

U n i v e r s a l i t y o f P e r i o d D o u b l i n g i n C o u p l e d M a p s

Sang-Yoon K i m

D e p a r t m e n t o f P h y s i c s

K a n g w o n N a t i o n a l U n i v e r s i t y

C h u n c h o n , K a n g w o n - D o 200-701, K o r e a

A b s t r a c t

W e s t u d y t h e c r i t i c a l b e h a v i o r o f p e r i o d d o u b l i n g i n t w o c o u p l e d o n e - d i m e n s i o n a l m a p s w i t h a s i n g l e m a x i m u m o f o r d e r z . I n p a r t i c u l a r , t h e e f f e c t o f t h e m a x i m u m - o r d e r z o n t h e c r i t i c a l b e h a v i o r a s s o c i a t e d w i t h c o u p l i n g i s i n v e s t i g a t e d b y a r e n o r m a l i z a t i o n m e t h o d . T h e r e e x i s t t h r e e f i x e d m a p s o f t h e p e r i o d - d o u b l i n g r e n o r m a l i z a t i o n o p e r a t o r . F o r a f i x e d m a p a s s o c i a t e d w i t h t h e c r i t i c a l b e h a v i o r a t t h e z e r o - c o u p l i n g c r i t i c a l p o i n t , r e l e v a n t e i g e n - v a l u e s a s s o c i a t e d w i t h c o u p l i n g p e r t u r b a t i o n s v a r y d e p e n d i n g o n t h e o r d e r z , w h e r e a s t h e y a r e i n d e p e n d e n t o f z f o r t h e o t h e r t w o f i x e d m a p s . T h e r e n o r m a l i z a t i o n r e s u l t s f o r t h e z e r o - c o u p l i n g c a s e a r e a l s o c o n f i r m e d b y a d i r e c t n u m e r i c a l m e t h o d .

P A C S n u m b e r s : 05.45.+ b, 03.20.+ i, 05.70.Jk

Universal scaling behavior of period doubling has been found in one-dimensional (1D) maps with a single maximum of order z ($z > 1$),

$$x_{i+1} = f(x_i) = 1 - A x_i^z; \quad z > 1: \quad (1)$$

For all $z > 1$, the 1D map (1) exhibits successive period-doubling bifurcations as the nonlinearity parameter A is increased. The period-doubling bifurcation points $A = A_n(z)$ ($n = 0; 1; 2; \dots$), at which the n th period doubling bifurcation occurs, converge to the accumulation point $A_c(z)$ on the A axis. The scaling behavior near the critical point $A_c(z)$ depends on the maximum order z , i.e., the parameter and orbital scaling factors, δ and ν , vary depending on z [14]. Therefore the order z determines universality classes.

Here we study the critical behavior of period doubling in a map T consisting of two identical 1D maps coupled symmetrically:

$$T: \begin{cases} x_{i+1} = F(x_i; y_i) = f(x_i) + g(x_i; y_i); \\ y_{i+1} = F(y_i; x_i) = f(y_i) + g(y_i; x_i); \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where $f(x)$ is a 1D map (1) with a single maximum of even order z ($z = 2; 4; 6; \dots$) at $x = 0$, and $g(x; y)$ is a coupling function. The uncoupled 1D map f satisfies a normalization condition, $f(0) = 1$, and the coupling function g obeys a condition, $g(x; x) = 0$ for any x .

The quadratic-maximum case ($z = 2$) was previously studied in Refs. [5,9]. In this paper, using the renormalization method developed in Ref. [9], we extend the results for the $z = 2$ case to all even-order cases and investigate the dependence of the critical behavior associated with coupling on the order z .

The period-doubling renormalization transformation N for a coupled map T consists of squaring (T^2) and rescaling (B) operators:

$$N(T) = B T^2 B^{-1}: \quad (3)$$

Here the rescaling operator B is:

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ B & C \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}; \quad (4)$$

because we consider only in-phase orbits ($x_i = y_i$ for all i).

Applying the renormalization operator N to the coupled map (2) n times, we obtain the n times renormalized map T_n of the form ,

$$T_n : \begin{cases} x_{i+1} = F_n(x_i; y_i) = f_n(x) + g_n(x; y); \\ y_{i+1} = F_n(y_i; x_i) = f_n(y) + g_n(y; x); \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Here f_n and g_n are the uncoupled and coupling parts of the n times renormalized function F_n , respectively. They satisfy the following recurrence equations [9]:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n+1}(x) &= f_n\left(f_n\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)\right); \\ g_{n+1}(x; y) &= f_n\left(f_n\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right) + g_n\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}; \frac{y}{\lambda}\right)\right) \\ &\quad + g_n\left(f_n\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right) + g_n\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}; \frac{y}{\lambda}\right); f_n\left(\frac{y}{\lambda}\right)\right) \\ &\quad + g_n\left(\frac{y}{\lambda}; \frac{x}{\lambda}\right) - f_n\left(f_n\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)\right); \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where the rescaling factor λ is chosen to preserve the normalization condition $f_{n+1}(0) = 1$, i.e., $\lambda = 1/f_n(1)$. Eqs. (6) and (7) define a renormalization operator R of transforming a pair of functions, $(f; g)$;

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ B & C \\ @ & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_{n+1} \\ g_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = R \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ B & C \\ @ & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_n \\ g_n \end{pmatrix} : \quad (8)$$

A critical map T_c with the nonlinearity and coupling parameters set to their critical values is attracted to a fixed map T under iterations of the renormalization transformation N ,

$$T : \begin{cases} x_{i+1} = F(x_i; y_i) = f(x_i) + g(x_i; y_i); \\ y_{i+1} = F(y_i; x_i); \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Here $(f; g)$ is a fixed point of the renormalization operator R with $\lambda = 1/f(1)$, which satisfies $(f; g) = R(f; g)$. Note that the equation for f is just the fixed point equation in the 1D map case. The 1D fixed function f varies depending on the order z [4]. Consequently only the equation for the coupling fixed function g is left to be solved.

However it is not easy to directly solve the equation for the coupling fixed function. We therefore introduce a tractable recurrence equation for a "reduced" coupling function of the coupling function $g(x; y)$ [9], defined by

$$G(x) = \frac{\partial g(x; y)}{\partial y} \Big|_{y=x} : \quad (10)$$

Differentiating the recurrence equation (7) for g with respect to y and setting $y = x$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_{n+1}(x) = & [f_n^0(f_n(\frac{x}{\Lambda})) - 2G_n(f_n(\frac{x}{\Lambda}))]G_n(\frac{x}{\Lambda}) \\ & + G_n(f_n(\frac{x}{\Lambda}))f_n^0(\frac{x}{\Lambda}) : \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Then Eqs. (6) and (11) define a "reduced" renormalization operator \tilde{R} of transforming a pair of functions $(f; G)$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_{n+1} \\ G_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{R} \begin{pmatrix} f_n \\ G_n \end{pmatrix} : \quad (12)$$

We look for a fixed point $(f; G)$ of \tilde{R} , which satisfies $(f; G) = \tilde{R}(f; G)$. Here G is just the reduced coupling fixed function of g (i.e., $G(x) = \partial g(x; y)/\partial y|_{y=x}$). As in the quadratic-maximum case ($z = 2$) [9], we find three solutions for G :

$$1: G(x) = 0: \quad (13)$$

$$2: G(x) = \frac{1}{2} f^0(x): \quad (14)$$

$$3: G(x) = \frac{1}{2} [f^0(x) - 1]: \quad (15)$$

Here the first solution, corresponding to the reduced coupling fixed function of the zero-coupling fixed function $g(x; y) = 0$, is associated with the critical behavior at the zero-coupling critical point, whereas the second and third solutions dependent on the order z are associated with the critical behavior at other critical points [9].

Consider an infinitesimal reduced coupling perturbation $(0; \delta(x))$ to a fixed point $(f; G)$ of \tilde{R} . We then examine the evolution of a pair of functions, $(f(x); G(x) + \delta(x))$ under the

reduced renormalization transformation \tilde{R} . In the linear approximation we obtain a reduced linearized operator \tilde{L} of transforming a reduced coupling perturbation :

$$\begin{aligned} g_{n+1}(x) &= [\tilde{L}_n](x) \\ &= [f^{(0)}(f'(\frac{x}{z})) - 2G'(f'(\frac{x}{z}))]_n(\frac{x}{z}) \\ &\quad + [f^{(0)}(\frac{x}{z}) - 2G(\frac{x}{z})]_n(f'(\frac{x}{z})): \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Here the prime denotes a derivative. If a reduced coupling perturbation $g(x)$ satisfies

$$g(x) = [\tilde{L}](x); \quad (17)$$

then it is called a reduced coupling eigenperturbation with coupling eigenvalue (CE) .

We first show that CEs are independent of the order z for the second and third solutions (14) and (15) of $G(x)$. In case of the second solution $G(x) = \frac{1}{2}f^{(0)}(x)$, the reduced linearized operator \tilde{L} becomes a null operator, independently of z , because the right hand side of Eq. (17) becomes zero. Therefore there exist no relevant CEs. For the third case $G(x) = \frac{1}{2}[f^{(0)}(x) - 1]$, Eq. (17) becomes

$$g(x) = \left(\frac{x}{z}\right) + \left(f'(\frac{x}{z})\right); \quad (18)$$

When $g(x)$ is a non-zero constant function, i.e., $g(x) = c$ (c : non-zero constant), there exists a relevant CE, $\lambda = 2$, independently of z .

In the zero-coupling case $G(x) = 0$, the eigenvalue equation (17) becomes

$$g(x) = f^{(0)}(f'(\frac{x}{z})) \left(\frac{x}{z}\right) + f^{(0)}(\frac{x}{z}) - f'(\frac{x}{z}): \quad (19)$$

Relevant CEs of Eq. (19) vary depending on the order z , as will be seen below .

An eigenfunction $g(x)$ can be separated into two components, $g(x) = g^{(1)}(x) + g^{(2)}(x)$ with $g^{(1)}(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \frac{1}{2}a_2x^2$ and $g^{(2)}(x) = a_{z-1}x^{z-1} + a_zx^z + \dots$, and the 1D fixed function f is a polynomial in x^z , i.e., $f(x) = 1 + c_zx^z + c_{2z}x^{2z} + \dots$. Substituting the functions g , f and $f^{(0)}$ into the eigenvalue equation (19), it has the structure

$$a_k = \sum_{l=0}^z M_{kl}(f \circ g)a_l; k; l = 0; 1; 2; \dots; z \quad (20)$$

We note that each a_l ($l = 0; 1; 2; \dots$) in the first and second terms in the right hand side of Eq. (19) is involved only in the determination of coefficients of monomials x^k with $k = l + mz$ and $k = (z-1) + mz$ ($m = 0; 1; 2; \dots$), respectively. Therefore any a_l with $l \leq z-1$ (in the right hand side) cannot be involved in the determination of coefficients of monomials x^k with $k < z-1$, which implies that the eigenvalue equation (20) is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \text{B} & \text{C} & \text{B} \\ \text{B} & \text{A} & \text{B} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M_1 \\ M_3 \\ M_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \text{C} & \text{B} & \text{C} \\ \text{A} & \text{B} & \text{A} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix}; \quad (21)$$

where M_1 is a $(z-1) \times (z-1)$ matrix, $(1) = (a_0; \dots; a_{z-2})$, and $(2) = (a_{z-1}; a_z; \dots)$. From the reducibility of the matrix M into a semiblock form, it follows that to determine the eigenvalues of M it is sufficient to solve the eigenvalue problems for the two submatrices M_1 and M_2 independently.

We first solve the eigenvalue equation of M_1 ($(1) = M_1 (1)$), i.e.,

$$a_k = \sum_{l=0}^z M_{kl}(f \circ g)a_l; k; l = 0; \dots; z-2: \quad (22)$$

Note that this submatrix M_1 is diagonal. Hence its eigenvalues are just the diagonal elements:

$$\lambda_k = M_{kk} = \frac{f^{(0)}(1)}{k} = z^{-1}k; k = 0; \dots; z-2: \quad (23)$$

Notice that all λ_k 's are relevant eigenvalues.

Although λ_k is also an eigenvalue of M , $(\lambda_k; 0)$ cannot be an eigenvector of M , because there exists a third submatrix M_3 in M [see Eq. (21)]. Therefore an eigenfunction $\phi_k(x)$ in Eq. (19) with eigenvalue λ_k is a polynomial with a leading monomial of degree k , i.e., $\phi_k(x) = \phi_k^{(1)}(x) + \phi_k^{(2)}(x) = a_k x^k + a_{z-1} x^{z-1} + a_z x^z + \dots$, where $a_k \neq 0$.

We next solve the eigenvalue equation of M_2 ($(2) = M_2 (2)$), i.e.,

$$a_k = \sum_{l=0}^z M_{kl}(f \circ g)a_l; k; l = z-1; z; \dots: \quad (24)$$

Unlike the case of M_1 , $(0; \phi^{(2)})$ can be an eigenvector of M with eigenvalue λ . Then its corresponding function $\phi^{(2)}(x)$ is an eigenperturbation with eigenvalue λ , which satisfies Eq. (19). One can easily see that $\phi^{(2)}(x) = f^0(x)$ is an eigenfunction with $CE = 2$, which is the z th relevant CE in addition to those in Eq. (23). It is also found that there exist an infinite number of additional (coordinate change) eigenfunctions $\phi^{(2)}(x) = f^0(x)[f^n(x) - x^n]$ with irrelevant CEs $-\infty$ ($n = 1; 2; \dots$), which are associated with coordinate changes [10]. We conjecture that together with the z (non-coordinate change) relevant CEs, they give the whole spectrum of the reduced linearized operator \tilde{L} of Eq. (16) and the spectrum is complete.

Consider an infinitesimal coupling perturbation $g(x; y) = \epsilon''(x; y)$ to a critical map at the zero-coupling critical point, in which case the map T of Eq. (2) is of the form,

$$T : \begin{cases} x_{i+1} = F(x_i; y_i) = f_A(x_i) + \epsilon''(x_i; y_i); \\ y_{i+1} = F(y_i; x_i); \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

where the subscript A of f denotes the critical value of the nonlinearity parameter A and ϵ'' is an infinitesimal coupling parameter. The map T for $\epsilon'' = 0$ is just the zero-coupling critical map consisting of two uncoupled 1D critical maps f_A . It is attracted to the zero-coupling fixed map (consisting of two 1D fixed maps f) under iterations of the renormalization transformation N of Eq. (3).

The reduced coupling function $G(x)$ of $g(x; y)$ is given by [see Eq. (10)]

$$G(x) = \epsilon''(x) - \epsilon'' \frac{\partial' (x; y)}{\partial y} \Big|_{y=x} : \quad (26)$$

Then $\epsilon''(x)$ corresponds to an infinitesimal perturbation to the reduced zero-coupling fixed function $G(x) = 0$ of Eq. (13). The n th image ϕ_n of ϵ'' under the reduced linearized operator \tilde{L} of Eq. (16) has the form,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n(x) &= [\tilde{L}^n](\epsilon'') \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k!} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \phi_k(x) + \sum_{z=1}^{\infty} 2^z f^0(x) \right) \text{ for large } n; \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

since the irrelevant part of ϕ_n becomes negligibly small for large n .

The stability multipliers $\lambda_{1,n}$ and $\lambda_{2,n}$ of the 2^n -periodic orbit of the map T of Eq. (25) are the same as those of the fixed point of the n times renormalized map $N^n(T)$ [9], which are given by

$$\lambda_{1,n} = f_n^0(x_n); \quad \lambda_{2,n} = f_n^0(x_n) - 2G_n(x_n); \quad (28)$$

Here $(f_n; G_n)$ is the n th image of $(f_A; G)$ under the reduced renormalization transformation R [i.e., $(f_n; G_n) = R^n(f_A; G)$], and x_n is just the fixed point of $f_n(x)$ [i.e., $x_n = f_n(x_n)$] and converges to the fixed point x of the 1D fixed map $f(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In the critical case ($\nu = 0$), $\lambda_{2,n}$ is equal to $\lambda_{1,n}$ and they converge to the 1D critical stability multiplier $\lambda = f^0(x)$. Since $G_n(x) = [\tilde{L}_2^n G](x) = \mu^n(x)$ for infinitesimally small μ , $\lambda_{2,n}$ has the form

$$\lambda_{2,n} = \lambda_{1,n} - 2\mu^n(x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_k \mu^k + e_{z-1} 2^n \quad \text{for large } n; \quad (29)$$

where $e_k = -2 \partial_k^2 f(x)$ ($k = 0; \dots; z-2$) and $e_{z-1} = -2 \partial_{z-1}^2 f^0(x)$. Therefore the slope S_n of $\lambda_{2,n}$ at the zero-coupling point ($\mu = 0$) is

$$S_n = \frac{\partial \lambda_{2,n}}{\partial \mu} \bigg|_{\mu=0} = -2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_k \mu^k + e_{z-1} 2^n \quad \text{for large } n; \quad (30)$$

Here the coefficients e_k ; $k = 0; \dots; z-1$ depends on the initial reduced function $f(x)$, because e_k 's are determined only by $f(x)$. Note that the magnitude of slope S_n increases with n unless all e_k 's ($k = 0; \dots; z-1$) are zero.

We choose monomials x^l ($l = 0; 1; 2; \dots$) as initial reduced functions $f(x)$, because any smooth function $f(x)$ can be represented as a linear combination of monomials by a Taylor series. Expressing $f(x) = x^l$ as a linear combination of eigenfunctions of \tilde{L}_2 , we have

$$f(x) = x^l = \sum_{l=1}^{z-1} \phi_l(x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n f^0(x) [f^n(x) - x^n]; \quad (31)$$

where ϕ_l is non-zero for $l < z-1$ and zero for $l \geq z-1$, and all ϕ_n 's are irrelevant components. Therefore the slope S_n for large n becomes

$$S_n \sim \begin{cases} e_{l-1} n + e_{z-1} 2^n & \text{for } l < z - 1; \\ e_{z-1} 2^n & \text{for } l = z - 1; \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

Note that the growth of S_n for large n is governed by two CEs $l-1$ and $z-1$ for $l < z - 1$ and by one CE $z-1$ for $l = z - 1$.

We numerically study the quartic-maximum case ($z = 4$) in the two coupled 1D maps (25) and confirm the renormalization results (32). In this case we follow the periodic orbits of period 2^n up to level $n = 15$ and obtain the slopes S_n of Eq. (30) at the zero-coupling critical point $(A^*; 0)$ ($A^* = 1.594901356228\dots$) when the reduced function $f_l(x)$ is a monomial x^l ($l = 0, 1, \dots$).

The renormalization result implies that the slopes S_n for $l = z - 1$ obey a one-term scaling law asymptotically:

$$S_n = d_1 r_1^n; \quad (33)$$

We therefore define the growth rate of the slopes as follows:

$$r_{1,n} = \frac{S_{n+1}}{S_n}; \quad (34)$$

Then it will converge to a constant r_1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. A sequence of $r_{1,n}$ for $f_l(x) = x^3$ is shown in the second column of Table I. Note that it converges fast to $r_1 = 2$. We have also studied several other reduced-coupling cases with $f_l(x) = x^l$ ($l > 3$). In all higher-order cases studied, the sequences of $r_{1,n}$ also converge fast to $r_1 = 2$.

When $l < z - 1$, two relevant CEs govern the growth of the slopes S_n . We therefore extend the simple one-term scaling law (33) to a two-term scaling law:

$$S_n = d_1 r_1^n + d_2 r_2^n; \text{ for large } n; \quad (35)$$

where $r_1 > r_2$: This is a kind of multiple-scaling law [11]. Eq. (35) gives

$$S_{n+2} = t_1 S_{n+1} - t_2 S_n; \quad (36)$$

where $t_1 = r_1 + r_2$ and $t_2 = r_1 r_2$. Then r_1 and r_2 are solutions of the following quadratic equation,

$$r^2 - t_1 r + t_2 = 0: \quad (37)$$

To evaluate r_1 and r_2 , we first obtain t_1 and t_2 from S_n 's using Eq. (36):

$$t_1 = \frac{S_{n+1}S_n - S_{n+2}S_{n-1}}{S_n^2 - S_{n+1}S_{n-1}}; \quad t_2 = \frac{S_{n+1}^2 - S_nS_{n+2}}{S_n^2 - S_{n+1}S_{n-1}}: \quad (38)$$

Note that Eqs. (35)–(38) are valid for large n . In fact, the values of t_i 's and r_i 's ($i = 1; 2$) depend on the level n . Thus we denote the values of t_i 's in Eq. (38) explicitly by $t_{i,n-1}$'s, and the values of r_i 's obtained from Eq. (37) are also denoted by $r_{i,n-1}$'s. Then each of them converges to a constant as $n \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{i,n-1} = t_i; \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{i,n-1} = r_i; \quad i = 1; 2: \quad (39)$$

The two-term scaling law (35) is very well-obeyed. Sequences $r_{1,n}$ and $r_{2,n}$ for $(x) = 1$ are shown in the third and fourth columns of Table I. They converge fast to $r_1 = \sqrt[3]{1.6903 \dots}$ (≈ 1.1903) and $r_{2,n} = 2$, respectively. We have also studied two other reduced-coupling cases with $(x) = x^l$ ($l = 1; 2$). It is found that the sequences $r_{1,n}$ and $r_{2,n}$ for $l = 1(2)$ converge fast to their limit values $r_1 = \sqrt[2]{2}$ and $r_2 = 2$; respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Non-Directed Research Fund, Korea Research Foundation, 1993.

REFERENCES

- [1] M . J. Feigenbaum , J. Stat. Phys. 19, 25 (1978); 21, 669 (1979).
- [2] B . D errida, A . G ervois, and Y . P om eau, J. Phys. A 12, 269 (1979).
- [3] B . H u and I. I. Satiã, Phys. Lett. A 98, 143 (1982).
- [4] J. P . van der W eele, H . W . Capel, and R . K luiving, Physica A 145, 425 (1987).
- [5] S. Kuznetsov, Radiophys. Q uantum E lectron. 28, 681 (1985).
- [6] H . K ook, F . H . Ling, and G . Schm idt, Phys. Rev. A 43, 2700 (1991).
- [7] S.-Y . K im and H . K ook, Phys. Rev. A 46, R4467 (1992).
- [8] S.-Y . K im and H . K ook, Phys. Lett. A 178, 258 (1993).
- [9] S.-Y . K im and H . K ook, Phys. Rev. E 48, 785 (1993).
- [10] See Appendix A of Ref. [9].
- [11] J.-m . M ao and B . H u, J. Stat. Phys. 46, 111 (1987)

TABLES

TABLE I. In the quartic maximum case ($z = 4$), a sequence $fr_{1,n}g$ for a one-term scaling law is shown in the second column when $(x) = x^3$, and two sequences $fr_{1,n}g$ and $fr_{2,n}g$ for a two-term scaling law are shown in the third and fourth columns when $(x) = 1$.

n	$(x) = x^3$	$(x) = 1$	
	$r_{1,n}$	$r_{1,n}$	$r_{2,n}$
5	1.999 920 2	-4.829 455 8	1.958
6	2.000 009 3	-4.829 422 6	2.090
7	1.999 994 7	-4.829 409 8	1.973
8	2.000 000 4	-4.829 406 8	2.039
9	1.999 999 6	-4.829 405 8	1.984
10	2.000 000 0	-4.829 405 5	2.018
11	2.000 000 0	-4.829 405 5	1.992
12	2.000 000 0	-4.829 405 4	2.009