

CAPACITANCE OF A DOUBLE-HETEROJUNCTION  
GaAs/AlGaAs STRUCTURE SUBJECTED TO IN-PLANE  
MAGNETIC FIELDS: RESULTS OF SELF-CONSISTENT  
CALCULATIONS

T. Jungwirth, L. Smrcka  
Institute of Physics, Acad. of Sci. of Czech Rep.,  
Cukrovarnicka 10, 162 00 Praha 6, Czech Republic

(Received 23 July 1993)

Abstract. The capacitance of a double-heterojunction structure with a wide GaAs undoped layer embedded between two selectively doped AlGaAs barriers is calculated self-consistently as a function of intensity of the in-plane magnetic field. With increasing field intensity the capacitance initially increases and after reaching a maximum decreases toward a high field limit which is less than its zero field value. This behaviour is attributed to 'breathing', or charge redistribution, of the 2D electron gas at individual heterojunctions due to a combination of the conning potential and the magnetic field.

## 1. Introduction

Recent technological developments in the domain of semiconductors have led to tremendously growing interest in the (quasi) two dimensional electron gas confined to the  $x-y$  plane of the GaAs/AlGaAs interface by a narrow quantum well. Electrons possess only two degrees of freedom along the  $x$  and  $y$  direction, the motion in the  $z$  direction, perpendicularly to the interface, is quantized. A rough estimation of the separation between the lowest energy levels is about 20meV in a standard GaAs/AlGaAs heterostructure, the amplitude of the motion in the  $z$  direction is around 10nm. Thus the considered systems are not two-dimensional in the strict sense, because the wave functions always have a finite spatial extent in the  $z$  direction.

The magnetic field  $B$  applied perpendicular to the plane of the 2D gas further quantizes the energy spectrum into a set of Landau levels separated by gaps with the separation  $\hbar\omega_c$  determined by the cyclotron frequency  $\omega_c = \frac{e\hbar B}{m}$  proportional to the magnetic field. The quantization of the kinetic energy of electrons by the magnetic field is responsible for characteristic magnetotransport phenomena, such as Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations [1] and the quantum Hall effect [2]. As the effective mass  $m^*$  of an electron is small in GaAs, the energy quantization by experimentally available magnetic fields is of the same order of magnitude as the quantization due to the electrostatic potential of a quantum well.

A magnetic field parallel to the interface does not introduce new quantum levels but strongly influences the electron energy structure of a quasi 2D electron system both in  $k$ -space and in real space; the harmonic magnetic potential and the confining potential of a quantum well are combined into an effective potential for the electron motion in the  $z$  direction. Obviously, in such a case the system cannot be considered as a strictly two-dimensional one.

The most pronounced effect of a parallel magnetic field is a shift of subband energy dispersion curves [3]. The separation of levels and the density of states in branches of the energy spectrum increase with increasing  $B$ . As a result, it is possible to alter the individual subband population to the point where the highest subband is completely depopulated [4].

It was also shown that the Fermi contours of the 2D electron gas deviate from the standard circular shape under the combined influence of the confining potential and the parallel magnetic field. In standard heterostructures with approximately triangular potential wells the Fermi contours acquire 'pear-like' shapes [5].

The theoretical investigations of the electronic structure of a 2D system subjected to an in-plane magnetic field were until now restricted to simple analytically solvable models [6, 7, 8], or to the application of perturbation theory to more realistic quantum mechanical descriptions of systems in a zero magnetic field [3]. Only recently have fully self-consistent calculations of the energy subbands of standard GaAs/AlGaAs heterostructures in in-plane fields been published [9, 10].

In this paper we focus on an interesting feature of the electronic structure of quasi 2D systems in parallel magnetic fields which did not attract much attention until now: the field induced redistribution of the electron charge density in a quantum well. This quantity is routinely calculated in the above mentioned self-consistent calculations but rather difficult to measure. New technique for making separate connections to the individual 2D electron layers [11] of double-quantum wells makes it at present experimentally accessible by measuring the electric capacitance as a function of the in-plane magnetic field amplitude.

In our theoretical study of the capacitance we shall consider a double-heterojunction structure, where the wide undoped GaAs layer is embedded between two selectively doped AlGaAs barriers (see figure 1). With an appropriate choice of the GaAs layer width  $d$  this configuration produces two independent, non-overlapping 2D electron systems confined to GaAs/AlGaAs interfaces and the structure resembles a parallel plate capacitor.

We assume that our system is symmetrical and has its centre of symmetry at  $z = d/2$ .

Therefore, in equilibrium, the charge distribution also is symmetrical and the electro-chemical potential  $\mu_L$  of the left electron layer is equal to the electro-chemical potential  $\mu_R$  of the right layer. Note that in this case the electric field in the middle of the structure, at  $z = d/2$ , is equal to zero.

To calculate the capacitance, we have to study the non-equilibrium state of a double-layer system with the left part ( $z < d/2$ ) charged  $Q_L = +Q$  and the right part ( $z > d/2$ ) charged  $Q_R = -Q$ . As we deal with two non-overlapping electron systems (the tunneling between them is completely neglected) each of them can be assumed to be in a local thermodynamical equilibrium, characterized by local electro-chemical potentials  $\mu_L$  and  $\mu_R$ , respectively. Then the capacitance  $C$  per unit area is given by

$$C = \frac{eD}{\mu_L - \mu_R} \quad (1)$$

where  $D = \frac{1}{e} \int \mu_L - \mu_R dz$ .

To determine the capacitance defined by the above expression we proceed in the following steps.

First, basic formulae describing the electron subbands of a quantum well subjected to the in-plane magnetic fields will be presented and we shall try to conclude from their shape rather general results independent of a detailed knowledge of the form of the confining potential. A simple analytically solvable model will be used to illustrate this analysis.

Then the self-consistent calculation of the equilibrium electronic structure of the double-layered quantum well will be described in detail and, finally, the capacitance will be calculated, assuming a difference between electro-chemical potentials of individual electron layers connected to GaAs/AlGaAs interfaces.

## 2. General formulae

We consider a system of non-interacting electrons at each GaAs/AlGaAs interface, subjected to a confining electrostatic potential  $V_{conf}(z)$  and to an in-plane magnetic field  $B = (0; B_y; 0)$ . The main difference between the electrostatic and magnetic forces is that the electric field depends only on the  $z$ -coordinate of an electron while the Lorentz force is also a function of the electron velocity components  $v_x$  and  $v_z$ . Thus, due to the presence of the in-plane magnetic field, time reversal symmetry is broken, and the  $x$  and  $z$  components of the electron motion couple.

Since the translational invariance in the layer plane is preserved, the quantum mechanical description of the system reduces to a one-dimensional problem. In the Landau gauge, the momentum components  $p_x$  and  $p_y$  are constants of motion and the wavefunction  $\psi(r)$  can be factorized:

$$\psi(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{S}} \exp(ik_x x + ik_y y) \psi_{ik_x}(z) \quad (2)$$

Then the Schrodinger equation for the 'out-of-plane' electron motion may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + \frac{1}{2m} (\hbar k_x - \frac{eB_y z}{c})^2 - eV_{conf}(z) \right] \psi_{ik_x}(z) \\ & = E_i(k_x) \frac{\hbar^2 k_y^2}{2m} \psi_{ik_x}(z) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

This one-dimensional equation describes the electron motion in the effective electro-magnetic potential  $V_{eff}$  composed of the harmonic magnetic potential, corresponding to the Lorentz force, and the confining potential  $V_{conf}$ .

$$V_{\text{eff}} = \frac{m \omega_c^2}{2} (z - z_0)^2 + eV_{\text{conf}}(z) \quad (4)$$

The centre  $z_0$  of the magnetic part of the effective potential is related to the wavevector component  $k_x$  by  $z_0 = \hbar k_x / m \omega_c$ . This feature of the effective potential reflects the dependence of the electron motion in the  $z$  direction on the  $x$  component of the in-plane electron motion. As a consequence, the eigenfunctions  $\psi_{i,j,k_x}(z)$  become  $k$ -dependent.

When compared with the zero field solutions, the  $k$ -dependent eigenfunctions are modified by the magnetic field in two different ways.

First, the centres of mass  $hz_{i,j,k_x}$  of  $\psi_{i,j,k_x}(z)$  are shifted from their original positions obtained for  $B = 0$ . They are related to the  $x$  component of the in-plane velocity by

$$hz_{i,j,k_x} = \frac{\hbar k_x}{m \omega_c} + \frac{\hbar v_{x,i,j,k_x}}{m \omega_c}; \quad (5)$$

the velocity  $\hbar v_{x,i,j,k_x}$  can be determined from the shape of the energy spectrum curve  $E_i(k_x)$  by

$$\hbar v_{x,i,j,k_x} = \frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{\partial E_i(k_x)}{\partial k_x}; \quad (6)$$

Second, as the confining strengths of the magnetic potential and  $V_{\text{conf}}$  are added, we expect that the width  $\Delta z_{i,j,k_x}$  of states  $\psi_{i,j,k_x}(z)$  defined by

$$\Delta z_{i,j,k_x}^2 = \hbar z_{i,j,k_x}^2 + \hbar z_{i,j,k_x}^2 \quad (7)$$

will decrease with the magnitude of the magnetic field  $B$ . It can be related to the energy spectrum curve by equation (5) and the expression

$$\hbar z_{i,j,k_x}^2 = \frac{\hbar k_x}{m \omega_c} \hbar z_{i,j,k_x} + \frac{1}{m \omega_c} \frac{\partial E_i(k_x)}{\partial k_x}; \quad (8)$$

Both the shift of the mass centre  $hz_{i,j,k_x}$  and the reduction of  $\Delta z_{i,j,k_x}$  will contribute to the redistribution of the electron charge density by the in-plane magnetic field.

We illustrate the changes induced by the magnetic field in a simple analytically solvable model of a parabolic quantum well with the confining potential  $V_{\text{conf}} = m \omega_c^2 z^2 / 2$ . In this case the centres of mass are shifted from the original positions  $hz_{i0} = 0$  linearly with respect to  $k_x$

$$hz_{i,j,k_x} = \frac{\hbar k_x}{m \omega_c} \quad (9)$$

and the characteristic width of the wavefunction is

$$\Delta z_{i,j,k_x} = \frac{\hbar}{m \omega_c} \quad (10)$$

In the above equations  $\omega_c = (\omega_c^2 + \omega_c^2)^{1/2}$ . Each of the equations (9) and (10) describes one of the above mentioned mechanisms of the charge redistribution due to the magnetic field. It follows from the equation (9) that the centres of mass are spread by the magnetic field until the field reaches a critical value

$$B_c = \frac{m \omega_c}{\hbar} \quad (11)$$

Then, upon further increasing the magnetic field, the centres return to the point  $z = 0$  in the limit of infinite magnetic field. Due to the symmetry of the electrostatic potential the shifts of the centres corresponding to  $k_x$  and  $-k_x$  are symmetrical with respect to the point  $z = 0$  and thus the centre of mass of the whole system remains unchanged.

The equation (10) shows that the characteristic width of wavefunctions decreases with increasing magnetic field and in the limit of infinite magnetic field, the wavefunctions become  $\delta$ -functions.

One can expect this type of behaviour of eigenfunctions to be preserved for any 'reasonable' confining potential of a single quantum well. The centres of mass, which were localized around a single  $h\nu_{i,j,k_x}$  at zero magnetic field, occupy a finite range for  $B \neq 0$ . The occupied range first increases with increasing  $B$ , then decreases and finally is reduced to a point for  $B \rightarrow \infty$ . The positions around which  $h\nu_{i,j,k_x}$  are localized for  $B = 0$  and  $B \rightarrow \infty$  need not be the same in asymmetric quantum wells.

### 3. Self-consistent confinement

The standard semi-empirical model working quantitatively for the lowest conduction states of GaAs/AlGaAs heterostructures is used to solve the Schrodinger equation in the envelope function approximation [12]. The envelope function is assumed to be built from host quantum states belonging to a single parabolic band. The effect of the effective mass mismatch is completely neglected, and the envelope functions of GaAs and AlGaAs are smoothly matched at the interface. Hence the Schrodinger equation has a form given by (3).

Since we are interested in two non-overlapping double-layer electron systems confined to two identical GaAs/AlGaAs interfaces and since the whole heterostructure is electro-neutral and in thermodynamical equilibrium, each of the systems can be investigated separately. We can restrict ourselves to a single GaAs/AlGaAs heterojunction and demand a zero derivative of the confining potential  $V_{conf}(z)$  at the point  $z = d/2$ .

The confining potential

$$V_{conf}(z) = V_b(z) + V_{sc}(z) \quad (12)$$

is a sum of (i) the step function  $V_b(z) = V_b \theta(z)$  corresponding to the conduction band discontinuity between AlGaAs and GaAs (ii) a term describing the interaction of an electron with ions and (iii) the electron-electron interaction. This term should be calculated self-consistently and can be written as

$$V_{sc}(z) = V_H(z) + V_{xc}(z) \quad (13)$$

The Hartree term  $V_H$  is determined from the Poisson equation

$$\frac{d^2 V_H}{dz^2} = \frac{q N_e(z)}{\epsilon} \quad (14)$$

and for the exchange-correlation term  $V_{xc}$  we use an expression calculated by Ruden and Dohler [13] in a density-functional formalism

$$V_{xc} = -0.611 \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon} \left( \frac{3N_e(z)}{4} \right)^{1/3} \quad (15)$$

The conduction band offset  $V_b$  and the dielectric constant  $\epsilon$  enter our calculations as input parameters. (We use mks units.)

For modulation doped GaAs/AlGaAs heterostructures, the total charge density  $\rho(z)$  in equation (14) can be split into parts corresponding to concentrations of electrons,  $N_e(z)$ , their parent donors in AlGaAs,  $N_d^+(z)$ , and ionized residual acceptors in GaAs,  $N_a^-(z)$ :

$$\rho(z) = e N_e(z) - N_d^+(z) + N_a^-(z) \quad (16)$$

The usual approximation of constant impurity concentrations is assumed.

In our calculation we consider a GaAs/AlGaAs heterostructure with parameters  $N_d = 2 \cdot 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ,  $N_a = 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and the spacer thickness  $w = 60 \text{ nm}$  to obtain the electron system of  $N_e = 1.6 \cdot 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  having one occupied subband. We took the band offset  $V_b = 225 \text{ meV}$  and the dielectric constant  $\epsilon = 12.9$  [12].

As discussed in previous sections the electronic structure of a quasi-2D gas is substantially modified by in-plane magnetic fields both in  $k$ -space and in real space. Figure 2 shows the intervals of wavevectors  $k_x \in [k_x^{m \text{ in}}, k_x^{m \text{ ax}}]$ ;  $k_x^{m \text{ ax}}$ , determined by

$$E(k_x^{m \text{ in}}) = E(k_x^{m \text{ ax}}) = \quad (17)$$

and corresponding to occupied states  $\psi_{k_x}(z)$ , together with the corresponding centres of mass. The  $\psi_{i,j,k_x}$  curves are plotted for several magnetic fields and only for the occupied states  $k_x \in [k_x^{m \text{ in}}, k_x^{m \text{ ax}}]$ .

The  $k_x$  dependence of the centres is non-linear and, due to the asymmetry of the self-consistent confining potential, it is asymmetric with respect to the origin of coordinates. Also the critical values of the magnetic field  $B_c^R$  and  $B_c^L$  for electrons belonging to the right and the left part of the energy spectra are different ( $B_c^R = 1.75 \text{ T}$ ,  $B_c^L = 8 \text{ T}$ ).

In spite of many quantitative differences, the model with parabolic confinement gives a qualitative explanation of the numerical results. The electrons with  $k_x$  close to  $k_x^{m \text{ ax}}$  are shifted into the bulk GaAs while the electrons with  $k_x$  close to  $k_x^{m \text{ in}}$  are shifted toward the interface. It means that these two electron systems are subjected either to a weak electric field  $dV_{\text{conf}}/dz$  in the bulk GaAs or a strong electric field  $dV_{\text{conf}}/dz$  at the interface. Describing the electron systems separately, the curve can be approximated by two straight lines (see the case of  $B = 4 \text{ T}$ ) corresponding to weak parabolic confinement with  $\epsilon = \epsilon^R$  and strong parabolic confinement with  $\epsilon = \epsilon^L$ . Since  $\epsilon^R < \epsilon^L$  the critical values of the magnetic field must fulfill  $B_c^R < B_c^L$  as follows from equation (11).

With parabolic confinement the centre of mass of the whole electron system is independent of the magnetic field, due to the symmetry of the potential. Figure 3 shows that in the GaAs/AlGaAs single heterojunction the situation is different. Here the whole electron system is first shifted into the GaAs and then for  $B > B_c^R$  it is shifted back to the heterostructure interface.

The distributions of electrons at  $B = B_c^R$  and at  $B = 8 \text{ T}$  (the largest magnetic field considered in our calculations) are compared in the figure 4 (a). We can see the remarkable charge redistribution in bulk GaAs. At the interface, the effective electric field due to the confining potential  $V_{\text{conf}}(z)$  is so strong that the redistribution of electrons by the magnetic field is negligibly small. For this reason, the confining potential also changes remarkably only deep in GaAs as shown in the figure 4 (b).

#### 4. Capacitance

In the previous section an equilibrium state of a double-heterojunction GaAs/AlGaAs structure was investigated. To find its capacitance we have to study a non-equilibrium system assuming a difference between the local electro-chemical potentials of the left 2D electron gas,  $\mu_L$ , and of the right 2D electron gas,  $\mu_R$ . Then the confining potential is no longer symmetrical and a non-zero electric field appears in the middle of the sample at  $z = d/2$  as shown in figure 5.

The calculation of the difference between electro-chemical potentials can be split into the following steps: (i) For a given value of  $Q$  the electric field in the middle of the sample, i.e. the derivative of the electrostatic potential at the point  $z = d/2$ , is determined by

$$\frac{dV_{\text{conf}}}{dz} \Big|_{z=\frac{d}{2}} = \frac{j\mathcal{D}}{n} : \quad (18)$$

Then the potentials  $V_{\text{conf}}^L$  ( $z < d/2$ ) and  $V_{\text{conf}}^R$  ( $z > d/2$ ) are calculated separately with the boundary condition (18). To be definite, we choose the otherwise arbitrary origin of the energy scale in such a way that  $\mu_L$  is equal to zero and  $\mu_R$  enters the self-consistent loop as a parameter to be determined. (ii) The electro-chemical potential  $\mu_R$  is obtained from the matching condition

$$V_{\text{conf}}^L(d/2) = V_{\text{conf}}^R(d/2) : \quad (19)$$

In numerical practice we started with  $\mu_R^s = 0$ , similarly as in the case of  $\mu_L$ , and calculate the difference between the resulting values  $V_{\text{conf}}^L$  and  $V_{\text{conf}}^R$  at  $z = d/2$ . Then obviously we have

$$= jV_{\text{conf}}^L(d/2) - V_{\text{conf}}^R(d/2) j \quad (20)$$

and the capacitance  $C$  can be calculated from equation (1). We have performed the calculation for a number of concentrations of the non-equilibrium charge  $Q$  up to 0.5% of the equilibrium concentration of electrons  $N_e$ , for which the value of  $j\mathcal{D} - j$  reaches  $1 \text{ meV}$ . We have found that the capacitance is a constant and does not vary with  $Q$  in this range of values.

The capacitance as a function of the magnetic field is shown in figure 6. It reaches its maximum value at  $B = B_C^R$  and for  $B > B_C^R$  decreases toward a limiting value  $C = C_1$  for  $B \rightarrow 1$ . The relation of the shape of this curve to the magnetic field induced charge redistribution is explained in figure 7. For magnetic fields  $B < B_C^R$  the electron systems are shifted closer to each other and thus the potentials  $V_{\text{conf}}^L$  and  $V_{\text{conf}}^R$  increase at the point  $z = d/2$  (see figure 7(a)). At the same time, since the electron concentration in the right part of the system is larger than in the left one, we can expect the effect of the charge redistribution to be stronger on  $V_{\text{conf}}^R$  than on  $V_{\text{conf}}^L$ . It means that the difference  $V_{\text{conf}}^L - V_{\text{conf}}^R$  decreases and the capacitance of the system increases. For  $B > B_C^R$  the situation is just opposite, as shown in the figure 7(b).

In previous sections we mentioned that in the limit of infinite magnetic field the electron systems are compressed to planes close to the interfaces. It enables one to find the approximate limiting value of the capacitance ( $C_1 = 0.57 \text{ pF } \mu\text{m}^{-2}$ ) from a model of a parallel plate capacitor with the distance between plates equal to the distance between the GaAs/AlGaAs interfaces.

## 5. Summary

The capacitance of bilayer two-dimensional electron systems as a function of in-plane magnetic field has been determined by the self-consistent calculation of the electron structure of a GaAs/AlGaAs double-heterojunction.

To gain better physical insight into the problem, the qualitative aspects of the behaviour of the system in magnetic fields have been illustrated using a simple model with parabolic confinement.

The redistribution of the charge due to the combined effect of the magnetic field and of the shape of the confining potential was found responsible for the results obtained.

We have also found that the self-consistent approach is unavoidable in calculation of the difference between the local non-equilibrium electro-chemical potentials of the two 2D systems which define the capacitance of a bilayer system.

Acknowledgements—We are grateful to Prof. Allan H. MacDonald who turned our attention to this problem.

This work has been partly supported by ASCR Grant No. 11 059.

## References

- [1] A. B. Fowler, F. F. Fang, W. E. Howard, and P. J. Stiles, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 16 901 (1966)
- [2] K. von Klitzing, G. Dorda, and M. Pepper, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 45 494 (1980)
- [3] F. Stern and W. E. Howard, *Phys. Rev.* 163 816 (1967)
- [4] F. Englert, J. C. M. van, D. C. Tsui, and A. C. Gossard, *Solid State Commun.* 45 989 (1983)
- [5] D. R. Leadley, R. J. Nicholas, J. J. Harris, and C. T. Foxon, 20th International Conference on Physics of Semiconductors eds E. M. Anastassakis and J. D. Joannopoulos (Singapore: World Scientific Publishing Co.) p 1609 (1990)
- [6] J. C. M. van, Two-Dimensional Systems, Heterostructures and Superlattices eds G. Bauer, F. Kuchar, and H. Heinrich (Berlin: Springer) p 183 (1984)
- [7] R. Merlin, *Solid State Commun.* 64 99 (1987)
- [8] W. Zawadzki, S. Klahn, and U. Merkt *Phys. Rev. B* 33 6916 (1986)
- [9] J. M. Heisz and E. Zarembo, *Semicond. Sci Technol.* 8 575 (1993)
- [10] T. Jungwirth and L. Smrcka, *J. Phys. C.: Condens. Matter* 5 L 217 (1993)
- [11] J. P. Eisenstein, *Superlattices and Microstructures* 12 107 (1992)
- [12] G. Bastard *Wave mechanics applied to semiconductor heterostructures* les editions de physique Paris (1990)
- [13] P. Ruden and G. H. Dohler, *Phys. Rev. B* 27 3538 (1983)

## Figure captions

Figure 1. Schematic of the GaAs/AlGaAs double-heterojunction with non-overlapping bilayer 2D electron systems subjected to the in-plane magnetic field  $B$ . The electron systems have one occupied level  $E_0 < E_1$ .  $V_0$  is the conduction band discontinuity,  $w$  the spacer thickness and  $d$  the distance between interfaces.

Figure 2. Self-consistently calculated  $k_x$  dependence of the centre of mass of the wavefunction in a GaAs/AlGaAs heterojunction (full lines). The discrete values of the in-plane magnetic field are taken from 0 to 8T. Intervals of  $k_x$  corresponds to occupied states. Dashed lines are related to models with parabolic confinement ( $E^R$ ,  $E^L$ ).

Figure 3. Self-consistently calculated in-plane magnetic field dependence of the centre of mass of the whole system. The maximum of the curve corresponds to the critical value of the magnetic field  $B_c^R = 1.75T$ .

Figure 4. Self-consistent (a) charge distribution and (b) confining potential at  $B = 1.75T$  (solid line) and  $B = 8T$  (dashed line).

Figure 5. Schematic of the GaAs/AlGaAs double-heterojunction in a non-equilibrium state with the left part charged  $+Q$  and the right part  $-Q$ . The local thermodynamical equilibrium of the bilayer electron systems is characterized by the local electro-chemical potentials  $\mu_L, \mu_R$ .

Figure 6. Calculated in-plane magnetic field dependence of the capacitance of the bilayer 2D electron systems. The maximum of the curve corresponds to the critical value of the magnetic field  $B_c^R$ .

Figure 7. Schematic of the change of the left and right part of the confining potential and consequently of  $\mu_L, \mu_R$  due to the magnetic fields (a)  $B < B_c^R$  and (b)  $B > B_c^R$ . For  $B < B_c^R$ ,  $\mu_L$  decreases with increasing the magnetic field, for  $B > B_c^R$   $\mu_R$  increases with increasing the magnetic field.