

Avalanches and Correlations in Driven Interface Depinning

Heiko Leschhorn

Theoretische Physik III, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Universitätsstr. 150, D-44801 Bochum, Germany

Lei-Han Tang

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität zu Köln, Zùlpicher Str. 77, D-50937 Köln, Germany
(December 31, 2021)

We study the critical behavior of a driven interface in a medium with random pinning forces by analyzing spatial and temporal correlations in a lattice model recently proposed by Sneppen [Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 3539 (1992)]. The static and dynamic behavior of the model is related to the properties of directed percolation. We show that, due to the interplay of local and global growth rules, the usual method of dynamical scaling has to be modified. We separate the local from the global part of the dynamics by defining a train of causal growth events, or "avalanche", which can be ascribed a well-defined dynamical exponent $z_{loc} = 1 + \nu_c'$ where ν_c is the roughness exponent of the interface.

I. INTRODUCTION

The behavior of a driven interface subjected to quenched random forces plays an important role in the ordering kinetics of impure magnets and other domain growth phenomena [1]. The driving force F can be realized by a magnetic field, pressure or chemical potential favoring the growth of one of the coexisting phases. If F is weak, the interface is typically pinned in one of the many locally stable configurations. In this case growth is possible only through thermally activated hopping, which is an extremely slow process at low temperatures. However, when F exceeds some critical value F_c , all metastable states disappear and the interface is then free to move even at zero temperature. The depinning of the interface at F_c can be considered as a critical phenomenon where characteristic quantities show power-law behavior, e.g. the velocity of the interface is expected to scale as $v \sim (F - F_c)^\nu$, where ν is a critical exponent. It is known that charge-density waves pinned by impurities exhibit very similar behavior [2]. The dynamical behavior associated with the disappearance of metastable states (i.e. avalanche) as F is increased towards F_c has been a subject of recent interest in the study of systems far from equilibrium [3-6].

A plausible continuum description of the interface dynamics is given by the following equation with a Kardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) [7] nonlinear term,

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = \nu^2 \nabla^2 h + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\nabla h)^2 + F \quad (\mathbf{x}; h); \quad (1)$$

where $h(\mathbf{x}; t)$ is the height of the interface. Unlike the original KPZ-equation for Eden-type growth processes, here $(\mathbf{x}; h)$ is a quenched random force with short range correlations. In the case $\lambda = 0$, all critical exponents describing the depinning transition have been calculated recently in a functional renormalization group treatment close to four interface dimensions [8]. For growth in an isotropic medium, it is plausible that the λ -term is not present when the interface moves with vanishing velocity. However, the term can be present for anisotropic growth. Most solid-on-solid type models are expected to be in the latter category.

In 1+1 dimensions, there is a particularly simple class of lattice models which exhibit a critical depinning transition [9,10]. The mechanism for pinning is the directed percolation of cells with pinning forces greater than the driving force F . The threshold force F_c needed to depin the interface is then simply related to the critical percolation density ν_c of such cells by $F_c = 1/\nu_c$. The roughness exponent of the pinned interface is equal to that of the critical percolation cluster, $\nu_c = \nu_c' - 0.63$.

In a separate development, Sneppen introduced a simple model (model B of Ref. [11], hereafter referred to as the Sneppen model) to examine the interplay between local and global rules of growth in determining interface roughening and temporal correlations. He found numerically in (1+1)-dimensions, that the roughness of the interface also obeys the scaling of a string on a critical directed percolation cluster.

As we explain below, the same roughness exponent for the two types of models is due to the fact that the same geometrical constraint (the "Kim-Kosterlitz" condition) is invoked in defining a locally stable configuration [12]. There are however differences in the way the interface is driven. Although the pinning and elastic forces on a given site in the Sneppen model are local as in eq.(1), each time the site on the interface with minimal $h = h_{min}$ is selected globally and made to grow by one unit in height. Neighboring interface sites then adjust themselves to recover the geometrical constraint. In the language of a uniformly driven interface, such a rule corresponds to increasing F just above h_{min} to make one site unstable, and then quickly set F to a much smaller value to prevent an avalanche taking place.

The Sneppen rule allows one to sample a particular sequence of interface configurations, each of them being

a metastable configuration at some value of F . Successive configurations in the sequence differ only by an infinitesimal amount, i.e. a few sites (about 4) which have moved when one site is made unstable. The situation here resembles that of an interface at a finite temperature and driven by a uniform force F far below F_c : Local irreversible motions are made possible by thermal fluctuations, but the interface stays always close to some metastable state.

Further numerical studies of the model by Sneppen and Jensen [13] revealed interesting spatial correlation of successive growth events. In addition, they have found that, in the saturated regime, the height advance at a given column exhibits complicated scaling with time, with exponents varying with the moment considered.

The aim of the present paper is to analyze the spatial and temporal correlations in the Sneppen model and try to relate the observed scaling behavior to the properties of directed percolation. It turns out that some of these correlations depend on the value of m_{in} (or equivalently F) at a given moment. Since m_{in} fluctuates in time, for these correlations the temporal translational invariance is lost. This is an important feature of the dynamics based on global rules.

Another significant consequence of the global rules is that growth is no longer homogeneous in space. At a given moment, only a small part of the interface is moving. The growth events that follow may either closely, or far away. Due to this property, the usual method to perform dynamical scaling, based on the presumption of a single growing correlation length, should be modified. In this connection we found it useful to distinguish growth events which are closely and hence bear strong correlations, from those which are far apart. We observe that a train of growth events, started with some m_{in}^0 , propagates laterally with a well-defined dynamical exponent z_{loc} , which can be related to the roughness of the directed percolation cluster: $z_{loc} = 1 + \nu_c^{-1}$. In the context of a driven interface, this motion can be thought of as an avalanche. We found that the distribution of avalanche sizes obeys a power-law decay up to a size related to m_{in}^0 . The spatial-temporal correlations between successive growth events, on the other hand include both local and global motion.

The paper is organized as follows. In section II we recall the definition of the Sneppen model and present a theorem which relates the stable (static) configurations of the Sneppen model to directed percolating strings. In Section III we define the avalanches (causal events) and determine their dynamical behavior as well as their size distribution. In Section IV the spatial-temporal correlations are investigated by considering the distribution of lateral distances between successive growth events. Section V contains conclusions and a summary.

II. DISTRIBUTION OF PINNING FORCES AND ROUGHNESS

We first review the definition of the Sneppen model [11]. Each cell $(i;h)$ on a square lattice is assigned a random pinning force $(i;h)$ uniformly distributed in the interval $[0;1)$. The interface is specified by a set of integer column heights h_i ($i = 1; \dots; L$) with the local slope constraint $|h_i - h_{i+1}| \leq 1$ for all h_i ("Kosterlitz" condition). Growth $h_j \rightarrow h_j + 1$ proceeds at the site j where the pinning force $(j;h_j) = m_{in}$ is the minimum among all interface sites, followed by necessary adjustments at neighboring sites until the slope constraint is recovered. The growth rules are illustrated in Fig.1.

Since we want to relate the behavior of the interface in the Sneppen model to directed percolation, we first recall some of the properties of directed percolation clusters [14]. When the density of occupied sites is less than some threshold value ν_c , a typical cluster of occupied sites connected horizontally or diagonally extends over a distance of the order of ξ_k in the parallel direction and a distance of the order of $\xi_\perp$ in the perpendicular direction. For $\nu > \nu_c$, there appears a directed percolating cluster which extends over the whole system. This cluster has a network structure of nodes and components, where each component has an anisotropic shape similar to the connected clusters below ν_c , characterized by ξ_k and $\xi_\perp$. On both sides of the percolation transition, the two lengths have the power-law behavior

$$\xi_k \sim |\nu - \nu_c|^{-\nu_k}; \quad \xi_\perp \sim |\nu - \nu_c|^{-\nu_\perp}; \quad (2)$$

Series calculations give $\nu_k = 1.733 \pm 0.001$, $\nu_\perp = 1.097 \pm 0.001$ [15] and $\nu_c = 0.5387 \pm 0.003$ [16]. The roughness of a percolating string scales as $\xi_\perp \sim \xi_k^{\nu_\perp/\nu_k}$, i.e. the roughness exponent $\nu_c = \nu_\perp/\nu_k \approx 0.63$:

In Ref. [12] we proposed to study the distribution $P_p(\cdot)$ of pinning forces $(i;h_i)$ at the interface and the probability distribution $P_m(m_{in})$ during growth. When starting at $t=0$ with a flat interface $h_i = 0$, the forces $(i;h_i)$ are equally distributed. During the transient regime the interface becomes rough and since always the smallest pinning force m_{in} is updated, the sites with small $(i;h_i)$ get rare. This in turn implies that the typical value of the selected m_{in} increases with time. The distributions $P_p(\cdot)$ and $P_m(m_{in})$ shown in Fig.2a were recorded in the transient regime in the time interval $L=4 \leq t < L=2$ for a system of size $L = 8192$. The peak of $P_m(m_{in})$ moves to the right with increasing time and thereby "eating up the store" of small $(i;h_i)$ which were present at $t=0$ for the flat interface. As long as there were no m_{in} larger than a value $u(t)$, the distribution $P_p(\cdot)$ is still a constant for $\nu > u(t)$. (In Fig.2a $u(t) \approx 0.3$.) In the next paragraph we show that in the thermodynamic limit, there will never be an m_{in} larger than a critical value $F_c = 1 - \nu_c \approx 0.461$ and therefore the transient

regime ends when m_{in} first comes close to F_c . When the peak of $P_m(m_{in})$ approaches F_c , its height vanishes and the distribution becomes stationary, which we show in Fig 2b together with $P_p()$ in the saturated regime. Since $m_{in} = F_c$, the stationary distribution $P_p()$ is at $\rho_c = F_c$ in the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$.

To see that the growing interface always has $m_{in} = F_c$ in the thermodynamic limit we first note that every interface configuration satisfying the slope constraint is a path on a directed percolation cluster of sites with greater than or equal to m_{in} . Such a path only exists if the density $1 - m_{in}$ of these cells on the lattice is greater than the critical percolation density ρ_c , i.e. $m_{in} < 1 - \rho_c$ for all interfaces. Paths on the infinite critical percolating cluster have the largest $m_{in} = F_c = 1 - \rho_c \approx 0.461$.

In a numerical simulation with a finite system however, we see only a part of an infinite critical percolating cluster. Thus, an interface which traces out a critical path can have a value m_{in} slightly larger than F_c and the distribution $P_m(m_{in})$ in the saturated regime in Fig 2b is not exactly zero for $m_{in} > F_c$. The motion of the interface at $t = 0$ to the first critical path corresponds to the transient regime (see Fig 2a).

In the following we show that an interface in the transient regime as well as an interface which already crossed a critical path, is driven to configurations with successively increasing m_{in} and thereby approaching the next path on a critical percolating cluster. We first introduce a few notations. Assuming that the random forces are real numbers, there will not be two interface configurations sharing the same m_{in} because an interface is always updated at the site with m_{in} . Thus each interface configuration fh_{ij} can be characterized by its m_{in} and is denoted by $H[m_{in}]$. An order relation is defined by $H[A_{m_{in}}] > H[B_{m_{in}}]$ if $h_i^A > h_i^B$ for all i and $H[A_{m_{in}}] \notin H[B_{m_{in}}]$. Consider an interface $H[0_{m_{in}}]$ at a time $t = t_0$ and choose a real number c with $0_{m_{in}} < c < F_c$.

We next show that the growing interface at time $t > t_0$ has to overlap completely with the closest percolating path which has $m_{in} > c$. This closest path is defined by $H[c_{m_{in}}] = m_{in} \in H[m_{in}]$, such that $m_{in} > c$ and $H[m_{in}] > H[0_{m_{in}}]$. There can be many percolating paths which share the same $c_{m_{in}}$ but only the lowest path $H[c_{m_{in}}]$ will be realized by the interface as can be seen as follows.

The growing interface configurations $H[m_{in}]$ at times $t > t_0$ which are below $H[c_{m_{in}}]$, $H[0_{m_{in}}]$, $H[m_{in}] < H[c_{m_{in}}]$, all have an $m_{in} < c_{m_{in}}$ by the definition of $H[c_{m_{in}}]$. (This is also true for interfaces $H[m_{in}]$ which already overlap in part with $H[c_{m_{in}}]$, no matter if the site with $c_{m_{in}}$ is already on the interface $H[m_{in}]$.) Therefore these m_{in} will all be updated before $c_{m_{in}}$ and hence the interface will grow until $H[m_{in}] = H[c_{m_{in}}]$ and then update the site with $c_{m_{in}}$ because any interface site can

advance at most by one unit in height upon each updating.

The motion with $c = 0_{m_{in}}$ we will call later an "avalanche" (see Sec. III). If we choose however $c = F_c$, it follows that all paths which are the minimum on a critical percolation cluster, i.e. $H[c_{m_{in}}] = H[F_c]$ in the thermodynamic limit, act as "checking points" where the interface has to go through. There, a "snapshot" of the distribution $P_p()$ is exactly a step function. It can be seen from Fig 2b that the intermediate interface configurations between two checking points are also close to critical, in the sense that only a small percentage (which we expect to vanish in the thermodynamic limit) of sites on the interface have $m_{in} < F_c$. Hence the interface in the Sneppen model has approximately the roughness exponent of the critical directed percolating path with $\rho_c \approx 0.63$.

We have seen that the interface $H[m_{in}]$ is successively driven to configurations $H[c_{m_{in}}]$ with $c_{m_{in}} > m_{in}$ and thereby eliminating $(i; h_i) < c_{m_{in}}$ on the interface. This process (see Fig 2) may be perceived as "self-organizing" part of the approach to criticality, where $c_{m_{in}} = F_c$ for infinite systems. In comparison, the interface in the model of Ref. [9] is pinned by the critical percolating cluster when the driving force F is tuned to its threshold value $F_c = 1 - \rho_c$.

The roughness exponent can be measured by the equal time height-height correlation function $C(r) = \overline{[h(r; t) - h(0; t)]^2} / r^2$ where the overbar and the angular brackets denote the spatial and the configurational average, respectively. For a system of size $L = 8192$ we found a roughness exponent $\alpha = 0.655 \pm 0.005$ which is somewhat larger than the critical value 0.63. However, the measured exponent varies systematically with the system size: For $L = 900$ we get $\alpha = 0.665 \pm 0.005$, whereas for $L = 65536$ we found $\alpha = 0.648 \pm 0.005$. An explanation is that in a finite system the distribution $P_p()$ is not exactly a step function and the motion between two critical clusters yields an effective exponent larger than 0.63 similar to a moving interface of Ref. [9]. In our simulations, $P_p()$ approaches the step function with increasing system size. Thus we expect that the measured discrepancy to the exponent of the percolating cluster $\rho_c \approx 0.63$ vanishes in the thermodynamic limit. This is also supported by a simulation where we measured $C(r)$ only when m_{in} is close to F_c . In this case $\alpha = 0.64 \pm 0.01$ which is consistent with the expected critical value.

III. CAUSAL EVENTS: AVALANCHES

After a transient regime, when m_{in} first comes close to F_c , the interface in the Sneppen model exhibits a steady-state critical behavior (saturated regime), which allows a convenient study of the dynamics at criticality. However,

the behavior is complicated, caused by the interplay between the local adjustments due to the slope constraint and the rule that the growth site with $\phi_{\min}$ is chosen among all interface sites. Successive growth events can be far apart and the motion is therefore inhomogeneous in space. Hence, a single growing correlation length does not exist and the usual dynamical scaling has to be considered with care. The realized sequence of growth sites after a time $t = t_0$ depends on the globally chosen value $\phi_{\min}(t = t_0)$, which is responsible for the growth inhomogeneity in space. Thus we will separate the local part from the global part of the dynamics by defining an "avalanche", which has the property that the sequence of growth sites inside the avalanche does not depend on $\phi_{\min}(t = t_0)$.

which again pins the interface with $(i; h_1) > c = \frac{0}{m \text{ in}}$ (see Sec.II). Since the compartment has a height of the order of $\frac{0}{m \text{ in}}$ and a width of the order of $\frac{0}{k (m \text{ in})}$, it is a natural conjecture that the width of the avalanche scales with $\frac{0}{k (m \text{ in})}$ $\left[\frac{0}{\text{Fc}} \frac{0}{m \text{ in}} \right]^{\frac{0}{k}}$ and the size is at most $\frac{0}{k (m \text{ in})}$ $\frac{0}{\frac{0}{m \text{ in}}} \left[\frac{0}{\text{Fc}} \frac{0}{m \text{ in}} \right]^{\frac{0}{(\frac{0}{m \text{ in}} + k)}}$.

Thus one has

$$P_h(h > 0; t) = \frac{t^{1-z_{loc}}}{L} \frac{1}{t^{loc}} \frac{h}{t^{loc}} \quad (6)$$

where γ is a scaling function (see Fig.3b). For fast growing columns with large $h=t^{loc}$ the above argument for h_{max} applies and the data collapse in Fig.3b is perfect. For smaller $h=t^{loc}$, however, there are significant deviations from the "local" scaling form.

For the second moment $C_2(t)$ [17] Sneppen and Jensen observed a scaling with an exponent $\beta_2 = 0.69 \pm 0.02$ [13]. However, due to the inhomogeneity in growth, the application of dynamical scaling is questionable as mentioned above. The deviation of the effective exponent β_2 from the scaling of the local dynamics is caused by the fact that only a small part of the interface ($n_{mov} \sim t^{1-z_{loc}}=L$) has moved for short times t . The observed value for β_2 can be explained by using that h scales roughly with t^{loc} for $h > 0$ (see Fig.3b). For general integer q we write

$$C_q(t) = \frac{1}{h} \frac{1}{h^{q-1}} t^{1-q} = \frac{1}{h^q} \frac{1}{h^{q-1}} t^{1-q} + \dots + \frac{1}{h^q} t^{1-q} \quad (7)$$

($h(t) = t^{loc}$). In the observed scaling regime $t \ll L^{1/z_{loc}}$ and therefore the main contribution comes from the first term. Thus we have

$$C_q(t) \sim t^{loc+1-qz_{loc}} t^{(1-q)z_{loc}}; \quad (7)$$

i.e. $\beta_q' = 1 + (1-q)z_{loc}$ and $\beta_2' = 0.70$ which agrees with the simulations, while for $q \gg 1$, $\beta_q \sim -z_{loc}$.

Next the avalanche size is investigated. We observe that the distribution $P_{av}(s; t)$ of the avalanche size s for a given t shows a power-law decay with an exponent $\beta_1' = 1.25 \pm 0.05$ up to a size $s_0 \sim k(t^{loc})$ and then drops to zero for $s > s_0$ (see Fig.4a). Thus the avalanche size distribution obeys the scaling form

$$P_{av}(s) = \frac{1}{s} \frac{1}{s_0} \quad (8)$$

with $\gamma = \text{const.}$ for $y < 1$ and a rapid decay for $y > 1$. The good data collapse onto the scaling form eq.(8) in Fig.4b supports our picture that an avalanche corresponds to the motion through a compartment of a percolation cluster, which is characterized by the lengths l and k .

IV. SPATIAL-TEMPORAL CORRELATIONS

In this section we try to understand the spatial-temporal correlations between successive growth events. To this end we investigate the probability distribution $P_{co}(x; t)$, where x is the distance parallel to the interface between growth events which occur after a time t . Sneppen and Jensen [13] observed that $P_{co}(x; t)$ is constant for sufficiently small x and has a power-law decay with an exponent $\beta = 2.25 \pm 0.05$ above a value x_c which increases with t (Fig.5a).

We next explain that the behavior $P_{co}(x; t) = \text{const.}$ corresponds to the dynamics of causal growth events inside an avalanche. The local adjustments due to the slope constraint after the avalanche has started induce randomly distributed $(i; h_i)$. During the avalanche all $m_{in}(t) < t^{loc}$ are taken from these newly appeared $(i; h_i)$. Thus, the $m_{in}(t)$ are randomly distributed in space, i.e. the distance between successive growth events is also equally distributed as long as t is smaller than the duration of the avalanche, i.e. as long as $x < k(t^{loc})$. Therefore we can cast $P_{co}(x; t)$ into the scaling form

$$P_{co} = \frac{1}{t^{1-z_{loc}}} \frac{x}{t^{1-z_{loc}}} \quad (9)$$

with $z_{loc} = 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma}$ and $\gamma = \text{const.}$ for $y < 1$ and y for $y > 1$. The scaling form eq.(9) with a satisfactory data collapse is shown in Fig.5b.

We see that the spatial-temporal correlations depend on the value m_{in} . For m_{in} close to F_c , x is equally distributed even for large x . For small m_{in} on the other hand, $P_{co}(x; t)$ obeys a power-law decay also for small x , i.e. it is more probable that successive growth events are closeby. Thus, for these correlations the temporal translational invariance is destroyed.

The value of the exponent can be understood by considering the conditional distribution P_{co} for fixed m_{in} of the first event, which we denote by $P'_{co}(m_{in}; x; t)$. We express $P_{co}(x; t)$ by

$$P_{co}(x; t) = \int_0^Z d m_{in} P'_{co}(m_{in}; x; t) P(m_{in}); \quad (10)$$

From our simulation (see Fig.2b) we see that the probability distribution $P(m_{in})$ ($F_c - m_{in}$) close to F_c . From the above discussion we know that $P'_{co}(m_{in}; x; t) \sim 1/k$ if $k > x$. Thus the integral eq.(10) takes the form

$$P_{co}(x; t) = \int_{k > x}^Z d m_{in} \frac{1}{k} (F_c - m_{in})$$

from which one obtains $P_{co}(x; t) \sim x^{-1}$ with

$$= 1 + (2 - k) \sim 2.16; \quad (11)$$

This is quite close to our numerical result $\beta = 2.20 \pm 0.05$. We have also directly measured the distribution $P_{\text{co}}(x; t)$ to check our assumptions. We found that $P_{\text{co}}(x; t = 1)$ first decreases for small x due to a high probability for choosing the next m_{in} from the newly appeared from the local adjustments. However, to explain the exponent we are interested in large x (and thus in large k), for which we indeed observe a constant $P_{\text{co}}(x; t)$ for $x < k(m_{\text{in}})$.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND SUMMARY

We have analyzed a number of spatial and temporal correlations in the Sneppen model [1,13]. The imposed slope constraint $|h_i - h_{i+1}| \leq 1$ is the reason for the relation of the static and dynamic behavior to the properties of directed percolation. The roughness exponent of the interface in the Sneppen model and of the pinned interface in the model of Ref. [9] is equal to that of a percolating string, $\nu_c = 0.63$.

The difference between the two models is, however, that in the model of Ref. [9] the interface is driven by a uniform force whereas in the Sneppen model there is a self-tuned driving force which keeps the interface at the onset of steady-state motion and therefore the interface shows critical behavior. This is achieved by the rule, that the site grows, which has the weakest pinning force m_{in} among all sites of the interface. This induces a nonlocal part in dynamics. As a consequence, the motion of the interface is inhomogeneous in space and the methods of dynamical scaling are not applicable in a direct way because there is no single growing correlation length. Thus we have separated the local from the global part of the motion by introducing an "avalanche", and assigned a well-defined dynamical exponent $z_{\text{loc}} = 1 + \nu_c$ to the lateral propagation of the growth inside an avalanche. We found that the size distribution of the avalanches started with m_{in}^0 has a power-law decay with an exponent $\beta = 1.25$ up to a size $k(m_{\text{in}}^0) \approx k(m_{\text{in}})$.

The spatial-temporal correlations were investigated by the probability distribution $P_{\text{co}}(x; t)$ which shows a crossover from a behavior determined by causal growth events ($P_{\text{co}}(x; t) = \text{const.}$) to a power-law decay with an exponent $\beta = 2.2$ which can be also related to exponents of directed percolation. We have seen that for the distribution P_{co} the temporal translational invariance is lost, which is due to the global part of the dynamics.

Upon completion of the paper we became aware of an independent work by Z. Olami, I. Procaccia, and R. Zeitak where ideas similar to ours have been developed.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Y.C. Zhang for a useful discussion and C. Kulske for helpful conversations and critical remarks on the manuscript. The work is supported in part by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft under SFB 166 and 341.

-
- [1] Dynamics of Ordering Processes in Condensed Matter, edited by S. Komura and H. Furukawa (Plenum, New York, 1988); Phase transitions and Critical Phenomena, edited by C. Domb and J.L. Lebowitz, Vol.8 (Academic, New York, 1983).
 - [2] D. S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 1486 (1983); O. Narayan and D. S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 3615 (1992).
 - [3] P. Bak, C. Tang, and K. Wiesenfeld, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 381 (1987).
 - [4] J.M. Carlson and J.S. Langer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 2632 (1989); J.S. Langer and C. Tang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 1043 (1991).
 - [5] N.M. Artys, M.O. Robbins, and M. Cieplak, Phys. Rev. B 44, 12294 (1991); H. Ji and M.O. Robbins, Phys. Rev. B 46, 14519 (1992).
 - [6] D. S. Fisher and A.A. Middleton, Phys. Rev. B 47, 3530 (1993); O. Narayan and A.A. Middleton, to be published.
 - [7] M. Kardar, G. Parisi, and Y.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 889 (1986).
 - [8] T. Nattermann, S. Stepanow, L.-H. Tang, and H. Leschhorn, J. Phys. II France 2, 1483 (1992); O. Narayan and D. S. Fisher, to be published. For numerical results on eq.(1) with $\beta = 0$ in lower dimensions see H. Leschhorn, Physica A 195, 324 (1993).
 - [9] L.-H. Tang and H. Leschhorn, Phys. Rev. A 45, R8309 (1992).
 - [10] S.V. Buldyrev, A.-L. Barabasi, F. Caserta, S. Havlin, H.E. Stanley, and T. Vicsek, Phys. Rev. A 45, R8313 (1992).
 - [11] K. Sneppen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 3539 (1992).
 - [12] L.-H. Tang and H. Leschhorn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 3832 (1992).
 - [13] K. Sneppen and M.H. Jensen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 101 (1993).
 - [14] D. Stauffer and A. Aharony, Introduction to Percolation Theory, 2nd edition, (Taylor & Francis, London, 1992); W. Kinzel, in Percolation Structures and Processes, edited by G. Deutsch, R. Zallen, and J. Adler (A. Hilger, Bristol, 1983), p.425; S. Redner, Phys. Rev. B 25, 5646 (1982); J. Kertesz and D.E. Wolf, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 2571 (1989).
 - [15] J.W. Essam, A.J. Guttmann, and K. De'Bell, J. Phys. A 21, 3815 (1988); However, more recent transfer matrix calculations by D. ben-Avraham, R. Bidaux, and L.S.

Schulman [Phys.Rev.A 43, 7093 (1991)] gave $\gamma = \kappa = 0.630 \pm 0.001$.

- [16] J.A.M.S.Duarte, Physica A 189, 43 (1992). Note that the directed percolation clusters in the model of Buldyrev et al. Ref. [10] have a somewhat different geometry yielding a different value γ_c but the same critical exponents γ and κ .
- [17] The definition of $C_q(t)$ in Ref. [13] is slightly different from that we used in eq.(4) which yields the expression in eq.(5) in the limit $q \rightarrow 1$. Since in eq.(4) we first take the power $l=q$ and then average over time, we still average in eq.(5) over time (or over the disorder).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

FIG. 1. An interface configuration (filled circles and full lines) is updated (big circles) at the site which has the smallest pinning force m_{in} . Four possible growth events are shown including local adjustments (small circles and dashed lines) due to the slope constraint $|h_i - h_{i+1}| \leq 1$. Time is measured in units of such growth events. Each interface configuration may be considered as a directed percolating path.

FIG. 2. Distribution $P_p(\cdot)$ of all pinning forces $(i; h_i)$ at the interface (full line) and probability distribution $P_m(m_{in})$ (dashed line) for a system of size $L = 8192$. (a) The distributions in the transient regime are averaged over the time interval $L/4 \leq t < L/2$ and over 1000 independent runs. (b) $P_p(\cdot)$ and $P_m(m_{in})$ averaged over time in the saturated regime.

FIG. 3. (a) Distribution $P_h(h; t)$ of height advances $h > 0$ for time differences $2^6 \leq t \leq 2^{15}$ ($L = 8192$). Higher curves correspond to larger t . (The same plotting symbol is used for data at a given time t .) In addition, there is a large peak of P_h at $h = 0$ which is not shown. The curves are normalized if the peak at $h = 0$ is included. (b) Scaling plot eq.(6) with the local exponent $\gamma_{loc} = 0.40$.

FIG. 4. (a) Logarithmically binned distribution of avalanche sizes s , where $P_{av}(s; m_{in})$ is the density of events in the range $[s; 2s)$. Lower curves correspond to smaller m_{in} . ($0.30 \leq m_{in} < 0.46$, $L = 8192$). $P_{av}(s; m_{in})$ has a power-law decay with an exponent $\gamma' = 1.25 \pm 0.05$ up to a size $s_0 \propto \kappa(m_{in})^{-\gamma}(\gamma(m_{in}))$ and then drops to zero for $s > s_0$. (b) Scaling plot of the avalanche size distribution (eq.8).

FIG. 5. (a) Logarithmically binned distribution of distances x between two growth events, where $P_{co}(x; t)$ is the density of x in the range $[x; 2x)$. The two growth events occur after a time t ($1 \leq t \leq 256$, $L = 8192$). Curves with a larger t have a wider plateau. The power-law decay has an exponent $\gamma = 2.20 \pm 0.05$. (b) Scaling plot of the distribution $P_{co}(x; t)$ eq.(9), where x is scaled by $t^{1/(1+\gamma)}$ using the measured value $\gamma = 0.655$.