

Numerical transfer-matrix study of metastability in the $d=2$ Ising model

C. C. A. Gunther^{*y}, Per Arne Rikvold^{*yz}, and M. A. Novotny^{*}

^{*} Supercomputer Computations Research Institute, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306-4052

^yDepartment of Physics and Center for Materials Research and Technology, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306-3016

^zFaculty of Integrated Human Studies, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan
(December 31, 2021)

Abstract

We apply a generalized numerical transfer-matrix method to the two-dimensional Ising ferromagnet in a nonzero field to obtain complex constrained free energies. Below T_c certain eigenstates of the transfer matrix are identified as representing a metastable phase. The imaginary parts of the metastable constrained free energies are found to agree with a field-theoretical droplet model for a wide range of fields, allowing us to numerically estimate the average free-energy cost of a critical cluster. We find excellent agreement with the equilibrium cluster free energy obtained by a Wulff construction with the exact, anisotropic zero-field surface tension, and we present strong evidence for Goldstone modes on the critical cluster surface. Our results are also fully consistent with average metastable lifetimes from previous Monte Carlo simulations. The study indicates that our constrained-transfer-matrix technique provides a nonperturbative numerical method to obtain an analytic continuation of the free energy around the essential singularity at the first-order transition.

1993 PACS Numbers: 64.60 M y, 64.60 Q b, 82.60 N h, 05.50.+ q

Typeset using REVTeX

Despite the fact that metastability is commonly observed in systems ranging from fluids, magnets, and alloys, to the "false vacuum" in high-energy physics, a fully satisfactory microscopic description remains elusive. Although metastable states do not globally minimize the free energy, they persist for periods many orders of magnitude longer than other characteristic timescales of the system [1,2]. This observation has prompted efforts to study the statistical mechanics of metastable states as if they were equilibrium phases, using constrained partition functions that exclude or severely reduce the probabilities of microstates that dominate in equilibrium [1]. By applying such ideas to a field-theoretical droplet model with Fokker-Planck dynamics, it has been shown [3,4] that the nucleation rate for droplets of the equilibrium phase in a metastable background is proportional to the imaginary part of a complex-valued constrained free energy obtained by analytic continuation from the equilibrium phase into the metastable phase. This result was derived for ultra-weak fields only [4], and despite extensive subsequent work [5-7] its domain of validity is not completely clear.

Recently one of us introduced a numerical technique, the Constrained-Transfer-Matrix (CTM) method, which extends transfer-matrix calculations to metastable and unstable states [8], providing a means to compute complex-valued "constrained free energies". However, in contrast to the field-theoretical droplet model [3,4], the CTM method does not necessitate the explicit introduction of droplets. In this Letter we apply this method to the two-dimensional square-lattice nearest-neighbor Ising ferromagnet in a magnetic field and below its critical temperature. Metastable states in short-range force systems have finite, albeit very long, lifetimes [6,9-12], and it is now quite well established that the free energy has an essential singularity at $H = 0$ [13,14]. This contrasts sharply with the infinitely long-lived metastability displayed by systems with weak long-range interactions in the thermodynamic limit [1,2,7,8,15,16] and the corresponding spinodal branch-point singularity. The numerical results for the metastable free energy that we present here are in excellent agreement with series expansions [17-20] and Monte Carlo simulations [11,12,21,22], and indicate that the CTM technique provides a nonperturbative numerical method to obtain an analytic continuation of the free energy around the essential singularity at $H = 0$.

The Ising model is defined by the Hamiltonian $H = -J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} S_i S_j - H \sum_i S_i$, where $S_i = \pm 1$ is the spin at site i , $J > 0$ is the nearest-neighbor coupling, the first sum is over all nearest-neighbor pairs on the square lattice, and H is the field.

The conventional transfer-matrix formalism [23] provides a method to calculate the equilibrium partition function and correlation lengths for an $N \times 1$ system from the eigenvalues of the transfer matrix T_0 . This matrix is defined by dividing the system into one-dimensional layers of N spins each and by decomposing the total Hamiltonian (in this case H from above) into partial Hamiltonians $H(X_l; Y_{l+1})$, giving $\langle X_l | T_0 | Y_{l+1} \rangle = \exp[-\frac{1}{T} H(X_l; Y_{l+1})]$. Here T is the temperature in energy units, and X_l and Y_{l+1} are the configurations of the l -th and $(l+1)$ -th layer, respectively. Since T_0 is a positive matrix, its dominant eigenvalue is positive and nondegenerate (i.e., $\lambda_0 > |\lambda_j|$ for all $j > 0$), and the corresponding eigenvector ψ_i is the only one whose elements are all positive [23]. The CTM method extends the scope of transfer-matrix calculations to metastable and unstable states by defining constrained probability densities constructed from the eigenvectors ψ_i of T_0 ,

$$P(X_l; Y_m) = \langle \psi_l | T_0^{m-l} | \psi_m \rangle, \quad (1)$$

The matrix T is chosen to commute with T_0 , but has λ_0 as its dominant eigenvalue, rather than λ_1 . This ensures that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P(X_l; Y_m) = P(X_l)P(Y_m)$, corresponding to stochastic independence of widely separated layers. As a consequence, the T for $\beta > 0$ are not positive matrices. As in Refs. [8,15,16] we use

$$T = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{\{S\}} \exp[-\beta H(X; Y)] \psi(X) \psi(Y), \quad (2)$$

From T one obtains constrained free-energy densities,

$$F = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{X,Y} P(X; Y) H(X; Y) - TS, \quad (3a)$$

where

$$S = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{X,Y} P(X; Y) \ln \langle X | T | Y \rangle, \quad (3b)$$

are constrained entropy densities, defined in analogy with the source entropy of a stationary Markov information source [24]. Negative elements of T give rise to the imaginary part of F through $\text{Ln} z$, the principal branch of the complex logarithm. The quantities defined in Eqs. (2)-(3b) reduce to their standard equilibrium values [23] for $\beta = 0$.

To calculate F from Eq. (3a) one has to sum over all the eigenstates of T_0 . To accomplish this with sufficient accuracy for large N , diagonalization routines in 128-bit precision for the Cray Y-MP/432 vector-supercomputer, involving block-diagonalization of T_0 , were custom-written. For $T = J = 0.4$, the attainable values of N were limited by numerical underflow for small j , whereas for higher T they were limited by the available memory. The total computer time spent on this study was on the order of 800 CPU hours.

Following previous transfer-matrix studies [5,6], the metastable state for any particular $H > 0$ was identified as the eigenstate j whose magnetization is closest to $1/2$. Fig. 1 shows $\text{Im } F$ vs. $J=H$ for those j that contribute to the metastable state for $N = 9$ and 10 . Each of the lobes corresponds to a different m . Their minima, especially for small H , have extremely small values. The crossings correspond to avoided crossings in the eigenvalue spectrum of T_0 . At $T = 0$ the exact values of H at which these crossings occur are $H = 0$ and $H = J = 2/(N - m)$ with $m = 1, \dots, N - 1$. For the temperatures studied, the field values of the crossings deviate by at most 3% from their values at $T = 0$. As pointed out previously [5,6], these crossings should correspond to zeros of the constrained metastable partition function, and as $N \rightarrow \infty$ the diverging density of crossings near $H = 0$ gives rise to an essential singularity [14].

We find two different scaling regimes for the minima of the metastable $\text{Im } F$. The minimum which lies in the field interval $0 < H = J = 2/(N - 1)$ decays exponentially with N . The value of H at which that minimum occurs, is proportional to N^{-2} , whereas the corresponding dominant length scale is proportional to N . For $H = J$ between approximately $2/(N - 2)$ and 2 , the metastable $\text{Im } F$, while still extremely small, are independent of N . These results can be explained by a droplet model in which the initial step in the decay of the metastable state is the random formation of clusters that exceed a critical size.

In weak fields, the average critical cluster is larger than N . Therefore, the free-energy

cost of creating a critical cluster is simply the free energy of an interface cutting across the system, and thus proportional to N . Assuming that the Boltzmann factor of this free-energy cost, as well as the minimum of the metastable $\text{Im } F$, is inversely proportional to the nucleation rate, one finds that $\text{Im } F$ decays exponentially with N . The N^{-2} dependence of the field at which this minimum occurs can also be derived by a droplet-model argument [25].

Field-theoretical droplet-model calculations for infinitely large systems in the limit of very weak magnetic fields [3,26] show that in two dimensions the imaginary part of the metastable free energy should be given as [20]

$$\text{Im } F_{ms} = B \hbar^2 \exp \left[-\frac{1}{T} \frac{b^2}{4 \hbar^2 J M} \right] \left(1 + O(H^2) \right)^{1/2}; \quad (4)$$

where B is a nonuniversal constant. The leading term in the exponential is $1/T$ times the nonuniversal free-energy cost of a critical cluster, with b^2 being the square of its surface free energy divided by its area, and M the difference in magnetization between the equilibrium and metastable states. The prefactor exponent b is determined by Goldstone excitations on the critical cluster surface and is expected to be universal. For $d=2$, b is expected to be unity if Goldstone modes are present [17,18,20,26,27] and $1/2$ if they are absent [18]. Series expansions [17,19] and Monte Carlo simulations [21] support Eq. (4) with $b=1$. Furthermore, there is substantial evidence [20,21] that, in the limit of weak fields, b^2 is given by its equilibrium value, which may be obtained by combining a Wulff construction with the exact, anisotropic zero-field surface tension [28], and that M is given by twice the exact zero-field magnetization [20].

Despite the fact that Eq. (4) was derived in the weak-field limit, Fig. 1 indicates that it also gives the correct leading-order behavior of the minima of the metastable $\text{Im } F$ for intermediate fields, $2 = (N-2) H = J-2$. The dashed straight line in Fig. 1 is drawn through the two minima between $J=H=3$ and 4 for $N=10$ only. However, it also connects several minima at smaller values of $J=H$ for both $N=10$ and 9 , and its slope gives a rough estimate for $b^2=M$ in Eq. (4). In this field region the size of the average critical cluster is smaller

than the finite width of the system. Indeed, we find that the average droplet diameters, as determined from the droplet model, range from about 8 lattice units for $N = 10$ near the cross-over field $H = J^{-2} = (10^{-2})$, down to 1 near $H = J^{-2}$ for all T studied [25]. Furthermore, for all temperatures and all fields $H = J^{-2} < 1/2$, we find that both the metastable and the stable single-phase correlation lengths, as determined from the transfer-matrix level spacings, are smaller than the average droplet diameters. Except for the largest fields studied, this ensures sharp cluster surfaces.

In order to determine $b^2 = M$ and b in a more systematic way, we performed a three-parameter linear least-squares fit of the logarithm of the minima of the metastable $\text{Im } F$ to the form of Eq. (4). It must be emphasized, however, that since our results for $\text{Im } F$ are numerically exact, the least-squares method was used merely as a tool to estimate the parameters numerically. We have therefore assigned error bars such that χ^2 per degree of freedom is approximately unity.

Our results for $b^2 = M$ are in agreement with the hypothesis that b^2 is equal to its equilibrium value obtained by combining a Wulff construction with the exact, anisotropic zero-field surface tension [28], and that M equals twice the exact zero-field equilibrium magnetization. We find agreement to within 10% for all temperatures. We therefore fix $b^2 = M$ to its equilibrium value and obtain b from a two-parameter linear least squares fit. For $T = J > 0.4$, the results are consistent with the presence and inconsistent with the absence of Goldstone modes on the surface of the critical clusters, with a value of $b = 0.37 \pm 0.19$ at $T = J = 1.0$. The limits on the attainable values of N prevent us from probing sufficiently small fields to obtain reliable estimates of b for $T = J = 0.4$. Also, large finite-size effects prevent us from attaining sufficiently high temperatures to verify any effects of the deviation of M from 2 [20].

Fig. 2 shows a comparison between the equilibrium value of $b^2 = M$ with its value obtained from a two-parameter fit, in which b is fixed to 1. It strongly supports the idea that the metastable state decays through the formation of critical clusters. These are on the average almost square at low temperatures and nearly circular at higher temperatures.

Our results are also consistent with direct measurements of metastable lifetimes by Monte Carlo simulation [6,9{12,22], in which three different scaling regimes are found. The two low-field regimes correspond directly to those found here for the metastable $\text{Im } F$.

In summary, the Constrained-Transfer-Matrix method [8] applied to the two-dimensional square-lattice Ising ferromagnet allows us to numerically obtain complex metastable free energies. Their imaginary parts depend on system size and magnetic field in a way fully consistent with the interpretation that this technique provides a nonperturbative numerical method to obtain an analytic continuation of the free energy from the equilibrium phase into the metastable state around the essential singularity at $H = 0$. However, the CTM method does not rely on the explicit introduction of clusters of the stable phase in the metastable background. Comparing $\text{Im } F$ with field-theoretical droplet-model calculations of the same quantity [3,20,26], we estimated the average free-energy cost of a critical cluster over a wide range of fields and temperatures, finding excellent quantitative agreement with theoretical predictions [20,21] involving the surface free energy of equilibrium cluster shapes [28]. The above comparison also gives strong evidence for Goldstone modes on the critical cluster surfaces [17,18,20,21,26,27]. A more detailed account of this study will be reported elsewhere [25].

We thank R.K.P. Zia for helpful correspondence, and H. Tomita and S. Miyashita for useful conversations. Supported in part by Florida State University through the Supercomputer Computations Research Institute (Department of Energy Contract No. DE-FC05-85ER25000), the Center for Materials Research and Technology, and through Cray Y-MP supercomputer time, and also by National Science Foundation Grant No. DMR-9013107.

REFERENCES

^x Temporary address.

- [1] O . Penrose and J . L . Lebowitz, J. Stat. Phys. 3, 211 (1971); O . Penrose and J . L . Lebowitz, in Fluctuation Phenomena, edited by E . W . Montroll and J . L . Lebowitz (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1979), Ch. 5, p. 293.
- [2] G . Y . Sewell, Phys. Rep. 57, 307 (1980).
- [3] J . S . Langer, Ann. Phys. 41, 108 (1967).
- [4] J . S . Langer, Ann. Phys. 54, 258 (1969).
- [5] C . M . Newman and L . S . Schulman, J. Math. Phys. 18, 23 (1977); J. Stat. Phys. 23, 131 (1980); V . Privman and L . S . Schulman, J. Phys. A : Math. Gen. 15, L231 (1982); J. Stat. Phys. 31, 205 (1982).
- [6] R . J . McCraw and L . S . Schulman, J. Stat. Phys. 18, 293 (1978).
- [7] G . Roepstorff and L . S . Schulman, J. Stat. Phys. 34, 35 (1984); B . Gaveau and L . S . Schulman, J. Phys. A : Math. Gen. 20, 2865 (1987); Lett. Math. Phys. 18, 201 (1989); L . S . Schulman, in Finite-Size Scaling and Numerical Simulation of Statistical Systems, edited by V . Privman (World Scientific, Singapore, 1990), p. 489.
- [8] P . A . Rikvold, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 99, 95 (1989); Phys. Scr. T 38, 36 (1991).
- [9] E . Stoll and T . Schneider, Phys. Rev. A 6, 429 (1972).
- [10] K . Binder and E . Stoll, Phys. Rev. Lett. 31, 47 (1973).
- [11] E . P . Stoll and T . Schneider, Physica 86{88B, 1419 (1977).
- [12] H . Tomita and S . Miyashita, Phys. Rev. B 46, 8886 (1992).
- [13] S . N . Isakov, Commun. Math. Phys. 95, 427 (1984).

- [14] D . B . Abraham and P . J . Upton, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 225 (1992); Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 1567 (1993).
- [15] P . A . R ikvold, B . M . G orm an, and M . A . Novotny, in Slow Dynamics in Condensed Matter, edited by K . Kawasaki, T . Kawakatsu, and M . Tokuyam a, AIP Conf. Proc. No. 256 (AIP, New York, 1992), p. 549.
- [16] B . M . G orm an, P . A . R ikvold, and M . A . Novotny (unpublished); T . Fig, B . M . G orm an, P . A . R ikvold, and M . A . Novotny (unpublished).
- [17] M . J . Lowe and D . J . Wallace, J. Phys. A :M ath. Gen. 13, L381 (1980).
- [18] D . J . Wallace, in Phase Transitions, proceedings of a Summer Institute, Cargese, Corsica, France, 1980, edited by M . Levy, J . C . Le Guillou, and J . Zinn-Justin (Plenum , New York, 1982), p. 423.
- [19] G . A . Baker, Jr., and D . K im , J. Phys. A :M ath. Gen. 13, L103 (1980); R . J . Baxter and I . G . Enting, J. Stat. Phys. 21, 103 (1979).
- [20] C . K . Harris, J. Phys. A :M ath. Gen. 17, L143 (1984).
- [21] G . Jacucci, A . Perini, and G . Martin, J. Phys. A 16, 369 (1983).
- [22] P . A . R ikvold, H . Tom ita, and S . M iyashita (unpublished).
- [23] See, e.g., C . D omb, Adv. Phys. 9, 149 (1960).
- [24] See, e.g., R . E . Blahut, Principles and Practice of Information Theory, (Addison-W esley, Reading, M A , 1987), pp. 61-64.
- [25] C . C . A . Gunther, P . A . R ikvold, and M . A . Novotny (unpublished).
- [26] N . J . Gunther, D . A . Nicole, and D . J . Wallace, J. Phys. A :M ath. Gen. 13, 1755 (1980).
- [27] R . K . P . Zia and D . J . Wallace, Phys. Rev. B 31, 1624 (1985).
- [28] C . Rottman and M . W ortis, Phys. Rev. B 24, 6274 (1981); R . K . P . Zia and J . E .

Avron, Phys. Rev. B 25, 2042 (1982); J. E. Avron, H. van Beijeren, L. S. Schulman,
and R. K. P. Zia, J. Phys. A : Math. Gen. 15, L81 (1982).

FIGURES

FIG. 1. The imaginary part of the metastable constrained free energy, $\text{Im } F$, vs. $J=H$ for two different system sizes at $T=J=1.0$ ($T=T_c=0.441$). The metastable $\text{Im } F$, which is expected to be proportional to the nucleation rate, varies over twelve orders of magnitude. There are two different scaling regions. For small $|H|$ $\text{Im } F$ vanishes exponentially with N , and for large H it is independent of N . The straight dashed line is drawn through the two minima marked by stars in the figure for $N=10$ only, but it also connects minima at smaller values of $J=H$, both for $N=10$ and $N=9$, as expected from Eq. (4).

FIG. 2. Plot of $b^2 = M$ vs. $T=J$, as obtained from a two-parameter linear least-squares fit of the logarithm of $\text{Im } F$ to Eq. (4), setting $b=1$. The solid line corresponds to the equilibrium surface free energy calculated using a Wulff construction with the exact, anisotropic, zero-field Onsager surface tension $\gamma(T)$ [28] divided by twice the exact zero-field magnetization $M(T)$. The cluster shape given by b^2 interpolates between a square at $T=0$, given by $8^{-2}M$, and a circle at $T=T_c$, given by $2^{-2}M$, both shown for the whole temperature range as dashed lines. The metastable transfer-matrix results follow the equilibrium curve closely. The dotted vertical line marks T_c .