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#### Abstract

W e study a m odel for freew ay tra c which includes strong noise taking into account the uctuations of individualdriving behavior. Them odel show sem ergent tra cjam $s w$ ith a self-sim ilar appearance near the throughput $m$ axim um of the tra $c$. The lifetim e distribution of these jam $s$ show $s$ a short scaling regim e, which gets considerably longer if one reduces the uctuations when driving at $m$ axim um speed but leaves the uctuations for slow ing dow $n$ or accelerating unchanged. $T$ he out ow from a tra c jam self-organizes into this state ofm axim um throughput.


## 1 Introduction

Freew ay tra c consists of (at least) tw o di erent regim es, which are (i) dense tra $c$, where the individual velocity of each driver is strongly in uenced by the presence of other vehicles, and (ii) free tra $c$, where the presence of other vehicles has no in uence on the speed. It has been argued from $m$ athem aticalm odels of freew ay trac ch that the change-over from one regim e to the other $m$ ight be sim ilar to a transition from lam inar to turbulent uid ow 2, 3]. In these $m$ athem aticalm odels, tra $c$ ow is treated sim ilar to a uid owing down a narrow inclined channel. A typical phenom enon connected with this change-over are shock-w aves of vehicles. But this behavior is not restricted to uids or tra $c$ : It is com $m$ on in $m$ any transportation m echanism $s$, as, e.g., in granularm aterials 4, 5, 6].

As thorough \laboratory" experim ents with tra c are di cult to undertake, especially $w$ th the large num ber of cars which would be necessary for a m eaningful treatm ent as a many-body problem, it $m$ akes sense to w ork $w$ ith sim ulation $m$ odels $w$ hich, in addition, do not rely on the uid assum ption. W e use a seven state $m$ odelon a one-dim ensionalarray sim ilar to a cellular autom aton 7] which allow s to obtain $m$ eaningful results already on a workstation. In addition, we use high perform ance com puters in order to obtain data of a higher quality.

Standard $m$ odels for $m$ icroscopic tra c sim ulations [\$] are not only com putationally slower, but, as a
 already have show $n$ - $]$ that even a most sim ple $m$ odel for single lane tra captures $m$ any aspects of reality, we continue in this paper our investigation of this m odel's behavior. W e believe that our results $m$ ay be used as a tool to better understand the corresponding structures in realworld tra c. This paper is com plem ented by several others which give results on num erical perform ance on parallel com puters 10], analytical treatm ent [11, 12], and determ inistic versions w ithout noise [13]. Further work, especially on $m$ ulti-lane tra $c$, is in progress [4]. Sim ilar approaches are also useful for understanding the principal properties of tra $c$ in road netw orks [5, 6.

The structure of this paper is as follow s : W e start (section 2 ) w ith a recapitulation of the essential features of our freew ay tra cmodel. Section 3 describes observations from density plots. In particular, these plots
show som e self-sim ilarity of the waves when the system operates at the throughput $m$ axim um. In section 4 we show num erically that the out ow of a jam evolves autom atically tow ards this state ofm axim um vehicle throughput. The succeeding two sections describe our attem pts to quantify this observation by m easuring the lifetim e distribution of the jam s: Section 5 contains the description of the algorithm, and section 6 gives results. In particular we nd that a short scaling regim e appears when approaching the regim e ofm axim um vehicle throughput. A m odi cation of the $m$ odel leading to a longer scaling region is discussed in section 7, section 8 discusses possible im plications for realw orld tra $c$, and section 9 sum $m$ arizes the results.

2 R ecapitulation of the single lane freew ay tra cmodel

O ur freew ay tra cm odelhas been described in detail in [. $\downarrow$. Therefore, we only want to give a short account of the essentials. The single lane version of the $m$ odel is de ned on a one-dim ensional array of length $L$, representing a (single-lane) freew ay. E ach site of the array can only be in one of the follow ing seven states: It $m$ ay be occupied by one car having an integer velocity betw een zero and ve, or it $m$ ay be em pty. This integer num ber for the velocity is the num ber of sites each vehicle $m$ oves during one iteration; before the $m$ ovem ent, rules for velocity adaption ensure \crash-free" tra c. The choice of ve as maxim um velocity is som ew hat arbitrary, but it can be justi ed by com parison betw een $m$ odeland realw orld $m$ easurem ents, com bined w ith the aim for sim plicity of the $m$ odel. In any case, any value $v_{m}$ ax 2 seem $s$ to give qualitatively the sam $e$ results (i.e. the em ergence of jam waves). For every (arbitrary) con guration of the $m$ odel, one iteration consists of the follow ing steps, which are each perform ed sim ultaneously for all vehicles:

A cceleration of free veh icles: Each vehicle of speed $v<V_{h}$ ax whose predecessor is $v+2$ or $m$ ore sites ahead, accelerates to $\mathrm{v}+1: \mathrm{v}!\mathrm{v}+1$.
$S$ low ing dow $n$ due to other cars: Each vehicle (speed $v$ ) whose predecessor is $d=v$ or less sites ahead, reduces its speed to $\mathrm{d} 1: \mathrm{v}!\mathrm{d} 1$.
$R$ andom ization : Each vehicle (speed $v$ ) reduces its speed by one $w$ ith probability $1=2$ : $v!m a x[v$ 1;0] (takes into consideration individual uctuations).
M ovem ent: Each vehicle advances v sites.
The three rst steps $m$ ay be called the \velocity update". T hey have been constructed in a way that no \accidents" can happen during the vehicle motion.

A com parison with real tra cmeasurem ents [ indicates that it is reasonable to assum e that, at least to the order ofm agnitude, one site occupies about 7:5 m (which is the space one car occupies in a jam ), one iteration is equivalent to about 1 second, and maxim um velocity 5 corresponds to about $120 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.

3 D ensity w aves

In a closed system (periodic boundary conditions, i.e. \tra cin a closed loop"), the num ber N of cars and therefore the density $=\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{L}$ are conserved ( L : system size). A verage quantities such as throughput $q$ are then functions of . O ur m odel reaches its maxim um throughput $q_{m}$ ax $=0: 318 \quad 0: 001$ at a density of
$=0: 086$ 0:002 ( F ig. ? ? 1).
But what is the deeper reason behind this capacily threshold? In order to access this question, as a rst step we look at space-tim e-plots of system s slightly below and above the threshold density (rst
row of F ig. ? ?2). Sim ilar to the usual plots of 1 d cellular autom ata, in these pictures horizontal lines are con gurations at consecutive tim e steps, tim e evolving dow nw ards. B lack pixels stand for occupied sites. Vehicles are moving from left to right, and by follow ing the pixels, one can discem the tra jectories of the vehicles.

These pictures show marked shock waves, and they occurm ore often for the higher density. T hese waves form at arbitrary tim es and positions due to a \bad" superposition of the disturbances caused by the random noise of the velocity update. They are clearly visible as clusters of cars of low velocity (w ith m ore interior structure inside the clusters). O nce such a disturbance has form ed, it is maintained as long as there are m ore vehicles arriving at the end of the queue than vehicles leaving the queue at its head. T hese disturbances appear well below the range ofm axim um tra c capacity, but they are rare and only start to dom inate the system 's appearance at densities far above the range ofm axim um capacity. This leads to the idea that the range ofm axim um tra c ow $m$ ight be reached when there are, for the rst tim e, waves with a \very long" lifetim e, sim ilar to a percolation transition 17]. (See also 19] for a sim ilar analysis ofa determ inistic m odel.)

To get a better overview, the second and third row off ig. ??2 show the sam e system at low er resolutions obtained by averaging, therefore show ing a larger part of the system and m ore tim e steps. A striking feature of these pictures is that they look in som e w ay self-sim ilar 20, 21], i.e., large jam s are com posed of m any sm aller ones which look like large ones at a higher resolution.

A two-lane m odel gives sim ilar results 14]. W e therefore assum e that results from the single-lane m odel can be taken over to the $m$ ore realistic case.

4 Self-organization of $m$ axim um throughput

A second reason for looking especially at the threshold density is that it selforganizes as the out ow from a jam. In order to see this, in a system of length $L=10^{6}$, we led the left half $w$ ith density left $=1$ and left the right half of the system em pty: right $=0$ (cf. Fig. 3). W e used an open boundary condition at the right, i.e., vehicles on sites $L \quad V_{m}$ ax $;:$ ::; $L$ w were deleted. The left boundary was closed.
$W$ e then ran the system according to the update rules. A fter $t_{0}=21 \overline{0}$ tim e steps we started to count the vehicles which left the system at the right boundary. In Fig. ??4 we show the average throughput

$$
g_{\text {bpen }}={\frac{1}{t} \mathrm{t}_{0}}_{\mathrm{Z}_{0}}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{dt}^{0} \mathrm{n} \text {; }
$$

which is 0:318 0:01 for large tim es. This is, w ithin errors, exactly the value ofm axim um throughput $q_{n}$ ax for the closed system. In addition, even when lling up the left half of the system random ly only with a much sm aller density left $=0: 1$, the out ow is the sam $\mathrm{e} . \mathrm{We}$ conjecture therefore that the out ow from a high density regim e selects by itself the state of $m$ axim um average throughput; and \high density" m eans an average density above the threshold density .
$T$ his is com parable to the case ofboundary-induced state selection for asym $m$ etric exclusion $m$ odels 18], w ith one signi cant di erence: O ur m odel does not select this state of $m$ axim um throughput when adding as $m$ any particles as possible at the left boundary [9]| the particles have, at the start of the sim ulation, already to be inside the system as described above. W e show in [13] for a sim pler model that this only can be overcom e by som e arti cial update rules for a few sites at the left boundary; the sam e is true for the m odel here.

W e have indeed $m$ easured fractalproperties of the plots in order to test for self-sim ilarity, but in the follow ing we want to present a quantity whose results we have found easier to intenpret: the lifetim e distribution of the jam $s$. Lifetim $e$ is the num ber oftim e steps betw een the rst and the last tim e som e car has to slow dow n due to the sam e disturbance.

A fter the determ in istic part of the update and before the random ization step, all \free" cars have velocity $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{ax} . \mathrm{W}$ e therefore de ne all cars w ith $\mathrm{v}<\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax at this point as $\backslash$ slow $" . \mathrm{W}$ e then looked for \clusters" of slow cars in the $m$ odel and $m$ easured the lifetim $e$ of these clusters. The idea itself is borrow ed from avalanche models 22], but in the tra c model it is not possible to wait until one avalanche ( $=$ jam ) is dissipated before originating the next one. We therefore had to keep track of multiple tra c jam s in the m odel sim ultaneously.

Technically, we distinguished di erent jam s by di erent labels, and the jam of each label lbl was active
 num ber of iterations of the sim ulation run.) Then, at each tim e step after the determ in istic and before the random part of the velocity update, we did the did the follow ing:
 Being \slow" (in the sense of the above de nition) can in the $m$ odel only be caused by two reasons: E ther the car n had to slow down because the next one ahead n + 1 was too close, or the car has not yet accelerated to full speed due to a jam which it just has left. Therefore,

$$
\left.t_{\text {start }}(n ; t)=m \text { in } t_{\text {start }}(n+1 ; t \quad 1) ; t_{\text {start }}(n ; t \quad 1) ; t\right]:
$$

In words, this $m$ eans that if tw o di erent jam smay be the origin of $n$ 's slow ness, then the algorithm selects the older one.

T hen the label is set to the one of the selected jam :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { IF } t_{\text {start }}(n ; t)=t_{\text {start }}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n+1 ; t & 1
\end{array}\right) \text { THEN } \\
& \quad \operatorname{lbl}(n ; t)=\operatorname{lbl}(n+1 ; t \quad 1) \\
& \text { ELSE } \mathbb{F} t_{\text {start }}(n ; t)=t_{\text {start }}(n ; t \quad 1) \text { THEN } \\
& \quad \quad \operatorname{lbl}(n ; t)=\operatorname{lbl}(n ; t \quad 1) \\
& \text { ELSE } \\
& \quad \operatorname{lbl}(n ; t)=\text { new } 1 b l \\
& \text { END } \mathbb{F}
\end{aligned}
$$

where new lbl is a new label not yet used.
$N$ ext, $t_{n d}$ is updated: $\mathrm{t}_{\text {end }}(\mathrm{lbl}(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{t}))=\mathrm{t}$.
The overall result of this labeling is that every vehicle which becom es \slow "w ithout another \slow " car as a cause originates a new jam with an associated lifetime. W hen one jam splits up into severalbranches, they all obtain the sam e label because they have the sam e origin. In consequence, only the branch which stays \alive" the longest tim e determ ines the lifetim e of this speci c jam. W hen two branches com pletely $m$ erge together, the \older" one takes over. The younger one then no longer exists, but it is counted for the statistics because it had its ow $n$ independent origin.

We implem ented this algorithm on a Parsytec GCel-3 parallel com puter, where we could use up to 1024 processors. The dynam ics itself was im plem ented in a lvehicle-oriented" way which means that we had a list of positions $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i}$ and a list of velocities $\left(v_{i}\right)_{i}$ for the vehicles $i=1 ;:: ; \mathrm{N}^{2}$. As passing is not allowed in the single lane $m$ odel, this list alw ays rem ains ordered. W e therefore could distribute the m odel by placing $N=p$ consecutive vehicles on each of the p processors. This resulted, for large system sizes, in a com putational speed of 8:5 10 particle-updates per second on 512 processors, com pared to $0: 34 \quad 10$ on a Sparc10 workstation. (At a density of $=0: 08$, this corresponds to 10610 resp. $4: 25 \quad 10$ site-updates per second.) But for a sm aller system size of $L=10^{5}(=0: 08)$ the com putational speed on 512 nodes decreased to 3:1 10 particle-updates $(=3910$ site-updates) per second.

For the parallel chuster labeling, our im plem entation follow ed the idea of 23]. T hat $m$ eans that labels were assigned locally on the processors, and only labels that touched boundaries were exchanged w th the neighbors. A fter the labeling, inform ation on \active" labels w as exchanged by a relaxation $m$ ethod (see 23]) to the leftm ost processor which has this label in use. By this m ethod we kept track of \active" jam $s$, and lifetim es of \dead" jam s (i.e. cluster labels which were no longer in use) could be recorded.

For su ciently large system sizes, the com putational speed went down by a factor of four due to the labeling; but for sm aller system sof size $L=10^{5}$ and $0: 08,512$ processors were ine cient. The follow ing table show scom putational speeds (in M UPS $=$ M egaU pdates P er Second $=10^{6}$ site-updates per second) for these param eters ( $=0: 08$ ):

| num ber of com putational nodes | 32 | 128 | 512 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| speed w /o labeling | 6.8 | 27 | 106 |
| speed w th labeling | 1.5 | 5.5 | 5.7 |

In consequence, we usually used 128 processors per job. A bout ve days ofcom puting tim e on 512 processors (4 128) w ere needed for the results presented in this paper.

## 6 R esu lts of lifetim e $m$ easu rem ents

Fig. ??5 (low er branch) show sthe results for the lifetim e distribution of our tra cm odel. The gure show s the (norm alized) num ber n of tra c jam s of lifetim e ; as the data is collected in \logarithm ic bins", the $y$-axis is therefore proportional to n. For a density of $=0: 08$ (near the capacity threshold density ), there is a region where $n() /{ }^{1}\left(1_{1}=3: 10: 3\right)$ for approxim ately betw een 5 and 50, and another region where $n / 2(2=1: 650: 08)$ for approxim ately betw een 100 and 5000 . For a higher density of $=0: 1$, the second regim e gets slightly longer whereas it vanishes totally for a low er density of $=0: 06$. In other words, the change-over from the light tra cregime( $\left.<\quad\left(q_{m a x}\right)\right)$ to the heavy tra c regime $(>\quad)$ is accom panied by a qualitative change in the lifetim e distribution (i.e., the em ergence of a regim e with n ${ }^{2}$ ), but the lifetim e distribution does not show critical behavior in the sense of a percolation transition because of the upper cut-o .

This cut-o of the lifetim e distribution near $=500000$ is not a nite size e ect. Since we analyze chusters in a space-tim e-dom ain, nite size e ects could be caused by space or by time. For the space direction, we have in Fig. ?? 5 superim posed the results for system sizes $L=10^{4}$ and $L=10^{5}$. The
scaling region is not any longer for the larger system. For the tim e direction, we have $m$ easured the third $m$ om ent ${ }^{3}=\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{3} \mathrm{n}()^{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{d} \mathrm{n}()$ of the lifetim e distribution as a function of tim e. For a critical (or supercritical) distribution, this $m$ om ent should diverge $w$ ith tim $e$. A nd indeed, we nd that approxim ately
$3 / t^{2}$ for su ciently smallt. B ut for large enough $t\left(10^{4}\right.$ for $\left.=0: 08\right),{ }^{3}$ becom es constant and therefore independent of $t$. $T$ his $m$ eans that longer sim ulation tim es would not lead to (on average) longer lifetim es. In consequence, the cut-o in the lifetim e distribution is no nite tim e e ect.

In order to nd out if these results depend on our chuster labeling technique, we also im plem ented for com parison a H oshen $-K$ opelm an cluster labeling 24], which labels di erent jam $s$ as being the sam e already when they only \touch" each other. This should therefore lead to longer lifetim es. N evertheless, this leads qualitatively to the sam e results; but as we did not im plem ent this second m ethod on the parallel com puter, data quality $w$ as not high enough to $m$ ake quantitative com parisons.

7 Reducing the uctuations at $m$ axim um speed
A $n$ intuitive explanation of our ndings of the last section $m$ ight be as follow $s$ : $R$ andom supenposition of velocity uctuations leads to the form ation of a wave. O nce this wave has form ed, it is relatively stable and $m$ ay therefore seen as a collective phenom enon. Indeed, experim ents $w$ ithout any noise 13] show that a wave lasts forever when the density is above. But with noise, another wave may form further upstream, and the out ow from this wave $m$ ay be low enough over a œertain period of tim e so that the original wave dissolves. By this m echanism, the criticality of the determ in istic $m$ odel is destroyed by the noise.

If this argum ent were true, then a reduction of only the uctuations at high speed should extend the scaling regim e. For this purpose, the \random ization" step of the update algorithm was replaced by the follow ing rule:
$N$ ew random ization: If a vehicle has $m$ axim um speed $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{h}}$ ar, then it reduces its speed by one with a $m$ uch lower probability $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{f} \text { luc }}=0: 005$. O therw ise, it reduces its speed by one w ith probability 0.5 (as before).

By this rule, only the uctuations at $v=v_{m}$ ax are changed, whereas the slow ing dow $n$ or the acceleration rem ain the same.
$T$ he part of the fundam ental diagram (throughput versus density) near the throughput $m$ axim um is included in $F$ ig. ??1. Them axim um throughput becom es slightly higher for this new $m$ odeland is found at a som ew hat low er density, but the change in throughput is only $2 \%$.

In the scaling plot of the lifetim e distribution (F ig. ? ?5), the scaling region of the \second" regim e clearly gets longer and extends now over about three orders of $m$ agnitude from $=200$ to $=200000$. In this region, $\mathrm{n} \quad \quad_{2}^{0} \mathrm{w}$ ith $2_{2}^{0}=0: 55 \quad 0: 05$, which is di erent from the value before, but still w ith in error bars.

O ur intenpretation of this is that jam s are indeed m ore rarely dried out by other jam sform ing upstream, which $m$ akes longer lifetim es possible. Or in other words: The typical length scale betw een jam sbecom es larger $w$ ith $s m$ aller $p_{f l u c}$ and should diverge for $p_{f l u c}!0$. $P$ lots of the space-tim e-dom ain (not show $n$ here) con m this interpretation.

The lim it $p_{f l u c}!0$ is singular: For $p_{f l u c}=0$ and $<c_{c}\left(p_{f l u c}=0\right) \quad 1=\left(v_{m a x}+1\right)$ the closed system $w$ ill eventually settle dow $n$ in a state where all vehicles $m$ ove $w$ ith velocity $v_{m}$ ax. Then the noise in the acceleration or slow ing dow $n$ does no longer play a role, and the $m$ odel reduces to the light tra c regim e
of [13]. Them axim um average throughput then is

$$
q_{\mathrm{max}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{fluc}}=0\right)=c \quad \mathrm{Nax}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}}{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}+1} ;
$$

i.e. $q_{m a x}=5=6 \quad 0: 833$ for $v_{m a x}=5$, which is $m$ ore than $t w i d e$ the values for $p_{f l u c}>0$. A nd if one takes L ! 1 before taking $p_{f l u c}$ ! 0 , then the point $p_{f l u c}=0$ cannot be approached continuously : Som e uctuation will alw ays create a jam which redistributes the vehicles at a low er density. T hese observations are consistent w ith the bistable state for betw een $1=3$ and $1=2$ in the $m$ odelof $T$ akayasu and Takayasu 19 .
$T$ his $m$ eans that for $p_{f l u c}$ ! 0 the system separates into two phases, into regions of lam inar tra $c$ where $\mathrm{v} \quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax and $<$, and into dense regions with m any jam s ( $\backslash$ turbulent") where $\mathrm{v}\left\langle<\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}\right.$ and $\gg-$. $T$ he density in the lam inar regim $e$ is totally determ ined by the out ow from the jam and $m$ uch low er than what could be reached if $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{fluc}} 0$.

These ndings are con $m$ ed by a short calculation. If one considers the out ow of the vehicles out of a dense jam, one notices that the rst vehicle starts at tim e step 1 w th probability $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t}=1)=0: 5(0: 5$ is the value for the uctuations during acceleration), at time step 2 w ith probability $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t}=2)=(1 \quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t}=$ 1)) $0: 5=0: 5$ and therefore at tim e step $n$ w th probability $P(n)=0: 5^{n}$. In consequence, the average tim e betw een tw o vehicles being released is

$$
\overline{\mathrm{t}}={\underset{n=1}{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{n} \quad 0 \vdots=2: ~}_{n=1}
$$

Since, in addition, the front of the jam m oves backw ards, the throughput at a xed point is at m ost $1=\bar{t}=1=2$, $w h i c h$ is $m$ uch lower than the $m$ axim um throughput of $q=5=6$ for $p_{f l u c} 0$.

8 Possible consequences for realtra c

W hen discussing the relevance fortra $c$, one should bear in $m$ ind that realtra $c w$ ith itsm ixture ofdi erent
 $m$ odeldiscussed here. N evertheless, the m odelshould not only give realistic answ ers for the single-lane tra c considered here, but should as well be valid for hom ogeneous m ulti-lane tra c stream s (as arising in, e.g., com muter tra c).

The rst observation from ourm odel then is that the stability or instability of the \fast" regions do not change the overall ux considerably. In other words: For the throughput it does not $m$ atter if there is one jam wave w ith a very long lifetim e, or a m ultitude of short-lived ones. Therefore, at least for hom ogeneous tra c situations (vehicles not too di erent), keeping the speed constant (as by cruise control) does not enhance the throughput, although it certainly leads to a m ore convenient driving because jam s becom e rare.

In addition, our ndings $m$ ay be seen in the light of the \capacity drop issue" 3]. This $m$ eans the observational fact that streets can, for short tim es, support $m$ uch higher tra $c$ loads than for longer tim e averages. In the fram ew ork of ourm odel the interpretation is as follow s: Iftra c does not ow out of a jam but aggregates by som e other $m$ echanism, relatively high loads are possible until a uctuation due to $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{fl} \text { luc }}$ leads to a jam which redistributes the vehicles at a low er density and with low er throughput.
$T$ he relevant question for tra $c$ engineering in this context is how to de ne the capacity $(=m$ axim um throughput) of a street. In the sense of statistical physics it is obvious that for L ! 1 only the lower value of $q\left(p_{f l u c}>0\right)$ is relevant; but what is the truth for reality $w$ ith its nite length and tim e scales?

O ur ndings indicate that one should extend the length scale which is presum ably associated with $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{flu}}$ u beyond the length of som e critical parts of the road, e.g. the length $L_{\text {bn }}$ of a bottleneck due to construction work. T he result then would be that there $m$ ay be days when no jam form $s$ although the load is higher than $g_{n}$ ax ( $L$ ! 1 ), whereas when $<L_{\text {bn }}$ one would have a jam nearly every day. On the other hand, if it is not possible to extend far enough, then, for the situation of hom ogeneous tra c described here, technical $m$ easures as described here are $m$ ost probably irrelevant for the throughput, and the decision is only one of safety and convenience. See Ref. 25] for a broader survey in how far speci c alterations of the driving behavior can change throughput.

If di erences between vehicles becom e relevant (e.g. for a mixture of trucks and passenger cars and $w$ thout speed lim it), then the situation becom es $m$ ore com plicated. Results for $m$ ulti lane tra $c$, together w ith di erent types of vehicles, are the sub ject of a forthcom ing publication 143 .

## 9 Sum m ary

W e have investigated tra c jam swhich em erge in a naturalway from a rule-based, cellular-autom ata-like tra $c m$ odel when operating the $m$ odel near the $m$ axim um tra $c$ throughput. The $m$ odel includes strong driving by noise, taking into account the strong uctuations of tra c. In space-tim e-plots, the jam shave a self-sim ilar appearance, and the num erically determ ined lifetim e distribution indeed show sa scaling region over about one and a half orders of $m$ agnitude for system $s$ near the $m$ axim um throughput. B ut the cut-o tow ards longer lifetim es was shown to be no nite size e ect, so that the lifetim e distribution does not indicate criticalbehavior in its strict $m$ eaning.

H ow ever, when reducing only the uctuations $p_{f l u c}$ at $m$ axim um speed (and not those of slow ing down or acceleration) by a factor of 100, the scaling region w as show $n$ to becom e longer and now to extend over three orders ofm agnitude. It was argued that the lim it L! $1, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{fluc}}!0$ is singular in the sense that it is di erent from the $\lim$ it $p_{f l u c}=0, L!1$.

In addition, when the driving by boundary conditions is strong enough, the system selects autom atically the density of its $m$ axim um throughput, which is identical to the density where the scaling rst appeared. In the lim $\operatorname{it}^{\ln }$ of $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{fluc}}!~ 0$, this $m$ ay be seen as an exam ple of self-organized criticality 22].

Im plications for tra $c$ inchude that technicalm easures to reduce uctuations such as cruise control only have an e ect on $m$ axim um throughput when the uctuations can be suppressed under a certain level related to the length of a bottleneck; otherw ise, im provem ents will only be in safety and convenience.
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## Figure captions

Figure 1:
$P$ arts of the fundam ental diagram $s$ (i.e. throughput $q$ versus density ) near the capacity $m$ axim um for the originalm odel (+) and for the $m$ odelw ith reduced uctuations (squares) presented later in this text.
$F$ igure 2:
$P$ lots of sim ulated single lane freew ay tra $c$ in the space-tim e-dom ain $w$ ith resolutions (a) $1: 1$, (b) $1: 4$, (c) 1:16. Vehicle density ${ }^{-}=0: 07$ (left colum $n$ ) and ${ }^{-}=0: 1$ (right). Each black pixel corresponds to a site occupied by a vehicle at a certain place ( $x$-direction) and at a certain time ( $y$-direction). A tra jectory of an undisturbed vehicle goes therefore diagonally dow nw ards and to the right. T he pictures of the rst row cover 500 sites and 500 tim e steps.

The pictures ofeach row are contained (as indicated by the boxes) in the pictures of the row undemeath.
$F$ igure 3:
Space-time plot of the out ow from a jam (see text). As in Fig. 2, the horizontal direction is the space direction and time is running dow nw ards. The system size is $L=500, \mathrm{~m}$ uch sm aller than the system sused for Fig. 4 .

Figure 4:
A verage out ow (see text) from a high density region as a function of time. The straight line show sthe out ow w ith left $(t=0)=1: 0$, the broken line show sthe out ow from a region with left $(t=0)=0: 1$. In both cases, the system self-organizes tow ards the state of $m$ axim um throughput.
$F$ igure 5:
C om parison of lifetim e distributions $n()$ for the tra c jam sbetw een the \standard" m odeland the \m odel with cruise control" (upper branch). The data is collected in logarithm ic bins, therefore the $y$-axis is proportionalto $n()$, and ithas been norm alized such that $n(=1)=1$ for the lower and $n(=1)=100$ for the upper branch. Lower branch : standard model, i.e. $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{fluc}}=0: 5$. Straight lines, from left to right: Results for system size $L=10^{5}$ and densities $=0: 06,0: 08$, and $0: 10$, i.e., below, near, and above the threshold density . D otted lines: Results for sam e densities, but sm aller system size $L=10^{4}$. Upper branch : including \cruise control", i.e. $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{f} \text { luc }}=0: 005$. System size $L=10^{5}$, densities $=0: 09,0: 08,0: 07$, and $0: 06$, as noted in the legend.

