Universality of Brezin and Zee's spectral correlator

C W J. Beenakker

Instituut-Lorentz, University of Leiden
P.O. Box 9506, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands
(October 1993)

A bstract

The sm oothed correlation function for the eigenvalues of large herm itian matrices, derived recently by Brezin and Zee Nucl. Phys. B 402 (1993) 613], is generalized to all random -matrix ensembles of Wigner-Dyson type.

I. IN TRODUCTION

A basic problem in random -m atrix theory is to compute the correlation of the eigenvalue density at two points in the spectrum, from the W igner-D yson probability distribution of the eigenvalues [1]. The correlation is a manifestation of the level repulsion resulting from the jacobian $_{i < j}$ j $_{i}$ $_{j}$ j, which is associated with the transformation from the space of N N hermitian matrices to the smaller space of N eigenvalues $_{1}$; $_{2}$;::: $_{N}$. [The power depends on whether the matrix elements are real (= 1, orthogonal ensemble), complex (= 2, unitary ensemble), or quaternion real (= 4, sym plectic ensemble).] The W igner-D yson probability distribution

$$P(f_ng) = Z^{-1} \exp[-W(f_ng)];$$
 (1a)

with Z a normalization constant and

$$W (f_n g) = \lim_{i < j} \ln j_i \qquad j_i + \lim_{i < j} V(i_i); \qquad (1b)$$

describes an ensemble where all eigenvalue correlations are due to the jacobian. The potential V () determines the mean density () of the eigenvalues, which is non-zero in some interval (a;b).

In many applications of random matrix theory, it is su cient to know the eigenvalue correlations in the bulk of the spectrum, far from the end points at a and b. In some applications, however, the presence of an edge in the spectrum is an essential part of the problem, and its e ect on the spectral correlations can not be ignored. The application to 'universal conductance uctuations" in mesoscopic conductors is one example [2{5}]. The application to random surfaces and two-dimensional quantum gravity is another example [6{8}].

Recently, Brezin and Zee [9,10] reported a remarkably simple result for the two-level cluster function

$$T_2(;) = X X + ();$$
 $i \in j$

(2)

which included the e ects of an upper and lower bound on the spectrum . (Here h i denotes an average with distribution (1), and () = h i (i) i is the mean eigenvalue density.) For N 1, the correlation function (2) oscillates rapidly on the scale of the spectral band width (a;b). These oscillations are irrelevant when integrating over the spectrum , so that in the large-N limit it is su cient to know the smoothed correlation function. B rezin and Zee considered the unitary ensemble (= 2), with V () = $\frac{P}{k=1}$ $\frac{P}{k}$ an even polynomial function of , so that a = b. (The case a $\frac{P}{k}$ b can then be obtained by translation of the entire spectrum.) U sing the method of orthogonal polynomials [1], they computed the smoothed correlation function, with the result

$$T_{2}(;) = \frac{1}{2^{2}} \frac{1}{(a^{2})^{2}} \frac{a^{2}}{(a^{2})^{2}(a^{2})^{2}};$$
 (3)

The purpose of the present paper is to show how Eq. (3) can be generalized to arbitrary (non-polynom ial, non-even) potentials V (), and to all three sym metry classes (= 1;2;4). This universality is achieved by a functional derivative method β , which provides a powerful alternative to the classical method of orthogonal polynom ials. In Ref. β we applied this method to the case $\alpha = 0$, b! 1 of a single spectral boundary. Here we extend the analysis to include a nite upper and lower bound on the spectrum.

II.M ETHOD OF FUNCTIONAL DERIVATIVES

W e consider the two-point correlation function

(note the unrestricted sum over i and j), which is related to the two-level cluster function (2) by

$$K_2(;) = T_2(;)$$
 () (5)

For $\[\in \]$ the two correlation functions coincide, so that we can compare with Eq. (3). We prefer to work with K $_2$ instead of T_2 for a technical reason: Sm oothing, in combination with the large-N limit, introduces a spurious non-integrable singularity in T_2 (;) at =, while K $_2$ (;) remains integrable.

¹The distinction between T_2 (;) and K_2 (;) was not made explicitly in Ref. [9], because only the case $\frac{1}{2}$ was considered.

Our analysis is based on the exact relation [3] between the two-point correlation function $K_2($;) and the functional derivative of the eigenvalue density () with respect to the potential V(),

$$K_{2}(;) = \frac{1}{V()}$$
: (6)

The sm oothed correlator is obtained by evaluating the functional derivative using the asym ptotic $(N \ ! \ 1)$ integral relation between V and ,

$$P = \int_{a}^{Z} dv = \frac{d}{d}V(t); a < t > b$$
 (7)

(The symbol P denotes the principal value of the integral.) Corrections to Eq. (7) are smaller by an order N 1 for = 1 or 4, and by an order N 2 for = 2 [11]. Variation of Eq. (7) gives

$$b - \frac{b}{b} = a - \frac{a}{a} + P - \frac{z}{a} = \frac{d}{d} V ();$$
 (8)

with the constraint

$$d () = 0 (9)$$

(since the variation of is to be carried out at constant N). The end point a is either a xed boundary, in which case a = 0, or a free boundary, in which case (a) = 0. Similarly, either b = 0 or (b) = 0. We conclude that we may disregard the rst two terms in Eq. (8), containing the variation of the end points. What remains is the singular integral equation

$$P = \frac{d}{d} = \frac{d}{d} V (); a < < b;$$
 (10)

which we need to invert in order to obtain the functional derivative = V.

The general solution to Eq. (10) is [12]

$$() = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{[(a)(b)]^{\frac{1}{2}-2}} C P d \frac{[(a)(b)]^{\frac{1}{2}-2}}{d} V () :$$
 (11)

The coe cient C is determined by

$$C = {}^{Z}_{b}$$
 (12)

In view of Eq. (9), we have C = 0. Combination of Eqs. (6) and (11) yields the two-point correlation function

$$K_{2}(;) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{[(a)(b)]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{0}{0} \frac{0}{0} [(a)(b)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \ln j \quad j: \quad (13)$$

(We have substituted the representation $P \times ^1 = (d=dx) \ln jx j$ for the principal value.)

The two-point correlation function (13) has an integrable singularity for = . For one can carry out the dierentiations, with the result

For a = b and = 2 we recover the form ula (3) of Ref. [9] for even polynomial potentials in the unitary ensemble. For a = 0 and b ! 1 we recover the correlator of Ref. [3],

$$K_{2}(;) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{0}{0} \frac{0}{0} \ln \frac{p}{p} + \frac{p}{p}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^{2}} ()^{2} (+) ()^{1=2} \text{ if } 6 ; \qquad (15)$$

for the case of a single spectral edge.

An important application of the smoothed two-point correlation function is to compute the large-N lim it of the variance VarA hA^2i hA^2i of a linear statistic $A = {P \atop n=1}^{N} a(n)$ on the eigenvalues, by means of the relationship

$$VarA = \int_{a}^{Z_{b}} d_{a} d_{a} (a(a)) K_{2}(a; b)$$
 (16)

Substituting Eq. (13) we obtain, upon partial integration,

$$VarA = \frac{1}{2} P \int_{a}^{z_{b}} d \int_{a}^{z_{b}} \frac{(a)(b)}{(a)(b)} \int_{a}^{\#_{1=2}} \frac{a(a)(b)}{(a)(b)} d \cdot (a)(b)$$
(17)

Note that here it is essential to work with the expression (13) for K $_2$ (;), which is integrable, and that one can not use the expression (14), which has a spurious non-integrable singularity at = . The formula (17) is the generalization to a spectrum bounded from above and below of previous formulas by D yson and M ehta [13] (for an unbounded spectrum) and by the author β (for a spectrum bounded from below).

III. CONCLUSION

The result (13) for the sm oothed two-point correlation function in the large N \lim it holds for all random -m atrix ensembles of W \inf igner-D yson type, i.e. with a probability distribution of the general form (1). The form of the eigenvalue potential V () is irrelevant. It is also irrelevant whether the end point at = a (or at b) is a xed or a free boundary. This is remarkable, because the eigenvalue density behaves entirely dierent in the two cases: At a xed boundary () diverges as (a) $^{1=2}$, while at a free boundary () vanishes as (a) $^{1=2}$. Both cases are of interest for applications: The spectrum considered in Ref. [9], in connection with two-dimensional gravity, has free boundaries; The spectrum considered in Ref. [9], in connection with mesoscopic conductors, has a xed boundary.

While the form of the eigenvalue potential is irrelevant, the form of the eigenvalue interaction does matter. Consider an eigenvalue distribution function of the form (1), but with a

non-logarithm ic eigenvalue interaction $u(;) \in In j$ j. Such a distribution describes the energy level statistics of disordered m etal particles [14], and the statistics of transm ission eigenvalues in disordered m etal wires [15]. The analysis of Sec. 2 carries over to this case, but the integral kernel () 1 in Eqs. (7) and (10) has to be replaced by the kernel @u=@u. The two-point correlation function now equals 1=tim es the inverse of this integral kernel, and di ers from the result (13) for a logarithm ic interaction.

So far we have only considered the two-point correlation function $K_2 = {}^1 = V$ and the closely related two-level cluster function T_2 . B rezin and Zee [9] also computed the three-and four-level cluster functions, and found that they vanish identically upon smoothing. The linearity of the relation (7) between and V implies in fact that this holds for all higher-order cluster functions. This argument is equivalent to Politzer's proof [16] that any linear statistic on the eigenvalues has a gaussian distribution in the large-V limit.

ACKNOW LEDGM ENTS

A valuable discussion with E.B rezin is gratefully acknowledged. This research was supported in part by the \N ederlandse organisatie voor W etenschappelijk Onderzoek" (NWO) and by the \Stichting voor Fundam enteel Onderzoek der Materie" (FOM).

REFERENCES

- [1] M. L. Mehta, Random Matrices, 2nd ed. (Academic, New York, 1991).
- [2] A D . Stone, P A . M ello, K A . M uttalib, and J.-L. P ichard, in M esoscopic P henomena in Solids, ed. by B L . A l'tshuler, P A . Lee, and R A . W ebb (N orth-Holland, Am sterdam, 1991).
- [3] C. W. J. Beenakker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 (1993) 1155; Phys. Rev. B 47 (1993) 15763.
- [4] K. Slevin and T. Nagao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 (1993) 635; T. Nagao and M. Wadati, J. Phys. Soc. Japan (to be published).
- [5] E. L. Basor and C. A. Tracy, J. Stat. Phys. (to be published); C. A. Tracy and H. W. idom, Comm. M. ath. Phys. (to be published).
- [6] E. Brezin, C. Itzykson, G. Parisi, and J.B. Zuber, Comm. Math. Phys. 59 (1978) 35.
- [7] M J. Bowick and E. Brezin, Phys. Lett. B 268 (1991) 21.
- 8] P.J. Forrester, Nucl. Phys. B 402 (1993) 709.
- [9] E.Brezin and A.Zee, Nucl. Phys. B 402 (1993) 613.
- [10] E.Brezin and A.Zee, Compt.Rend.Acad.Sci.Paris (to be published).
- [11] F J.D yson, J.M ath. Phys. 13 (1972) 90.
- [12] S.G. Mikhlin, Integral Equations (Pergamon, New York, 1964).
- [13] F.J.Dyson and M. L.Mehta, J.Math. Phys. 4 (1963) 701.
- [14] R. A. Jalabert, J.L. Pichard, and C. W. J. Beenakker, Europhys. Lett. (to be published).
- [15] C. W. J. Beenakker and B. Repei, Phys. Rev. B (to be published).
- [16] H D . Politzer, Phys. Rev. B 40 (1989) 11917.