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#### Abstract

Pairs of particles of de nite total and relative angular mom entum provide a natural description for a two dim ensional electron gas in a strong magnetic eld. Two body operators take a sim ple form when expressed in term $s$ of pair creation and destruction operators. The pair form alism is applied to the study of edge waves excitations. For $=1$ the operators which create edge excitations are identi ed and the role the interaction potential plays in the long w avelength lim it is clari ed. This picture is claim ed to describe also edge excitations on the $=1=m$ Laughlin states.


PACS. num bers $71.28,71.10,73.40$

## I. IN TRODUCTION

C ontrary to the integer quantum $H$ all e ect, which can be accounted for by a single particle description, the fractionale ect arises as a result of condensation into a m acroscopic collective ground statel. M uch of the present understanding of the fractionalquantum H all e ect (FQHE) is based on rst quantized $m$ any electrons wave functions ${ }^{2 l}$. The strategy based on trial "variational" wave functions has the advantage of displaying in a very direct way the $m$ any body correlations between electrons. These correlations are induced by the pair potential acting on the electrons and this naturally leads to consider pair ofelectrons as the relevant degrees of freedom. Indeed the notion of particle pairs has been used by $m$ any author $3 \sqrt{3}$ in the study of the FQH e ect. N O system atic description of the system in term sof pairs and no detailed analysis of the the distribution of their angularm om enta hasbeen given up to now. The rst part of this work is an attem pt in this direction. In the sym $m$ etric gauge pair creation and annihilation operators are introduced in a second quantized form alism; their quantum numbers are the total angular $m$ om entum (TAM) and the relative angular m om entum (RAM).A ll relevant two body operators in the low est Landau level (LLL) are sim ply related to the distribution of pairs' TAM and RAM. In spite of the sim ple expression ofthe operators, the com plex com $m$ utation relations am ong pairs, which deviate from perfect boson character, preclude a simple description of the H ibert space. The rst section is devoted to the derivation of the basic form ulas, to the discussion of som e sim ple exam ples and to a brief review of som e well know n results, easily recovered w ith in the pair description.

The second part deals w ith an application to a speci c problem for which an interesting picture has been recently proposed on the basis of both analytical and num erical wond. This concems the low lying excitations near incom pressible states as the $=1$ or $=1=3$ states usually called edge waves. The pair picture provides a sim ple description of edge excitations. The $m$ ain result is an operator relation that, under som e conditions for the incom pressible ground state, allow s to identify the creation operators of edge excitations. The case $=1$, forwhich these conditions are satis ed, is treated in detailalso relying on a

H artree approxim ation. T he sam e picture is suggested to hold also for the $=1=\mathrm{m}$ Laughlin states. The role the e -e interaction plays in the edge wave dispersion relation is also clari ed. In particular the results con $m$ the validity of the sem iclassical approxim ation 9 for edge states and suggest that the dispersion relation is asym ptotically linear. M oreover it is show $n$ that the contribution to the velocity ofedge waves vanishes, in the therm odynam ic $\lim$ it, for any potential that decays faster than $1=r$ as $r$ ! 1 .
II. PA $\mathbb{R}$ DESCRIPTION OFTHEFQHE IN THESYMMETRICGAUGE

The H ibent space of two dim ensional charged particles in the $x-y$ plane is split into Landau levels by a magnetic eld $B=B_{z}$ z. In the extreme quantum lim it ( $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{z}}$ ! 1 ) all the particles are con ned in the lowest level. T he kinetic energy, reduced to the zero point $m$ otion, is an inessential constant so that the ham iltonian of the system contains only potentialterm s. Am ong these the dom inant role is played by the particle-particle interaction potential. W ith this generalm otivation in $m$ ind we will concentrate in this section on the projection of tw o body operators on the LLL .

Let us consider a general tw o body operator represented, in rst quantization, by the function $V\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right)\left(z_{j}=x_{j}+i y_{j}\right.$ is the complex coordinate for particle $j$ on the com plex plane). M agnetic units, $={ }^{q} \overline{h c=e H}=1$,will be used throughout. The second quantized form of this operator in the LLL, in the sym $m$ etric gauge, is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{V}=\frac{1}{2}_{T=1 u ; s=0}^{X^{A}} X^{T} h T \quad u ; u \neq J T \quad s ; i_{i}^{+}{ }_{u} C_{u}^{+} C_{S} C_{T} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator $c_{u}^{+}$creates one electron in the LLL orbital $u(z)=\left(22^{u} u!\right)^{1=2} z^{u} e^{\dot{z} f^{2}=4}$ and $C_{u}$ is its herm itian conjugate. The conservation of TAM is explicit in equation (1) and the $m$ atrix elem ent is given by:
with $I_{V}(T ; s ; u)={ }^{R} d^{2} z_{1} d^{2} z_{2} z_{1}{ }^{T}{ }^{u} z_{2}{ }^{u} V\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right) z_{1}^{T}{ }^{s} z_{2}^{S} e^{\left(\dot{z}_{1} f+\dot{j}_{2} f{ }^{f}\right)=2}$. W e consider in what follow s only operators $V\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right)$ that are separable in the relative $\left(\begin{array}{ll}= & \left(z_{1} \quad z_{2}\right)\end{array}\right)$ and
center ofm ass $\left(Z=\left(Z_{1}+Z_{2}\right)=2\right)$ coordinates: $V(Z+\quad ; \quad)=w(Z) \quad v()$ In this case the integral in the $m$ atrix elem ent can be perform ed ${ }^{10}$ as follow $s$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\bar{z}}^{0}=0=0 \quad=0 \quad z \\
& d^{2} Z Z^{T} \quad w(Z) Z^{T} \quad e^{\sharp 子} \quad 2 d^{2} \text { bar }^{+} v()^{+} e^{j\}}:
\end{aligned}
$$

The integrals vanish whenever $+\ldots+. U$ sing a di erential representation of the discrete delta function $i ; j=@_{x}^{i} x^{j}=i!j_{k=0}$ and introducing the integer variable $q=\quad+\quad=$

+ the sum s on , , and can be carried out and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{V}(T ; s ; u)=x_{q=0}^{x^{T}} \frac{\varrho_{x}^{q}}{q!}(1+x)^{T} u^{u}(1 \quad x)^{\mu}{ }_{z=0} \frac{\varrho_{y}^{q}}{q!}(1+y)^{T}{ }_{z}^{s}(1 \quad y)^{s} \quad I(q) I_{z}(T ; q)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is now possible to sum independently on $u$ and $s$ in equation [1), so that the second quantized form of the operator $\hat{V}$ becom es:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{V}=\sum_{T=1 q=0}^{x^{\mathcal{T}} X_{T}^{T}} V_{T}(q) f_{T}^{+}(q) f_{T}(q): \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sum on $u$ in equation (1), which involves the rst integral and the pair of operators $C_{T}^{+} u_{u}^{+}$, de nes the pair creation operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{T}^{+}(q)={\underset{u=0}{x^{T}} b_{I}(u ; q) c_{T}^{+} u C_{u}^{+}}_{u} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly the sum on $s$ yields the herm itian conjugate $f_{T}(q)$. Requiring norm alization of the state $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{T}}^{+}(\mathrm{q}) j 0 \mathrm{j}$, som e elem entary algebra yields:

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{q})=\frac{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Z}}(\mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{q}) \quad \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{q})}{2^{2}(\mathrm{~T} \quad \mathrm{q})!\mathrm{q}!} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

An orthogonality relation can be easily derived from equation (5):

$$
\begin{equation*}
2_{u=0}^{x^{T}} b_{I}(u ; q) b_{I}(u ; p)=q_{i p} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $b_{I}(u ; q)=b_{I}(q ; u)$ an analogous relation holds for sum $s$ on $q$. $T$ his relation is of frequent use when q runs only on even (odd) values. In this case the sum can be extended to all $q$ by inserting $(1 \quad(19)=2$ in the sum . T his yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
4^{x} b_{T}(u ; q) b_{T}(s ; q)=u ; s \quad u ; T \quad s \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

the upper (low er) sign refers to even (odd) q.
In the lim it T ! 1 the coe cients $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{u} ; q)$ are sim ply related to H erm ite orthonorm al functions $q(x)=\quad{ }^{1=4}\left(q 1^{q}\right)^{1=2} H_{q}(x) e^{x^{2}=2}\left(H_{q}(x)\right.$ are $H$ erm ite polynom ials $):$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{T}} \quad \mathrm{q} \overline{2=\mathrm{T} x}+\frac{\mathrm{q}}{\mathrm{~T}=2} ; \mathrm{q}=(2 \mathrm{~T})^{\frac{1}{4}} \quad \mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{O} \frac{\mathrm{q}}{\mathrm{~T}}: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that $b_{I}(T \quad u ; q)=\left(19 b_{I}(u ; q)\right.$ so that, using the com m utation relations of the operators $\mathrm{Cu}_{\mathrm{u}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{u}}^{+}$, we realize that for ferm ions $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{q}) \quad 0$ for $q$ even. Sim ilarly, as a consequence of statistics, only even values of q occur ifbose particles are concemed. This is con m ed by the sym $m$ etry of the rst quantized wave function T ; $(\mathrm{Z}$; ) of the pair ( T ; q ) under the exchange ! :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{Z^{T} q q}{2\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\text { T } & \text { q) }!q!
\end{array} e^{\text {z } f=2} \text { j }\right\}=2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This equation explicitly show sthat the pair quantum num bers $q$ and $T$ represent the RAM and the TAM of the pair respectively. In a classicalpicture the pair's center ofm ass revolves round the center of the disk at a radius $\overline{h Z^{2} i}=P \frac{q}{T} \quad q+1$ while the tw o particles rotate around the center of $m$ ass $Z$ on a circum ference of radius $\overline{h^{2} i}=p \overline{q+1}$. The average distance from the center of the disk of one of the two electrons is $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{h} \mathrm{Z}^{2}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{p} \frac{\mathrm{T}+2}{}$. $T$ his consideration is useful to identify the degrees of freedom relevant for the behaviour of the system in the bulk an at the boundary. A generalization to higher Landau levels of the expansion in pair wave functions in RAM and TAM was used by A H M acD onald et ald.

Let us now tum to the discussion of equation (G). In the case $w(Z)=1, I_{z}(T ; q)=$ (T q) ! and $V_{I}(q)=q$ is independent of $T$ so that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\frac{I(q)}{2 q!}=\int_{0}^{z_{1}} v^{p} \frac{x^{q}}{q!} e^{x} d x \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ote in particular that $q \quad v^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{q}}$ for $\mathrm{q}!1$. The decom position of pair operators in com ponents of di erent RAM has already been introduced by $H$ aldane ${ }^{6}$ for the spherical geom etry. In the disk geom etry the sam e decom position was studied by Trugm an and $K$ ivelsond where a short range pair potential was expanded in powers of its range. An inversion formula for $v()$ as a function of $q$ is obtained by multiplying equation (19) by ( $\quad 1)^{f}{ }_{q}^{n}$ and sum $m$ ing over $q$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(r)=x_{n=0}^{X^{-}} 2_{q=0}^{2} x^{X^{n}}(1)^{q} n_{q}^{!} q^{5} L_{n}\left(r^{2}\right): \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{n}(x)$ is the norm alized Laguerre polynom ial of order $n$.
E xam ples of two body operators which can be expressed in the form (3) are:
i) the C oulom b interaction $V\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right)=1=\dot{j}_{1} \quad z_{j} j$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{T}(q)={ }_{q}^{c}=\frac{p-}{2} \frac{(2 q)!}{\left(q 2^{q}\right)^{2}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

note that for $q \quad 1{ }_{q} / 1={ }^{p} \bar{q}$ as in the classical case.
ii) The hard core (HC) potentialV $\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right)=2 r^{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}\text { z }\end{array}\right)$ :

$$
V_{T}(q)={ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{h}}={ }_{\mathrm{q} ; 1}:
$$

iii) The pair correlation function $g(r)$ corresponds to $V\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}r & z\end{array} z_{2}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{T}(q)=g_{q}(r)=\frac{r^{2 q}}{q!4 q} e^{r^{2}=4}: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

O nce the num ber ofpairs w ith a de nite RAM and TAM $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{q})=\mathrm{h} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{T}}^{+}(\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{i}$ is known on a given state for all T and q we are in a position to evaluate all relevant quantities.

The operators $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{T}}^{+}(\mathrm{q})$ do not represent true bosonic particles. In fact the com $m$ utation nules for $f_{T}^{+}(q)$ has a residualterm which contains a density excitation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{h} f_{T}(q) ; f_{R}^{+}(p)^{i}=T ; R \quad q  \tag{14}\\
& 4^{X} b_{I}(u ; q) b_{R}(u ; p) C_{R}^{+} \quad u C_{T} \quad u
\end{align*}
$$

The occurrence of such weird com $m$ utation relations $m$ akes the description of the $H$ ibert space in term s of pairs problem atic. W hile only $\mathrm{n}=2$ pairs are necessary to build a state, this contains much m ore pairs. Indeed it is easy to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{T}=1 \mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{X}} \hat{N}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{q})={ }_{\mathrm{X}=1 \mathrm{u}=0}^{\mathrm{X}^{T}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{U}}^{+} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{u}} C_{\mathrm{T}}^{+} \quad \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{T}} \quad \mathrm{u}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n} \quad 1): \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his trivial sum nule sim ply states that $n(n \quad 1)=2$ pairs can be $m$ ade out of $n$ particles.
A s an exam ple of the pair's $m$ om entum distribution function it is easy to check that for the $=1$ state (allonbitals occupied up to $n \quad 1$ ) allpair states are occupied for $T<n$, i.e. $N_{T}(q)=18 q, w h i l e$

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{T}(q)=2_{u=T}^{\mathbb{X}^{1}} b_{T}^{2}(u ; q) \text { for } T \quad n \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure show sthe distribution of RAM $N(q)={ }^{P}{ }_{T} N_{T}(q)$ for $=1=3$ and 6 electrons. Full dots refers to the true ground state of the C oulom b interaction while open squares to the state $m$ ade of three pair creation operators $w$ th $T=q=15$ acting on the vacuum. . The latter state contains a lot of pairs w ith sm all RAM, including angular mom entum one. The true ground state is instead characterized by a m inim al num ber of pairs w ith the sm allest value of $q$, since these give the largest contribution to the repulsive energy. In particular the Laughlin state (open dots) does not contain any pair with $q=1$ so that it is the exact ground state for the HC interaction 14 . The dependence of $N(q)$ on $q$ has been analyzed num erically for system ofn 8 electrons. A fairly good scaling of the form $N(q)=A_{q} n \quad B_{q}$ has been observed w ith $A_{3}=0: 7965, A_{5}=0: 5856, A_{7}=0: 4659$ and $B_{q}{ }^{\prime} 1$ for all $q$.

Equation 113) expresses a connection between the coe cients of di erent powers of $r$ in $g(r)$ and the num ber of pairs with a de nite RAM. T hese coe cients have been studied
extensively by Yoshiokd ${ }^{11}$, in rectangular geom etry (Landau gauge), who has found that the coe cient of $r^{2}$ and of $r^{4}$ (which is non zero in this gauge) decreases by decreasing and vanishes for $\quad 1=3$. The sam e happens to the coe cient ofr $r^{6}$ and $r^{8}$ for $\quad 1=5$. A sim ilar result was derived by $T$ rugm an and $K$ ivelson in the sym $m$ etric gauge. In the pair language the quantization in the FQHE occurs as a consequence of the successive elm ination of all the pairs w ith the sm allest RAM .
III.EDGE W AVES $\mathbb{N}$ THE QUANTUM HALLEFFECT

W e consider, in this section, the spectrum of low lying excitations on incom pressible quantum H all states in disk geom etry. These excitations have been called edge waves (EW ) because they involve density uctuations of the tw o dim ensionalelectron gas at the boundary of the system. A review on the sub ject can be found in a recent paper of $X . G . W$ en ${ }^{G}$ where a general theory for edge excitations is discussed. The starting point of $W$ en's theory is a classical hydrodynam ical approach where coordinates and canonical mom enta yield, upon quantization, the creation operators of the edge $m$ odes. The outcom e of this approach is a free phonon theory. This is obvious if only the one body con ning potential, com ing from electron-background interaction, is considered. T his picture how ever holds even in the presenœ of the e -e interaction. A strong evidenœ of this has been given by M Stone et ald which have analyzed the energy spectrum due to the pair interaction using exact diagonalization for system s of up to 400 particles near $=1$. The ground state $j_{0}(n) i$ for $=1$ and $n$ electrons is in rst quantization (apart from the gaussian factors) the Vanderm onde determ inant $\quad\left(z_{1} ;::: ; z_{n}\right)={ }^{\ell_{i<j}}\left(z_{i} \quad z_{j}\right)$ whose totalangularm om entum is $L_{0}=\frac{1}{2} n\left(\begin{array}{ll}n & 1\end{array}\right)$. In the sector of totalangularm om entum $L=L_{0}+M$ the energy spectrum reduces, w ith excellent accuracy ${ }^{1}$, to

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=E_{\circ}{ }_{\quad{ }_{k}} n_{k} k \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{k}$ are (integer) bosonic occupation numbers such that ${ }^{P}{ }_{k} n_{k} k=M$ and $k \quad 0$ are single particle energies. N ote that, since only the interaction potential is considered,
the contribution to the EW spectrum is negative as a consequence of a loss of repulsive energy. If strictly only the LLL orbitals are considered, the H ilbert space of the system w th $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{o}}+\mathrm{M}$ is spanned, in rst quantization, by the wave functions obtained by m ultiplying - by sym $m$ etric polynom ials of degree $M$. These in tum can be expressed in a unique way ${ }^{12}$ as polynom ials of power sum $s S_{k}\left(z_{1} ;::: ; z_{n}\right)={ }_{i=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{k}}$. In their work M Stone et al. conjecture that these polynom ials correspond to the bosonic creation operators of edge m odes.

In this section the problem is reform ulated in the language of second quantization and the second quantized counterpart of $S_{k}$ are show $n$ to describe edge excitations as free bosons in the $\lim$ it $n!1$. Next the dispersion relation due to the interaction potential is discussed also relying on a H artree approxim ation. W e shall, as in the work of Stone et al, assum e no con ning potentialso that the H am iltonian consists only of the e -e interaction energy. The com petition between the con ning potentialand the pair interaction in the EW spectrum is discussed elsew here ${ }^{13}$. W hile bulk excitation involve the sm all q part $N_{T}(q)$ we expect that edge excitations depend on the large $T$ behaviour of this distribution, since electrons on the edge of the quantum dot belong to pairs with $T$ n. On the scale of the totalangularm om entum $L=L_{0}+M$, a sim ple hydrodynam ical argum entel show $s$ that edge excitations involve changes $L=M \quad P_{\bar{n}}$ (contrary to quasi particle excitations for which $L=M \quad n$ ). In the them odynam ic lim it typical values of $M$ and $k$ are of the order ${ }^{p} \bar{n}$. $N$ ote also that the size of the $H$ ilbert space depends only on $M$ as long as $M<n$.
$S_{k}$ is, in second quantized form, the single particle ladder operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{k}^{+}=x_{m=0}^{X^{-}} \frac{(m+k)!}{m!} C_{m+k}^{+} C_{n} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conjugate operators $S_{k}$ are easily de ned. In general these do not satisfy com $m$ utation relations typical ofbosonic creation and destruction operators. H ow ever M Stone ${ }^{12}$ showed, using polynom ialalgebra, that, in the $\lim$ it $n!1$ and for $\quad$, 1 , they do form a bosonic set of creation operators in the sense that the overlap betw een states with di erent occupation num bers (i.e. w ith di erent com binations of $S_{k}^{+}$) vanishes. The sam e can be show $n$ to hold
in the fram ew ork of second quantization for the operators $S_{k}^{+}$. If $k i=A_{k}(n) S_{k}^{+} j o(n) i$ and $k_{i} j i=A_{k ; j}(n) S_{k}^{+} S_{j}^{+} j o(n) i$ are nom alized states, a straightforw ard calculation show $s$ that $A_{k}(n) / n^{k=2}$ and $h k ; j k+j i / n^{1}$. The generalm bosons state, in the sector $L=L_{o}+M$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{k}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\mathrm{n}) \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}_{1}}^{+} ;::: ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{m}}}^{+} j 0(\mathrm{n}) \mathrm{i} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{P}{ }_{i} k_{i}=M$ and $A_{k_{1}::: 1: / k_{m}}(n) / n^{M=2}$. The overlap between states $w$ ith di erent occupation num bers vanishes at least as $n^{1}$. The state $j_{0}(n) i$ is the vacuum state for the bosons created by $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}^{+}$since it is annihilated by $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}$ for any k .

If these were free bosons we would have $\hat{\mathrm{H}} ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}^{+}={ }_{k} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{k}}^{+}$. This commutator, if the H am iltonian $\hat{H}$ has the form (3), can be written as:

If $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k} ; 1}(\mathrm{~T} ; q)$ is de ned such that

$$
{ }^{h} f_{T+k}(q) ; S_{k}^{+}={ }_{1}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k} ; 1}(\mathrm{~T} ; q) \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{T}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{q} & 1 \tag{20}
\end{array}\right):
$$

then国 ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{T}}^{+}(\mathrm{q}) ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}^{+}{ }^{\mathrm{i}}={ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{1} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k} ; 1}(\mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{q}) \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{k}}^{+}(\mathrm{q}+1)$. The coe cients $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k} ; 1}(\mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{q})$ are easily evaluated w ith the help of equation (7) :

clearly $l \quad k$ otherw ise $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k} ; 1}(\mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{q}) \quad 0$. Since only even values of 1 occur, we will neglect factors ( 1$)^{2}$ and implicitly assum $e$, from now on, that ${ }^{P}{ }_{i}$ runs only on even values. The nal outcom e reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\hat{H}_{\hat{H} ; S_{k}^{+}}{ }^{i}={ }_{\mathrm{T} ; q ; 1}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k} ; 1}(\mathrm{~T} ; q) \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{q}+1) \quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{q})\right] \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{k}}^{+}(\mathrm{q}+1) \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{q}) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is: $S_{k}^{+}$prom otes a pair in the state $(T ; q)$ to states of larger $T A M$ and RAM $(T+k ; q+1)$. $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k} ; 1}(\mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{q})$ describes how k additional units of angular m om entum are distributed am ong the relative and the center of $m$ ass $m$ otion of the pair $f_{T+k}^{+}(q+1)$. The energy, for any such transition, changes by an am ount $V_{T+k}(q+1) \quad V_{T}(q)$. Since $C_{k=1 ; 1}(T ; q) / 1 ; 0$, if $V_{T}(q)={ }_{q}$ does not depend on $T$, we nd $\hat{H} ; S_{1}^{+} \quad 0$. This is a known resuld $S_{1}^{+}$create zero energy excitations because these concem translations of the center ofm ass. A nother consequence ofequation (22) is that in the case of the H C potential the com m utator tums out to be proportional to $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{k}}^{+}(1+1) \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{T}}(1)$ so that it annihilates the ground state for
$1=3$ thus yielding $k \quad 0$ for all $k$. $N$ ote nally that the EW spectrum is una ected by a constant shift of the pair potential $V_{T}(q)!V_{0}+V_{T}(q)$.

T he operator form of equation (22) strongly resem bles the action of a ladder operator in the relative and center of $m$ ass coordinates. Indeed the sam e procedure of section I leads, for the rst quantized operator $A_{k ; 1}\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right)=Z^{k l l}$, to the follow ing expression:
$A_{k ; 1}^{+}=\frac{1}{2}_{T ; q} \frac{\stackrel{v}{u}}{\substack{\text { u }}} \frac{(T+k \quad q \quad 1)!(q+1)!}{(T)} \dot{f}_{T+k}^{+}(q+1) f_{T}(q)$
This is easily expressed in $S_{k}^{+}$operators by expanding $Z^{k} l^{l}$ in the individual particle coordinates $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$. The second quantization procedure applied to the resulting expression reads

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{k ; 1}^{+}= & 2^{\frac{k}{2} x^{k}}{ }_{g=0}^{"} \frac{Q_{x}^{g}}{g!}(1+x)^{k} l^{l}(1 \quad x)^{1}  \tag{23}\\
& 2^{+\frac{k}{2}}{ }_{1 ; 0} S_{k}^{+}:
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (22) can be expressed in term s of operators $A_{k ; 1}^{+}$provided that $V_{T+k}(q+1)$ $V_{T}(q)$ is independent of $T$ and $q$. A ctually this is only ful led by $V_{T}(q)=\quad q$ which corresponds, in real space (see eq. 11 )), to an harm onic potential $v(r)=2(1 \quad l)$ in the inter-particle distance $r$. This potential has been studied 15 in rst quantization and is a rather unphysical pair interaction being unbounded from below as $r$ ! 1 . It has been pointed out recently 16 that this harm onic interaction leads to the disappearance of the FQHE. In the present context the stability of the FQH ground state, that depends on the
com petition betw een the pair interaction and the con ning potential, is assum ed. G iven the harm onic potential ${ }_{q}=q$, equation (2 $)$ is readily translated into
here the sum on 1 runs only on even values. The second term of 23) does not contribute (this is true whenever $\left.V_{T}(q)={ }_{q}\right)$. Since 2l ${ }_{1}^{r} 2^{k} k^{1}=k b_{k}(1 ; 0)+{ }^{p} \bar{k} b_{k}(1 ; 1)$, using ( 8 ) the sum in braces gives $\left.k\left(g ; 0+{ }_{g ; k}\right) \quad P^{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{g} ; 1+{ }_{g ; k}\right)_{1}\right)$ and nally

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{H}} ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}^{+}{ }^{\mathrm{i}}=2 \mathrm{knS} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}}^{+} \quad \mathrm{S}_{1}^{+} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}^{+} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that this is an exact result $\left(S_{0}^{+} \quad n\right) . W$ hen evaluating the $H$ am iltonian for $L=L_{b}+M$ using the basis set (19) the rst term will contribute to diagonalelem ents while the second to o -diagonal ones. The larger o diagonal elem ent is the one between states j:::;k;::i and $j::: ; \mathrm{k} \quad 1 ; 1 ;:::$ i. The $m$ agnitude of the latter $w i l l$ be of order $n^{1} w$ ith respect to diagonal elem ents because of the explicit factor of $n$ in equation (24). O ther o diagonal elem ents, being proportional to the overlap of states w ith di erent occupation num bers, w ill be at least of order $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ w th respect to diagonal elem ents.

In the language of standard perturbation theory the rst term of equation (24) $m$ ay be regarded as com ing from the unperturbed ham iltonian $\mathrm{H}_{\circ}$, the second from a perturbation $V$. The validity of perturbation theory depends on the ratio between and the separation $E$ between unperturbed eigen-energies (diagonal elem ents). Equation (44) im mediately yields $=\mathrm{E}$ ' $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{n}$. First order perturbation theory is then exact as n ! 1 even for physical wave vectors for which $k /{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{n}}: \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}^{+}$create free edge excitations with ${ }_{\mathrm{k}}=2 \mathrm{nk}$. $T$ he generalization to $m$ ore physical pair potentials insists on this sam e argum ent. Before tuming to the general case it is usefiulto rem ark that for $\mathrm{k}=1$ the correct result $\mathrm{k}=1=0$ is recovered and that the resulting EW dispersion is linear. The spectrum is then degenerate since $k+m=k+m$. If $>0$ the boson energy is an increasing function of $k$. In general if ${ }_{q}>0$ is $m$ onotonically decreasing $k$ is monotonically increasing in $k$.

A $n$ approxim ation schem $e$ has to be introduced at this point to dealw ith $m$ ore physical interaction potentials. The approxim ation essentially consists in taking out of the sum on $T$ and $q$ an e ective value ${ }_{k ; 1}(n)$ of $V_{T}(q) \quad V_{T+k}(q+1)$. The $T$ dependence of $V_{T}(q)$, together w ith the second term ofequation (23), w illbe dropped from now on. In practice the e ective value of $k_{; 1}(n) m$ ay be evaluated by evaluating the $l^{\text {th }}$ term ofequation (22) on the ground state:
where $Z_{k ; 1}$ is such that ${ }^{P}{ }_{q} P_{k ; 1}(q ; n)=1$. The evaluation of $P_{k ; 1}(q ; n)$ is a tedious task of algebra that is om itted here since it leads to a com plex and lengthy expression from which it is hard to extract the interesting properties ${ }^{17}$.
$W$ ith this approxim ation equation (22) can be expanded in $A_{k ; 1}^{+}$operators and nally in $S_{g}^{+}$operators

The sam e considerations follow ing equation (24) show that only the $g=0 ; k$ term sare dom inant as $n!1$. M oreover in this lim it also the approxim ation $q_{q+1}{ }^{\prime}{ }_{k ; 1}(n)$ becom es exact for $s m$ ooth $m$ onotonic potentials. H ere $s m$ ooth $m$ eans that $q \quad q+1$ introduces a negligible dependence on $q$ com pared to the dependenœ of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k} ; 1}(\mathrm{~T} ; q)$ on the sam e variable. If the scale ofq values is proportional to $n$ this condition is satis ed by very generalpotentials. $T$ he latter condition is veri ed if $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k} ; 1}(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{n})$ depends on q only through the ratio $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{k ; 1}(q ; n)=\frac{1}{n} \quad k ; 1(q=n) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reason for this is that the dependence on $n$ com es into $P_{k ; 1}(q ; n)$ through the pair distribution functions $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{q})$ which has this property. A lso one can argue that the typical value of $q$ for a pair of electrons on the edge of the sam ple is of order $n$ since the inter-pair
distance, ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \bar{q}_{\text {, should be of the order of the disk radius } R(n)}{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{n}}$. Equation 28) has been veri ed num erically. Figure 1 show $s$ a very good collapse of $n P_{k ; 1}(\mathrm{xn} ; \mathrm{n})$ for $\mathrm{n}=30 ; 40$ and $\mathrm{k}=8, \mathrm{l}=2 ; 4 ; 6 ; 8$.

The condition on $m$ onotonicity is relevant because otherw ise ${ }_{q+1} \quad q$ would change sign $\mathrm{h} \quad$ i for some q. The operator structure of $\hat{\mathrm{H}} ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}^{+}$could in this case be di erent from that of $S_{k}^{+} \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{g}}^{+}$. A nother source of troubles if $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{q}}$ is not m onotonic is that the sign of ${ }_{k ; 1}(\mathrm{n})$ $m$ ay change for di erent $l$ and this $m$ ay eventually cause cancellation in the $g=0$; $k$ term . Instead, form onotonic potentials ${ }_{q+1} q_{\text {r }}$, and thus $k_{i 1}(n)$, has always the sam e sign, so that the $g=0$ and $g=k$ term $s$ in (27) are alw ays at least of the sam e order ofm agnitude of the other ones. For exam ple table 7 lists the overlap between the state $\mathrm{hk} j$ and the ground state in the sector $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{o}}+\mathrm{k}$ and $\mathrm{n}=30$, for the C oulom b , the HC potential and for the potential $\underset{q}{x}=q=\left(q^{2}+16\right)$. The latter is not $m$ onotonic and has a $m$ axim um at $q=4 . W e$ see that in the form er cases the overlap is very close to unity, while for ${\underset{q}{x}}_{x}$ this is not true for $k>5$. At $k=6$ the state $h k j$ is between the ground state and the rst excited state while for $k=7$ it is very close to the second excited state. A nother evidence of the correctness of the approxim ation is that the overlap depends weakly on the potential.

W e can safely conclude that $S_{k}^{+}$are the creation operators of the edge $m$ odes

$$
\hat{H}_{\hat{H} ; S_{k}^{+}}={ }_{k ; 0}(n) S_{k}^{+} \text {asn! } 1
$$

where ${ }_{k ; 0}={ }_{k ; k}$ is given by 27). In the case $k \quad 1$, a rough estim ate of ${ }_{k ; 0}(n)$ is obtained observing that in (27) for $g=0 ; k$ a binom ial average is perform ed of $k_{k i 1}(n)$ and then $k ; 0^{\prime} 2 n_{k ; k=2}(n)$.

Let us analyze in more detail the EW spectrum resulting from a general potential. Of particular interest is the behaviour of $k ; 0(n)$ for a $x e d k$ as $n!1$. This is related to the behaviour of the dispersion relation ofEW in the long w avelength lim it. In fact the physical wave vector is proportional to $k=\frac{p}{n}$. The hydrodynam ical picture assum es a dispersion relation linear in for ! 0 . The velocity c of EW is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\lim _{!0} \frac{{ }^{p} \bar{n} ; 0}{}=\lim _{n!1} \frac{\mathrm{p}_{\bar{n}}^{k ; 0}(n)}{k} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

As long as the potential $q$ is $m$ onotonic, $k ; 1(n) w i l l$ alw ays contain a linear term in 1 so that the linear term in $k$ of $k ; 0$ will alw ays be present. The evaluation of the explicit $k$ dependence of $k ; 0(n)$ is complicated by the dependence introduced by $P_{k ; 1}(q ; n)$ which is di cult to analyse. The scaling property of $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k} ; 1}(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{n})$, equation 28), allow s how ever to draw conclusion on the dependenœ on $n$ of $k ; 0(n)$. These conclusionsw illbe further con $m$ ed by an H artree approxim ation for $=1$ that w ill also give som e indication on the k dependence of the dispersion relation.

The $m$ ethod is based on the separation of the dependence on land $q$ of ${ }_{q} \quad{ }_{q+1}$. If ${ }_{q}$
 on $n$ of $k_{k i 1}(n)$ can be extracted using equation (28), i.e.

$$
k_{; 11}(n)=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k} ; 1}(\mathrm{x})(\mathrm{nx}):
$$

In the case ofa nite range potentialofthe form ${ }_{q}=e^{q=q_{0}}$ wem ay take $\quad(\mathcal{I})=1 \quad e^{l=q_{0}}$ and $(q)=e^{q=q_{0}}$ that in equation (30) m eans that the behaviour of ${ }_{k ; 1}(n)$ as $n!1$ is related to the behaviour of ${ }_{k ; 1}(x)$ as $x!0$ as could be expected since only pairs with sm all RAM contribute to the energy. A lso note that for $l^{\prime} k_{k} / /^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{n}}$ all the dependence on k and $n$ is in ${ }_{k ; 1}(n)$ since $(k=2)^{\prime}$ 1. H owever the analysis of ${ }_{k ; 1}(x)$ as $x!0$ is a very di cult task. W ew illcircum vent this di culty introducing the $H$ artree approxim ation in the follow ing. A point w orth ofm ention here is that the behaviour in $n$ of $k ; 0(n)$ for short range potentials depends on the properties of the ground state, i.e. on $k_{i l}(x)$. N o assum ption on the form of $k ; 1(x)$ is instead necessary to extract the $n$ dependence of $k ; 0(n)$ in the case of a long range potential of the form $q=q^{\text {a }}$ (here $\mathrm{a}=1=2$ would correspond to the C oulom b potential). The separation of the 1 dependence from the $q$ dependenœ is possible in this case using power expansion. The term $\mathrm{l}^{\mathrm{m}}$ will have a coe cient $\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{q}) / \mathrm{q}^{\text {a } \mathrm{m}}$ that in equation (30) will give a term of the order of $n{ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{m}$. In the them odynam ic lim it the $m=1$ term is dom inant and all the others can be neglected even when $1 / \mathrm{p}_{\bar{n}} \bar{n}$. The situation is then very sim ilar to that of the ham onic potential since $q_{q+1}$ can be replaced by $l^{P}{ }_{q} P_{k ; 1}(q ; n)$ aq ${ }^{a}{ }^{1}$. The resulting spectrum ${ }_{k ; 0} w$ ill be linear in $k$ in a
rst approxim ation. H ow ever, due to the additional dependence on $l$ and $k$ introduced by $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k} ; 1}(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{n})$, the EW frequency could contain also higher powers of k . The coe cient of the linear term in $k ; 0(n) w$ illlbe of the order ofn ${ }^{\text {a }}$ that in equation (29) yields a sound velocity c $\mathrm{n}^{1=2}$ a that vanishes for $a>1=2$. In real space this $m$ eans that for potential vanishing faster than $1=r$, that is faster than the $C$ oulom b potential, the contribution of the interaction energy to the EW velocity is zero.

Let us now tum to the H artree approxim ation to the EW frequency. The derivation of this approxim ation is presented in the appendix. Here we brie y comment on its nature before tuming to the discussion of the results. The nal form ula can also be obtained from equation (22) by replacing the pair of operators $f_{T+k}^{+}(q+1) f_{T}(q)$ w th their com $m$ utator that has a form sim ilar to $S_{k}^{+}$(see 15)) of a density excitation. The justi cation for this is that the neglected term, $f_{T}(q) f_{T+k}^{+}(q+1)$, tries to create pairs with TAM $T+k$ on the led Landau level and this is of course not possible if $T+k \quad 2\left(\begin{array}{ll}n & 1)\end{array}\right.$. Since the term $s$ $w$ ith $T>2\left(\begin{array}{ll}n & 1) \text { of equation (2q) vanish on the }=1 \text { state }\left(\operatorname{since} f_{1}(q) j_{0}(n) i\right.\end{array} 0\right)$ the neglected exchange term acts only on the "Ferm i surface". A part from this, the derivation also $m$ akes use of equation (9) and then the condition $q n$ is assum ed. The nal result reads

The rst two term $\mathrm{s} j=1$ and 2 tem s were worked out explicitly and their expansion in powers of $q=n$ were found to contain only odd powers. The dependence on $q$ through the ratio $q=n$ stresses once again that edge wave excitations involve pairs of RAM of order $n$. $N$ ote that, for $k /{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{n}}$, the linear term in k dom inates on all the others and this suggests that the asym ptotic spectrum is linear. This $m$ eans that the harm onic approxim ation for the $H$ artree potential is a good one ${ }^{18}$.

Since ${ }^{(1)}(y) /{ }^{P} \bar{y}+O\left(y^{3=2}\right)$, it is straightforw ard that for a nite range potential ${ }_{k}^{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{n}) \quad \mathrm{kn}^{3=2}$. This result coincides w ith the power law behaviour found by Stone et, al num erically. If com bined with equation (30), it suggests that ${ }_{k ; 1}(x) x^{3=2}$ for $x \quad 1$. N ote
that for a short range interaction also the possibility of a nite dispersion relation $w$ ith a long w avelength behaviour of the type $\left(k={ }^{p} \bar{n}\right)^{3}$ is ruled out by equation (31). The above $m$ entioned results for a long range potential are nicely recovered together $w$ ith the num erical result $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{n}) \quad \mathrm{kn}{ }^{1=2}$ for the C oulom b potential. M oreover equation (31) provides a further indication for the asym ptotic linearity of the dispersion relation for long range potentials. Finally the coe cient of the $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ term, that rem oves the degeneration of the linear term, were found to be positive so that, as con jectured by Stone et all , the ground state in the sector $L=L_{o}+M$ is actually $S_{M}^{+} j_{o}(n) i$.

In sum $m$ ary it has been show $n$ that form onotonic potentials edge wave excitations near $=1$ are free bosons as n! $1 . T$ he creation operators of edge excitations are $S_{k}^{+} \cdot T$ his result is in som e sense a consequence of the com pleteness of the basis set created by $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}^{+}$. Every operator that raises the total angular $m$ om entum of the system can be expressed as a sum of combinations of $S_{k}^{+}$. This has been explicitly done for the commutator $\left\{\hat{H} ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}^{+}\right]$ which tum out to have a dom inant contribution, as $n!1$, proportional to $S_{k}^{+}$.

The behaviour of the EW spectrum has been discussed for general classes of potentials. In particular the long wavelength lim it, that is related to the $\mathrm{n}!1$ lim it fork $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}$, has been explored in a generalw ay using the fact that pairs with a RAM of order n are involved in edge excitations. This statem ent, that refers to the di erence in the RAM distribution of the excited state w ith respect to that of the ground state, is also displayed in the results of the $H$ artree approxim ation, where again the dependence on the RAM q com es through the ratio $q=n$. T he fact that $m$ acroscopic quantum num bers are involved in edge excitations supports the validity of the classical hydrodynam ical picture. The special role played by the harm onic ${ }_{q}=\quad q$ interaction and the result, from the $H$ artree approxim ation, that the asym ptotic dispersion is linear in $k$, is also rem iniscent of a classicalelastic response. In som e sense this potential com es out naturally in dealing w ith edge excitations for any pair interaction $q$; the e ective $(\mathrm{n})$ being som e average of $@_{q \mathrm{q}}$. The reason why this com es out is essentially the same for which the single particle con ning potential, com ing from


A nal consideration concems the extension of these results to $=1=\mathrm{m}$. N ote that equation (24) for the potential $q=q$ is an exact result for any. This formula, as equation (26), is a statem ent about operators.
$T$ here are two basic conditions on the ground state $j o(n) i$ that have been used:
i) $S_{k}^{+}$provide an orthonorm al basis of the $H$ ilbert space for $L=L_{\circ}+M$. The overlap between di erent states $h j_{1} ;::: ; j_{n} k_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{p}} i$ vanishes as $n^{1}$.
ii) $S_{k}$ annihilate the ground state for any $k: S_{k} j o(n) i \quad 0$.

If these tw o conditions are satis ed also by the Laughlin state then the whole description of EW presented for $=1$ can be applied at $=1=m$. A ctually ifcondition i) holds, the second one follow s by a sim ple argum ent. This is because if it were not so also $S_{k}$ w ould provide low lying excitations so that the ground state energy would not have a cusp at $=1=\mathrm{m}$. Evidences for the validity of condition i) for $=1=m$ come from the parton construction of the Laughlin states) ${ }^{2}$ 国 12 and also from the result $\hat{\mathrm{H}} ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}^{+}{ }^{\mathrm{i}}=0$ for the HC interaction. These strongly suggest that the sam e picture outlined for $=1$ holds for $=1=\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{This}$ supports the idea ${ }^{19}$ that edge states at $=1=m$ are in a 1 to 1 correspondence to edge states at $=1$. A nother consequence of this is that, while the asym ptotic behaviour of the EW spectrum is expected to change for nite range potentials, as it does change for the $\mathrm{H} C$ potential, going from $=1$ to $=1=m$ (here $k \quad 0$ ), the sam e behaviour is expected for long range potentials. This is because the power law behaviour of $k ; 0(n)$ on $n$ depends explicitly on the structure of the ground state only in the form er case. The conclusion that the Coulomb interaction gives contribution to the EW velocity while potentials vanishing faster as r ! 1 do not, can then be extended to $=1=m$.
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## APPENDIX:THEHARTREEAPPROXIMATION

The pair interaction ham ittonian can be approxim ated by a single particle potential for

$$
=1 \text { using } f_{T}^{+}(q) f_{T}(q)=f_{T}(q) f_{T}^{+}(q) \quad \stackrel{h}{f_{T}}(q) ; f_{T}^{+}(q)^{i} \text {. If only the part of } f_{T}^{+}(q) f_{T}(q) \text { acting }
$$ on the lowest $n \quad 1$ orbitals is considered the rst term can be neglected. The ham iltonian then becom es $\hat{V}^{H}={ }^{P}{ }_{u<n} e_{u}(n) c_{u}^{+} G_{u}$ where the energy of the orbitalu is given by

$$
\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{n})={ }_{T ; q}^{\mathrm{X}} q^{2 b_{T}^{2}}(\mathrm{u} ; q)
$$

the sum son $T$ and $q$ run on all the values consistent with $u<n$ and $T u<n$ for the above $m$ entioned restriction. This single particle potential yields indeed the exact value (16) of the $=1$ interaction energy. The com $m$ utator $w$ th $S_{k}^{+}$is easily carried out $w$ th the result

$$
\hat{V}^{\mathrm{h}} ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}^{+}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{i}}\left[\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{n}) \quad \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{n})\right] \frac{\mathrm{S} \overline{(m+k)!}}{m!} C_{m+k}^{+} C_{n}:
$$

This can be approxim ated by $\quad{ }_{k}^{H}(n) S_{k}^{+}$by taking out of the sum the value of $e_{m}(n)$ $e_{n+k}(n)$ form $=n \quad 1$ on the "Ferm isurface". In other words ${ }_{k}^{H}(n)$ is the gain in energy if one electron on the outer onbital is raised by $k$ units of angular $m$ om entum. The result can be cast in the form ${ }_{k}^{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{n})={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{q} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{n})$ where

This expression can be evaluated using the variable $\mathrm{x}=(\mathrm{n} \quad 1 \quad \mathrm{~T}=2)^{\mathrm{q}} \overline{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{T}=2$ and the asym ptotic form of $b_{T}(u ; q)$ equation (G). The sum on $T$ can be changed into an integral in dx whose upper lim it can be taken to by 1 for $\mathrm{q} \quad \mathrm{T} / \mathrm{n}$ (this is also the condition for the validity of (9)). A fter som e algebra $k(q ; n)$ takes the form
where $J(y) d y, A(y ; g)$ and $C(y ; g)$ are the expressions of $\overline{2=(n T)} d T, \quad \overline{T=(T+k)}$ and (n $\quad 1+k=2 \quad T=2)=\bar{q} \overline{n(T+k)=2}$ respectively in the variables $y=x=\bar{n}$ and $g=k=n$. N ote that $A(y ; 0)=1$ and $C(y ; 0)=y . N$ ext the integrand is expanded in powers of $g$ and the term s containing derivatives of ${ }_{q}^{2}(x)$ are integrated by parts. The nal result is equation (31) where

$$
{ }^{(j)}(q=n)=Z_{1}^{Z_{1}} F_{j} P_{\bar{n}}^{P^{\prime}}{ }_{q}^{2}(x) d x:
$$

The dependence on $q=n$ of the above expression com es from the fact that ${ }_{q}^{2}(x)$ falls o rapidly for $x>{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{q}}$. The cases $j=1$ and 2 were worked out explicitly $w$ th the result $F_{1}(y)=y \quad \frac{3}{8} y^{3}+\frac{15}{128} y^{5} \quad \frac{35}{1024} y^{7}+:::$ and $F_{2}(y)=\frac{3}{4} y \quad \frac{15}{32} y^{3}+\frac{105}{1024} y^{5} \quad \frac{315}{4096} y^{7}+::::$
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## F IG URES

F IG . 1. P lot of the distribution of relative angular $m$ om entum, $N(q)={ }^{P}{ }_{T} N_{T}(q)$, for a 6 ferm ion system at e ective lling $1=3$. $L_{\text {tot }}$ is the total angular $m$ om entum, $m_{m}$ ax is the highest Landau orbital considered. Lines are drawn only for guiding eyes. The full dots ( ) refers to the exact ground state, open dots ( ) to the $m=3$ Laughlin state while the squares (2) refers to the state built $w$ ith three pair creation operators of highest relative angular $m$ om entum.

FIG.2. P lot of the distributions $\mathrm{k} ; 11(\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{n})=\mathrm{nP}_{\mathrm{k} ; 1}(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{n})$ for $\mathrm{n}=30 ; 40, \mathrm{k}=8$ and all even values $l=2 ; 4 ; 6$ and 8 .

TABLES

| k | hkj ${ }_{\circ}{ }^{\text {i }}$ | hkj ${ }_{0}^{\text {h }}$ i | hkj ${ }_{\circ}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.9995 | 0.9995 | 0.9995 |
| 3 | 0.9967 | 0.9967 | 0.9967 |
| 4 | 0.9896 | 0.9905 | 0.9885 |
| 5 | 0.9758 | 0.9795 | 0.9611 |
| 6 | 0.9525 | 0.9624 | 0.6077 |
| 7 | 0.9168 | 0.9379 | 0.0275 |
| 7 | - | - | 0.9718 |

TABLE I. O verlap betw een the state hkjand the ground states of the C oulomb (j $\left.{ }_{\circ}{ }^{\mathrm{i}}\right)$, of the hard core ( $j \underset{\circ}{\mathrm{~h}} \mathrm{i}$ ) and of the potential $\underset{q}{x}(j \underset{\circ}{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{i})$ de ned in the text. In the last line $j{ }_{\circ}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{i}$ is the rst excited state of the latter potential for $k=7$.

