

C orrelation Functions in D isordered System s

E .B rezin

Laboratoire de P hysique T heorique
E cole N orm ale Superieure
24 Rue Lhom ond
75231 Paris, France

A . Zee

Laboratoire de P hysique T heorique
E cole N orm ale Superieure
24 Rue Lhom ond
75231 Paris, France
and
Institute for T heoretical P hysics
U niversity of C alifornia
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-4030 U SA

ABSTRACT : Recently, we found that the correlation between the eigenvalues of random hermitean matrices exhibits universal behavior. Here we study this universal behavior and develop a diagrammatic approach which enables us to extend our previous work to the case in which the random matrix evolves in time or varies as some external parameters vary. We compute the current-current correlation function, discuss various generalizations, and compare our work with the work of other authors. We study the distribution of eigenvalues of Hamiltonians consisting of a sum of a deterministic term and a random term. The correlation between the eigenvalues when the deterministic term is varied is calculated.

I. INTRODUCTION

We have been studying correlations between energy eigenvalues in random matrix theory^{1,2,3,4} in an attempt to uncover possible universal behavior in disordered systems. Let us begin by summarizing the main results of our previous work.^{5,6} Consider an ensemble of N by N hermitean matrices H' defined by the probability distribution

$$P(H') = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-N^2 \text{Tr} V(H')} \quad (1.1)$$

with

$$H(H') = \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr} V(H') \quad (1.2)$$

for any even polynomial V and with Z fixed by the normalization $\int dH' P(H') = 1$. Define the Green's functions

$$G(z) = \frac{1}{N} \text{tr} \frac{1}{z - H'} \quad (1.3)$$

$$G(z; w) = \frac{1}{N} \text{tr} \frac{1}{z - H'} \frac{1}{N} \text{tr} \frac{1}{w - H'} \quad (1.4)$$

and so forth, where

$$h_0(H') = \int dH' P(H') \quad (1.5)$$

The density of eigenvalues is then given by

$$\rho(\lambda) = \frac{1}{N} \text{tr} \delta(\lambda - H') = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{Im} G(\lambda + i0) \quad (1.6)$$

and the correlation between eigenvalues, by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\lambda; \mu) &= \frac{1}{N} \text{tr} \delta(\lambda - H') \frac{1}{N} \text{tr} \delta(\mu - H') \\ &= (1/N^2) (G(\lambda + i0) G(\mu + i0) - G(\lambda + i0) G(\mu - i0) - G(\lambda - i0) G(\mu + i0) + G(\lambda - i0) G(\mu - i0)) \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

with the obvious notation

$$G(\lambda; \mu) = G(\lambda + i0; \mu + i0) \quad (1.8)$$

(signs uncorrelated).

In the large N limit, $\rho(\lambda; \mu) \rightarrow \rho(\lambda) \rho(\mu)$, and thus it is customary to define the connected correlation

$$c(\lambda; \mu) = \rho(\lambda; \mu) - \rho(\lambda) \rho(\mu) \quad (1.9)$$

Note that the factors of N are chosen in our definitions such that the interval $[a; +a]$ over which $\rho(E)$ is non-zero is finite (i.e., of order N^0) in the large N limit.

For applications to disordered system H is often thought of as the Hamiltonian. Its eigenvalues then describe the energy levels of the system. In some applications, H is related to the transmission matrix.⁷ The density of and correlation between its eigenvalues tell us about the conductance fluctuation in disordered metals.

The density of eigenvalues has long been known in the literature⁸ to have the form

$$\rho(E) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{P(E)} \quad (1.10)$$

where $P(E)$ is a polynomial of degree $2p+2$ if the potential V is of degree $2p$. The polynomial $P(E)$ and the endpoint of the spectrum a depend on V in a complicated way. In our recent work, we have focussed on the correlation between eigenvalues.

We have obtained the following results,^{5,6} all in the large N limit.

(1.) Using the method of orthogonal polynomials, we determine

$$\begin{aligned} c(E; E') = & \left(\frac{a}{4N}\right)^2 \left(\frac{E-E'}{a}\right)^2 [f(E)f(E')]^{-1} \\ & [\cos[\ell(E)] - \cos[\ell(E')]] [\cos[N(h(E) + h(E'))] + \cos[N(h(E) - h(E'))]] \\ & + [\sin[\ell(E)] - \sin[\ell(E')]] \sin[N(h(E) + h(E'))] \\ & + [\sin[\ell(E)] + \sin[\ell(E')]] \sin[N(h(E) - h(E'))] : \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

The various functions $f(E)$; $\ell(E)$ and $h(E)$ are given in Ref. 5 and depend on V . Thus, this rather complicated expression depends on V in detail.

(2.) With E and E' close together, of order N^{-1} times some number large compared to unity so that there can still be a finite number of eigenvalues between E and E' , and with both E and E' at a finite distance from the end points of the spectrum, we obtain the universal result

$$K(E; E') = \frac{\sin[2N(E - E')]}{2N(E - E')} \quad (1.12)$$

where $\frac{1}{2}(E - E')$ and $\frac{1}{2}(E + E')$. This means that the correlation function takes the form

$$c(E; E') = \left(\frac{a}{4N}\right)^2 \left(\frac{E-E'}{a}\right)^2 \frac{\sin x}{x} \quad (1.13)$$

$$x = 2N(E - E') \quad (1.14)$$

This result has long been known in the literature. For (1.14) small this implies that $\rho_c(\lambda; V)$ vanishes as

$$\rho_c(\lambda; V) \sim \frac{1}{3} \lambda^2 (\lambda^4 N)^2 \quad (1.15)$$

as expected from the Van der Monde determinant in the measure.

Note in this context that it is incorrect to replace $\sin^2 x$ by its average $1/2$, as is sometimes done in the literature.

- (3.) The wild oscillation of $\rho_c(\lambda; V)$ is entirely as expected since between λ_1 and λ_2 infinitely separated there are in general $O(N)$ eigenvalues. Thus, it is natural to smooth $\rho_c(\lambda; V)$ by integrating over intervals I_1 and I_2 large compared to $O(N^{-1})$ but small compared to $O(N^0)$ centered around λ_1 and λ_2 respectively. We then obtain⁵

$$\rho_c^{\text{smooth}}(\lambda; V) = \frac{1}{2N^{2/2}} \frac{1}{(I_1)^2} \frac{(a^2 - \lambda^2)}{[(a^2 - \lambda_1^2)(a^2 - \lambda_2^2)]^{1/2}} \quad (1.16)$$

We find this result rather remarkable since the density $\rho_c(\lambda; V)$ is completely non-universal. The only dependence on V appears through a .

- (4.) We have also computed the three- and four-point connected correlation functions. When the oscillations in these functions are smoothed over we found that they vanish identically to $O(N^{-3})$ and $O(N^{-4})$ respectively. We conjectured that the smoothed p -point connected correlation function similarly vanishes to $O(N^{-p})$.
- (5.) We can show that the results in (1), (2), (3) hold for an ensemble much more general than the one defined in (1.1) and (1.2), namely, an ensemble defined with

$$H(\lambda') = \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr} V(\lambda') + \frac{1}{N^2} \text{Tr} W_1(\lambda') \text{Tr} W_2(\lambda') \\ + \frac{1}{N^3} \text{Tr} X_1(\lambda') \text{Tr} X_2(\lambda') \text{Tr} X_3(\lambda') + \dots \quad (1.17)$$

with $W; X; Y; \dots$ etc. arbitrary polynomials. Indeed, it is easy to see⁶ that this ensemble can be further generalized by replacing, for example, the third term in (1.13) by

$$\frac{1}{N^3} \text{Tr} X_1(\lambda') \text{Tr} X_2(\lambda') \text{Tr} X_3(\lambda') \quad (1.18)$$

with X_i a polynomial. This ensemble appears to us to be the most general ensemble invariant under unitary transformations, i.e.,

$$P(U^Y U) = P(\lambda') \quad (1.19)$$

except for some rather singular examples.

(6.) Wigner¹ also studied non-unitary ensembles, for example an ensemble of matrices V whose matrix elements take on the value $\frac{p}{N}$ with equal probability. Using a renormalization group⁹ inspired approach we can show that (1.1) for this class of matrix is universal and equal to the (1.1) for the simple Gaussian ensemble defined with $V(V) = \frac{m^2}{2} \text{tr} V^2$ in (1.2), namely

$$\rho(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{a^2} \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \quad (1.20)$$

that is, Wigner's well-known semi-circle law.

(7.) In Ref. 6, we outline an argument showing that the results stated in (1), (2), (3), and (4) also hold for the non-unitary ensemble mentioned in (6).

Some of our results appear to overlap with results obtained in recent literature.¹⁰ In particular, our smoothed universal connected correlation (1.16) appears to have been discovered also by Beenakker in an interesting work,¹¹ although we have not yet seen a full derivation.

Given our correlation functions (1.11), (1.13), and (1.16), we can proceed to determine the mean square fluctuation of physical quantities such as conductance. For any quantity $A(V)$ defined by the trace of some function of V , the mean square fluctuation is clearly given by

$$\text{var} A = \frac{D}{N^2} \text{tr} A^2 - \left(\frac{D}{N} \text{tr} A \right)^2 = \int d\lambda \int d\lambda' \rho_c(\lambda; \lambda') A(\lambda) A(\lambda') \quad (1.21)$$

We would like to emphasize that it is incorrect to put for $\rho_c(\lambda; \lambda')$ the smoothed correlation $\rho_c^{\text{smooth}}(\lambda; \lambda') = \frac{1}{(\lambda - \lambda')^2}$ given in (1.16). The singularity in $\rho_c^{\text{smooth}}(\lambda; \lambda')$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda'$ would produce a divergent integral. Within our discussion, there is of course no difficulty whatsoever, since $\rho_c^{\text{smooth}}(\lambda; \lambda')$ was derived with the explicit proviso that λ is of order N^0 and the true $\rho_c(\lambda; \lambda')$ given in (1.11) is perfectly smooth as $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda'$. Calculation of the variance of the conductance given in the recent literature¹¹ appears to us to involve simply replacing ρ_c by ρ_c^{smooth} without justification.

From the definitions for $\rho(\lambda)$ and $\rho_c(\lambda; \lambda')$, it is easy to derive the response of $\rho(\lambda)$ under a change in the potential $V(\lambda)$, as pointed out by Beenakker¹¹:

$$\delta \rho(\lambda) = -N^2 \int d\lambda' \rho_c(\lambda; \lambda') \delta V(\lambda') \quad (1.22)$$

Again, it would be tempting to replace¹¹ $\rho_c(\lambda; \lambda')$ by $\rho_c^{\text{smooth}}(\lambda; \lambda')$. However, this illegitimate procedure would lead to a divergent integral. The variation $\delta \rho(\lambda) = -\delta V(\lambda)$ appears to

pose a rather tedious calculation with P and a in (1.10) depending on V in a complicated way.

We took V to be an even polynomial for simplicity, so that the density of eigenvalues is a symmetric function between the endpoints $-a$ and $+a$. It is a simple matter to shift the spectrum. Clearly, if we replace V by $V + dI$ (with I the unit matrix and d the shift) the density of eigenvalues would be non-zero between $c = -a + d$ and $b = a + d$. The universal correlation function is then trivially shifted to read

$$\rho_c^{\text{smooth}}(x) = \frac{1}{2N^{2/2}} \frac{1}{(x)^2} \frac{[bc + \frac{1}{2}(b+c)(x+a)]}{[(b-c)(b+c)(b-c)(b-c)]^{1/2}} \quad (1.23)$$

In section II, we will develop a diagrammatic method which will enable us to study "time" dependent correlation between the eigenvalues, and which when the time dependence is suppressed allows us to recover many of the results mentioned above. In section III we compute the current-current correlation function. In section IV we study a class of Hamiltonians consisting of the sum of a deterministic term and a random term. The correlation between the eigenvalues when the deterministic term is varied is calculated.

II. "TIME" DEPENDENT CORRELATION

In this paper, we introduce a diagrammatic method to study the correlation between eigenvalues for a time-dependent ensemble of hermitean matrices with a probability distribution defined by

$$P(V') = \frac{1}{Z} \exp \int_T^T dt \text{Tr} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{dV'}{dt} \right)^2 + V'(t) \right] \quad (2.1)$$

We take $T \rightarrow 1$. Here the matrix $V'(t)$ depends on time, or more generally, on some external parameter we are allowed to vary. Physically, we may apply our results obtained below to disordered systems in which the disorder may vary. In going from (1.1) to (2.1) we are moving from zero-dimensional field theory (i.e., an integral) to one-dimensional field theory (i.e., Euclidean quantum mechanics). In the language of string theory, we move from a central charge $c = 0$ theory to a $c = 1$ theory. As a byproduct we show how some of our previous results mentioned in Section I may be recovered as a special case. For ease of presentation, we will take $V'(t) = \frac{m^2}{2} t^2$ to be Gaussian and indicate below how our results may be generalized.

The one-point Green's function, or more generally the propagator,

$$G_{ij}(z) = \left(\frac{1}{z - \Gamma(t)} \right)_{ij} \quad (2.2)$$

can be readily determined since due to time translation invariance it does not in fact depend on time. Using the usual Feynman diagram expansion, we find immediately that in the large N limit $G_{ij}(z)$ is given by planar Feynman diagrams (generalized rainbow) as indicated in Fig. (1a).

It is perhaps useful, borrowing the terminology of large N QCD from the particle physics literature, to speak of the single line in Fig. (1a) as representing quark propagators and the double lines as gluon propagators. The quark propagator is given simply by $1/z$ while the gluon propagator is given by

$$\begin{aligned} D_{ijkl}(t) &= \Gamma_{ij}(t) \Gamma_{kl}(0) \\ &= \delta_{il} \delta_{jk} \frac{1}{N} \frac{d!}{2} \frac{e^{i!t}}{!^2 + m^2} = \delta_{il} \delta_{jk} \frac{1}{2Nm} e^{-m|t|} \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

We will now immediately generalize to arbitrary time dependence by replacing (2.3) by

$$D_{ijkl}(t) = \delta_{il} \delta_{jk} \frac{1}{N} e^{-u(t)} \quad (2.4)$$

For instance, the time dependence in (2.1) may be changed in such a way that the "momentum space" propagator $!^2 + m^2$ in (2.4) is replaced by $\frac{1}{!^2 + \frac{1}{j!} j^2 m^2}$ or $\frac{1}{!^4 + !^2 + m^2}$ for example. In other words, in (2.1) we can replace $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d'}{dt} \right)^2$ by $\Gamma K \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right)'$ with K any reasonable function. Our only requirement is that u is a smooth function of t and does not blow up as t goes to zero.

Introducing as usual the one-particle irreducible self energy $\gamma_{ij}(z)$, we can write the generalized rainbow integralequation (Fig. (1b))

$$\gamma(z) = \frac{1}{z - \gamma(z)} = \gamma^2(z) \quad (2.5)$$

Here we have used the fact, immediately obvious from examining the Feynman diagrams, that $\gamma_{ij}(z)$ is equal to $\gamma_{ij}(z)$ and the fact that the gluon propagator only appears at equal time $D_{ijkl}(0) = \delta_{il} \delta_{jk} \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{2}$. Note that the quark does not know about time. Solving the quadratic equation for γ we obtain the Green's function as defined in (1.3)

$$G(z) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{z} \left(z - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{-p} \quad (2.6)$$

Taking the absorptive part we recover immediately Wigner's semicircle law as given in (1.20).

Incidentally, within this diagrammatic approach, band matrices can be treated immediately. Let the matrices $'$ be restricted so that $'_{ij}$ vanishes unless $|j - i| < bN = 2$ with $b < 1$. Such matrices describe, for example, the hopping of a single electron on a one-dimensional lattice with random hopping amplitudes. The essential feature is that from each site the electron can hop to $O(1/N)$ sites. Looking at the Feynman diagrams, we see that in the generalized rainbow integral equation we simply restrict the range of summation from N to bN and thus instead of (2.3) we obtain

$$G(z) = b^2 \frac{1}{z} = b^2 G(z) \quad (2.7)$$

Thus, we have the same distribution of eigenvalues with a suitable re-definition of the endpoints.

Let us now move on to the connected 2-point Green's function

$$\begin{aligned} G_c(z; w; t) &= \frac{1}{N^2} \text{tr}_z \frac{1}{' (t)} \text{tr}_w \frac{1}{' (0)} \quad c \\ &= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z^{m+1} w^{n+1}} \text{tr}'^m (t) \text{tr}'^n (0) i_c \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Henceforth for the sake of notational clarity we will set t to unity; it can always be recovered by dimensional analysis. Diagrammatically, the expression for $G_c(z; w; t)$ can be described as two separate quark loops, carrying momentum z and w respectively, interacting by emitting and absorbing gluons (see Fig. (2a)).

With a Gaussian distribution for $'$, we can readily "Wick-contract" the expression $\text{tr}'^m (t) \text{tr}'^n (0) i_c$. Let us begin by ignoring contractions within the same trace (in which case m and n are required to be equal). In the large N limit, the dominant graphs (see Fig. (2b)) are given essentially by "ladder graphs" (with one crossing) which immediately sum to

$$N^2 G_c(z; w) = \frac{1}{(zw)^2} \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{1}{zw})^2} \quad (2.9)$$

We next include Wick-contractions within the same trace in $\text{tr}'^m \text{tr}'^n i$. We see that graphically these contractions describe vortex and self energy corrections. The vortex corrections can be immediately summed: the expression in (2.9) is to be multiplied by two factors, the factor

$$\frac{1}{(1 - \frac{1}{z^2})^2} \quad (2.10)$$

and a similar factor with z replaced by w . Finally, according to our earlier discussion, self-energy corrections are included immediately by replacing the bare quark propagator $1/z$ by the dressed propagator $G(z)$ (and similarly for $1/w$ of course.) We obtain finally the remarkably compact result

$$N^2 G_c(z;w) = \frac{1}{(1 - G(z)G(w))^2} \frac{G^2(z)}{1 - G^2(z)} \frac{G^2(w)}{1 - G^2(w)} \quad (2.11)$$

Finally, we have to put in the time dependence, which we have ignored so far. We note first of all that the vertex and self-energy corrections contain no time dependence, since there the gluons always begin and end on the same quark line. Thus, the time dependent 2-point connected Green's function can be written down immediately as

$$N^2 G_c(z;w;t) = \frac{e^{-u(t)}}{(1 - e^{-u(t)} G(z)G(w))^2} \frac{G^2(z)}{1 - G^2(z)} \frac{G^2(w)}{1 - G^2(w)} \quad (2.12)$$

To obtain the connected correlation function between energy eigenvalues, we have to take the double absorptive part of $G_c(z;w;t)$ as indicated in (1.7). It is most convenient to introduce angular variables: from (2.6) we see that we may write

$$G(- + i) = -i e^{i\theta} \quad (2.13)$$

where θ = the sign of θ and

$$\sin \theta = a: \quad (2.14)$$

Similarly, we write

$$G(+ + i) = i e^{i\theta} \quad (2.15)$$

with θ = the sign of θ and

$$\sin \theta = a: \quad (2.16)$$

As θ and θ vary over their allowed ranges, from $-a$ to $+a$, θ and θ range vary from $\theta = 2$ to $\theta = 2$.

We can now readily compute (in the notation of (1.8))

$$8N^2 G_c(+ +) = \frac{1}{\cos \theta \cos \theta} \frac{1}{[1 + \cosh u \cos(\theta + \theta) - \sinh u \sin(\theta + \theta)]} \quad (2.17)$$

Proceeding in this way, we obtain one of our main results

$$\begin{aligned} & 16 N^2 G_c(\theta; \theta) \\ &= \frac{1}{\cos \theta \cos \theta} \frac{1 + \cosh u \cos(\theta + \theta)}{[\cosh u + \cos(\theta + \theta)]^2} + (\dots) \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Note that crossing symmetry (§ ; t ! t) clearly holds. For t = 0, that is, u = 0 (since any non-zero u(0) can be absorbed into ϕ^2), we recover immediately our previous result (1.16), obtained by the orthogonal polynomial method. Note that time acts as a regulator for the singularity when $\phi = 0$: for time not equal to zero, we can set $\phi = 0$ without difficulty and obtain

$$8 N^2 c(\phi; t) = \frac{1}{\cos^2} \frac{1}{u^2} + \dots \quad (2.19)$$

For $\phi \neq 0$ and small time $u \ll 1$ we have

$$16 N^2 c(\phi; t) = \frac{1}{\cos \phi \cos \phi} \frac{1}{1 + \cos(\phi + \phi)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \cos(\phi + \phi) \right) u^2 + (\dots + \dots) \quad (2.20)$$

In the long time limit, $u \rightarrow 1$, we find

$$4 N^2 c(\phi; t) \rightarrow e^{-u} \tan \phi \tan \phi \quad (2.21)$$

As expected the correlation vanishes exponentially in time. Notice however that a memory of the spatial correlation is retained even at arbitrarily large time.

We thus conclude that the density-density correlation is universal in space for all time, in the sense that it does not depend on V at all.

It is noteworthy that in the diagrammatic approach the correlation function is "automatically" smoothed. An interchange of limits is responsible. Here in computing $G(z; w)$ we are taking $N \rightarrow \infty$ and then letting z approach the real axis. In our previous work⁵ we use orthogonal polynomials to calculate $c(\phi; t)$ directly. In effect, we let z sit on the real axis before taking $N \rightarrow \infty$ and thus the discrete pole structure is visible. In this sense, the orthogonal polynomial method is more powerful and informative.

Having now analyzed the Gaussian case we now discuss how our results could hold more generally. We distinguish between the "trace class" defined in (1.1) and the "Wigner class" defined in (6).

For the Wigner class, let us focus on the example in which the probability of the distribution matrix element ρ_{ij} is given by

$$P(\rho_{ij}) \propto e^{-N^2 (\sum_{ij} \rho_{ij}^2 - \frac{v^2}{N^2})^2} \quad (2.22)$$

corresponding essentially to the example mentioned in item (6) in Section I (see Ref. 6). The quartic interaction $N^2 \sum_{ij} \rho_{ij}^4$ would contribute to Feynman diagrams such as the

one in Fig. (3a). Counting powers, we see that this graph is of order $N^{-4} N N^2 = N^{-1}$ and so is suppressed relative to the graphs in Fig. (1a). Reasoning along this line, we see immediately that the distribution of eigenvalues is universal, a long-known result that we also derived recently using a renormalization group inspired approach.⁶ As a bonus, we obtain immediately in the present diagrammatic approach that the correlation function is also universal. (Incidentally, this result is not at all easy to obtain with the renormalization group approach of Ref. 6.)

For the trace class, the interaction, for example the quartic term in V'' , would generate Feynman diagrams such as the one in Figure (3b). Counting powers of N we see that this graph is in no way suppressed relative to the graphs in Fig. (1a). This is in fact a gratifying conclusion as we know from Ref. 8 (See eq. (1.10)) that in the trace class, in sharp contrast to the Wigner class, the distribution of eigenvalues is in fact not universal. It appears to us that within the diagrammatic approach, it would be rather involved to demonstrate the universality of the correlation function for the trace class, namely the result we obtained in Ref. 5 using the method of orthogonal polynomials. We would have to show that the effects of the arbitrary polynomial interactions contained in V in (1.1) can be summed up and absorbed completely in the endpoint value a of the spectrum.

We find it remarkable that in this subject results easily obtained in one approach are apparently rather difficult to prove in another.

III. CURRENT-CURRENT CORRELATIONS

As is well-known, the ensemble in (1.1) may be thought of as describing the statistical mechanics of a gas in $1 + 0$ dimensional space time. The partition function

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int d' e^{-N \text{tr} V''} \\ &= C \int d_1 \cdots d_N e^{-N \sum_i V(d_i) + \sum_{i < j} \log(d_i - d_j)^2} \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where C is an irrelevant overall constant. The logarithmic term comes from the well-known Jacobian connecting d' to $d_1 d_2 \cdots d_N$. Regarding d_i as the position of i^{th} particle on the real line, we see that (3.1) describes a one-dimensional gas of N particles interacting with each other via a logarithmic repulsion while confined by an external potential $V(\cdot)$. Intuitively then, it becomes entirely clear that the density of the gas $\rho(\cdot)$ has no reason

to be universal: it should certainly depend on V . It is less clear why the change in density $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ at \mathbf{r} due to a change in the potential $V(\mathbf{r})$ at \mathbf{r} should be universal, and indeed, this universality holds only when we smooth over the discrete character of the gas.

The generalization in (2.1) then corresponds to allowing the particles to move in a 1+1 dimensional spacetime. With the density operator defined by

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}; t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i^X \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \quad (3.2)$$

we clearly have the conservation law

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial J}{\partial x} = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

with the current operator defined by

$$J(\mathbf{r}; t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i^X \frac{d \mathbf{r}_i}{dt} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \quad (3.4)$$

Thus, we are led to study the current-current correlation function

$$\langle J(\mathbf{r}; t) J(\mathbf{r}'; 0) \rangle = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \int_a^Z \int_a^Z d\mathbf{r}'' d\mathbf{r}''' \langle \rho(\mathbf{r}; t) \rho(\mathbf{r}'; 0) \rangle_c \quad (3.5)$$

Note that $\langle J J \rangle$ is connected by definition.

The double integral in (3.5) may be explicitly evaluated. We find that in effect $\rho_c(\mathbf{r}; t)$ may be written as

$$4^{-2} N^{-2} \rho_c(\mathbf{r}; t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \log \frac{\cosh u + \cos(\theta + \phi)}{\cosh u - \cos(\theta - \phi)} \quad (3.6)$$

In particular, at equal time, we have

$$4^{-2} N^{-2} \rho_c(\mathbf{r}; t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \log \frac{a^2}{a^2} + \frac{p}{(a^2 - 2)(a^2 - 2)} \frac{\#}{(a^2 - 2)(a^2 - 2)} \quad (3.7)$$

An equivalent form reads

$$2^{-2} N^{-2} \rho_c(\mathbf{r}; t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \log 4 \frac{2q \frac{a}{a+} - q \frac{a}{a+}^3}{\frac{a}{a+} + \frac{a}{a+}^5} \quad (3.7a)$$

The current-current correlation function then follows immediately

$$\begin{aligned} 4^{-2} N^{-2} \langle J(\mathbf{r}; t) J(\mathbf{r}'; 0) \rangle &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \log \frac{\cosh u + \cos(\theta + \phi)}{\cosh u - \cos(\theta - \phi)} \\ &= \frac{\sinh u}{\cosh u + \cos(\theta + \phi)} u + \frac{(1 + \cosh u \cos(\theta + \phi))}{(\cosh u + \cos(\theta + \phi))^2} u^2 \quad (\text{!} +) \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

We have thus obtained the current-current correlation function for arbitrary separation in space and time.

First, the dependence on space is universal: as a function of \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{r}' , the current-current correlation, just like the density-density correlation from which it is derived, does not depend on the potential V .

The dependence on time, in contrast, is non-universal: clearly, the dependence of u on t enters. In special cases, however, the specific functional form may be seen to drop out. From (3.8), we see that at the same point in space, that is when $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}'$, and u small, we have

$$2^{-2N} \langle J(\mathbf{r}; t) J(\mathbf{r}; 0) \rangle = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \log u + \dots \quad (3.9)$$

Thus, if as $t \rightarrow 0$, u vanishes like $u \sim t^\alpha$, then

$$2^{-2N} \langle J J \rangle \sim t^{-\alpha} \quad (3.10)$$

We have universality in the sense that the unknown constant α has dropped out. With the further assumption that $\alpha = 1$, which is reasonable but certainly not required, we obtain the universal statement

$$2^{-2N} \langle J J \rangle \sim t^{-1} \quad (3.11)$$

We also observe the curiosity that at $\alpha = 2$, the current-current correlation vanishes identically for all time.

For large time, we obtain

$$2^{-2N} \langle J(\mathbf{r}; t) J(\mathbf{r}; 0) \rangle \sim \cos \cos \left(\frac{r^2}{4t} \right) e^{-u} \quad (3.12)$$

This is of course not independent of (2.19).

We have learned that the universality of $\langle J J \rangle$ at small time has already been derived by Szafer and Altschuler¹² and by Beenakker¹³ using apparently rather different methods and implicitly assuming that $\alpha = 1$. To our knowledge, the complete form of $\langle J J \rangle$ in (3.8) has not appeared before in the literature.

We can immediately generalize the preceding discussion to the case where many external parameters $t_1; t_2; \dots; t_k$ are varied. This may be described picturesquely as a many-time world in which the conservation laws

$$\frac{\partial J^k}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k} \quad (3.13)$$

hold where the current with respect to time t_k is defined by

$$J^k(\cdot) = \sum_i^X \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k} i(\cdot) \quad (3.14)$$

The relation (3.5) immediately generalizes to

$$hJ^k(\cdot; t)J^l(\cdot; 0) = \sum_a^Z \partial_a^k \partial_a^l d^0(\cdot; t) d^0(\cdot; 0) \quad (3.15)$$

where $\partial^k = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k}$. For the special case where u is a function of $t = (\sum_k t_k^2)^{1/2}$, for example, we obtain easily that

$$2^{-2N} \sum_a^D J^k(\cdot; t)J^l(\cdot; 0) = \frac{t_k t_l}{(\sum_j t_j^2)^{1/2}} \quad (3.16)$$

for small time, with an assumption similar to the one that leads to (3.11).

IV. DETERMINISTIC PLUS RANDOM

Our diagrammatic approach allows us to study immediately the eigenvalues of a Hamiltonian of the form $H = H_0 + V$ which consists of the sum of a deterministic piece H_0 and a random piece V with a probability distribution such as in (1.1). Pastur¹⁴ has found the interesting relation that

$$G(z) = G_0(z - G(z)) \quad (4.1)$$

where G and G_0 are the Green's functions for H and H_0 respectively. We now show that Pastur's relation follows immediately from our diagrammatic analysis.

Let us consider the Gaussian case and let H_0 be diagonal with diagonal elements ϵ_i . Looking at the relevant Feynman diagrams, we see that we simply have to replace the inverse quark propagator z by $z - \epsilon_i$ and thus obtain

$$G(z) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k^X \frac{1}{z - \epsilon_k} = G_0(z) \quad (4.2)$$

We recognize this as (4.1). The relation (4.1) while interestingly compact is not terribly useful in practice as in solving for $G(z)$ one would encounter a polynomial equation of degree $N + 1$.

We will now demonstrate the power of the diagrammatic approach by showing how we can immediately go beyond Pastur's relation and study correlation. Consider the following class of physical problems. Suppose we change some external parameters so that the deterministic Hamiltonian H_0 is changed to H_0^0 . We would like to compute the correlation between the spectra of H and $H^0 = H_0^0 + V$, in other words, we would like to compute

$$G_c(z; w; H_0; H_0^0) = \frac{1}{N} \text{tr}_z \frac{1}{H_0} \frac{1}{N} \text{tr}_w \frac{1}{H_0^0} \quad (4:3)$$

where the average, as before, is over the distribution of V .

An example of this class of problems was recently studied by Simons and Altschuler¹⁵. They considered the problem of a single non-interacting electron moving in a ring threaded by a magnetic flux and with the electron scattering on impurities in the ring. The magnetic flux is then changed to some other value with H changed accordingly to H^0 . The correlation between the spectra of H and H^0 is apparently of great interest in the physics of mesoscopic systems.

We see immediately from Fig. (2b) that using the diagrammatic approach we can determine $G_c(z; w; H_0; H_0^0)$ quite readily. Let us define

$$g_i(z) = \frac{1}{z - \epsilon_i} \quad (4:4)$$

where $\epsilon_i(z)$ is the solution of (4.2). We assume that H_0^0 is also diagonal, with diagonal elements ϵ_i^0 . We define the analog of $g_i(z)$ for H_0^0 , namely

$$h_i(w) = \frac{1}{w - \epsilon_i^0} \quad (4:5)$$

where $\epsilon_i^0(w)$ is the solution of the analog of (4.2) for H_0^0 , namely (4.2) with $\epsilon_i \rightarrow \epsilon_i^0$, $z \rightarrow w$, and $\epsilon_i(z) \rightarrow \epsilon_i^0(w)$. Let us also introduce the short hand notation

$$\begin{aligned} g &= g \\ g^2 &= g^2 \\ g^3 &= g^3 \end{aligned} \quad (4:6)$$

and so forth. Then

$$N^2 G_c(z; w; H_0; H_0^0) = \left(\frac{g^2 h^2 (1 - g h) + (g^2 h) (g^2 h)}{(1 - g h)^2} \right) \frac{1}{1 - g} \frac{1}{1 - h} \quad (4:7)$$

We see that this collapses to (2.11) when $H_0 = H_0^0 = 0$.

The number of physical situations covered by the result of this section is very large. In particular, it should allow us to verify the intuitive expectations concerning the universality of the correlations: the general belief is that if the energy scale is such that the system can explore the full extent of the disorder, one should recover the correlations for the pure random matrix correlations, irrespective of the non-random H_0 . It is far from obvious from the explicit representation (4.7). Clearly, our result (4.7) could also be used to study questions such as localizations, or the influence of white noise in various physical situations. Thus, we believe that the result in (4.7) would prove to be of importance in studying many disordered systems.

APPENDIX

We have focussed on random hermitean matrices. In some physical situations, the Hamiltonian matrix is in fact real symmetric. It is well-known in the literature (see for example Ref. 7) that going from the case of hermitean random matrices to the case of real symmetric matrices we simply insert in various formulas appropriate factors of 2. This is most easily seen by looking at (3.1): for 'real symmetric matrices we would have a factor of 1/2 in front of the logarithmic repulsion. This comes about because the Jacobian connecting d' to $d_1 d_2 \dots d_N$ for the hermitean case is the positive square root of the corresponding Jacobian for the real symmetric case.

Let us now sketch exceedingly briefly how this factor of 2 emerges in the diagrammatic approach. Typically, we encounter $\text{tr}'^n \text{tr}'^n$. To indicate how the argument goes, let us consider only Wick-contractions between the two traces. After the first contraction, we have

$$\text{tr}'^n_{ij'} \text{tr}'^n_{ji} = n \left(\delta_{ij} + \delta_{ji} \right) \text{tr}'^{n-1}_{ji} \text{tr}'^{n-1}_{ij} = 2n \delta_{ij} \text{tr}'^{n-1}_{ji} \text{tr}'^{n-1}_{ij} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

The last line follows from the fact that ' is symmetric. Proceeding, we find easily that the above is equal to $2nN^{n-1}$. Note that for hermitean matrices the expression in the parenthesis would read δ_{ij} instead, and this accounts for the factor of 2 alluded to above.

Graphically, the fact that $\text{tr}'^n_{ij'} \text{tr}'^n_{ji}$ is equal to $\delta_{ij} + \delta_{ji}$ rather than δ_{ij} means that the double lines in the gluon propagator in the Feynman diagrams can be twisted. The direct counting of graphs becomes considerably more involved.

We also note that the method of orthogonal polynomials used in Ref. 5 becomes rather complicated when we deal with real symmetric matrices.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

While we were completing this work, we received from C. Beenakker a helpful correspondence calling our attention to his recent work, for which we are most grateful. We would like to thank A. Devoto for his warm hospitality allowing us to complete this work on the beach of Chia. One of us (EB) thanks C. Beenakker and Y. Imry for a discussion. The other (AZ) thanks X.G. Wen for a stimulating discussion at Nordita, whose hospitality, as well as the hospitality of the Ecole Normale Supérieure, where this work was initiated, are gratefully acknowledged. We thank A. Felce for drawing the figures. This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY 89-04035 and the Institut Universitaire de France.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig (1.a) Feynman diagram expansion for the Green's function $G(z)$.

Fig (1.b) The generalized rainbow equation for the one-particle-irreducible self energy $\Sigma(z)$.

Fig (2.a) Feynman diagram expansion for the connected two-point Green's function. The dotted lines here represent the "gluon" propagator.

Fig (2.b) Some typical low order Feynman diagrams contributing to the connected two-point Green's function.

Fig (3) Non-Gaussian corrections to the propagator in the (a) Wigner class and in the (b) trace class.

REFERENCES

1. E. Wigner, Can. Math. Congr. Proc. p.174 (University of Toronto Press) and other papers reprinted in Porter, op. cit.
2. C. E. Porter, Statistical Theories of Spectra: Fluctuations (Academic Press, New York, 1965).
3. M. L. Mehta, Random Matrices (Academic Press, New York, 1991).
4. See for instance, the Proceedings of the Eighth Jerusalem Winter School, Two Dimensional Quantum Gravity and Random Surfaces, D. J. Gross and T. Piran (World Scientific, Singapore, 1992).
5. E. Brezin and A. Zee, Nucl. Phys. 402 (FS), 613 (1993).
6. E. Brezin and A. Zee, Compt. Rend. Acad. Sci., to be published 1993.
7. J.-L. Pichard, in Quantum Coherence in Mesoscopic Systems (NATO ASI series, Plenum, New York 1991) ed. by B. Kramer, we thank Y. Meir for informing us of this reference.
8. E. Brezin, C. Itzykson, G. Paris, and J. B. Zuber, Comm. Math. Phys. 59, 35 (1978).
9. E. Brezin and J. Zinn-Justin, Phys. Lett. B 288, 54 (1992).
10. C. W. J. Beenakker and B. Rejzai, Institut Lorentz preprint (1993); R. A. Jalabert, J.-L. Pichard, and C. W. J. Beenakker, Institut Lorentz preprint (1993).
11. C. W. J. Beenakker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 1155 (1993).
12. A. Szafer and B. L. Altshuler Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 587 (1993).
13. C. W. J. Beenakker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 4126 (1993).

14. L.A. Pastur, *Theo. Math. Phys.* 10, 67 (1972).
15. B.D. Simons and B.L. Altschuler, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 70, 4063 (1993).
16. C.W.J. Beenakker, *Phys. Rev. B* 47, 15763 (1993).