Chaotic cascades with Kolm ogorov 1941 scaling

L.B iferale¹, M.B lank^{1;2} and U.Frisch¹

December 31, 2021

¹ CNRS URA 1362, Observatoire de Nice, BP 229, 06304 Nice Cedex 4, France. ² Russian Academy of Sci., Inst. Gen. Genetics, Gubkin st. 3, 117809, Moscow, Russia.

Submitted to J. Stat. Phys.

Abstract

We de nea (chaotic) determ inistic variant of random multiplicative cascade models of turbulence. It preserves the hierarchical tree structure, thanks to the addition of in nitesim al noise. The zero-noise lim it can be handled by Perron-Frobenius theory, just as the zero-di usivity lim it for the fast dynam o problem. Random multiplicative models do not possess K olm ogorov 1941 (K 41) scaling because of a large-deviations e ect. Our num erical studies indicate that determ inistic multiplicative models can be chaotic and still have exact K 41 scaling. A mechanism is suggested for avoiding large deviations, which is present in maps with a neutrally unstable xed point.

Key words: Fully developed turbulence, chaotic maps, large deviations, transferm atrix, dynam o theory.

1 Introduction

O ne popular way to describe the small-scale activity of fully developed turbulence is to suppose that energy is transferred from the injection scale to the viscous scales, by a multi-step process along the inertial range. This idea has been often used to predict important features of turbulent ows. Still, relations with the structure of N avier-Stokes equations are poorly understood.

In his 1941 work, K olm ogorov [1] uses his phenom enology to postulate that all the statistical properties of the turbulent ow at scales belonging to the inertial range are universal, in the sense that they depend only on the scale ` and on the m ean energy dissipation rate per unit m ass ". As a consequence, m om ents of velocity increm ents, over sm all distances `, should posses universal form s. This led K olm ogorov to dimensionally-based expressions for the structure functions:

$$S_{v}^{(p)} = f v_{v}^{p} (x)g = E f (v (x + ') v (x))^{p}g ("')^{p=3};$$
 (1)

where E f g denotes ensemble average and the symbol means equality within 0 (1) multiplicative constants. Thus (1) predicts scaling behavior for the structure functions, the function of order p having the exponent p = p=3.

A lthough early experimental data seem ed to con m the prediction (at least for p = 2), the K 41 theory has been criticized because it does not take into account the natural (and also experimentally veried) presence of uctuations in the energy dissipation. These uctuations are commonly believed to be the consequence of the chaotic transfer of excitations along the inertial range. A possible way to account for this e ect, suggested by O bukhov [2], is the following. First, one introduces the average rate of energy dissipation over a cubic box \cdot (x) of side \cdot centered on x:

$$f_{x}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{3} \int_{(\mathbf{x})}^{\mathbf{Z}} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$
 (2)

Second, uctuations in the velocity increment are related to uctuations in (x) by a \bridging relation" suggested by K olm opprov's 1941 theory, viz

$$v_{x}^{p}(x) (x) (x)^{1=3}$$
: (3)

This bridging relation is still widely used. It has received good experimental support β]. Still it leads to some conceptual di culties which are unrelated to the material to be presented in this paper [4]. From (2) and (3), we nd

$$S_{v}^{(p)} = E f_{v}^{p=3} g^{v}$$
: (4)

Considerable experimental [5, 6, 7] and theoretical work [8, 9, 3] has been devoted in recent years to measuring and predicting the dependence of the quantities $E f \stackrel{p=3}{,} g$ on the scale `. To date, it seems that a certain consensus has been reached. From the experimental side, there is clear evidence of a non-trivial `-dependency (at least for high order moments). Sim ilarly, from a theoretical point of view, all models based on random multiplicative cascades (Section 2) introduce power-law corrections to K 41 scaling. The fact that these simple models have deviations to K 41 scaling has led perhaps to the m isconception that any cascade model having non-trivial uctuations is inconsistent with K 41 scaling.

A ctually, we shall show that the chaotic transfer of energy described by a determ inistic multiplicative process can still be consistent with K 41, or more precisely that possible deviations disappear in the \fully developed lim it", i.e. for cascades with very many steps.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we shall connect the wellknown case of random independent multiplicative cascade models and our (determ inistic) case in two steps. First, we introduce a M arkov random model and then, by means of a special limiting construction, we obtain the determ inistic model. A particular class of determ inistic multiplicative models is introduced in Section 3 and studied numerically in Section 4. Finally, in Section 5 we give a possible interpretation of the lack of corrections to K 41 scaling observed in our model.

2 Pure-random and noisy-determ inistic multiplicative models

R andom multiplicative models were introduced by Novikov and Stewart [13] and by Yaglom [14] as a simple way to describe stochastic transfer of energy along the inertial range. Their fractal properties were discussed by M andelbrot [15]. Let us give now the de nition of these models. A binary tree structure, obtained by hierarchically partitioning the original volum e of size $'_0$ in subvolum es of size $'_n = 2^n '_0$, is used to describe uctuations at di erent scales (g. 1a illustrates the one-dimensional case). The energy dissipation, n, associated to a cube at scale $'_n$, is multiplicatively linked to the energy dissipation, n, 1, at the larger scale, $'_{n-1}$, through a random variable W_n :

$$_{n} = W_{n n 1} = W_{n}W_{n 1}W_{n 2} \dots$$
(5)

The W $_n$'s are identically and independently distributed positive random variables. The structure functions are now de ned as

$$S_n^{(p)} = E f_n^{p=3} g_n^{(p=3)}$$
 (6)

Using (4) and (5), we obtain:

$$S_n^{(p)} = \sum_{n=1}^{n} p^{(p)}; \quad \text{with} \quad (p) = p = 3 \quad \log_2 E \text{ fW}^{p=3} g; \quad (7)$$

where E f g denotes the m athem atical expectation. Here, $_{2}$ logfW $^{p=3}$ g is the correction to the K 41 exponent in the structure function of order p. A ctually, the multiplicative m odel (5) has very interesting properties when considered in the light of the theory of large deviations, as noted, in particular by 0 ono [16] and C ollet and K ukiou [17]. It follows from C ram er's [18] (see also R efs. [19] and [20]) work that, for large n's, the quantity :

$$\frac{1}{n}\log_{2^{n}} = \frac{1}{n}(\log_{2}W_{1} + \log_{2}W_{2} + + 2\log_{n})$$
(8)

can deviate from its limit value, E flog₂ W g, by a nite non-vanishing amount with a probability which decreases as exp(f()n). The Cramer¹ function f() is positive and convex and can be identiated with an entropy in applications to statistical therm odynamics [20]. The presence of such large deviations, with probability decreasing exponentially in n (i.e. as a power law in `n), introduces uctuations in the elective scaling exponent and therefore an overall change of the scaling properties of all structure functions. Indeed, we can rewrite (7) in the following form :

$$S_{n}^{(p)} / E f_{n}^{p=3} g_{n}^{\psi=3} = E \quad \sum_{n}^{p-1 \atop n} (\log_{2} W_{1} + \log_{2} W_{2} + \log_{2} W_{n}) \quad \sum_{n}^{p=3} / \sum_{n}^{p-1} (9)$$

As is well-known, in large-deviations theory, the function (p) is given by a Legendre transform ation:

$$(p) = \inf \frac{p}{3}(1) + f() = \ln 2$$
 : (10)

O uraim now is to construct a determ inistic variant of such models. We shall do it in two steps. First, we consider, instead of independent random variables fW ig, successive points on the orbit of a Markov process. This means that we consider a Markov process on a phase space X with a transition probability

¹A name suggested by M andelbrot [21]

operator P and an observable $h : X ! R^1_+$. The (generalized) structure function at the scale $\mathbf{i}_n = 2^n \mathbf{i}_0$ is then de ned as:

$$S_{n}^{(p)} = S_{n}^{(p)}[h;P] = E \begin{pmatrix} & & \\ & Y^{n} \\ & & h^{p}(x_{k}) \end{pmatrix};$$
(11)

where $x_k \ge X$ are points of an orbit of the M arkov process. Introducing now :

$$Z_{n}^{(p)} = \int_{k=1}^{Y^{n}} h^{p}(x_{k}); \qquad (12)$$

we easily nd that the conditional expectation of Z_n (p) with respect to the initial distribution density, (x), is given by:

$$E f Z_{n}^{(p)} j g = \int_{x}^{z} h^{p} (x) (x) P_{h,p}^{n} 1 (x) dx:$$
(13)

Here, the operator $P_{h,p}$ is defined by the relation $P_{h,p}$ (x) = $h^{p}(x)P(x)$. This concludes the first step. The second step is to change from a M arkov random dependence to a determ inistic dependence by use of a chaotic map. It seems that this can be done in an obvious way, replacing the transition probability operator by the transfer-m atrix (Perron-Frobenius operator) of the chaotic map. However, some additional work is needed to de ne a determ inistic construction possessing the same tree structure as in the random case (g. 1a). Indeed, with a determ inistic map, how can we avoid giving the same value to the two o -springs of the next generation, thereby trivializing the whole tree-structure?

It is necessary to de ne a consistent procedure to distinguish the branches of the tree. We build up this branching-determ inistic process by inserting a small amount of noise at each node and by considering the total process as the superposition of the determ inistic transfer along consecutive levels plus the noise. The nalm ap will be obtained by taking the zero-noise limit.

Let $f : X ? X ? R^{d}$ be the determ inistic map which describes the relation between any two consecutive scales of the tree structure (see g. 1b), and let us x an observable $h : X ? R^{1}_{+}$. Then for any xed number > 0 we may consider a random M arkov chain $(x_{k}^{()})$ such that

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1}^{()} = f(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{()}) + \mathbf{k};$$
 (14)

where the $_{k}$'s are random variables, independently and identically distributed on the interval [1;1]. Now, we may apply the previous construction by considering di erent realizations of the noise $_{k}$ on each link connecting consecutive nodes. Then, any cascade process along a branch of the tree in g. 1b will be described by the map (14) with di erent realizations of the noise.

We de ne our structure functions as the zero-noise $\lim it of (11)$. For a xed value of > 0 it follows from (13) that the large-n $\lim it of$ the structure functions is governed by the spectrum of the operators:

$$P_{hp} = h^p Q P :$$
 (15)

Here, Q is the transition operator for the random perturbation, P is the transferm atrix, or Perron-Frobenius operator of the map f and h is an observable (for de nitions see for example Ref. [22]).

Let us mention, incidentally, that there is also another possibility to de ne a determ inistic (chaotic) process on a tree structure. U sing a method of nitestate M arkov approximations of chaotic maps, proposed by U lam, we obtain a M arkov chain with transition probabilities given by $p_{ij} = \mathbf{X}_i [\mathbf{f}^1 \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{j} \mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{j}]$ where the set of X_i's de ness a suitable nite partition of the original phase space X. It is known, for instance, that for su ciently \good" maps (for example piecew ise expanding with derivatives larger than two), invariant distributions of this simple M arkov chain converge to the density of the invariant measure of the map under consideration when $\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{j}$! 0. W ith this M arkov process, we construct the analog of (15), viz the operator $P_{h,p} = h^p P$. (Here P is the transition operator with matrix elements p_{ij} .) These possibilities will not be explored further here.

We now observe that the construction based on taking the zero-noise limit appearing in (15) is similar to that of the mathematical theory of fast dynam os [23, 24, 25]. Fast dynam o theory describes the phenomenon by which rapid magnetic eld grow th can be sustained in the presence of a prescribed velocity eld, when taking the zero-di usivity limit. From a form al point of view, fast dynam o theory involves a combination of two operators: a transfer-matrix for some deterministic map, associated to a deterministic velocity eld, and a di usion-like operator, or equivalently small-amplitude noise.

The main purpose of the theory is then to nd the properties of the zeronoise lim it of the combined operator. O seledets [25], in his study of the dynam o problem, considered a noise-perturbed Perron-Frobenius operator sim ilar to that of (15) and was able to show in special cases that it converges for ! 0to the Perron-Frobenius operator for the determ inistic problem. The latter is than said to be \stochastically stable".

Returning to the structure function of the multiplicative model, we shall

also assume that the Perron-Frobenius operator is stochastically stable. In addition, we assume that the map (14), with = 0, is chaotic and ergodic. We can then compute the structure functions as averages along-the-orbit for the determ inistic map, i.e.

$$S_{n}^{p} = \lim_{N \downarrow 1} \frac{1}{N} \frac{X^{N} Y^{n}}{\prod_{i=1}^{m} h^{p}(x_{i+k})}$$
(16)

 $w = x_{i+1} = f(x_i)$.

3 A class of determ in istic cascade m odels based on shell m odels

The construction de ned in Sec 1, after taking the zero-noise lim it as explained, amounts simply to the following: we keep (5) as it stands, but instead of searching the W_n 's random by, we assume that:

$$W_{n} = g(W_{n 1}; W_{n 2}; ::::; W_{n r});$$
(17)

where g is a determ inistic m ap which involves a nite num ber r of antecedents.

There is an alternative form ulation in term s of characteristic velocities associated to the hierarchy of scales $l_n = l_0 2^n$. By analogy with (3), we introduce a set of velocity variables, denoted u_n , related to the n's by :

$$_{n} = \frac{j \mu_{n} \vec{j}}{l_{n}} :$$
 (18)

The u_n 's can be thought of as (realor complex) velocity am plitudes associated to eddy-motion on scale l_n . Instead of (5) we then use

$$u_n = q_n q_{n-1} q_{n-2} \dots q_1 u_0;$$
 (19)

while (17) becomes:

$$q_n = f(q_{n-1}; ...; q_{n-r}):$$
 (20)

Eq. (20) will be called the τ ap" since the q_h 's are the ratios of successive velocity am plitudes.

W e shall now consider a particular class of ratio m aps generated from \shell m odels". The latter can be viewed as the poorm an's N avier-Stokes equations : instead of the whole velocity eld, one retains only a discrete set of velocity am plitudes u_n , the dynam ics of which is governed by a set of coupled di erential equations of the form :

$$\underline{u}_{n} = F_{n} (u_{n s}; ; :::; u_{n}; :::; u_{n+s});$$
(21)

where the F_n 's involve nitely m any neighbors (in scale) of u_n . The various n's are referred to as \shells". Except for viscous and forcing terms, the F_n 's are chosen quadratic and satisfy energy conservation. We shall not here attempt any review of the considerable literature on shellm odels (see e.g. Refs. [10, 12, 26, 27], and references therein). Our intention is to use a static form of shell m odels, i.e. we assume:

$$F_n (u_{n s}; :::; u_n; :::; u_{n+s}) = 0:$$
 (22)

It is not our intention to discuss such thomy issues as : are shellm odels \good" approxim ations to true turbulence? C an we learn som ething about the dynam ics of shellm odels by studying the tim e-independent solutions?

W e just observe that static shell models in mediately generate ratio-m aps of the form (20). Indeed, ignoring viscous and forcing terms, which are not relevant in the inertial range, and assuming that F_n is a hom ogeneous polynomial of degree two in the u_n 's, we not that $q_n = u_n = u_{n-1}$ satisfies a recursion relation of the form (20). An example will make this clear. One popular model is the G ledzer-O hkitani-Yam ada (GOY) model [11, 12] which, in its complete form (with viscosity, forcing and time-dependence), reads:

$$\underline{u}_{n} + k_{n}^{2}u_{n} + f_{n} = F_{n} \qquad ik_{n} \quad u_{n+1}u_{n+2} \quad \frac{1}{4}u_{n+1}u_{n-1} \quad \frac{1}{8}u_{n-2}u_{n-1} :$$
(23)

Here, is the viscosity, f_n is the forcing, and $k_n = l_n^1$ is the shell-wavenum ber. The inviscid, unforced and static GOY model gives:

$$u_{n+1}u_{n+2} = \frac{1}{4}u_{n+1}u_{n-1} = \frac{1}{8}u_{n-2}u_{n-1} = 0:$$
 (24)

Hence, the recursion relation for successive ratios is

$$q_{h} = \frac{1}{q_{h} q_{h} q_{h}} \left[\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{q_{h} q_{h} q_{h}} \right]^{\#} :$$
(25)

It turns out that the ratio-m ap generated by the GOY model is quite trivial. Indeed, from (25) it follows that the product $z_n = q_n q_{n-1} q_{n-2}$ satisfies a rst order recurrence relation which has a single stable for xed point $z_n = z = 2^{1}$. Hence, there is no chaos, and K41 scaling is obtained. To obtain chaotic

variations (in the shell index), we need to have m one term s in F_n . One simple way is to perturb the GOY m odelby putting an admixture of another popular shellm odel, the Desnyanky-Novikov (DN) m odel [10]. In this way we generate the following ratio m ap:

$$q_{h} = f(q_{h 1}; q_{h 2}; q_{h 3});$$

$$f(x;y;z) = \frac{1}{xy} \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{xyz} + \frac{1}{xy} 2y + \frac{1}{x} 2xy) : (26)$$

Here, is the so-called ratio of backward to forward cascade am plitudes in the DN model and is the admixture parameter, which in subsequent numerical computations will typically be taken small (about 10²). The hybrid ratio m ap (26), which will be referred to as GOY \rightarrow DN in the sequel, has still a K 41 xed point $q_n = q = 2^{1=3}$, but it is easy to nd a region in the phase-space of the parameters and where the K 41 xed point is unstable; for example > 0; 8 . Further information about the behaviour of (26) requires numerical simulations.

4 Num erical results

We found numerically that the GOY \rightarrow DN m ap, dened by (26) develops chaotic behavior for a sizable set of values of the control parameters and . Most of the numerical simulations reported hereafter were done with = 0:01 and = 4. However, the qualitative feature of the results appear to be very stable against changes in the parameters, as long as the dynamics are chaotics.

Fig. 2a shows a typical orbit of the map (26). The initial condition is obtained by adding a very small random perturbation (rm s. value about 10⁵) to the K 41 xed point value. The orbit boks chaotic and also displays strong intermittent uctuations. Its largest Lyapunov exponent is found to be about 022. The K olm oporov xed point, although it ceases to be stable as soon as > 0, still plays an important role in the dynamics. Fig. 2b shows an enlargement of g 2a, revealing that the orbit mostly oscillates close to the K 41 xed point, occasionally going on wild excursions. W e mention that in the unperturbed GOY map, the K 41 xed point is neutrally stable: two eigenvalues of the derivatives matrix, calculated at the xed point, have modulus one. O f course, the xed point becomes completely unstable as soon as > 0.

W e now turn to the structure functions (16), evaluated by averaging along the orbit with several million points. Fig. 3 shows the structure functions for p from one to four. They are seen to follow power-laws (in k_n) at large n's. Except for some residual chaotic noise, the exponents are exactly given by their K 41 value: (p) = p=3. Here, a word of warning is required. In numerical simulations of the full time-dependent shellm odels, it is not practical to have m ore than, say, 30 shells, since time steps decrease exponentially with n. H ad we used such a low value of n for estimating exponents of structure functions, we would have predicted erroneous values, which would be m isread as \multifiactal" corrections to K 41. We do not want here to open the Pandora-box of whether the multifractal corrections detected in the time-dependent sim – ulations of shell m odels [26, 27] are or are not nite-shell artefacts (sim ilar questions can be asked for nite-Reynolds number turbulence data).

The presence of exact K 41 scaling suggests that the GOY - DN m odel has no large deviations. This can be checked directly by plotting the p.d.f. of the norm alized sum s of logarithm s:

$$(\mathbf{n}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{X^n} \log_2 \mathbf{j} \mathbf{k} \mathbf{j}$$
(27)

playing in the determ inistic case the role of (8) in the random case.

Fig. 4 shows this p.d.f. for two values of n. Note that as n grows it is increasingly peaked at the K 41 value 1=3. It is thus likely that for n ! 1, it becomes a delta function at this value. A possible interpretation of this phenomenom is presented in the next Section.

5 Neutrally unstable and sporadic maps

W e present a very simple example of a class of m aps which displays chaos and no large deviations. Let us de ne:

$$f_z(x) = Frac(x^2 + x) : [0;1]! [0;1]; z 2:$$
 (28)

The determ inistic maps are chaotic, piecewise expanding, and have a neutral (or indi erent) xed point at the origin. These maps are \sporadic", in the following sense [30]: The growth of in nitesimal errors is controlled by a stretched exponential with exponent less than one. Hence, the Lyapunov exponent is zero, although the maps certainly deserve to be called \chaotic". The derivative of any of these maps is equal to one at the origin and is strictly larger than one at all other points where it is well-de ned. This leads to some unusual ergodic properties, for example the nonintegrability of the invariant measure, the density of which has a power-law singularity near the origin [28, 29, 30]. The reason is that once the orbit gets very close to the neutral xed point, the time to escape from it is inversely proportional to a power of the distance from the xed point. As a consequence most usual ergodic averages such as (27) will be dom inated by the contribution from the xed point, so that there are no large deviations.

As for the absence of large deviations in the GOY - DN m ap (26) we are led, at this m om ent, to speculate that som ething sim ilar happens: the presence of a neutrally unstable xed point (or, m ore likely, a neutrally unstable periodic orbit) dom inates the large-n asymptotics of structure functions.

Let us nally make two remarks. First, the positivity of the largest Lyapunov exponent in the GOY-DN map (26) is not inconsistent, as one would think at rst, with the kind of behavior displayed by the maps (28) which have zero Lyapunov exponent. To illustrate this, consider the direct product of two one-dimensional maps: the rst is any map chosen in the class (28) and the second is the piecewise linear map g(y) = Frac(2y) from [0;1] to itself. The product has as its largest Lyapunov exponent log 2. Nevertheless, for the observable h(x;y) = xy, ergodic averages are still dom inated by the contribution from x = 0, as if the multiplier y was absent. Second, let us mention that for the maps (28) it is possible to give an estimate of the average fraction of time during which the dynam ics is chaotic [30]. This average goes to zero as a power-law of the number of iterations n (e.g. as log n=n when z = 2). If the analogy between the sporadic maps and the GOY-DN m odel is correct, this suggests a possible interpretation of the rather strong corrections to K 41 scaling at nite n, which eventually disappear at large n.

ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank V.O seledets for discussions on the fast dynam o problem s and G.Paladin for discussions on sporadicity and shellm odels.L.B.was supported by a HenriPoincare fellow ship (Centre National de la Recherche Scienti que and Conseil General des Alpes Maritimes).M.B.was supported by the French Ministry of Higer Education.

Figure Captions

F igure 1 : a) A one-dimensional representation of the dyadic tree for the random multiplicative chaos model. Each variable fW $_{i}$ g is chosen independently and identically distributed. b) The branching process for the noisy determ inistic maps; the 's are chosen independently on each link.

Figure 2:a) A typical solution of the di erence equation (26).b) A zoom of the previous gure. The horizontal solid line corresponds to the Kolm ogorov xed point.

Figure 3: Log-plot of the structure functions $S_n^{(p)}$ as a functions of n, for p = 1;2;3;4. The solid lines correspond to the Kolm opprov 1941 scaling.

Figure 4: Probability density functions (p.d.f.) of (n), de ned by (27) for n = 21 and n = 36. Notice that the peak at the Kolmogorov value, (n) = 1=3 becomes more pronounced as n increases.

References

- [1] A.N.Kolm ogorov, Dokl.Akad.Nauk SSSR 30, 301 (1941).
- [2] A.M.Obukhov, J.Fluid. Mech. 13 77 (1962).
- [3] C.Meneveau and K.R.Sreenivasan, J.Fluid Mech. 224, 429 (1991).
- [4] E. Aurell, U. Frisch, J. Lutsko and M. Vergassola, J. Fluid Mech. 238, 467 (1992).
- [5] F.Anselm et, Y.Gagne, E.J.Hop nger and R.A.Antonia, J.Fluid Mech. 140, 63 (1984).
- [6] C. Meneveau and K.R. Sreenivasan, Nucl. Phys B [Proc. Suppl.], 2, 49 (1987).
- [7] A.V incent and M.M eneguzzi, J.F luid Mech. 225, 1 (1991).
- [8] G. Parisi and U. Frisch, in Turbulence and Predictability in Geophysical Fluid Dynamics, Proceed. Intern. School of Physics \E. Ferm i", 1983,

Varenna, Italy, eds. G hilM , BenziR . and ParisiG ., p. 84, N orth-H olland (1985).

- [9] R. Benzi, G. Paladin, G. Parisi and A. Vulpiani, J. Phys. A 17, 3521, (1984).
- [10] V N. Desnyansky and E A. Novikov, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Fiz. Atmos. O keana 10, 127 (1974).
- [11] E B.G Ledzer, Sov. Phys. Dokl. 18, 216 (1973).
- [12] M. Yam ada and K. Ohkitani, J. Phys. Soc. Jap. 56, 4210 (1987).
- [13] E A. Novikov and R W . Stewart Izv, Akad. Nauk SSSR, ser. geo z. 3, 408 (1964).
- [14] A.Yaglom, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR 166, 49 (1966).
- [15] B.B.M andelbrot J.Fluid Mech. 62, 331 (1974).
- [16] Y.Oono Progr. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 99, 165 (1989).
- [17] P.Collet and F.Koukiou Commun.Math.Phys. 47, 329 (1992).
- [18] H.Cram er \A ctualites scienti ques et industrielles" 736, 5; Colloque consacre a la theorie des probabilites, H erm ann, P aris 1938.
- [19] S.R.S.Varadhan \Large D eviations and Applications", SIAM (1984).
- [20] R.S. Ellis \Entropy and Equilibrium States in Classical Mechanics" Springer (1985).
- [21] B.B.M andelbrot Proc.R. Soc. Lond. A 434, 79 (1991).
- [22] M.Blank, Uspekhi Matem. Nauk. 44, 3 (1989).
- [23] V.I.Amold, Ya.B.Zeldovich, A.A.Ruzmaikin and D.D.Sokolo Sov. Phys.J.E.T.P.54, 1083 (1981).
- [24] E. Aurell and A. D. Gilbert, \Fast dynam o and determ inants of singular integral operators" Geophys. A strophys. Fluid Dyn. (1993), in press.
- [25] V.O seledets, \Fast dynam o problem for a smooth m ap on two-torus", to be published.
- [26] M.H. Jensen, G. Paladin and A. Vulpiani, Phys. Rev. A 43, 798 (1991).

- [27] D. Pisarenko, L. Biferale, D. Courvoisier, U. Frisch and M. Vergassola, Phys. Fluids A 5, 2533 (1993).
- [28] M.Blank, Chaos 1, 347 (1991).
- [29] P.Collet and P.Ferrero, Ann. Inst. H. Poincare 52, 283 (1990).
- [30] P.Gaspard and X.-J.W ang, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85, 4591 (1988).