Sharp and Sm ooth Boundaries of Quantum Hall Liquids

C.deC.Cham on and X.G.W en

D epartm ent of P hysics, M assachusetts Institute of Technology, C am bridge, M assachusetts 02139

Abstract

We study the transition between sharp and smooth density distributions at the edges of Q uantum H all Liquids in the presence of interactions. We md that, for strong conming potentials, the edge of a = 1 liquid is described by the $Z_F = 1$ Ferm i Liquid theory, even in the presence of interactions, a consequence of the chiral nature of the system. When the edge conming potential is decreased beyond a point, the edge undergoes a reconstruction and electrons start to deposit a distance 2 magnetic lengths away from the initial Q H Liquid. Within the Hartree-Fock approximation, a new pair of branches of gapless edge excitations is generated after the transition. We show that the transition is controlled by the balance between a long-ranged repulsive Hartree term and a short-ranged attractive exchange term. Such transition also occurs for Q uantum D ots in the Q uantum H all R egime, and should be observable in resonant tunneling experiments. Electron tunneling into the reconstructed edge is also discussed.

PACS:7320Dx

Typeset using REVT_EX

In FractionalQ uantum H all (FQ H) states there are no bulk gapless excitations; the only gapless m odes are edge states, which are responsible for non-trivial transport properties at low tem peratures. Edge states arise naturally in real samples, as the two dimensional electron gas (2D EG) is conned in a nite region. Them anner in which the 2D EG is conned determ ines the structure of the electronic density on the borders of the sample, and rich structures m ay appear. For smooth edges, strips of compressible and incompressible FQ H states may be form ed [1,2]. The structure of these smooth edges have been studied m ainly by focusing on the electronic density distribution at large length scales, where it is reasonable to use a sem i-classical approach [2(4]. This approach consists in assuming that the electron density n varies slow ly enough, so that one can use bulk values for the internal energy u (n), which has cusps for n corresponding to fractional lling factors. An improved calculation using H artree-Fock approximation was done in R ef. [5] at nite tem perature, which agrees very well with the electrostatic calculation [4].

In the opposite extreme, the electron density varies sharply at the edge, and there is no room for the formation of incompressible strips. The sharp edge of the = 1 state is described by a 1D (chiral) FermiLiquid, in which the occupation in the momentum space has a sharp drop at the Fermimomentum. This sharp drop in the momentum space is related to the fast drop of the electron density near the edge.

O ne naturally questions how the sharp edge picture evolves into the smooth edge picture as the edge potential becomes smoother. As an interacting 1D system, the Ferm i edge of the = 1 state may have the following possible singularities displayed in Figure 1, such as a Ferm i Liquid singularity, with a $Z_F = 1$ discontinuity (Fig. 1a) or a renormalized $Z_F < 1$ discontinuity (Fig. 1b), or a Luttinger Liquid singularity (Fig. 1c). One scenario is that the sharp edge and the smooth edge are connected by the distributions in Fig. 1b or Fig. 1c. As the edge potential becomes smoother, the occupation distributions in Fig. 1b and Fig. 1c also get smoother. A coording to this picture, the smooth edge of the = 1 state contains one

branch of gapless edge excitations which is described by a renormalized FermilLiquid. The smoother the edge, the stronger the renormalization. However, the calculations presented in this paper suggest a new scenario for some natural con ning potentials. We not that the chiral nature of this one dimensional system play an important role in determining the form of the singularity. Due to the chirality, the $Z_F = 1$ edge is very stable. Fig. 1a correctly describes the edge structure for a range of edge potentials even for interacting electrons. However, as the edge potential is smoothened beyond a certain point, the edge undergoes a reconstruction. A pair of edge branches moving in opposite directions is generated, and the occupation distribution in Fig. 1a changes into the one in Fig. 2, which contains three Ferm i points. The occupation hn_k i has algebraic singularities at these Ferm i points. This new scenario has received some support from exact calculations on small system s.

In this paper we focus on the structure of the electronic occupation density distribution at the boundary of a = 1 liquid in the presence of interactions. We work with a Hilbert space restricted to the rst Landau level, and in the main part of the paper we assume that the spins are fully polarized. The droplet is conned by its interaction with an underlying positive background (one way to introduce a conning potential). The paper is organized as follows. In section II we introduce the 1D interacting version for the problem, and discuss the in portance of chirality in determining the structure of the edge singularity. In section III we present exact numerical results for small systems, which support the picture that, before a discontinuous transition occurs, the chiral edge system is reasonably well described within the Hartree-Fock approximation. In section IV we study the elects of this transition for Q uantum D ots, including possible experimentally observable elects. Finally, in section V we investigate the consequences of the transition on the dynamics of edge excitations, and in section V I the consequences to electron tunneling into the reconstructed edges.

A system of interacting particles in a 2-D QH droplet can be mapped into a one dimensional problem by enumerating the single particle wavefunctions of the rst Landau level. The Hamiltonian of the interacting theory is

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{X} \sum_{j=0}^{Y} c_{0} + \sum_{1i=2i=3i=4}^{X} V_{1i=2i=3i=4} C_{1}^{Y} c_{2} C_{3}^{Y} c_{4} ;$$
(1)

where

$$; \circ = \frac{Z}{dx_1^2 dx_2^2} (\mathbf{x}_1) \nabla (\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 \mathbf{j}) (\mathbf{x}_2) \circ (\mathbf{x}_2)$$
$$\nabla_{1; 2; 3; 4} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{Z}{dx_1^2 dx_2^2} (\mathbf{x}_1) \nabla_{2} (\mathbf{x}_1) \nabla_{2} (\mathbf{x}_1) \nabla_{3} (\mathbf{x}_2) + (\mathbf{x}_2) \nabla_{3} (\mathbf{x}_2) + (\mathbf{x}_2) \mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{x}_2 \mathbf{j}$$

The dispersion $; \circ$ is determined by a background charge (x), which we use to control the conning potential. The 's are the single particle wavefunctions, labeled by the quantum number . For example, in the symmetric gauge, stands for the angular momentum quantum number m, with $_{m}(x;y) = \frac{p!}{p!} \frac{x^{m}}{p!} e^{\frac{i}{p} \int_{-2}^{2}}$ and $z = \frac{x_{+} iy}{2}$ (throughout the paper we work in units of magnetic length $l_{B} = 1$). The wavepacket $_{m}$ is centered in a circle of radius $R = \frac{p}{2m}$. In the Landau gauge, denotes the linear momentum in the x direction k_{x} , with $_{k_{x}}(x;y) = \frac{p!}{(-1)^{1+2}} e^{ik_{x}x} e^{-(y-k_{x})^{2}=2}$, and the wavepacket $_{k_{x}}$ is centered at $y = k_{x}$ (L is the size of a system subject to periodic boundary conditions).

Let us consider for now backgrounds (\mathbf{x}) that are invariant under certain symmetry transformations, such as rotations (if we are studying a circular droplet, using the symmetric gauge) or translations along the x direction (if we are studying a long strip, using the Landau gauge). In this case we have $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{x}_0$. In purities break such symmetries, and their e ect will be considered later in the paper. Because the interaction V ($\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{x}_2$) depends only on the distance between \mathbf{x}_1 and \mathbf{x}_1 , it is also invariant under these symmetries, and thus we can rewrite the Ham iltonian as

$$H = {}^{X} {}_{; i}{}^{\circ} C + {}^{X} {}_{; i}{}^{\circ} V (; ; ; {}^{\circ}) C {}^{Y}_{+} C C {}^{Y}_{\circ} C {}_{\circ_{+}} :$$
(2)

It is this 1D interacting m odel that will be the basis of our study of the = 1 droplet. The question we want to address is how to determ ine the ground state occupation number $hc^{y}c$ i for this theory.

W ithout loss of generality, let us focus now on the problem of a strip with length L and periodic boundary conditions (equivalently, a cylinder of circum ference L), using the Landau gauge. The QH F luid lies on the surface of the cylinder, between its left (L) and right (R) boundaries (see Fig. 3).

In a typical 1D interacting theory we have non-Ferm i Liquid behavior; the Ferm i discontinuity is destroyed by the interactions, and the system is better described as a Luttinger Liquid. Notice, however, that the Ham iltonian in Eq. (2) has a peculiar di erence from the usual 1D Ham iltonian of an interacting system in the sense that the e ective scattering potential V depends not only in the momentum transferred , but also in the momentum con guration (i.e., and ⁰) of the scattered particles. The Luttinger Liquid behavior is caused by the coupling between particle-hole excitations in the two distinct Ferm i points. Now, for our system described in Eq. (2), the two Fermi points correspond to $_{\rm L}$ and $_{\rm R}$, at the two boundaries of our droplet. These two points are spatially separated, and the m atrix elements for coupled particle-hole excitations near these points should go to zero as the distance between the boundaries is increased. In the limit of in nite separation, the two edges are decoupled, and we can describe the system as containing two di erent types of ferm ions, R and L, with one Ferm ipoint each. M ore precisely, we can describe the particles as being in a D irac sea that is led as we move inwards to the bulk. Indeed, in topologies such as a simply connected droplet, like a disk, we only have one boundary, and the D irac sea description is exact. Such theories fall within what we call \Chiral Luttinger Liquids".

The 1D chiral theory has a special property that the occupation distribution of the ground state can have a Ferm idiscontinuity (Ferm iLiquid) even in the presence of interactions. In fact, for certain values of interaction strength and single particle dispersion, the ground state m ay have a perfect Ferm idistribution with $Z_F = 1$! This is because the momentum occupation with $Z_F = 1$ is always an eigenstate of the interacting Ham iltonian (2) in the

limit of in nitely separated edges. This is easier seen in the led D irac sea description of the L and R fermions. Take, for example, the R branch, for which the unique minimum totalm on entum eigenstate is the one that has all single particle levels to the left of the edge occupied. Because totalm on entum commutes with the H am iltonian, and this state is the only one with minimum totalm on entum, it must also be an eigenstate of energy, possibly the ground state for some edge potential. Notice that the occupation distribution of this state corresponds exactly to a $Z_F = 1$ Ferm i Liquid occupation. One should contrast this case with a non-chiral 1D system, where clearly the Ferm i gas distribution is an eigenstate of zero totalm on entum, but it is not the only one, and thus not necessarily an eigenstate of energy. A gain, chirality plays a key role.

The next step is to understand how the occupation distribution evolves as we smoothen the con ning potential. One way to assemble a sharp distribution is by simply laying the electron gas on top of a similarly sharp positively charged background, and one way to try to destroy this sharp distribution is to smoothen the positive background. Notice that the perturbation we include by changing the background is not in the form of an additional interaction between the particles, but of a change in the one particle dispersion \cdot W e will show that the occupation distribution has the tendency to remain sharp, due to a balance between a repulsive long range H artree term and an attractive short range Fock term, and also due to the special stability of the $Z_F = 1$ chiral Ferm i Liquid. The sharp distribution eventually becomes unstable, and the Ferm i surface is destroyed, as a lump of particles detach and form two more edges which destroy the chirality. W e will show that the H artree-Fock approximation seems to contain the relevant ingredients to describe this transition.

III.EXACT RESULTS FOR SM ALL SYSTEM S

In the Landau gauge, the dispersion due to the background charge, and the matrix elements V in Eq. (2) for the C oulom b interaction are given, respectively, by:

$$_{k} = \frac{e^{2}}{1} \begin{bmatrix} z & 1 \\ 1 & dy^{0} & (y^{0}) \end{bmatrix}_{1}^{Z} \frac{1}{1} dy \frac{e^{-(y - k + y^{0})^{2}}}{p - p} \ln y^{2}$$
(3)

and

$$V (q; k) = \frac{e^2}{L} \frac{1}{L} \frac{e^{q^2=2}}{\frac{p}{2}} \frac{1}{1} dy e^{(y-k)^2=2} K_0 (qy)$$
(4)

where e is the electron charge, is the dielectric constant, q is the momentum transfer (in Eq. (2)), k = k k^0 , is the positive background density, and K_0 is a modi ed Bessel function. Notice that $e^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ (or $e^2 =$, as we use units of $\frac{1}{2} = 1$) is the natural energy scale in the problem. In particular, the Hartree-Fock elective two body potential between two particles with momenta k_1 and k_2 is given by

$$V_{HF}(k_{1};k_{2}) = V_{H}(k_{1} + k_{2}) + V_{ex}(k_{1} + k_{2})$$
(5)

where the Hartree and exchange term s are obtained from Eq. (4) by setting q ! 0, $k = k_1 + k_2$, k = 0 respectively (with a factor of 1 for the exchange):

$$V_{\rm H} (\mathbf{k}_{1} \quad \mathbf{k}_{2}) = \frac{1}{2L} \frac{e^{2}}{1} \frac{Z_{1}}{1} dy \frac{e^{-(y + k_{1} + k_{2})^{2} = 2}}{\frac{p}{2}} \ln y^{2}$$
(6)
$$V_{\rm ex} (\mathbf{k}_{1} \quad \mathbf{k}_{2}) = \frac{1}{2L} \frac{e^{2}}{2} e^{-(\frac{k_{1} - k_{2}}{2})^{2}} K_{0} - (\frac{k_{1} - k_{2}}{2})^{2} :$$

We have subtract a logarithm ic divergence from the Hartree term ($\ln q \dot{q}_{!\ 0}$), that is independent of k_1 and k_2 , and thus simply contributes to a constant in the energy. The Hartree contribution to the elective two body potential, V_H , is repulsive and long-ranged, whereas the one from exchange, V_{ex} , is attractive and short-ranged. We will show that it is a balance between these two elective interactions that controls the short length scale behavior of the density distribution.

W e will proceed by st presenting exact num erical results for a sm all system, and then using these results to justify a picture that the short length scale behavior of the density distribution is controlled by the Hartree-Fock term s.

We study the edge structure of a system that we divide into edge and buk electrons (See Fig. 4). We consider just one edge, say, the R edge, and assume the buk extends to

in nity in the opposite direction. The occupation of the \bulk" levels is xed to be 1. Doing so, we can concentrate all the computations on the \edge", as the e ect of \bulk" electrons is simply reduced to a contribution to the one particle dispersion of the \edge" electrons. Such division presents no harm, as long as the edge excitations under consideration do not change the \bulk" occupation.

Consider a strip geom etry with $L = 20 l_B$ and periodic boundary conditions (cylinder). The \edge" is composed of 10 electrons in 20 single particle states. We start with a sharp background, and smoothen it by changing the width w in which the density drops from the bulk value (= 1=2, for = 1) to zero (see Fig. 5). The \bulk" electrons contribute to an additional term in the dispersion. The e ect of adding a variation in the positive charge density over a length scale w can be thought of as simply superimposing a dipole to the e ective edge potential for a sharp edge, as shown in Fig. 5.

Fig. 6 displays the energy levels for di erent total momentum K of the edge electrons (the sites, numbered from 1 to 20, are assigned k = 0 to 19). For $w < 8 \downarrow_B$ the ground state has K = 45, i.e., all the electrons are packed up to one side and the edge is described by the $Z_F = 1$ Ferm i liquid. For $w = 9 \downarrow_B$ the ground state is no longer the sharp con guration with m inim um K = 45, but has moved to a con guration with K = 60 (see Fig. 7). The occupation number distribution is shown in Fig. 8 for w = 9 and 10 \downarrow_B . Notice the form ation of a lump of electrons distant $2 \downarrow_B$ from the bulk (for L = 20, k = 1 corresponds to a distance 2 = L $0.314 \downarrow_B$).

The exact calculation for a small system seems to indicate that the sharp edge is robust against the smoothening of the background charge, up to a point where there is a transition, and the density redistributes. We will show that the robustness of the sharp edge is a consequence of the attractive exchange, that tents to keep the edge packed. Eventually, as the strength of the dipole resulting from the smoothening of the background is increased, the short range attraction due to exchange can no longer sustain the edge sharp, and a lum p of the electrons splits and form s a \puddle" near them inim um of the elective potential seen by the QH Liquid. Let's illustrate the point above by calculating the elective single particle

energy within the Hartree Fock approximation for the distribution that has occupied levels for all negative momenta, i.e., a sharp R edge (we have centered the coordinate system on the edge).

$$(k) = k + H(k) + e_{x}(k);$$
 (7)

where

$$_{k} = \frac{e^{2}}{1} \frac{2}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{dy^{0}}{y^{0}} \frac{y^{0}}{1} \frac{2}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{dy}{p} \frac{e^{-\frac{(y-k+y^{0})^{2}}{p}}}{\frac{p-1}{2}} \ln y^{2}$$

$$_{H}(k) = \frac{e^{2}}{1} \frac{2}{1} \frac{dk^{0}}{2} \frac{2}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{dy}{p} \frac{e^{-\frac{(y-k+k^{0})^{2}=2}{p}}}{\frac{p-1}{2}} \ln y^{2}$$

$$_{ex}(k) = \frac{e^{2}}{1} \frac{2}{1} \frac{dk^{0}}{2} e^{-\frac{(k-k^{0})^{2}}{2}} K_{0} \frac{(k-k^{0})^{2}}{2} \frac{k^{0}}{2} \frac{k^{0}}$$

O ne should notice that the electronic occupation number $n_k = h_k^y q_k i$ di ers from the electronic charge density $n(y) = hc^y(y)c(y)i$. The later is obtained from the form er by a convolution with a G aussian of variance 2 = 1=2 (the single level charge distribution). With this in mind, one can show that the background density that cancels the electronic charge density is exactly the one that makes k + H(k) = 0, as it should be expect. A sharp background charge distribution as shown in Fig. 5 makes a sharp electronic occupation distribution even more stable, as we have an extra dipole term, resulting from the di erence between a sharp positive charge background and the electronic charge density of a sharp occupation distribution, and which favors the levels with negative k to remain occupied.

As we change the background con guration, we alter the one particle dispersion $_{k}$. For the stability of this edge it is necessary that the elective single particle energy of any unoccupied level be higher than the one of any occupied level. Fig. 9 shows the elective single particle energy for unoccupied levels for dilerent values of the parameter w, which m easures the width which it takes the background to decrease from its bulk value to zero. The potential obtained for w = 0 l_{e} is primarily due to the exchange term, which stabilizes a sharp edge. The exchange potential is short ranged, reaching zero within 15 $2_{B}l$; the overshoot for w = 0 l_{e} is due to the dipole which results from the dilerence between a sharp positive charge background and the electronic charge density of a sharp occupation distribution, as mentioned previously, whose contribution decays to zero as 1=3; jfor large 3; Forw 11 ½ the condition for stability is violated (the higher value for the w that marks the transition, as compared to the small system result, can be regarded as due to a nite size e ect, to the Hartree-Fock approximation, or to both). It is then more advantageous to move electrons to the minimum (k) locations. A simple picture is that particles start to escape from the sharp edge and start to deposit at a distance of order 2 ½ away from the initial boundary. This separated lum p brings in two new boundaries into the problem. These new boundaries break our previous chiral geometry, as we now have three Ferm ipoints nitely separated. The three Ferm ipoints describe two right-moving branches and one left-moving branch of edge excitations. From this point on one should expect that the interactions will destroy the Ferm i Liquid singularities, and we will have three Luttinger singularities.

Notice that we said the necessary condition for the stability of the sharp edge is that (k) be larger for unoccupied states than for occupied states. But we have not yet argued it is su cient. It is possible that even if this condition is satis ed one can have a ground state for the interacting problem di erent than the sharp edge, as the energy could be lowered by rearranging m any particles. W orse, it is possible that the hopping term s, which couple di erent states in con guration space (and are not included within Hartree-Fock), would com pletely modify the picture. In particular, the Hartree-Fock approximation does not allow the distributions in Fig. 1b and Fig. 1c. Therefore, we cannot use the Hartree-Fock calculation alone to judge which of the distributions in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 is realized after the transition. However, the exact diagonalization results for sm all systems that we have presented support the picture described in Fig. 2. This suggests the transition is mainly controlled by Hartree-Fock term s, and that the conclusions depicted from the single particle potential obtained within Hartree-Fock seem to be qualitatively correct. C entainly we cannot rule out the possibility that Fig. 1b and Fig. 1cm ight be realized for some other interaction and edge potential.

To nalize this section, we present in Fig. 10 the spectrum and occupation numbers

calculated for the small system with $w = 10 \ \text{L}$, but now within the Hartree-Fock approximation (the hopping or o -diagonal elements were suppressed). Compare the spectrum to the exact diagonalization for $w = 10 \ \text{L}_{B}$ displayed in Fig. 7. The occupation numbers in the Hartree-Fock approximation suggest the separation of part of the density from the main uid, and the appearance of two more singularities. The hopping elements would take charge in redistributing the density, modifying the form of the singularity.

IV.THE EDGE RECONSTRUCTION FOR QUANTUM DOTS

The e ect we describe in this paper is not particular to large systems. In fact, the exact results for small systems, which we used to support the Hartree-Fock picture, directly indicate that the transition occurs for nite systems. The edge density redistribution is a consequence of the balance between the con ning potential, the repulsive Hartree term, and the short-ranged exchange, all of these present regardless of the size of the system.

Quantum D ots are innately interesting systems for observing this transition. To begin with, because the number of electrons is small, the redistribution will involve a substantial part of the total number of particles in the dot, which can then be considered not simply an edge e ect, but, in a way, a bulk e ect as well. Secondly, experiments on resonant tunneling into Q uantum D ots in the FQ H regine should be sensitive to a transition involving a redistribution of the particle density, both because the energies of adding one electron to the dot on both sides of the transition should di er (which can be measured by the position of the resonant peaks), and because a change in the size of the dot will change the coupling to the probe leads as well. Third, the transition can be driven by altering the con ning potential, either changing the voltage on a back-gate, or changing the magnetic eld (which varies the radii of the orbits, and consequently the potential seen by each orbit).

In this section we study some of the consequences of the transition as applied to Q uantum D ots. We study systems with total number of particles up to $N_p = 70$. We will use only the Hartree-Fock matrix elements, because both the system is not small enough for

exact diagonalization, and the Hartree-Fock approximation seemed to contain the essential elements to describe the transition.

The energy eigenstates of the H am iltonian in Eq. (2) are also eigenstates of total m om entum. The true ground state is a superposition of di erent occupation number states in Fock space, all of them with the same total m on entum. We will call hopping elements the terms in the H am iltonian that couple di erent states in Fock space. The H artree and Fock terms couple a conguration in Fock space to itself. Within H artree Fock, i.e., neglecting the hopping terms in the H am iltonian, any occupation number state is an energy eigenstate. Finding the ground state is then equivalent to determining the conguration of particles that minimizes a classical energy function. Notice that within H artree Fock all hc^yc i are equal to either 0 or 1.

We focus on a disk geometry, which is more appropriate for describing a dot. The single particle states are labelled by the angular momentum quantum number. The matrix elements are given by

$$V_{m \, 1\,m \, 2\,m \, 3\,m \, 4} = hm \, 1 \,m \, 3\,j \hat{V} \, jn \, 2 \,m \, 4\, i ;$$
(8)

where the state jn m⁰i stands for a particle in the level labelled by m, and another in the level m⁰ (hz₁; z₂ jn m⁰i = $m_{\pi^0}(z_1; z_2) = \frac{p_1}{p_2} \frac{p_1^{2m} z_2^{m^0}}{m ! m^0!} e^{\frac{jz_1 j_2^2 + jz_2 j_1^2}{2}}$, with $z_{1;2} = \frac{x_{1;2} + iy_{1;2}}{p_2}$). The matrix elements in the classical energy function that couple states m and m⁰ are obtained from the Hartree and Fock term s:

$$V_{m \ m}^{H F} = V_{m \ m \ m} \circ_{m} \circ V_{m \ m \ m} \circ :$$

$$(9)$$

$$V(l) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{e^2}{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{Z}{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{dz_+^2 dz^2}{2} \frac{1}{2\dot{z}_+ j} \frac{\dot{z}_+ j^n \dot{z}_- j^l}{n! l!} e^{-\dot{z}_+ j} e^{-\dot{z}_- j} e^{-\dot{z}_- j}$$

$$= \frac{e^2}{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{4} \frac{(l+1=2)}{(l+1)} :$$
(10)

The Hartree and exchange term s are obtained, respectively, using

$$hm m^{0} \mathbf{j} \hat{\mathbf{j}} \mathbf{m} m^{0} \mathbf{i} = \int_{lm}^{X} V(\mathbf{l}) \mathbf{j} \mathbf{m} m^{0} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{j}^{2}$$
(11)

and

$$\lim_{l \neq n} m^{0} j \hat{V} j n^{0} m i = \sum_{l \neq n}^{X} (1)^{l} V (l) j m m^{0} j l; n i f :$$
 (12)

The con ning potential is assumed to be parabolic, $(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}^2$, with \mathbf{r} the distance from the center of the dot, and \mathbf{k} the strength of the con ning potential. It is convenient to write $\mathbf{k} = -0\frac{\mathbf{e}^2}{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{B}}^2$, so that -0 is a dimensionless parameter, maintaining $\mathbf{e}^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{B}}$ as our, respectively, energy and length units. The single particle dispersion is given by

$$m = \frac{1}{2} \quad _{0} \frac{e^{2}}{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \frac{dz^{2}}{2jz^{2}j} \frac{2jz^{2}j}{m!} e^{-\frac{jz^{2}}{2}}$$

$$= \quad _{0} \frac{e^{2}}{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(m+2)}{(m+1)} = \quad _{0} \frac{e^{2}}{\frac{1}{2}} (m+1) :$$
(13)

The energy function that must be minimized is

where the n_m 's are 0 or 1, constrained to $P_{m_m} = N_p$, the total number of particles. We obtained num erically $V_{m_m}^{H_F}$ for the rst 80 levels (0 m;m⁰ 79), and searched for the minimum of E for di erent values of N_p and $_0$. We nd that, depending on these parameters, the minimum energy con guration switches from a compacted to a separated droplet.

In Fig. 11 we display the occupation of the orbits as function of $_0$ for N_p = 60 (occupied orbits are displayed in black, and unoccupied ones in white). For strong con ning potentials the occupied levels are the ones with minimum angular momentum. As the con ning strength is decreased, there is a transition, and unoccupied levels inside the dot appear. A fler the transition, hopping elements become in portant, and take charge in redistributing the occupation, which can then have partially lied levels. Fig. 12 displays the orbital occupation for xed $_0$, with N_p varying from 70 to 30 electrons, where there is also a transition, with a separated droplet for sm aller system s.

We would like to point out that the exchange term is ofkey in portance in order to have a compacted dot solution. Notice that we have assumed that occupation is non-zero only for the rst Landau level, and that the spins are fully polarized. One could argue that these assumptions alone can lead to a compacted drop, as a strong enough con ning potential can always be chosen such that the ground state is the minimum total angular momentum solution even if one take only the repulsive Hartree term. This would be possible because the particles would be squeezed to the center, without being able to occupy higher Landau levels, or ip spin (see Fig. 13, where we repeat the calculation for Fig. 11 without the exchange term). In reality, as we increase the con ning potential, there are two mechanisms which tend to lower the total energy of the dot, one by compacting the particles to the low angular momentum orbits in the rst Landau level, with polarized spins, and the other by m oving particles to a higher Landau level or opposite spin polarization state. By increasing the con ning potential we enhance both of these e ects. Therefore, in order to have a = 1compacted dot we must have a window of $_0$ that allows totally packed dots with no higher Landau level occupation. It is here that exchange comes in play, providing an attractive interaction that lowers the bound on $_0$ to have a compacted dot, which opens that window .

The ideas above can be expressed quantitatively. The lower bound on $_{0}$, i.e., the minimum con ming strength necessary to keep an N_p-particle dot compacted, can be obtained as follows. Within the Hartree approximation, $_{0}^{m \text{ in}}$ is obtained from the condition that the net electric eld on the edge of the dot due to the electrons just balances the eld due to the con ming potential. The radial eld due to the electrons diverges logarithm ically, $E_{r} / \frac{e}{\frac{E}{b}} \ln (R = c)$, where R is the radius of the droplet, and $_{c}$ is an ultraviolet cut-o length scale. The eld due to the con ming potential is $E_{r} = \frac{e}{\frac{E}{b}} 0R = \frac{1}{b}$, so we nd that $_{0}^{m \text{ in}} / N_{p}^{1=2} \ln (\frac{N_{p}}{c^{2}-2l_{p}^{2}})$. Indeed, this dependence of $_{0}$ on N_{p} ts very well the num erical results obtained when the exchange term is om itted (Hartree approximation), where we nd $_{0}^{m \text{ in}} = 0.118N_{p}^{1=2} \ln (\frac{N_{p}}{0.216})$ (see Fig. 14a). We nd that a similar function dependence on N_{p} reasonably ts the results obtained within Hartree-Fock, with $_{0}^{m \text{ in}} = 0.083N_{p}^{1=2} \ln (\frac{N_{p}}{0.21})$ for our range of N_{p} (Fig. 14b). Notice that the attractive exchange term has the tendency

to keep the dot compated, low ering the value of $\int_{0}^{m \text{ in}}$.

The upper bound on o can be obtained by estimating the energy decrease of moving one particle from the edge to the center. The electrostatic energy of a disk of radius R and density $_{0} = \frac{e}{2 l_{a}^{2}}$ is $E_{disk} = \frac{e^{2}}{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{2}{3} (R = l_{B})^{3}$, which gives an estimate for the electrostatic energy of adding one electron to the edge of the dot of $E_{edge} = \frac{e^2}{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{2^p \overline{2}^q}{N_p}$. The electrostatic energy cost of adding an electron to the center of the disk is $E_{\text{center}} = \frac{e^2}{h} \frac{p}{2} \frac{q}{N_p}$. The total decrease in energy of moving one particle from the edge to the center is $E = \frac{e^2}{k} = 0 N_p = \frac{2^p 2^q m_p}{2}$, which has to be < h!_c (or < E_{Z eem an}) if we want to have occupation solely in the rst Landau level (or with polarized spins). So we nd $-\frac{2^{p} - 1}{2N_{p}} = + \frac{h!_{c}}{e^{2} - \frac{1}{2}} \text{ (or } \frac{E_{Z \text{ erm an}}}{e^{2} - \frac{1}{2}} \text{) } N_{p}^{-1} \text{. The last term contains the ratio between}$ m ax the cyclotron (or Zeem an) and Coulomb energies. The condition for a compacted dot is $m^{\text{min}} < 0 < m^{\text{max}}$. For GaAs $\frac{h!_{c}}{e^{2} = \frac{1}{k}} = 0.4$ B, with B the magnetic eld in Tesla. So for reasonable values of B and N $_{\rm p}$ in a dot, the term N $_{\rm p}$ ¹⁼² in $_{0}^{\rm max}$ is the dom inant one, which constrains the maximum possible N_p to the one that makes $\frac{m}{0}$ in ^{m ax}, which gives N ^{m ax} 106. If we use the value for $\frac{m}{0}$ in given by the Hartree term alone we nd N m ax 12. A lthough these values are rough estim ates, they should make it clear that the attractive exchange term plays a major role in opening up a window in $_0$ for which there is a compacted dot solution.

We have also perform ed the H artree-Fock calculation for spin 1/2 electrons with Zeem an energy $E_{Z eem an}$! 0, (sim ply to break the degeneracy between the two spin polarized congurations). For large conning potentials, both spin up and down electrons form compact droplets of lling fraction = 1. However the droplet of, say, spin down electrons is smaller, and the electrons near the edge form a ferror agnetic state as pointed out in Ref. [6]. A swe decrease ________, the separation between the spin-up edge and the spin-down edge increases. At even smaller _________, the spin-down electrons non-longer form a compact droplet which m aybe a sign of FQH states. As __________ decreases below _________________ 0:53 N_p¹⁼² + 0:49 N_p⁻¹, all the electrons are spin polarized (the coe cient in N_p¹⁼² is approximatly the same obtained from the electron-

static consideration, and the one in N_p¹ shows the tendency of exchange to align spins as an e ective Zeem an energy). Thus for $_{0}^{m in} < _{0} < _{s}$, the electrons form a spin polarized com pact droplet. The exchange term tends to align the spins, increasing further the size of the window of $_{0}$'s such that the drop is com pact.

The next question is how to experimentally obtain a value for $_{0}$ that falls within the window above. In order to make the connection to real samples, we use the parabolic con ming potential in Ref. [7]. There they use $V_{ext}(r) = \frac{1}{2}m \, !^{2}r^{2}$, with m the electric electron mass in G aAs, and h! = 1:6m eV for the particular device. The relation between our dimensionless $_{0}$ (or alternatively, given in units of $\frac{e^{2}}{k} = 1$ and $\frac{1}{b} = 1$) to this con ming potential is obtained by equating $_{0}\frac{e^{2}}{k}l_{B}^{2}$ to m $!^{2}$, which gives $_{0} = \frac{(h!)^{2}}{(e^{2}-k)(h!c)}$, or $_{0}$ 0:376 B $^{3=2}$, B in Tesla. For N $_{p} = 40$, for example, we not that B = 2:5T will yield a value of $_{0}$ in the allowed window, so that the dot occupation will be the one of a compacted = 1 droplet. A swe increase B beyond 3.1T the edge will undergo a reconstruction.

We now turn into the possibility of probing experimentally the transition between a compacted and an expanded dot. In order to make a clear connection between this expansion e ect and experimentally observable quantities, we describe below the implications of the e ect to tunneling experiments into Q uantum D ots. In resonant tunneling experiments, the energy dimense between the ground states of an N + 1 and N electron system, (N), can be probed by tunneling in and out of the dot a single electron at a time, when the Fermi level of the electrodes become resonant with the quantum level of the dot [8[10]. By following a peak, the dependence of the chemical potential on the magnetic eld can be observed. In Fig. 15 we calculated, within the Hartree-Fock approximation, this dependence of the chemical potential (in meV) on B (in T) for dots with N_p from 35 to 38. The \saw tooth" for B < 2.5T corresponds to spin down electrons being ipped and moved from the center to the edge of the dot. The region between roughly 2.5T and 3T is the window of magnetic elds for which the electrons form a compact = 1 droplet. The \dislocation" near B = 3T corresponds to the reconstruction of the electron num ber occupation, marking the transition from the compacted to the expanded con guration.

In addition to this anomaly in the peak position vs. B, the expansion of the size of the dot will also increase its coupling to the probing leads, as this coupling depends on the distance between leads and island. The tunneling current should then increase for an expanded dot. In Fig. 16 we show the dependence of the size of the droplet (m easured as the radius of the orbit of the outmost electron) on $_0$ and on the number of particles N_p in the dot. In Fig. 16a we show the size of a 60 electron droplet as a function of $_0$, and in Fig. 16b we ked the value of $_0$ and varied the number of electrons from 40 to 70. The e ect on the amplitute of the resonant peak, together with the anomaly in the peak position, should be a signature that a transition is indeed occurring in the occupation density of the Q uantum Dot.

V.THE EDGE MODES AFTER THE TRANSITION

A swe have seen in the previous sections, after sm oothening the edge potential enough, a transition takes place, and the Ferm iLiquid occupation density gives way to a more com plex state. In terms of the electronic occupation distribution, the new state boks as if electrons start to deposit a certain distance away from the bulk of the QH Liquid. The QH \puddle" that is formed, as mentioned above, brings in two more boundaries for each edge, and we then have three singularities. The interactions take charge in destroying the Ferm i Liquid discontinuity, as the three singularities are nitely separated.

These three singularities can be related to three branches of gapless modes, which correspond to particle-hole excitations near each of the singularities. An intuitive way to visualize the three branches is by considering the occupation number after the transition simply within Hartree-Fock, which would look as in Fig. 17. There, the three edges are clearly identified. Correlations destroy the Ferm i discontinuities, but we will still have the three Luttinger Liquid singularities at the three Ferm i points. Notice that the pair of branches that is added always have opposite chirality. An edge that had one right-m oving branch before the transition, for example, will have two right-m oving branches and one left-m oving

branch. All these three branches are strongly coupled. One clear experimental consequence of now having one branch moving in the opposite direction (the one left-moving branch in the originally right-moving edge, for example) is that one could probe such excitations, where originally there was none. We will show, however, that the presence of impurities localize two of the three branches, and such back-propagating modes cannot be observed beyond the localization length.

W e will use the bosonized description of the edge states in the FQH regime presented in Ref. [11], and which we summarize below for our particular case of = 1. Let _{R,L} be two elds, described by the Lagrangian density

$$L_{R,L} = \frac{1}{4} Q_{x,R,L} (Q_{x} VQ_{x})_{R,L}$$
(15)

(v is the velocity of the excitations) and the equal-tim e commutation relations

$$[_{R,L}(t;x);_{R,L}(t;y)] = i \operatorname{sgn}(x y):$$
 (16)

Left and right moving electron operators can be written as $_{R,L}(x;t) = :e^{i_{R,L}(x;t)}:$, which can be shown to satisfy the correct anticommutation relations [12]. The electron density is given by $_{R,L} = Q_{x_{R,L}}$, and the Ham iltonian is

$$H_{R,L} = \frac{v}{4}^{2} dx_{R,L}^{2} :$$
 (17)

Consider three edge branches as depicted in Fig. 18, labelled by i = 1;2;3. We can generalize the description above to include several branches, writing the following Lagrangian density:

$$L = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{ij}^{X} K_{ij} @_{t i} @_{x j} V_{ij} @_{x i} @_{x j}];$$
(18)

where the matrices K and V contain, respectively, information on the direction of propagation (chirality) of each branch, and interactions between the branches (including a diagonal term containing the velocities). For this analysis let us assume two R branches (1 and 3) and one L branch (2), such that

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ B & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ C & A \end{bmatrix}$$
(19)

E lectron operators can be written as

$$L / e^{i \sum_{j=1}^{P} k_{j}}$$
(20)
$$L = \sum_{j=1}^{X} K_{ij} L_{j};$$

(21)

where the L_i's are integers satisfying $P_{i}L_{i} = 1$. The Lagrangian in (18) is not the most general one. We left out four ferm ion terms that cannot be written as the product of two densities. We know that it is the interactions between the densities that are responsible for changing the Ferm iedge singularity, and here we will concentrate on this particular elect of interactions. We have also assumed a local interaction in the densities. However, the long range interaction can be easily included by allowing V_{ij} to have momentum dependence. In particular, the long range C oulomb interaction contributes to a term $P_{k} = \binom{P_{i}}{i} \frac{P_{i}}{i} \frac{p_{i}}{i}$, where $k = \frac{1}{2}$ In k is the Fourier transform ation of the 1=r C oulomb interaction and k_{ij} the Fourier component of the density of the $\frac{1}{2}^{h}$ branch. We see at long distances the most in portant interaction term is the one that involves only the total charge density P_{i} . This contribution is

which, when sum m ed to the velocity term s, gives the total V m atrix

$$V = \bigcup_{Q}^{0} V_{1} + \bigcup_{X_{2} + Q_{2} + Q_{$$

Here, for simplicity, we have assumed that is a large constant independent of momentum. This will be the case if the C oulom b interaction is screened at a long distance (e.g. by gates nearby). We will focus primarily in the case where v's, i.e., strongly coupled branches. A lso, if the system has particle-hole symmetry, then $v_1 = v_3$. We start by rewriting the Lagrangian (18) in terms of new elds $\tilde{i}_i = \frac{P}{j} U_{ij} j$ that simultaneously diagonalize K and V. Furtherm ore, we would like to keep, for convenience,

$$K = (U^{T})^{-1}KU^{-1} = K$$
 (24)

so that the commutation relations of the \sim 's are the same as the ones for the 's. The transformation matrix U for v's is

$$U_{\underline{v}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ B & p \\ B & p \\ \overline{2} & p \\ \overline{2} & p \\ \overline{2} & p \\ \overline{2} & A \end{bmatrix}$$
(25)

The mode in the st line of U, $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3$, is simply the total charge density mode (equivalently, $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3$, as $= Q_x$). What we show next is that, once we add to the Lagrangian (18) electron scattering terms due to the presence of impurities, this total charge mode is left unperturbed, and the other two will localize.

The scattering terms between electron operators in the three edges that can be added to the Lagrangian are bosonic couplings with zero charge. These can be written as $T_L = e^{i_{\substack{P} \ i} I_i i} = e^{i_{\substack{j} \ ij} L_i K_{ij} j}$, where now the L_i 's are integers satisfying $i_{\substack{j} \ L_i} = 0$ (T_L is bosonic and neutral). In terms of the rotated elds i_{j} , $T_L = e^{i_{\substack{p} \ i} I_i i}$, where $I_i = i_{\substack{j} \ j} L_j U_{ji} I_j$. Let us focus on the most relevant T_L 's; the naive RG dimension can be obtained from the T_L correlations

$$hT_{L}^{Y}(t=0;x) T_{L}(t=0;x=0)i / e^{P_{ij} I_{L}I_{j} h^{*}_{i}(t=0;x) *_{j}(t=0;x=0)i} / x^{P_{ij} I_{L}^{2}}_{i} = x^{L};$$
(26)

Writing $_{L}$ in term softhe L'swe obtain:

$$L = \prod_{i}^{X} 1_{i}^{2} = 1^{T} 1$$

$$= 1^{T} U^{-1} (U^{-1})^{T} 1$$

$$= L^{T} K U^{-1} (U^{-1})^{T} K L$$
(27)

$$= L^{T} U^{T} (U^{T})^{1} K U^{1} (U^{-1})^{T} K U^{-1} U L$$
$$= L^{T} U^{T} K^{2} U L$$
$$= L^{T} (U^{T} U) L ;$$

where we used $K^2 = K^2 = I$. It is easy to show that the minimum $_L$, with $_{i}^{P}L_{i} = 0$, are given by:

$$L = B = 1C = 1 C$$

which correspond to T_L operators that transfer charges between the center branch (L) to the two side branches (R). In terms of the I's, we have:

$$\mathbf{\hat{I}} = (\mathbf{U}^{-1})^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{L} = \mathbf{K} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C & 0 & 0 \\ B & C & C & 0 \\ B & P^{\frac{1}{2}} & C & 0 \\ P^{\frac{1}{2}} & C & 0 \\ P^{\frac{1}{2}} & C & 0 \\ P^{\frac{1}{2}} & P^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ P^{\frac{1}{2}} & P^{\frac{1}{2} \\ P^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ P^{\frac{1}{2}} & P^{\frac{1}{2}} \\$$

The H am iltonian density with these most relevant $T_{\rm \scriptscriptstyle L}$ terms added is

$$H = H_0 + H_T$$
 (30)

where

$$H_{0} = \frac{\mathbf{v}_{1}}{4} (\mathbf{Q}_{x} \mathbf{v}_{1})^{2} + \frac{\mathbf{v}_{2}}{4} (\mathbf{Q}_{x} \mathbf{v}_{2})^{2} + \frac{\mathbf{v}_{3}}{4} (\mathbf{Q}_{x} \mathbf{v}_{3})^{2}$$
(31)

and

$$H_{T} = + (x)e^{\frac{x^{2}+x^{3}}{2}} + (x)e^{\frac{x^{2}+x^{3}}{2}} + H x$$
: (32)

The describe the random tunneling coupling due to impurities, with correlations h (x) (y)i = (x y).

Notice that $\tilde{1}_1$ remains free, as the added tunneling terms do not depend on it. This one component (total charge, as $\tilde{1}_1 = 1 + 2 + 3$) behaves just like the one branch before the transition. The other two, we will argue below, should be localized because of the impurities.

Let $\sim = (\sim_2 \sim_3) = \frac{p}{2}$, which obey the commutation relations

^h (t;x);
$$(t;y)^{i} = 0$$
 (33)
^h (t;x); $(t;y)^{i} = i \operatorname{sgn}(x y)$

We can identify $\sim = \frac{\theta_x \tilde{2}}{2}$ as the conjugate momenta to \sim . We can rewrite the Ham iltonian for $\tilde{2}$ and $\tilde{3}$ in terms of \sim :

$$H_{2;3} = \frac{\mathbf{v}}{4} \left[(\mathbf{0}_{x}^{*} +)^{2} + (\mathbf{0}_{x}^{*})^{2} + (\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{i}_{+}} + (\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{i}_{+}} + (\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{i}_{-}} + \mathbf{H} \mathbf{x}; \right]$$
(34)

where we assume that v_2 v_3 v. This is a more complicated version of a Sine-G ordon (SG) Ham iltonian density with position dependent coupling, as it involves self-interactions in both a eld and its conjugate momentum.

If we had only one of $_+$ or $_+$ we would have a Ham iltonian for a simple SG with position dependent coupling, which we could write as

$$H = \frac{v}{4}^{h} (2 \gamma)^{2} + (0_{x} \gamma)^{2}^{i} + (x)e^{i\gamma} + H x::$$
(35)

Working in units of $\mathbf{v} = 1$, and rescaling the elds as ${}^{0} = {}^{p} \overline{2} \sim and {}^{0} = {}^{-p} \overline{2}$ (which keep the commutation relations unchanged), we have

$$H = \frac{1}{2}^{h} (2 + (\theta_{x})^{2} + (x)e^{ig^{0}} + Hx;;$$
(36)

with $g = \frac{p}{2}$. This problem, equivalent to a Coulom b gas with position dependent chem ical potential, was studied in refs. [13,14]. The in purity coupling is relevant for $g < \frac{p}{6}$ (in the constant coupling constant or chem ical potential Coulom b gas, the condition is $g < \frac{p}{8}$). This is indeed our case, and therefore the presence of in purities localizes the other two branches of excitations represented by $\frac{2}{3}$ and $\frac{3}{3}$.

Notice that what we have done above is equivalent to understanding the RG ows in the planes $_{+} = 0$ and = 0, and this implies are relevant in all directions around = 0 if $g < \frac{p}{6}$. The RG ows to a strong xed point when both $\Leftrightarrow 0$. It is possible that this strong xed point is a localized state, motivated by the ow when = 0. The properties of this strong xed point will be the subject of further studies. The form alism in the last section can be used to study the electron tunneling into the reconstructed edges. The electron propagator in time in general has a form

$$< c^{V}(t)c(0) > / \frac{1}{t^{L}}$$

with $_{\rm L}$ given in Eq. (27). But now the ${\rm L_i}$'s satisfy $_{\rm i}^{\rm P}{\rm L_i} = 1$. In the limit =v 1 U is given by Eq. (25). The minimum exponent is $_{\rm L} = 1$ (the Fermi liquid value) for electrons described by L = (1; 1;1). This con guration corresponds to adding two electrons on the two side branches and removing one electron from the center branch. Adding a single electron to the side branch leads to a exponent $_{\rm L} = 2$ and to the center branch $_{\rm L} = 3$.

Let us consider tunneling between two reconstructed edges. At very low temperatures and low voltages, the electron with the con guration L = (1; 1;1) will dominate the tunneling and leads to a linear I V curve [15], since I / V^{2_L} ¹ and $_L = 1$. At higher voltages, depending on the sample geom etry, it may be easier for an electron to just tunnel into the side branch (with con guration L = (1;0;0)). In this case I / V^3 and (dI=dV)_{V=0} / T^2 .

The above discussion also apply the reconstructed edges of Laughlin states of lling fraction 1=m. But now for the L = (1; 1;1) electron the exponent $_{\rm L}$ = m . $_{\rm L}$ = 2m for L = (1;0;0) and $_{\rm L}$ = 3m for L = (0;1;0). We see that in the lim it =v 1 the m inimum exponent in the electron propagator is not a ected by the edge reconstruction. This result is valid even when m ore then one pair of edge branches are generated. This is because adding electrons of con guration L = (1; 1;1; 1;:::;1) just displace all the edge branches by the same am ount. Thus the electron of L = (1; 1;1; 1;:::;1) just couples to the total density $_{\rm P}^{\rm P}$ i and do not couple to other neutral modes. In the lim it =v 1, the total density mode decouples from other neutral modes. This is the reason why the L = (1; 1;1; 1;:::;1) electron always have the exponent $_{\rm L}$ = m. W e would like to stress that the above result is valid only at low energies (energies below the sm allest Ferm innergy of generated edge branches). The high energy behavior of the electron propagator is not clear. In that case it is probably better to view the edge region as a compressible gas.

For tunneling between two reconstructed FQH edges, we expect I / V^{2m-1} and $(dI=dV)_{V=0}$ / T^{2m} at low voltages and low temperatures. This is consistent with a recent experiment on tunneling between (sm ooth) edges of 1=3 FQH states. [16]

VII.CONCLUSION

In this paper we studied the electronic density of Q uantum Hall Liquids, focusing on short length scales that are comparable with the magnetic length. We found that sharp electronic occupation densities, corresponding to a $Z_F = 1$ Ferm i Liquid, is possible because of the chiral nature of the system. This sharp distribution is stable against variations in the con ning potential up to a certain point, beyond which it undergoes a transition and electrons start to separate from the bulk and deposit a distance $2\frac{1}{2}$ away. The transition is shown to be qualitatively described within the Hartree-Fock approximation. The separation generates a pair of branches of edge states that move in opposite directions.

W e would like to remark that the separated electrons do not form any fractional quantum H all state. This is because the separation between the Ferm i edges is always of order magnetic length for realistic potentials. In this case 1=3, 1=5,... states are all described by Luttinger liquid and are indistinguishable.

We also present results for Quantum Dots, where we predict that this e ect of edge separation can be related to an expansion of the dot, which could be experimentally observed.

The authors would like to thank Dm itrii Chklovskii, Yong Baek K in , David Abusch, O livier K lein, Paul Belk, M arc K astner, and R ay A shoori for useful discussions. This work is supported by the N SF G rant No. DM R-91-14553.

REFERENCES

- [1] L.P.Kouwenhoven, B.J. van Wees, N.C. van der Vaart, C.J.P.M. Harmans, C.E. Timmering, and C.T.Foxon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 685 (1990).
- [2] A.M. Chang, Solid State Commun. 74, 871 (1990).
- [3] C.W.J.Beenakker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 216 (1990).
- [4] D.B.Chklovskii, B.I.Shklovskii, L.I.G lazman, Phys. Rev. B 46, 4026 (1992).
- [5] B.Y. Gelfand and B.I. Halperin, preprint.
- [6] J.Dem psey, B.Y. Gelfand, and B.I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 3639 (1993).
- [7] P.L.McEuen, E.B.Foxman, JariK inaret, U.Meirav, M.A.Kastner, Ned S.W ingreen, S.J.W ind, Phys. Rev. B 45, 11419 (1992).
- [8] Bo Su, V. J. Goldman, and J. E. Cunningham, Science 255, 313 (1992).
- [9] P.L.McEuen, E.B.Foxman, U.Meirav, M.A.Kastner, YigalMeir, Ned S.W ingreen, S.J.W ind, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 1926 (1991).
- [10] R.C.Ashoori, H.L.Stormer, J.S.Weiner, L.N.Pfeier, K.W.West, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 3088 (1992).
- [11] X iao-G ang W en, Intl. J. of M od. Phys. B, 6, 1711 (1992).
- [12] R.Floreanini and R.Jackiw, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 1873 (1988).
- [13] W . Apel, J. Phys. C, 15, 1973 (1982).
- [14] T.Giam archi and H.J.Shulz, Europhysics Lett. 3, 1287 (1987).
- [15] X iao-G ang W en, Phys. Rev. B 44, 5708 (1991).
- [16] F.M illiken and R.W ebb, private communication.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Possible singularities for the momentum occupation distribution of a = 1 state: (a) $Z_F = 1$ Ferm i Liquid singularity, (b) $Z_F < 1$ Ferm i Liquid singularity, and Luttinger Liquid singularity.

Figure 2. M om entum occupation distribution after reconstruction, with three singularities. The addition of two singularities correspond to the addition of two branches of opposite m oving edge excitations.

Figure 3. Cylindrical geom etry, equivalent to a strip of length L and periodic boundary conditions, where it is convenient to use the Landau G auge. The Q H Liquid (shaded area) lies on the surface of the cylinder, between its left and right edges at $_{\rm L}$ and $_{\rm R}$.

Figure 4. The = 1 droplet is divide into \bulk", where all the states are fully occupied, and \edge", in which states can be partially occupied. The division allows one to focus the computations solely on the \edge" electrons, with the \bulk" simply contributing to the one particle dispersion. This sort of division is not unique, as one can adjust the position of the boundary between the two regions; this boundary can be moved as long as the sites on the \edge" side of it are all fully occupied.

Figure 5. Sm oothened background charge density, which over a width w drops from its bulk value to zero. Such density can be written as the superposition of a sharp density pro letp a dipole term, which is used to tune the con ning potential as function of w.

Figure 6. Energy eigenstates obtained as function of the total momentum K of the edge electrons for values of w ranging from 0 to 7 $l_{\rm B}$. Notice that the ground state for this range has = K = 45, the minimum momentum con guration for 10 electrons (the 20 sites used in

the calculation are assigned k values from 0 to 19). A lso, notice that the energy levels of states of higher K are pulled down as w increases.

Figure 7. For w 8 $\frac{1}{2}$ the ground state con guration is no longer the sharp edge distribution. The transition occurs near $w = 8 \frac{1}{2}$, and for $w = 9 \frac{1}{2}$ is already fully developed, with the ground state momentum moved to K = 60.

Figure 8. Occupation numbers for the ground state past the transition, for w = 9 and 10 l_B . Notice how a lump of density moves away from the main body of QH uid. This density prole (which goes from its bulk value to zero by 1st decreasing, then increasing, and nally decreasing again) is the signature of the existence of now three singularities, and thus three branches of edge excitations.

Figure 9. The elective single particle potential calculated within the Hartree-Fock approximation for dierent widths w, decreasing from $0 l_B$ to $15 l_B$ in steps of $1 l_B$. Notice that starting at w $11 l_B$, the condition for stability of a sharp edge is violated, as there are locations with smaller elective potential than the one at the edge of the sharp occupation density.

Figure 10. Energy levels and occupation numbers for $w = 10l_B$ calculated within the Hartree-Fock approximation (the hoping or o -diagonal elements were suppressed).

Figure 11. Occupation number of the angular momentum states as a function of $_0$ for N_p = 60, calculated within the Hartree-Fock approximation. The occupied orbits are represented in black, and the unoccupied ones are shown in white.

Figure 12.0 ccupation number of the angular momentum states as a function of N_p for $_0 = 625 \quad 10^2$, calculated within the Hartree-Fock approximation.

Figure 13.0 ccupation number of the angular momentum states as a function of $_0$ for N_p = 60, calculated within the Hartree approximation.

Figure 14. M in in um $_0$ necessary to keep an N_p-particle droplet compacted, calculated using the Hartree (a) and Hartree-Fock (b) approximation. The solid line is the best curve t consistent with an electrostatic (Hartree) argument.

Figure 15. Dependence on the magnetic eld B of the energy cost to add one more particle, , to an island with 35 (lowest curve), 36, 37 and 38 (highest curve) electrons. The \saw tooth" corresponds to 1 < < 2, where electrons are spin ipped and taken from the center to the edge as the magnetic eld is increased. The \dislocations" near B 3T correspond to the transition between compacted and separated dots. The region in between (B 2.5 to 3T) is the window for which the dot is a compact = 1 droplet. These results were obtained for the parabolic con ning potential of the sam ple studied in Ref. [7], with $_0 = 0.376B^{-3=2}$ (B in Tesla).

Figure 16. Radius of the QH droplet for (a) xed N_p and varying $_0$, and (b) xed $_0$ and varying N_p. One can vary $_0$ by changing the strength of the con ning potential, or by changing the magnetic eld. For the parabolic con ning potential of the sam ple studied in Ref. [7], the parameter $_0 = 0.376B^{-3=2}$ (B in Tesla).

Figure 17. Momentum occupation distribution after reconstruction, if calculated only within the Hartree-Fock approximation. The addition of two singularities correspond to the addition of two branches of opposite moving edge excitations.

Figure 18. Three branches of edge excitations, two right-moving (1 and 3, on the sides) and one left-moving (2, in the center).