antioom $m$ ute am ong them selves, as do the 's:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left[{ }^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathbb{X}\right] ; \quad{ }^{\mathrm{Y}}[\mathrm{Y}]\right]_{+}=[\mathbb{X}] ;[Y]\right]+=0 ; \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any two contours (X ] and [Y ]. A special case of (31) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left({ }^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathbb{X}\right]\right)^{2}=(\mathbb{X}]\right)^{2}=0: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition to 31), the string elds satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[{ }^{Y}[X] ;[Y]\right]_{+}=[X][Y] ; \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose [X] and [Y] are two contours which cross at the points $x, z$, such that [X ] is everyw here below [Y] (Fig.6). By cutting both contours at $x, z$, and then

Sw itching and re-attaching the pieces two new contours, [Z] and [U], are obtained. $T$ he string- eld ${ }^{y}$ operators satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.{ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathbb{X}\right]{ }^{\mathrm{y}}[\mathrm{Y}]=(1)^{\mathrm{Z}^{2}} \mathrm{x}^{2} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{y}}[\mathrm{Z}]{ }^{\mathrm{y}}[\mathrm{U}] ; \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ otice that if $X]$ and $[Y]$ are string contours satisfying the rules of Section 3, the contours [Z] and [U] w ill not satisfy these rules.

States produced by applying products of operators $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathbb{X}\right]$ to the zero-string state飞 S : : > (satifying X ] 飞 $: S:>=0$ ) are the second-quantized versions of (66).

For each [X] de ne a vector $j \mathbb{X}]\rangle$, such that $\langle\mathbb{X}] j \mathbb{X}]\rangle=1$ and if $\mathbb{X}] \in$ $[Y],\langle\mathbb{X}] j[Y]\rangle=0$. These vectors constitute an orthonorm al basis of a vector space V. T he states which correspond to the special string con gurations satisfying the rules of Section 3 span a subspace $W$. The mapping $A$ de ned in (12) is a linear transform ation from $W$ to the spin-chain $H$ illbert space, which preserves inner products. The H am iltonian (10), w ith the constraint (8) enforoed, is
where $h$ is the spin-chain $H$ am iltonian de ned in equation (14).
The ground state is the antisym $m$ etrized product of ground-state string wave functionals, w ith the coordinates ordered as discussed previously. By 28) and 24), the ground state $\mathrm{E}_{0}$ energy m ust satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{3} J \quad \frac{E_{0}}{N L} \quad \underline{1} J ; \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

C orrelations in the ground state of an individual string have only power law behaviour, as the spectrum of the spin chain is relativistic and gapless. Thus the ground state of the R KM has pow er-law correlations, and is neither a colum nar nor a staggered state ${ }^{4}$. An excitation of the RKM can bem ade by exciting a single string. C learly the gap is zero.

## 7 Static D efects

An im portant issue is how the system behaves when topological defects are introduced. In m odels of copper-oxide-layer superconductors, defects are charged excitations and their con nem ent im plies C ooper pairing with a short coherence length. O nly static defects w ill be discussed here. The square lattice is bipartite with two geom etrically distinct kinds of sites, nam ely red sites $w$ ith $x^{1}+x^{2}$ even and black sites $w$ th $x^{1}+x^{2}$ odd. Since the $H$ am iltonian (1) com $m$ utes $w$ th the $G$ auss's law operator (2), defect locations are xed. A red defect becom es a site where a string ends at the
right, whereas a black defect becom es a site where a string begins at the left. Free boundary conditions for dim ers on the square lattice dictate im ply that there are an equal num ber of red and black defects.

An isolated defect on a red site becom es one of the con gurations

on the triangular lattioe, while an isolated defect at a black site becom es one of the con gurations


The red defects and black defects behave like positive and negative charges, respectively in a con ning $U$ (1) gauge theory ${ }^{19}$. If a string is rem oved by placing a red defect at the left boundary and a black defect is placed at the right boundary, then the low est energy state is identical to the ground state $w$ ith no defects, $m$ inus the energy of one string. Since the individual strings have a negative energy per unit length (because the lling fraction in the spin chain is greater than zero), the defects are joined by a physical \string hole" with positive energy per unit length. $T$ his energy is $m$ inus the ground-state energy of one string. The red defect and the black defect are thus bound by a constant attractive force through the form ation of this electric string. The string tension is de ned to be the asym ptotic energy per unit seperation on the original square lattice. Since the seperation of the defects on the square lattioe is $L$, the string tension is (which satis es the bounds (24). The system should be rotational-invariant at large distances (since the gap is zero) so the string tension will only depend on the seperation of two defects, if that seperation is large.

## 8 C onclusions

It has been shown that the RKM is equivalent to a gas of transversely oscillating \hard" strings. A qualitative analysis show s that the energy spectrum is gapless, indicating a uid phase. D efects are con ned by dynam icalstrings. Further num erical work on the spin chain (14) describing a single string should reveal further properties, such as the precise values of the string tension and ground-state energy.

The physical excitations are probably closed strings. A closed string excitation is produced by disturbing one of the strings in the ground state (the string \sea")
along a nite region of its length, then antisym $m$ etrizing and ordering the string coordinates.

It seem s likely that for the range of diagonal coupling $J \quad V \quad 0$ there is a uid phase, w ith a phase transition to a valence-bond solid for som e negative $V$.
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## Figure C aptions

Figure 1 : Reduction of the square lattice to the triangular lattiae. T he bonds $m$ arked w ith circles are elim inated, converting each square (som e of which are labeled by labeled by A, B , C , etc.) to a triangle. For this particular case $\mathrm{N}=4$ and $\mathrm{L}=7$.

Figure 2:A typicalcon guration of the R K M , after reduction to the triangular lattice. Each dim er con guration on the old lattice becom es a set of strings on the new lattice.

Figure 3 : Two of the four basic four colum $n$ con gurations. By translating (a) horizontally and (b) vertically by one lattioe spacing, the other tw o colum $n$ con gurations are generated.
$F$ igure 4 : The con gurations on the triangular lattioe obtained from the colum $n$ con gurations of Fig.3. The other two such con gurations are obtained by translating these by one lattioe spacing.

Figure 5 : H ow the Ferm ionic string elds and y are de ned for a contour [X ]. N otioe that this particular choige of X ] is not a legitim ate string con guration according to the rules of Section 3. T he dark lines indicate the links of the string contour X ], while the dotted lines indicate the links in the set D.

Figure 6 : The relationship betw een the contours $[X],[Y],[Z]$ and $[U]$.

| ${ }^{e}$ A | B | ${ }^{e} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | - |  |  | $e$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D | ${ }^{e} \mathrm{E}$ | F | e |  |  | e |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{e}$ G | H | $e^{\prime} \mathrm{I}$ |  | e | - |  |  | e |  |
|  | e |  | e |  |  | e |  |  |  |
| e |  | e |  | e | e |  |  | e |  |
|  | e |  | e |  |  | e |  |  |  |
| e |  | e |  | e | e |  |  | e |  |
|  | e |  | e |  |  | e |  |  | e |
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