

DISCRETE SPECTRUM OF DELOCALIZED STATES IN ONE-DIMENSIONAL RANDOM POTENTIAL

M . Yu . Lashkevich

Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, Academy of Sciences,
Kosygina 2, 117334 Moscow, Russian Federation

It is well known^{1;2} that in one-dimensional disordered system all states of electrons (or any other excitations) are localized. In this letter it is shown that delocalized states exist in a rather broad class of simple models, but a set of delocalized states is not too great, and it does not contradict this theorem in more precise form^{2;3}. These systems can be considered as filters in energy for electrons.

Discuss an accidental infinite chain of fragments of two types F_1 and F_2 with lengths a_1 and a_2 respectively. Through the chain an electron spreads. The potential of fragment F_i is $V_i(x)$, where x is a coordinate with respect to the left end of the fragment, $0 \leq x \leq a_i$. Change slightly the system: embed between any two neighbouring fragments a plot F_0 of constant potential V_0 with length b . If b tends to zero, the stationary states of the electron tend evidently to those of initial system independent on V_0 .

Consider a fragment F_i embedded in media with constant potential V_0 . If a wave falls on the fragment from the left, the wave function has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &= e^{ikx} + r_i(E; V_0) e^{-ikx}; \text{ if } x < 0; \\ \psi(x) &= t_i(E; V_0) e^{ikx}; \text{ if } x > a; \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where E is energy of electron, $k = \frac{1}{\hbar} \sqrt{2m(E - V_0)}$; $r_i(E; V_0)$ and $t_i(E; V_0)$ are amplitudes of reflected and passed waves respectively. Solution of two equations with two variables

$$\begin{aligned} r_1(E; V_0) &= 0; \\ r_2(E; V_0) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

must consist of separate points $(E^{(n)}; V_0^{(n)})$, $n = 1; 2; \dots$ on the plane $(E; V_0)$. But eqs.(2) mean that an electron with energy $E^{(n)}$ in the media with potential $V_0^{(n)}$ does not reflect from any fragment F_1 or F_2 , which are embedded in any region of media in any quantity and order.

Come back to the system of fragments F_1, F_2 and F_0 . Suppose that $V_0 = V_0^{(n)}$ and energy of electron is $E^{(n)}$. If in one of the fragments F_0 the wave function $\psi_n(x)$ is equal to $\exp(ikx)$, then on any other fragment F_0 the wave function is equal to $\exp(ikx + i\phi')$, where ϕ' is a real number (depending on fragment), because $|r_1(E^{(n)}; V_0^{(n)})|^2 = |r_2(E^{(n)}; V_0^{(n)})|^2 = 1$. The wave function $\psi_n(x)$ is delocalized. If $b \neq 0$, the state with energy $E^{(n)}$ remains delocalized, but dependence on auxiliary quantity V_0 disappears. States with all energies $E^{(n)}$ become delocalized. We see

that these discrete delocalized states must exist not for some special potentials $V_1(x)$ and $V_2(x)$, but for a broad class of them.

Consider an example. In the case $V_i(x) = V_i = \text{const}$ there are two sets of delocalized levels

$$E_{(n;1)} = \frac{\hbar^2 k_n^2}{2m a_1^2} + V_1; \quad E_{(n;2)} = \frac{\hbar^2 k_n^2}{2m a_2^2} + V_2; \quad n = 1, 2; \quad : \quad (4)$$

It is interesting to notice that wave length of $\psi_{n,i}(x)$ on the fragment F_i is equal to $a_i = 2\pi/k_n$.

It seems to be probable that delocalized states are not stable with respect to perturbation of model, and they become localized states of large size under the influence of weak perturbation, if perturbed system cannot be considered as a chain of two types of fragments.

At last, note that the condition (2) can be rewritten in the form which is independent from V_0 :

$$U_1(E) = U_2(E); \quad (5)$$

One can say that for delocalized states the chain looks as a periodical up to an accidental phase shift, which is not essential, because there are no roundabout ways. In other words eigenvectors of both transition matrices coincide. Hence, there are no contradictions with the theorem about localization^{2,3}.

The author is grateful to V. T. Bublik for support and A. V. Ruban for discussions.

References

1. N. F. Mott and W. D. Twose, Adv. Phys.: 10 (1961) 107
2. J. M. Ziman, Models of Disorder, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1979
3. H. Matsuda and K. Ishii, Prog. Theor. Phys., Supp., 45 (1970) 56