## S.Stringari

D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Trento, I-38050 Povo, Italy

A b stract. Various sum rules accounting for the coupling between density and particle excitations and emphasizing in an explicit way the role of the Bose-E instein condensation are discussed. Im portant consequences on the uctuations of the particle operator as well as on the structure of elementary excitations are reviewed. These include a recent generalization of the Hohenberg-Mermin-Wagner theorem holding at zero temperature.

InternationalW orkshop on Bose-E instein C ondensation, Levico (Trento), Italy, M ay 31-June 4, 1993

## 1. IN TRODUCTION

The sum rule approach has been extensively employed in the literature in order to explore various dynam ic features of quantum m any body systems from a m icroscopic point of view (see for example [1] and references therein). An important m erit of the m ethod is its explict emphasis on the role of conservation laws and of the symmetries of the problem. Furtherm ore the explicit determ ination of sum rules is relatively easy and often requires only a limited know ledge of the system. U sually the sum rule approach is how ever employed w ithout giving specialem phasis on the possible occurrence of (spontaneously) broken symmetries. For example the m ost fam ous f-sum rule [2] holding for a large class of systems is not a lected by the existence of an order param eter in the system.

The purpose of this paper is to discuss a di erent class of sum rules which are directly a ected by the presence of a broken symmetry. These sum rules can be used to predict signi cant properties of the system which are the consequence of the existence of an order parameter. In this work we will make explicit reference to Bose systems and to the consequences of Bose-E instein condensation (BEC). Most of these results can be however generalized to discuss other systems exhibiting spontaneously broken symmetries.

In sect. 2 we use a sum rule due to Bogoliubov in order to derive important constraints on the uctuations of the particle operator as well as to obtain Goldstone-type bounds for the energy of elementary excitations. In sect. 3 we explore the consequences of BEC on the long range behavior of the half diagonal two-body density m atrix and discuss the coupling between the density and particle pictures of elementary excitations in Bose super uids.

2

2. THE BOGOLIUBOV SUM RULE.

The Bogoliubov sum rule [3,4]

$$Z_{+1} = A_{a a^{y}}; (!)d! = < [a_{q} a^{y}_{q}; q] > = < a_{0} + a^{y}_{0} > = 2^{p} \overline{N n_{0}}$$
(1)

is the most remarkable among the sum rules depending explicitly on the order parameter  $n_0 = \frac{1}{N} \not> a_0 > j^2$ . In eq.(1)  $A_{A^{y};B}$  (!) is the spectral function

$$A_{A^{y};B}(!) = \frac{1}{Z} X_{m;n} (e^{E_{m}} e^{E_{n}}) < m j A^{y} j n > < n j B j m > (! E_{n} E_{m})$$
(2)

relative to the operators A, B and we have m ade the choice  $A^{y} = a_{q} a_{q}^{y}$  and B = q ( $q, a_{q}$  and  $a_{q}^{y}$  are the usual density, particle annihilation and creation operators respectively and we have taken  $\langle a_{0} \rangle = \langle a_{0}^{y} \rangle = \frac{p}{N n_{0}}$ ). In deriving result (1) we have used the completeness relation and the Bose commutation relations for a and  $a^{y}$ . Despite its simplicity, result (1) is at the basis of very important results characterizing the macroscopic behavior of Bose super uids. Its richness is mainly due to the fact that it exploits in a microscopic way the ext of the "phase" operator, proportional to the difference  $a_{q} = a_{q}^{y}$ . Some important applications of result (1) are discussed below.

2.1. The Hohenberg-M erm in-W agner (H M W ) theorem .

This theorem, of fundam ental importance in condensed matter physics, states that no long range order can exist ( $n_0 = 0$  in the Bose case) in a signi cant class of 1D and 2D systems at nite temperature [5–7]. The theorem is based on the Bogoliubov inequality [3,4]

$$\langle fA^{y}; Ag \rangle \langle B^{y}; H; B ] \rangle k_{B}T \neq A^{y}; B ] \rangle^{2}$$
 (3)

for the uctuations of the operator A (we assume < A > = < B > = 0). Inequality (3) can be derived starting from the inequality [3,4]

$$A_{Y;A} < [B^{Y};[H;B]] > \neq [A^{Y};B] > f$$
(4)

for the static response relative to the operator A

$$A_{A^{y};A} = \int_{1}^{Z_{+1}} A_{A^{y};B} (!) \frac{1}{!} d!$$

and the result <  $fA^{\,y}\text{;}A\,g>~2k_B\,T_{A^{\,y}\text{;}A}$  following from the uctuation dissipation theorem .

Choosing in the Bose case  $A^{y} = a_{q}$  and  $B = {}_{q}$  we obtain the inequality [5]

$$n(q) < a_q^{\gamma} a_q > n_0 m \frac{k_B T}{q^2} - \frac{1}{2}$$
 (5)

for the momentum distribution n(q) of the system. In order to derive result (5) we have used the f-sum rule [2]

<sup>Z</sup> ! S (q; !)d! = 
$$\frac{1}{2} < [ \frac{y}{q}; [H; q] ] > = N \frac{q^2}{2m}$$
 (6)

holding for G alilean invariant potentials. In eq.(6) S (q; !) = A  $_{y}$ ; (!)=(1 exp( !)) is the usual dynam ic structure function. Inequality (5) points out the occurrence of an in portant infrared 1=q<sup>2</sup> divergency in the momentum distribution which originates from the therm all uctuations of the phase of the condensate. This behavior perm its to prove the absence of BEC in 1D and 2D systems at nite temperature. In fact, due to such a divergency, the norm alization condition for the momentum distribution  $_{q}^{P}$  n (q) = N cannot be satisfied in 1D and 2D, unless  $n_{0} = 0$  [5].

2.2. Extension of the H ohenberg-M erm in-W agner theorem at T = 0.

As clearly revealed by eqs.(3),(5) the HMW theorem does not apply at zero tem perature. A ctually there are important examples of 2D systems obeying the HMW theorem at T  $\pm$  0 and exhibiting long range order in the ground state. The physical reason is that quantum uctuations have in general a weaker e ect with respect to therm al uctuations in destroying the order parameter.

An extension of the Hohenberg-Merm in Wagner theorem holding at zero tem – perature has been recently formulated by Pitaevskii and Stringari [8]. To this purpose one uses the uncertainty principle inequality

$$\langle fA^{y}; Ag \rangle \langle fB^{y}; Bg \rangle \not \times [A^{y}; B] \rangle f$$
 (7)

rather than the Bogoliubov inequality (3). Both inequalities provide rigorous constraints on the uctuations of the operator A. However, while the Bogoliubov inequality is sensitive to them al uctuations and becomes less and less useful as T ! 0, the uncertainty principle is particularly powerful in the low temperature regime dom inated by quantum uctuations. By making the choice  $A^{y} = a_{q}$ ,  $B = {}_{q}$ one obtains the following non trivial inequality for the momentum distribution [8]:

n (q) 
$$\frac{n_0}{4S(q)} = \frac{1}{2}$$
 (8)

of a Bose system where  $S(q) = \frac{1}{N} < \frac{y}{q} < y$  is the static structure function. The low q behavior of S(q) is xed, at zero tem perature, by the further bound

$$s (q) \qquad \frac{s}{2} \frac{z}{\frac{1}{!}} s (q;!) d! \qquad (9)$$

that can be easily calculated at small q. In fact in this limit the inverse energy weighted sum rule (compressibility sum rule)  $\frac{R}{!}S(q;!) = \frac{1}{2}$  (q) approaches the compressibility parameter of the system ( $\lim_{q! 0} (q) = 1 = mc^2$ ), while the energy

weighted sum rule (f-sum rule) is given by eq.(6). As a consequence S(q) = 2m cat small q and the momentum distribution n(q) diverges at least as

$$n(q) = n_0 \frac{mc}{2q}$$
: (10)

It is worth pointing out that the 1=q law for the momentum distribution, already known in the literature [9,10], has been obtained here without any assumption on the nature of the elementary excitations of the system (phonons in a neutral Bose super uid) and follows uniquely by the existence of BEC, the validity of the f-sum nule and the nitness of the compressibility. By imposing the proper norm alization to the momentum distribution one can then nule out the existence of BEC in 1D systems at zero temperature. Note that the ideal Bose G as does not violate the theorem since in this case the compressibility sum rule (q) diverges as  $1=q^2$ .

Starting from the same inequality (7) it is possible to rule out the existence of long range order also in other 1D systems at T = 0 (isotropic antiferrom agnets, crystals) [8]. The theorem does not apply to 1D ferrom agnets since in this case the inverse energy weighted sum rule (magnetic susceptibility) diverges as  $1=q^2$ .

Inequality (8) has been recently used [11] to rule out the existence of BEC in the bosonic representation of the electronic wave function

$${}_{B}(r_{1};...;r_{N}) = \exp(-\frac{i^{X}}{i;j}) {}_{F}(r_{1};...;r_{N})$$
(11)

proposed by G invin and M acD onald [12] for the fractional quantum H all e ect. In eq.(11)  $_{\rm F}$  is the ferm ionic wave function of electrons,  $=\frac{1}{2k+1}$ , where k is an integer, is the usual lling factor and  $_{\rm i;j}$  is the angle between the vector connecting particles i and j and an arbitrary xed axis. U sing the Laughlin's expression [13] for the ground state wave function  $_{\rm F}$  the authors of ref.[12] were able to show that there is not B ose-E instein condensation in the bosonic wave function  $_{\rm B}$ , but only algebraic long range order. The same result was obtained in ref.[14] starting directly from the Chem-Sim ons-Landau-G inzburg theory. In ref.[11] the absence of long range order was proven starting directly from the unceratinty principle inequality (8). The result follows from the fact that a charged liquid in an external m agnetic eld is characterized by a suppression of density uctuations resulting in a quadratic law

$$S(q) = \frac{q^2}{2m!_c}$$
 (12)

for the static structure function (!  $_{\rm c}$  is the cyclotronic frquency). This behaviour reects the incompressibility of the system and is consistent with the K ohn's theorem [15]. Inequality (8) in plies a 1=q<sup>2</sup> divergency for the momentum distribution of the bosonic wave function of this 2D problem. This is incompatible with the norm alization of n (q), xed by the total number of electrons, unless the B ose condensate relative to  $_{\rm B}$  identically vanishes.

## 2.3. BEC and excitation energies.

The sum rule technique can be used to to get useful constraints on the energy of elementary excitations. In particular use of the Bogoliubov sum rule (1) makes it possible to emphasize the role of the order parameter.

G iven a pair of operators A and B one can derive the following rigorous inequality, holding at zero tem perature, for the energy  $!_0$  of the lowest state excited by the operators A and B:

$$!_{0}^{2} \qquad \frac{\langle \mathbb{A}^{Y}; \mathbb{H}; \mathbb{A} ] \rangle \langle \mathbb{B}^{Y}; \mathbb{H}; \mathbb{B} ] \rangle}{\Im \mathbb{A}^{Y}; \mathbb{B} ] \rangle f}$$
(13)

Bounds of the form (13) were rst considered by W agner [4]. Result (13) can be obtained using the inequality (holding at zero tem perature)

$$!_{0}^{2} \quad \frac{\langle [A^{y}; [H; A]] \rangle}{A^{y}; A} \tag{14}$$

where the r.h.s coincides with the ratio between the energy weighted and the inverse energy weighted sum rules relative to the operator  $A \cdot U$  so of the Bogoliubov inequality (4) then yields eq.(13).

Result (13) has the important merit of providing a rigorous upper bound for  $!_0$ in terms of quantities involving commutators. This is an advantage, for example, with respect to the so called Feynm an bound:

$$!_{0} \quad \frac{\langle \mathbb{A}^{Y}; \mathbb{H}; \mathbb{A} ] \rangle}{\langle f \mathbb{A}^{Y}; \mathbb{A} g \rangle}$$
(15)

involving the anticom mutator  $\langle fA^{y}; Ag \rangle$  in the denominator and hence requiring the direct knowledge of the uctuations of the operator A. The occurrence of the anticom mutator makes it dicult to exploit the low momentum regime of elementary excitations. V iceversa eq.(13) can be directly employed for this purpose.

Result (13) is particularly interesting when the expectation value of the commutator  $[A^{y};B]$  is proportional to the order parameter of the problem. This happens in a Bose super uid with the choice  $A^{y} = a_{q}$   $a_{q}^{y}$  and  $B = {}_{q}$ , already considered in this work. One then nds

$$!_{0}^{2} (q) = \frac{1}{n_{0}} \frac{q^{2}}{2m} \left[ \frac{q^{2}}{2m} + N V (0) + \frac{X}{p} V (p) (n (p + q) + \overline{n} (p + q)) \right]$$
(16)

where we have carried out the commutators using the grand canonical ham iltonian  $H^{0} = H$  N ( is the chemical potential) and taken a central potential with Fourier transform V (p). The quantitities n (p) and  $\overline{n}$  (p) are dened by n (p) =  $\langle a_{p}^{y} a_{p} \rangle$  and  $\overline{n}$  (p) =  $\frac{1}{2} \langle a_{p}^{y} a_{p}^{y} + a_{p} a_{p} \rangle$ .

Result (16) is a rigorous inequality holding for any Bose system interacting with central potentials. It has the form of a Goldstone theorem. In fact, since its right hand side behaves as  $q^2$  when q ! 0, it proves the existence of gapless excitations, provided the order parameter  $n_0$  is dimensional error.

It is worth noting that in the lim it of a dilute Bose gas ( = N V (0); n (p) =  $\overline{n}$  (p) = N <sub>p;0</sub>; n<sub>0</sub> = 1), this upper bound coincides with the Bogoliubov dispersion law

$$!_{B}^{2}(q) = \frac{q^{2}}{2m} \left[ \frac{q^{2}}{2m} + 2N V(q) \right]$$
(17)

for any value of q. This result follows from the fact that the sum rules entering inequality (13) are exhausted by a single excitation, multi-particle states playing a negligeable role in a dilute gas. Of course in a strongly interacting system, such as liquid <sup>4</sup>H e, multiparticle excitations are much more important and the bound (16) turns out to be signing cantly higher than the lowest excitation energy  $!_0$  (q).

It is useful to compare the Goldstone type inequality (16) with another, also rigorous, upper bound, still derivable from eq.(13), by choosing

$$A = q \quad q = [H; q] = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{k}^{X} (q^{2} + 2q \quad k) \sum_{k+q}^{Y} a_{k}$$
(18)

and B = q. In eq.(18)  $j_q$  is the usual current operator. The resulting bound then coincides with the ratio between the cubic energy weighted and the energy weighted sum rules relative to the density operator q [16,17]

$$!_{0}^{2}(q) \quad \frac{\langle [[q;H];H;H;q]]\rangle}{\langle [q;H;q]\rangle} : \qquad (19)$$

The explicit calculation of the triple commutator yields (we choose q along the z-axis) [16,17]

$$< [[q;H];H;H;q]] > Z = N \frac{q^{2}}{m} [(\frac{q^{2}}{2m})^{2} + \frac{2q^{2}}{m} < E_{K} > + \frac{1}{m} ds (1 \cos qz)g(s)r_{z}^{2}V(s)]$$
(20)

where  $\langle E_K \rangle$  is the ground state kinetic energy and g(s) is the pair correlation function. The bound (19) then becomes:

$$!_{0}^{2}(q) \quad (\frac{q^{2}}{2m})^{2} + \frac{2q^{2}}{m} < E_{K} > + \frac{Z}{m} ds (1 \cos qz) g(s) r_{z}^{2} V(s)$$
(21)

and exhibits a quadratic behaviour in q as q! 0. It is worth noting that in the lim it of a dilute Bose gas (<  $E_K$  >= 0;g(s) = 1) both bounds (21) and (16) coincide with the Bogoliubov dispersion (17).

The fact that it is possible to prove, via eq.(21), the existence of gapless excitations without assuming the existence of a broken symmetry is directly connected (see eq.(19)) with the conservation of the total current  $j_{q=0}$ , holding in translationally invariant systems. This discussion also suggests that G oldstone type inequalities of the form (16) are particularly useful in sytems where the current is not conserved and where consequently only the existence of a spontaneously broken symmetry can ensure in a simple way the occurrence of gapless excitations. This is the case, for example, of spin excitations in magnetic systems (spin current is not conserved) or B ose systems with random external potentials.

Let us discuss for example the e ects of an external potential of the form (we take U  $_{k} = U_{k}$ )

$$V_{\text{ext}} = \bigcup_{\substack{k \in 0}} U_{k-k}$$
(22)

on the sum rules discussed above. A rst important result is that the Goldstone type upper bound (16) is not directly a ected by the external eld because of the exact commutation property

$$[a_q \quad a_q^{Y}; [V_{ext}; a_q^{Y} \quad a_q]] = 0:$$
 (23)

V iceversa the cubic energy weighted sum rule for the density operator  $_q$  gets an extra contribution from the external force given by

< [[ q; H]; [V<sub>ext</sub>; [H; q]]] > = 
$$\frac{q^2}{m^2}$$
 <  $k_z^2 U_{k-k}$  > (24)

At small q the new term provides the leading contribution to the triple commutator (20). Since the quantity < [q; H; q] is not changed by the external force,

being still given by the f-sum rule (6), the upper bound (19) no longer vanishes with q. This di erent behaviour is due to the fact that the current  $\dot{q}_{=0}$  is not conserved in the presence of the external eld (22). This result also reveals that the relationship  $\dot{j}_{\rm I} = q \frac{p \overline{\rm Nn_0}}{2m}$  ( $a_{\rm q}^{\rm Y}$  a <sub>q</sub>) between the current and the gradient of the phase operator, holding in a translationally invariant dilute Bose gas, is no longer valid in the presence of an external potential and gives rise to a norm al (non super uid) component of the density of the system at zero tem peratrure. This is discussed, for example, in the H uang's contribution to this workshop.

3. THE HALF DIAGONAL TW O-BODY DENSITY MATRIX .

In this section we discuss another sum rule, also sensitive to the presence of Bose Einstein condensation, given by [18]

$$\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1 e^{!}} A_{a+a^{y}}; (!)d! = \langle (a_{q} + a^{y}_{q})_{q} \rangle$$
(25)

where A is the spectral function already introduced in sect.1 with the choice A  $^{\rm Y}$  =  $a_{\rm q}$  +  $a_{\rm q}^{\rm Y}$  and B =  $_{\rm q}$  .

D i erently from eq.(1), accounting for the commutation relation between the density and the phase operators, this sum rule cannot be expressed in terms of a commutator. Physically it accounts for the coupling between the density of the system and the modulus of the condensate, proportional to  $a_q + a_q^y$ . An interesting feature of this sum rule is that it is the long range behavior of the half-diagonal two-body density matrix

$${}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}_{1};\mathbf{r}_{2};\mathbf{r}_{1}^{0};\mathbf{r}_{2}) = \langle {}^{\mathrm{y}}(\mathbf{r}_{1}) {}^{\mathrm{y}}(\mathbf{r}_{2}) (\mathbf{r}_{1}^{0}) (\mathbf{r}_{2}) \rangle$$
(26)

The occurrence of BEC is in fact not only relevant for the long range behavior of the one-body density matrix

<sup>(1)</sup> 
$$(\mathbf{r}_{i}\mathbf{r}^{0}) = \langle \mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{r}) (\mathbf{r}^{0}) \rangle$$
 (27)

xed by the law

$$\lim_{r^{0}! 1} (r; r^{0}) = n_{0};$$
(28)

but also for the one of the two-body matrix (26). The LRO in the 2-body density matrix (26) is naturally de ned by the equation [19]

$$\lim_{r_1^0 ! 1} (r_1; r_2; r_1^0; r_2) = n_0^2 (1 + F_1 (jr_1 r_2))$$
(29)

and is characterized by the condensate fraction  $n_0$  as well as by the function  $F_1$  (r). The properties of this function have been recently investigated in ref.[18,19]. The link between the sum rule (25) and the long range behavior of <sup>(2)</sup> is xed by the relation

$$<_{q}(a_{q} + a_{q}^{y}) > = \frac{p_{Nn_{0}}(1 + 2F_{1}(q))}{Nn_{0}}$$
 (30)

where  $F_1$  (q) is the Fourier transform of  $F_1$  (r). At low q the behavior of this function is xed by the density dependence of the condensate according to law [18]

$$\lim_{q! 0} \frac{1 + 2F_1(q)}{q} = \frac{1}{2n_0 m c} \frac{Q(n_0)}{Q} :$$
(31)

The occurrence of LRO in the two-body density matrix implies the existence of a non trivial relation for the chemical potential that can be obtained starting from the following expression holding in systems exhibiting Bose-Einstein condensation:

$$= E (N) E (N 1) = \langle H \rangle \frac{\langle a_0^{\gamma} H a_0 \rangle}{\langle a_0^{\gamma} H a_0 \rangle} :$$
(32)

Result (32) for the chem ical potential follows from the property that the p = 0 state in a Bose super uid plays the role of a reservoir and that consenquently adding (or destroying) a particle in this state yields the equilibrium state relative to the N + 1 (N 1) system. Starting from eq.(32) one easily derives the equation:

$$= \frac{\langle a_0^{Y} \mathbb{H}; a_0 ] \rangle}{\langle a_0^{Y} a_0 \rangle} = \frac{p}{\frac{1}{N n_0}} X \langle a_p^{Y} \rangle \langle p \rangle$$
(33)

U sing results (1) and (30) one then nds the exact non trivial relationship [18]

$$= drV (r) (1 + F_1 (r))$$
(34)

relating the LRO function  $F_1$  (r) to the chem ical potential of the system. Result (34) holds for any Bose system exhibiting condensation and interacting with central potentials.

The LRO function  $F_1$  (q) plays a crucial role in the coupling between the density

$$jF > = \frac{1}{N S(q)} q j0 >$$
(35)

and particle

$$jP > = \frac{1}{p - \frac{1}{n (q)}} a_q j0 >$$
(36)

states that provide natural approxim ations to the elementary excitations of a Bose system . Result (35) coincides with the most fam ous Bijl-Feynm an ansatz [20]. Both the density and particle pictures coincide with the exact eigenstates in the limit of a dilute Bose gas. For a strongly correlated liquid they provide only an approxim ate description. The coupling between the two states is dimentification zero because of occurrence of the Bose-E instein condensation and is given by [1]:

$$< F jP > = \frac{r}{\frac{n_0}{S(q)n(q)}} F_1(q)$$
 (37)

The coupling turns out to be complete when q ! 0 [in fact in this lim it one has  $S(q) = q=2m c; n(q) = n_0m c=2q$  and  $F_1(q) = \frac{1}{2}$ ] showing in an explicit way that in the hydrodynam ic lim it the density and particle picture of elementary excitations of a Bose condensed system coincide. The coupling between the density and particle pictures is at the basis of fundam ental properties exhibited by Bose super uids (for a recent exhaustive discussion see ref.[21]). It is nally interesting to compare the average excitations energies of the states (35) and (36). Both energies provide a rigorous upper bound to the energy of the lowest excited state of the system. The energy of the Feynman state (35) is given by the most famous law [20]

$$_{\rm F}$$
 (q) =  $\frac{\langle {\rm F} \ {\rm jH} \ {\rm jF} \rangle}{\langle {\rm F} \ {\rm jF} \rangle} = \frac{{\rm q}^2}{2{\rm m}\,{\rm S}\,({\rm q})}$  (38)

and is expressed in terms of static structure function. It is well known that in liquid  ${}^{4}\text{He}$  eq.(38) provides the exact dispersion in the low q phonon regime, while it gives only a poor description at higher momenta.

The energy of the particle state (36) takes instead the form [1]

$$_{P}(q) = \frac{q^{2}}{2m} \frac{W(q)}{n(q)}$$
 (39)

where is the chem ical potential and the quantity W (q) is given by

$$W (q) = dr_1 dr_1^{0} {}^{(2)} (r_1; 0; r_1^{0}; 0) e^{iq (r_1^{0} r_1)} V (r_1)$$
(40)

with  $^{(2)}(r_1;0;r_1^0;0)$  de ned in eq.(26).

It is also useful to note that, due to relation (33-34) for the chem ical potential, the particle energy (39) has no gap at q = 0 and vanishes linearly with q [1].

It is possible to obtain an explicit expression for the average value of the particle energy (39) in momentum space:

$$-_{P} = \frac{P}{\frac{q}{p}n(q)} \frac{n(q)}{q} \frac{n(q)}{q} :$$
 (41)

This average is sensitive to the values of  $_{P}$  (q) in the interval of m om enta where the quantity  $q^{2}n$  (q) has a signi cant weight. In super uid<sup>4</sup> H e this corresponds to the range q = 1 3A<sup>-1</sup> including the m axon and roton region. U sing the operator identity [22]  $P_{p}^{P}a_{p}^{Y}$  [H;  $a_{p}$ ] =  $E_{K}$  + 2V the average (41) takes the form

$$-_{\rm P} = \frac{1}{\rm N} (< E_{\rm K} > + 2 < \rm V >)$$
 (42)

where  $\langle E_K \rangle$  and  $\langle V \rangle$  are the kinetic energy and the potential energy relative to the ground state. At zero pressure, where  $=\frac{1}{N}$  ( $\langle E_K \rangle + \langle V \rangle$ ), eq.(42) yields  $\overline{P} = \langle V \rangle = N = 21$  22K in super uid <sup>4</sup>He. It is instructive to com pare the above value with the corresponding average of the Feynm an energy:

$$-_{F} = \frac{P}{\frac{q}{P}} \frac{n(q)}{q} \frac{r(q)}{r(q)} :$$
(43)

U sing m icroscopic estimates for S (q) and n (q) we  $nd_F = 24$  25K a value rather close to  $_P$ .

The fact that the energies of the density and particle states (35–36) turn out to be comparable in the most relevant region q = 1 3A<sup>1</sup> reveals the importance of a careful m icroscopic investigation of the coupling (induced by BEC) between the two pictures in order to get a better understanding of the nature of elementary excitations in Bose super uids.

## REFERENCES

- 1. S. Stringari, Phys. Rev. B 46, 2974 (1992);
- 2. D. Pines and Ph. Nozieres, The Theory of Quantum Liquids (Benjamin, New York 1966), Vol.I; Ph. Nozieres and D. Pines The Theory of Quantum Liquids (Addison-Wesley, 1990), Vol.II;
- 3. N.N.Bogoliubov, Phys. Abh. SU 6,1 (1962);
- 4. H.W agner, Z.Physik 195, 273 (1966);
- 5. P.C. Hohenberg, Phys. Rev. 158, 383 (1967);
- 6. N D.Merm in and H.W agner PhysRev Lett. 17, 1133 (1966);
- 7. N D. Mermin, Phys. Rev. 176, 250 (1968);
- 8. L.Pitaevskii and S.Stringari, J.Low Tem p. Phys. 85, (1991);

- 9. T. Gavoret and Ph. Nozieres, Ann. Phys. (NY) 28, 349 (1964);
- 10. L. Reatto and G. V. Chester, Phys. Rev. 155, 88 (1967);
- 11. L.Pitaevskii and S.Stringari, Phys. Rev. B 47, 10915, (1993);
- 12. S.G irvin and A.M acD onald, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 1252 (1987);
- 13. R B. Laughlin, PhysRevLett. 50, 1395 (1983);
- 14. S.C. Zhang, Int. J.M od. Phys. 6, 25 (1992);
- 15. W .Kohn, Phys.Rev. 123, 1242 (1961);
- 16. R.D. Pu , Phys. Rev. A 137, 406 (1965);
- 17. D. Pines and C.-W. Woo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 24, 1044 (1970);
- 18. S. Stringari. J. Low Tem p. Phys. 84, 279 (1991);
- 19. M L.Ristig and J.Clark, Phys. Rev. B 40, 4355 (1989);
- 20. A.Bijl, Physica 8, 655 (1940); R.P.Feynman, in Progress in Low Temperature Physics, vol.1, ed. by C.J.Gorter (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1955), Ch.2;
- 21. A. Grin, Excitations in a Bose-Condensed Liquid (Cambridge University Press, 1993);
- 22. A.L. Fetter and J.D. Walecka, Quantum Theory of Many-Particle Systems (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1971).