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#### Abstract

W e investigate the them odynam ic and critical properties of an interacting dom ain wallm odelwhich is derived from the triangular lattioe antiferro$m$ agnetic Ising $m$ odel $w$ ith the anisotropic nearest and next nearest neighbor interactions. Them odel is equivalent to the general ve\{vertex model. D iagonalizing the transfer $m$ atrix exactly by the Bethe A nsatz $m$ ethod, we obtain the phase diagram displaying the com $m$ ensurate and incom $m$ ensurate (IC) phases separated by the P okrovsky \{T alapov transitions. The phase diagram exhibits com $m$ ensurate phases where the dom ain wall density $q$ is locked at the values of $0,1=2$ and 1 . The IC phase is a critical state described by the Gaussian xed point. The e ective $G$ aussian coupling constant is obtained analytically and num erically for the IC phase using the nite size scaling predictions of the conform al eld theory. It takes the value $1=2$ in the noninteracting lim it and also at the boundaries of $q=0$ or 1 phase and the value 2 at the boundary of $q=1=2$ phase, while it varies sm oothly throughout the IC region. $05.50 .+\mathrm{q}, 05.70 \mathrm{Jk}, 64.60 \mathrm{Fr}, 64.70 \mathrm{Rh}$


## I. IN TRODUCTION

There has been much interest in the two dim ensional statistical mechanical system $s$ which exhibit modulated phases on the periodic substrate [1]. Am ong those system $s$ are $m$ onolayers of physisorbed gas on solid surface which display incom $m$ ensurate (IC ) and commensurate (C) phases. In the dom ain wall description of IC phases [ 7 ], dom ain walls separating com $m$ ensurate patches are considered as the basic uctuating degrees of freedom. The dom ain walls can be arranged either parallel to each other (striped dom ain wall) or in hexagonal pattem (honeycomb dom ain wall) depending on the dom ain wall crossing energy 目]. The sim plest type of com $m$ ensurate $\{$ incom $m$ ensurate ( $C$ (IC) transition is the Pokrovsky \{Talapov (PT) transition [4] which describes the transition into striped IC phase. Here, the uctuations of the striped dom ain wall cause an e ective repulsive interaction betw een walls. The interaction varies as $1=l^{3}$ if $l$ is the average distance between walls. $D$ ue to this repulsive interaction between dom ain walls, the C \{IC transition to the striped IC phase is a continuous transition with the speci cheat exponent $=1=2$ and the dom ain wall density displays a square root dependence on the chem ical potential of dom ain wall if we approach the phase boundary from the incom $m$ ensurate side. The theory is explicitly realized in ferm ion $m$ odels of striped IC phases where dom ain walls are represented as world lines of ferm ions living in one dim ensional chain. Free ferm ion m odel is also obtained as low tem perature approxim ation to the ANNN Im odel 目]. In these models, the IC phase is a critical phase where the correlation functions decay by the power law s of the distance rather than by the exponential function of the distance. Recently, Park and W idom showed that the IC phasem odeled by free ferm ion ham iltonian is described in the continuum lim it by the $G$ aussian $m$ odel $w$ ith the coupling constant $g=1=2$ by explicit calculation of the toroidal partition function [6]. E ect ofdom ain wall interaction has also been studied in the ferm ion model derived from an approxim ation to the A NNN Im odel 7] and in a phenom enological model [ G $^{6}$.

In this paper, we consider an exactly solvable interacting dom ain wall m odel derived
from the triangular lattice antiferrom agnetic Ising model (TAF IM). It is well known that the TAFIM w ith the only nearest neighbor coupling has in nitely degenerate ground states due to frustration on each elem entary triangles. Each ground state can be mapped into a con guration of covering the plane by three types of diam onds. $B$ lote and H ithorst [8] introduced a solid-on-solid $m$ odelderived from these con gurations. Regarding tw o types of diam onds as dom ain wall excitations, one also obtains a striped dom ain wall con guration. B lote and H ithorst [ $\left.{ }^{[ }\right]$utilized this connection to obtain exact solution to the non-interacting dom ain wall problem. A s the fiugacities of walls change, there is a phase transition from an ordered phase to the critically disordered phase which is described by the G aussian xed point $w$ ith the coupling constant $g=2$. The nature of the transition is found to be that of the PT transition [7]. N ienhuis et al. [9] identi ed various spin wave and vortex operators of the $G$ aussian $m$ odel in term $s$ of the solid-on-solid $m$ odel and argued that in nitesim al next nearest neighbor ( $\mathrm{n} n \mathrm{n}$ ) interactions and $m$ agnetic eld in TAF $\mathbb{M}$ would change the coupling constant $g$ of the $G$ aussian $m$ odel. From this they suggested a schem atic phase diagram in the param eter space com posed of the nearest neighbor interactions, the nnn interactions and the extemalm agnetic eld. M ore recently the ect of the extemalm agnetic eld on g has been studied by B lote et al [10] and the behaviors predicted in Ref. [9] is con m ed.

W e show in Sec. $\mathbb{Z}$ that the ground state con gurations of the TAF IM under the general boundary conditions are equivalent to the striped dom ain wallcon gurations. W hen the nnn interactions in the TAFIM are tumed on in an anisotropic $m$ anner, they correspond to extra energies betw een adjacent dom ain walls. O nly the sam e types of walls can touch each other and there are two types of wall interactions. $W$ e also show in Sec. \# that the striped dom ain wallcon guration is exactly $m$ apped to the arrow con guration of the 5 \{vertex $m$ odel. But, if both types of wall interactions are present, the Boltzm ann weight cannot be represented by a product of vertex weights. H ow ever, when only one type of dom ain walls interacts each other, it can be w ritten as a product of vertex weights and the partially interacting dom ain wall m odel reduces to the general 5 \{vertex m odel.

In Sec. III $^{2}$ we diagonalize the transfer $m$ atrix of the 5 -vertex $m$ odel using the Bethe

A nsatz $m$ ethod. W e develop Bethe ansatz solutions both for dom ain wall and dom ain wall hole. From these solutions, we obtain full phase diagram of the partially interacting $m$ odel. The phase diagram displays the C and IC phases separated by the PT transition and the rst order transition. It also exhibits a new com mensurate phase where the dom ain wall density is locked to the value $1=2$ for a range of the chem ical potential of the w all. T his phase does not appear in the non-interacting dom ain wall m odels and is a feature resulting from the dom ain wall interactions. This is akin to the antiferrom agnetically ordered phase of the ANNN Im odel.

In Sec. $\mathbb{I V}$, we investigate the critical properties of the IC phase. It is show that the interaction betw een dom ain walls causes a continuous variation of the coupling constant $g$ of the $G$ aussian $m$ odel resulting in non-universal criticalbehaviors. It is studied by analytic perturbative calculations and num erical calculations. We discuss and sum marize our result in Sec. V and present discussions on the Yang\{B axter equation and the calculation of the $m$ odular covariant partition functions of the $T=0$ TAF $\mathbb{M}$ under the general boundary conditions in A ppendix $A$ and $B$, respectively.
II. TRANSFER MATRIX FORMULATION OF $\mathbb{I N T E R A C T I N G D O M A \mathbb { N } W A L L}$ M ODEL

We write the ham iltonian $H$ including $1=k T$ of the TAF $\mathbb{I M}$ with the nearest and next nearest neighbor interaction as
$w$ here $s_{i}=1$ is an Ising spin variable at site $i$, the rst (second) sum is over the nearest (next nearest) neighbor pairs of sites, $K+{ }_{a}\left({ }_{a}\right), a=1 ; 2 ; 3$; are the anisotropic nearest (next nearest) neighbor couplings whose index a depends on the direction of the bond < ij> (<< ij>> ) as shown in Fig. 1 (a), and nally $N$ is the num ber of lattioe sites.

M onte C arlo sim ulation and other studies 11,12] show that this system has rich critical phenom ena in the fiullparam eter space. But, we willonly consider the zero tem perature lim it
of this system. By the zero tem perature lim 计, we actually $m$ ean the in nite coupling lim it K ! 1 leaving $j^{\prime}$ 's and " ${ }_{j}$ 's nite. Eq. (1) in this lim it will be called the $T=0$ TAF IM. Here, only those con gurations whid have precisely one pair of parallel spins around each elem entary triangle are energetically allow ed. Though this im poses m uch restriction on the spin con gurations, it is im portant to study this lim iting case because the $=0$ TAF $\mathbb{M}$ is equivalent to $m$ any interesting problem $s, ~ e . g$. diam ond and/or dim er covering problem [0] and triangular solid-on-solid $m$ odel [ [13]. M oreover, the $T \in 0$ behavior of the TAF IM can be inferred from the $T=0$ behavior.

Here, we will show that the $T=0$ TAFIM with nnn interaction is equivalent to the interacting striped dom ain wallm odelwhere the nnn interaction $"_{j}(j=1 ; 2)$ plays the role of wall\{wall interactions. If we draw lines betw een all nearest neighbor pairs of antiparallel spins for a given ground state con guration of the TAF $\mathbb{M}$, the resulting con guration is that of a covering of the plane by diam onds. Fig. 2 shows a typical TAF $\mathbb{M}$ ground state and its corresponding diam ond covering con guration. T he three types of diam onds are called as type 1,2 and 3, respectively as shown in Fig. 1 (b). Strictly speaking, there is two-to-one correspondence because of the global spin reversal sym m etry of the TAF $\mathbb{I M}$ in the absence ofm agnetic eld.

From a diam ond covering con guration, a striped dom ain wall con guration is obtained by regarding the diam onds of type 1 and 2 as dom ain wall excitations. Type 3 diam onds are regarded as the vacuum. Thick lines on the faces of type 1 and 2 diam onds in $F$ ig 1 (b) and $F$ ig. 2 visualize the dom ain walls. A section of dom ain walls which is obtained from the diam ond of type 1 and 2 will be called the dom ain wall of type 1 and 2, respectively. Two walls are de ned to be interacting when their sides touch each other. T he therm odynam ic param eters which control the equilibrium property of interacting striped dom ain wallsystem are the fugacities $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ of dom ain walls of type 1 and 2, respectively, and the fingacities $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ for each pair of adjacent dom ain walls of type 1 and 2, respectively. N ote that di erent types of dom ain walls cannot be adjacent. The partition function $Z_{\text {d.w. }}$. for the interacting dom ain wall m odel is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{d} . \mathrm{w} .}={ }^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \mathrm{x}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}_{1}} \mathrm{x}_{2}^{\mathrm{n}_{2}} \mathrm{y}_{1}^{\mathrm{l}_{1}} \mathrm{y}_{2}^{\mathrm{l}_{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here the sum $m$ ation is taken over all striped dom ain $w$ all con gurations and $n_{i}$ is the total length of dom ain wall of type i and $l_{i}$ is the total num ber of incidents $w$ here dom ain $w$ alls of type i touch each other and share a side, i.e. the num ber of wall\{wall interactions of type i.
$W$ hen $"_{3}=0$ in Eq. (1), the energy of the $T=0$ TAF $\mathbb{M}$ can be written in term $s$ of $n_{i}$ and $l_{i}$. $N$ earest neighbor interactions contribute [8] sim ply

$$
\sum_{i=1 ; 2 ; 3}^{X}(i+j+k) n_{i}
$$

where $(i ; j ; k)$ is the cyclic perm utation of $(1 ; 2 ; 3)$ and $n_{3}=N \quad n_{1} \quad n_{2}$ is the total num ber of type 3 diam onds. From now on we set $3=0$ w thout loss of generally. To relate the nnn interaction energies to $l_{i}$, consider rst the bonds connecting nnn pair of sites along the direction 1. (See Fig. 1 (a).) They cross either (a) two type 2 dom ain walls or (b) two type 3 diam onds or (c) one type 2 wall and one type 3 diam ond or (d) one type 1 dom ain wall. These possibilities are show $n$ in $F i g$. 3. If we let $n_{a} ; n_{b} ; n_{c}$ and $n_{d}$ be the num ber of cases $(a),(b),(c)$ and (d), respectively, the bonds contribute $"_{1}\left(n_{a}+n_{b} \quad n_{c} \quad n_{d}\right)$ to the energy. B ut one can easily identify $n_{d}=n_{1}$ and $n_{a}=l_{2}$. M oreover each of type 2 wall is crossed by two nnn bonds so that it appears tw ige in the list of $F$ ig. 3 while the total num ber of type 2 walls counted in F ig. 3 is $2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{c}}$. Thus $2 \mathrm{n}_{2}=2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{c}}$. These relations, together with the sum nule $n_{a}+n_{b}+n_{c}+n_{d}=N$, give the energy

$$
"_{1}\left(\mathbb{N}+4 l_{2} \quad 4 n_{2} \quad 2 n_{1}\right) \quad:
$$

Sim ilar counting holds for nnn bonds along the direction 2.
Putting these together, the ground state energy of Eq. [1) for $"_{3}=0$ becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{0}= & 2\left(y_{1}+"_{1}+2 "_{2}\right) n_{1} \quad 2\left({ }_{2}+"_{2}+2 "_{1}\right) n_{2} \\
& +4 "_{2} I_{1}+4 "_{1} I_{2}+N\left(y_{1}+2+"_{1}+"_{2}\right): \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus the fugacities for the interacting dom ain wallm odel are related to anisotropic coupling energies of the TAFIM m odel as

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}=\exp \left[2\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 3
\end{array}\right)+2 "_{1}+4 "_{2}\right] \\
& x_{2}=\exp \left[2\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 3
\end{array}\right)+2 "_{2}+4 "_{1}\right]  \tag{4}\\
& y_{1}=\exp \left[\begin{array}{ll}
4 n_{2}
\end{array}\right] \\
& y_{2}=\exp \left[\begin{array}{ll}
4 \prime \prime
\end{array}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

N ext, we show that to each striped dom ain wall con guration, one can assign a vertex con guration. To do this we deform the triangular lattioe into the square one as show $n$ in Fig. 4. O ne then nds that there are 5 types of unit squares. Fig. 5 show s them together w ith assignm ent of vertex con gurations. If one w orks under the ioe rule, the assignm ent of vertices show $n$ in $F$ ig. 5 is unique $m$ odulo the arrow reversal.

In this way, we obtain one-to-one correspondence betw een striped dom ain wall con gurations and bond arrow con gurations satisfying the ice rule. Vertical up arrow indicates the presence of a dom ain wall. In the TAF IM language, vertical up arrows correspond to horizontalnearest neighbor spin pairs which have opposite signs and right arrow s correspond to vertical nearest neighbor spin pairs which have the sam e signs. The absence of the third vertex (or the fourth upon arrow reversal) is a result of our deform ing the triangular lattioe in the $m$ anner show $n$ in Fig. 4. If it were deform ed in the opposite direction, it is the rst vertex (or the second upon the arrow reversal) which does not appear. In any case, one obtains the 5 \{vertex $m$ odel con gurations.

The 5 \{vertex m odel on the square lattioe is obtained from the 6 \{vertex m odel by suppressing one of the rst four vertioes. The 5 \{vertex $m$ odel $w$ ith special choioe of its vertex weights was rst considered by W u [14] as a lim iting case of the $6\{$ vertex m odel and is studied in connection w ith the non-intersecting directed random walk 19] and the directed percolation problem in three dim ension 16]. Recently, G ulacsi et al 17] studied its phase diagram for a special case. The general 5 \{vertex $m$ odel is obtained by assigning arbitrary vertex weights to each type of vertioes but there are only three independent param eters since the vertioes 5 and 6 always occur in pairs along a row under the periodic boundary condition and a global rescaling of weights introduces only a trivial factor.

The partition function $\mathrm{Z}_{5-\mathrm{v}}$ of the 5 \{vertex m odel is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{5-v}={ }^{X} \quad W_{1}^{N_{1}} w_{2}^{N}{ }^{N} w_{4}^{N_{4}} W_{5}^{N_{5}^{5}} w_{6}^{N 6} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here the sum $m$ ation is taken over allarrow con gurations and $N_{i}$ is the num ber of the $i$-th vertex appearing in an arrow con guration. Unfortunately, if we assign Boltzm ann weight of an arrow con guration as a product of local vertex weights, we can not treat the fully interacting dom ain wallm odel ("1 $\ddagger 0 ; "_{2} \notin$ case). H ow ever, if we restrict ourselves to the special case $"_{1}=0\left(y_{2}=1\right)$, then Eq. (1) can be expressed in the form ofEq. (5). From now on, we consider the partially interacting $m$ odelwhere only dom ain walls of type 1 interact.

The vertex of type 1 represents the case where the two dom ain walls of type 1 are interacting. So, if we choose vertex weights as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{w}_{1} & =\mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{y}_{1} \\
\mathrm{w}_{2} & =1  \tag{6}\\
\mathrm{w}_{4} & =\mathrm{x}_{2} \\
\mathrm{w}_{5}=\mathrm{w}_{6} & =\mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{x}_{1}}
\end{align*}
$$

the partition function $Z_{5-v}$ becom es the sam e as that of the partially interacting dom ain wall system;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{5-\mathrm{v}}={ }^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{x}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}_{1}} \mathrm{x}_{2}^{\mathrm{n}_{2}} y_{1}^{\mathrm{l}_{1}} \quad: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e study the 5 \{vertex $m$ odel using the transfer $m$ atrix. Suppose the lattice has $M$ row s and N colum ns, and periodic boundary conditions are im posed in both directions. Let
$=(1 ; \quad \mathrm{N})$; denote the state of vertical arrow s of one row. Then, as usual, we can write the partition function $Z_{5-v}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{5-\mathrm{v}}=\operatorname{Tr} \mathrm{T}_{5}^{M} \mathrm{v} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{5-v}$ is the $2^{N}$ by $2^{N}$ transfer $m$ atrix $w$ th elem ents

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{5-\mathrm{v}}(;)=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}=1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{~W}\left(\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}+1}\right) \quad \text { : } \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Eq. (G), W ( ; j; ) is the weight of the vertex con guration in the standard notation [18]. Let $T_{I}\left(T_{R}\right)$ be the transferm atrix of the 5 \{vertex $m$ odelw ith the rst horizontal arrow xed to the left (right). This can be w ritten graphically as


Then the transfer m atrix can be w rilten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{5-\mathrm{v}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{R}}+\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{L}} \quad: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the ice nule, the num ber of up arrow s on a row and right arrow s on a colum $n$ are conserved. In the language of dom ain wall, the num ber of up arrow s per row corresponds to the num ber of dom ain walls per row and the num ber of right arrow sper colum $n$ corresponds to the num ber of type 1 dom ain walls per colum $n$. $W$ ewill call them $Q$ and , respectively. From the conservation of,$T_{5-v}$ is a direct sum of subm atrices labeled by Q which only act on the subspace with $Q$ dom ain walls. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{5-v}=\sum_{Q=0 ;}^{M} \quad \underset{; N}{\left(T_{R ; Q}+T_{L ; Q}\right)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{L}$ stands for the direct sum and $T_{R ; Q}\left(T_{L ; Q}\right)$ denotes the sector $Q$ of $T_{R}\left(T_{L}\right)$.
The partition function $Z_{5-v}$ of the 5 \{vertex m odel is obtained from the partition function $Z_{\text {tafm }}$ of the $T=0$ TAFIM as follow. Suppose the triangular lattice has $M$ rows and $N$ colum ns as in Fig. 2 under the boundary condition (; ) de ned by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{M}+1}=(1) \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i} ; 1} & (=0 ; 1)  \tag{12}\\
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}+1 ; j}=(1) \mathrm{s}_{1 ; j} & (=0 ; 1)
\end{array}
$$

where , are 0 (1) for periodic (anti-periodic) boundary condition. Let $s=\left(s_{1}\right.$;
к) ; S denote the spin state ofone row. It can also be represented by $\left(\mathrm{s}_{1} ;\right)$ where $=\left(1 ;{ }_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$; and ${ }_{i}=s s_{i+1} \cdot W$ ith the identi cation of $=1$ ( 1 ) to the up (down) arrow in the
i-th verticalbond in the dual lattige, one notes that is the arrow con guration of a row of vertical bonds of the corresponding 5 \{vertex $m$ odel con guration. The transfer $m$ atrix $T_{\text {TAFM }}^{()}$of the $T=0 T A F \mathbb{M}$ is de ned through its matrix element $T_{\text {TAFM }}^{(1)}\left(s_{1} ; j s_{1}^{0} ;{ }^{0}\right.$ ) which is the Boltzm ann weight for two successive row con gurations ( $\mathrm{s}_{1} ;$ ) and ( $\mathrm{s}_{1}^{0} ;{ }^{0}$ ) w ith the boundary condition along the horizontal direction. D ue to the global spin reversal sym $m$ etry, it takes the block form
where $T_{s s^{0}}\left(s ; s^{0}=\right)$ is the $m$ atrix whose elem ents are $T_{\text {TAFM }}^{(1)}\left(s ; j s^{0} ;{ }^{0}\right)$ and denotes an equivalence up to the sim ilarity transform ation. $W$ e use the fact that $T_{s^{0}}=T \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~s}^{0}$. If we denote the partition function of the $T=0$ TAF $\mathbb{M}$ under the boundary condition (; ) as $Z_{\text {TAFM }}^{(;)}$, it can be wrilten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\text {TAFM }}^{(;)}=\operatorname{TrR}{ }^{\mathrm{T}_{\text {TAFM }}^{()}}{ }^{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{M}}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is the spin reversal operator.
Since the sign of spin reverses by crossing each dom ain wall in the horizontal direction, spin con gurations under the boundary condition $=0$ (1) yield only dom ain wall con gurationsw ith $Q$ even (odd). Therefore, $T_{++}$and $T_{+}$in Eq. (13) are $T_{R}$ and $T_{L}$, respectively, of the 5 \{vertex $m$ odel restricted to $Q$ even (odd) sectors for $=0(1)$. This show s that the transfer $m$ atrix spectra of the tw o m odels are not identical. O nly the even or odd Q sector ofEq. [11) are present in Eq. [13) while the latter includes the block $T_{++} \quad T_{+}$, which is not present in the 5 \{vertex $m$ odel. A nd the spin con gurations under the boundary condition $=0$ (1) yield only dom ain wallcon gurationswith even (odd) assum ing that $M$ is even, since the sign of spin changes in every step except when crossing a type 1 dom ain wall in the verticaldirection. IfM is odd, even (odd) corresponds to $=1(0)$. So, the partition function $\mathrm{Z}_{5-\mathrm{v}}$ of the 5 \{vertex m odel is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{5-\mathrm{v}}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{TAFM}}^{(0 ; 0)}+\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{TAFM}}^{(0 ; 1)}+\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{TAFM}}^{(0 ; 1)}+\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{TAFM}}^{(1 ; 1)} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the factor $1=2$ accounts for the tw o-to-one correspondence. This relation will be used in Sec. $\mathbb{I V}$ to obtain the toroidal partition function $\mathbb{Z}_{5-v}$ of the 5 \{vertex $m$ odel.

## III. P H A SE D IA G RAM

The ve\{vertex $m$ odel transfer $m$ atrix can be diagonalized by the $B$ ethe ansatz $m$ ethod as a special case of the general six \{vertex $m$ odel [14]. Its phase diagram has recently been calculated by Gulacsi et al. [17] for the special case of $w_{1}=w_{2}$ \#. In this section, we generalize it to the full three dim ensional param eter space and also caloulate the dom ain wall densities. $W$ e also discuss types of solutions of the Bethe ansatz equation (BAE) of the 5 \{vertex model.

The eigenvalues of the transfer $m$ atrix Eq. (9) in the sector $Q\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ are given by [14) 17]

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=W_{2}^{N} Q_{W_{4}^{e}}^{Q_{j=1}^{Q}} 1+\frac{W_{5} W_{6}}{W_{2} W_{4}} z_{j} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the set $f z_{1} ; z_{2} ;::: ; z_{Q} g$ are the solutions of the BAE

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{j}^{\mathrm{N}}=(\quad 1)^{1^{1}}{ }_{l=1}^{\mathrm{Q}} \frac{1}{1} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{z}_{1} \quad ; \quad j=1 ; 2 ;::: ; Q \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\mathrm{w}_{1} \mathrm{~W}_{2} \mathrm{w}_{5} \mathrm{~W}_{6}}{\mathrm{w}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{4}}: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

All $z_{j}$ 's should be distinct. $W$ hen $Q=N, N_{N}=w_{1}^{N}+w_{4}^{N}$.
An altemative expression for the eigenvalue which is usefiul for $Q>N=2$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=w_{1}^{Q}{ }_{j=1}^{Q^{2}} \frac{w_{5} W_{6}}{w_{1} z_{j} W_{4}}+w_{4}^{Q}{ }_{j=1}^{Q^{Q}} w_{2} \frac{w_{5} w_{6} z_{j}}{w_{1} z_{j} W_{4}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q \quad N \quad Q$ is the num ber of dom ain wall holes and the set $f_{\mathfrak{Z} ;} z_{2} ;::: ; z_{Q} g$ is again given by Eq. (17) w ith Q replaced by Q. W e call Eq. (16) and Eq. 19) the dom ain wall

[^0]representation and the dom ain wall hole representation, respectively. U sing Eq. (6) into Eqs. (16), (18) and (19) gives $\&$ in term s of the dom ain wall param eters as
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho=x_{2}^{Q_{j=1}^{y^{2}}}\left(1+a z_{j}\right) \tag{16'}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=x_{2}^{Q}(+a)^{Q^{Q}}{ }_{j=1}^{\left.\sum^{( }+a\right) z_{j} 1}+x_{2}^{Q}{ }_{j=1}^{y^{Q}} 1 \frac{a z_{j}}{(+a) z_{j} 1} \tag{19'}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is the ratio of two dom ain wall fugacities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{x}_{1}=\mathrm{x}_{2} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
=x_{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
y_{1} & 1 \tag{18'}
\end{array}\right)=x_{2}=a\left(y_{1} \quad 1\right) \quad:
$$

W ew illcall the interaction param eter. It is positive for attractive interaction and negative for repulsive interaction betw een dom ain walls.

De ning the $m$ om enta $f p_{j} g$ by $z_{j}=e^{i p_{j}}, E q$. (17) also takes the fam iliar form

$$
\begin{equation*}
N p_{j}=2 I_{j}+x_{l=1}^{x^{2}} \quad\left(p_{j} ; p_{l}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i(p ; q)} \quad \frac{1}{1} e^{e^{i p}} e^{i q} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $I_{j}$ 's are half-integers for even $Q$ and integers for odd $Q$ ranging from $(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)=2$ to (N 1)=2. D i erent eigenvalues com $e$ from di erent choiges of the set $f I_{j} g$.

The BAE $m$ ay take another form. If we de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{s}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{Q}}^{\mathrm{X}} \ln \left(1 \quad \mathrm{z}_{1}\right) \quad ; \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the BAE becom es

$$
\left.z_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 \tag{24}
\end{array}\right)^{(Q} \quad 1\right)=N \quad\left(1 \quad z_{j}\right)^{q} e^{q s}
$$

where $q=Q=N$ is the dom ain walldensity. This equation gives $z_{j}$ 's as a function of $s$ which should, in tum, be determ ined from its de ning equation (23).
$N$ ote that the BAE (Eq. (17)) arises from the periodic boundary condition on the wave function of $\mathrm{T}_{5-\mathrm{v}}$ [19]. It is also interesting to consider another boundary condition, say, the anti-periodic boundary condition. The e ect of the boundary condition is to shift dom ain walls out of the N th site to the rst site w ith appropriate phase factor 1 ( 1 ) for periodic (anti-periodic) boundary condition. The shift operation is done by the operator $T_{R}$. So, if we im pose anti-periodic boundary condition, the resulting $m$ atrix we diagonalize is $T_{L} \quad T_{R}$. In this case, the expression for eigenvalues rem ains the sam e except the fact that $I_{j}$ should be integers for even $Q$ and half-integers for odd $Q$. So, we can obtain whole spectrum of the transfer $m$ atrix of the $T=0$ TAF $\mathbb{M}$ from the transfer $m$ atrix of the 5 \{vertex $m$ odel under periodic and anti-periodic boundary conditions. $N$ ote that the anti-periodic boundary condition here is di erent from that which reverses the sense of horizontal arrow s.
$T$ he free energy in the language of the dom ain $w$ all physics is a function of $x_{1} ; x_{2}$ and $y_{1}$ through Eq. (G). From now on, we regard it as a function of ; $x_{2}$ and $a=x_{1}=x_{2}$. Since the free energy is given by the $m$ axim um eigenvalue of the transfer $m$ atrix, $f\left(x_{2} ; a ;\right)$, the free energy per site in the units of kT , is w ritten in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(\mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{a} ;\right) & =\lim _{\mathrm{N}!1} \max _{Q} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}} \ln Q \\
& =\max _{\mathrm{q}}\left[\mathrm{q} \ln \mathrm{x}_{2}+\quad(\mathrm{q})\right] \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

where (q), which will be called the con gurational free energy, is given by

Here, $f z_{j} g^{\prime \prime}$ s are the solutions of the BAE .T he equation of state which relates the equilibrium dom ain wall density $q$ as a function of them odynam ic param eters is given by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{q}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{a} ;\right)=\frac{\mathrm{Q}_{0}}{\mathrm{~N}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $Q_{0}$ is the value of $Q$ at which $Q$ attains the $m$ axim um value. The equation of state can be rew ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ q}(q ; a ;)=\ln x_{2} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

if ( $q$ ) is a di erentiable and convex function. The con gurational free energy (q) is a Legendre transform ation of $f$. That is, it is a free energy as a function of dom ain wall density while $f$ is a free energy as a function of the dom ain wall fugacity.

W e now classify types of solutions of the BAE corresponding to the m axim um eigenvalue. F irst, consider the case $1 \ll 1$. This region contains the non-interacting case w ith $=0$ which is considered in 44. In this case, the function de ned in Eq. (22)is identically 0 . So, any set $f I_{j} g$ of $Q$ di erent num bers are solutions of the BAE and the solution giving
 assum $e$ that this set $f I_{j} g$ still gives the $m$ axim um eigenvalue even after tuming-on of weak interaction and rem ains so in the whole region $1 \ll 1$. This assum ption is tested by direct num erical diagonalization of the transfer $m$ atrix $w$ ith $N$ up to $15 . \mathrm{W}$ e call this type of solution as the free $m$ agnon type.

W hen $j$ j> 1, there appear other types of solutions. A ssum e that the solution is of the form,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
z_{j}=b_{j} z_{j} \quad ; \quad j=1 ;::: ; N_{+} \\
z_{j}=z_{j} & \left.; j=\left(\mathbb{N}_{+}+1\right) ;::: ; \mathbb{N}_{+}+N_{0}\right)  \tag{29}\\
z_{j}=\frac{1}{1+a_{j}} ; \quad j=\left(\mathbb{N}_{+}+N_{0}+1\right) ;::: ; Q
\end{array}
$$

where $a_{j}$ and $b_{j}$ are constants greater than 0 and $z_{j}$ 's are assum ed to rem ain of order 1 as $j \mathrm{j}!1$. In other words, of $Q \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{j}}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{s}_{\boldsymbol{r}} \mathrm{N}_{+}$are diverging, $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ rem ain nite and $\mathrm{N}=$ Q $\left(\mathbb{N}_{+}+N_{0}\right)$ vanish inversely as j j! 1 . Then, the necessary condition that this set should be a solution of the BAE is either (i) $N_{+}=N=0$ so that all $z_{j}$ 's are of order 1 or (ii) $\mathrm{N}_{0}=0 ; \mathrm{b}_{j}=\left(\mathrm{Q} \quad \mathrm{N}_{+}\right)=\mathrm{N}_{+}$and $\mathrm{a}_{j}=(\mathbb{N} \quad Q)=\mathrm{N}_{+} \cdot \mathrm{W}$ e also call the rst type as the free $m$ agnon type while the second type will.be called as the bounded $m$ agnon type. Of these
possibilities, one can easily show that the con gurational free energy is realized by the free $m$ agnon type if ! 1 and the bounded $m$ agnon type with $N_{+}=1$ if ! $1 . W e$ nd num erically this feature also persists for all in the range $j$ j> 1 .

It is very di cult to obtain the equation of state (Eq. (48)) analytically for whole range of param eters $x_{2} ; a$ and . But, the phase boundaries which separate the com $m$ ensurate phases w ith dom ain wall density 0 or 1 from the incom $m$ ensurate phase can be obtained if we solve the BAE in the $q$ ! 0 or 1 lim it. Apart from the $C$ phases with dom ain wall density 0 or 1 , there appears new a C phase with $q=1=2$ if a is large so that can take values less than som e critical value c. (Below, we will see that c takes the value 4.) C onsider the case w here dom ain walls of type 1 are $m$ uch $m$ ore favorable to form than those oftype $2\left(a=x_{1}=x_{2} \quad 1\right)$ and there are repulsive interaction betw een them $\left(y_{1}<1\right)$ so that the interaction param eter is less than $c$. Then, the $m$ ost energetically favorable state for $q \quad 1=2$ is the state where there are only type 1 dom ain walls $w$ th no adjacent pairs. But, if $q$ is larger than $1=2$, there should appear type 2 walls and adjacent pairs of dom ain walls of type 1 whose energy costs are large. So, it is expected that there is a discontinuity in $x_{2}$ which controls the total num ber of dom ain walls across the $q=1=2$ line. The phase boundary of the $q=1=2 \mathrm{C}$ phase can be also determ ined analytically. O ur results for the phase boundary are given by Eqs. (32), (35), (36), (46), (56) and (67) and are illustrated in Fig. 7.
(i) $<1 ; q=0$

In the region $<1$, the free $m$ agnon type solution in the $q$ ! $0 \lim$ it is

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{j}=e^{i j} 1+\frac{q}{1} 1 e^{j_{j}^{j}}+O\left(q^{3}\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j_{j}=2 I_{j}=N$ and $f I_{j} g$ is a set of integers or half-integers depending on the parity of the dom ain wall num ber $Q$. The maxim um value of $(q)$ is obtained if we choose the set $f I_{j} g=f \quad(Q \quad 1)=2 ; \quad(Q \quad 1)=2+1 ;::: ;\left(\begin{array}{ll}(Q) & 1)=2 g \text { and the next largest values of }(q) \text { are }\end{array}\right.$ obtained by using the set $f I_{j}^{0}=I_{j}+m g$ which is a shift of the set $f I_{j} g$ by an integer $m$. $W$ ith this solution, the con gurational free energy is given from Eq. (26) by

$$
\begin{align*}
(q) & =\frac{1}{2}^{z}{ }_{q}^{q} \ln 1+a e^{i} 1+\frac{q}{1}\left(1 \quad e^{j}\right) \quad d+O\left(q^{4}\right) \\
& =q \ln (1+a) \frac{a^{2}}{6(1+a)} q^{3}+O\left(q^{4}\right): \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

This, together with Eq. (2G), im plies that $q=0$ if $x_{2} \quad 1=(1+a)$. Thus, we obtain the phase boundary $\mathrm{x}_{2}=\mathrm{x}_{0 \mathrm{C}}$ betw een the $\mathrm{q}=0 \mathrm{C}$ phase and the IC phase as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0 c}=\frac{1}{1+a} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently $x_{1}+x_{2}=1$. For $x_{2}$ slightly larger that $x_{0 c}$, Eq. (31) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{\prime} \quad \frac{s}{\frac{2(1+a)}{a^{2}}}\left(\ln x_{2} \quad \ln x_{\text {OC }}\right)^{1=2} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dom ain wall density thus show s the square root dependence on dom ain wall form ation energy which is the general character of the PT transition. This type of singularity is originated from the fact that the leading contribution (q) aside from the linear term is of order $q^{3}$. It is originated from the entropy reduction due to the collision ofdom ain walls 20]. (ii) $<1$; $q=1$

Next, consider the case near $q=1$. In this case, it is easier to consider the BAE for dom ain wall hole rather than dom ain wall. Inserting Eq. (3G) with q replaced by $q$ into Eq. (191), we obtain (q) near $q=1 . q=1 \quad q$ is a dom ain wall hole density. There are two cases to consider depending on whether $+a>1$ or $+a<1$.W hen $+a>1$, the rst term in the right hand side of Eq. 191) dom inates and hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& (q)=q \ln (+a)+\frac{1}{N}_{j=1}^{x^{2}} \ln \frac{a}{(+a) z_{j} 1} \\
& d=\ln (+a) \quad q \ln \frac{(+a)(+a \quad 1)}{a} \frac{q^{3}}{6} \frac{{ }^{2}(+a)}{(+a \quad 1)^{2}}+O\left(q^{4}\right): \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

From this, the phase boundary $\mathrm{x}_{2}=\mathrm{x}_{1 \mathrm{C}}$ between $\mathrm{q}=1 \mathrm{C}$ phase and IC phase is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{1 \mathrm{C}}=\frac{\mathrm{a}}{(+\mathrm{a})(+\mathrm{a} 1)} \quad(+a>1) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the equilibrium dom ain wall density near $\mathrm{x}_{1 \mathrm{c}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{\prime} 1 \underset{t}{\mathrm{u}} \overline{\frac{2(+a \quad 1)^{2}}{2(+a)}}\left(\ln x_{1 C} \quad \ln x_{2}\right)^{1=2} \quad: \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly, when $+a<1$, the con gurational free energy is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& (q)=\frac{1}{N}_{j=1}^{x^{2}} \ln 1 \frac{a}{(+a) z_{j} \quad 1}{ }^{\text {" }} \\
& \left.=q \ln \frac{1}{1} \quad a \quad \frac{1}{6} q^{3} \frac{a^{2}(1}{} \frac{a}{}{ }^{2}\right) ~\left(\begin{array}{lll}
+a & 1)^{2}( & 1)^{2}
\end{array}+O\left(q^{4}\right) \quad ;\right. \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

from which the phase boundary $x_{2}=x_{1 c}$ and the equilibrium dom ain wall density near $x_{1 c}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{1 \mathrm{C}}=\frac{1}{1} \quad \mathrm{a} \quad(+\mathrm{a}<1) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.q^{\prime} 1 \stackrel{\left.\stackrel{v}{u} \bar{t} \frac{2(+a}{} 1\right)^{2}(\quad 1)^{2}}{a^{2}(1} \frac{a}{2}\right) \quad\left(\ln x_{1 c} \quad \ln x_{2}\right)^{1=2} \quad: \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, we conclude that when $<1$, there are com $m$ ensurate phases $w$ ith dom ain wall density 0 for $x_{2}<x_{0 c}$ and dom ain wall density 1 for $x_{2}>x_{1 c}$. In between, the equilibrium dom ain wall density increase sm oothly as $x_{2}$ increases as long as $>4$. Fig. 6 show satypical $x_{2}$ dependence of $q$ for the case of $a=1$. The curves are obtained num erically by solving the BAE for N up to 150. The case < 4 w ill be considered later. (iii) $>1$

N ow, consider the case where there is a strong attractive interaction betw een dom ain walls so that $>1$. The solution of the BAE $m$ axim izing is of the bounded $m$ agnon type w th $\mathrm{N}_{+}=1$. The exact solution of the BAE is easily obtained from the transform ation of $z$ to $z$ de ned by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the BAE for $z_{j}$ becom es

For macroscopic num ber of $N$ and $Q$, the values of $z_{j}=N Q$ and $Q$ are exponentially sm all and $m$ ay be neglected. T hus the solution is

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \\
& 6 z_{1}=e^{i}  \tag{41}\\
& 6 \\
& 4 \\
& z_{j \& 1}=z_{1} e^{i(1+2 j=Q)}
\end{align*}
$$

where can take the value from the set $\frac{2}{\mathrm{~N}} \quad \mathrm{f1;}::: ; \mathrm{N} \mathrm{g}$. The corresponding right-eigenvector ji of the $T_{R ; Q}+T_{L ; \&}$ is
where $C$ is a norm alizing constant. Here, $\mathrm{fn}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ denote the position of up arrow s or equivalently dom ain walls. It is obvious that these states represent bounded dom ain wall states because the com ponents of eigenvector decay exponentially as the distance betw een dom ain walls becom es large. In fact, one can calculate the $m$ ean distance of the last dom ain wall from the rst one. Themean distance $\mathrm{hn}_{Q} \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{I}}$ i of Q dom ain wall system is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}_{Q} \quad n_{1} i=\frac{h j\left(\hat{n}_{Q} \hat{r_{1}}\right) j i}{h j i} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $\hat{n}_{j}$ is the position operator of the $j$-th dom ain wall. Inserting the eigenket to the above expression and after som e algebra, we nd that $\mathrm{hn}_{Q} \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{i}$ is equal to Q for $m$ acroscopic num ber of dom ain walls. Thus, we can interpret this state as the bounded dom ain wall state.

This solution yields the exact con gurational free energy which is obtained from the solution Eq. 41) w ith $=0$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{q})=\mathrm{q} \ln (+\mathrm{a}): \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

A nd the free energy f for $>1$ is simply

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\max _{0} \underset{q}{ }\left[q \ln x_{2}+q \ln (+a)\right]: \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

The maxim value is obtained at $q=0$ if $x_{2}$ is less than $1=(+a)$ and at $q=1$ if $x_{2}$ is greater than $1=(+a)$. So there is a rst order phase transition between the two com $m$ ensurate phases $w$ hen $x_{2}$ is at the critical fugacity $x_{c}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{1}{+\mathrm{a}} \quad(>1): \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the condition $w_{1}=w_{2}$ used in [17] is am ount to the condition $x_{2}=1=(+a)$ so that the rst order transition for $>1$ could not to be seen in [7]. W e have thus found the phase boundary of the $C$ phase with dom ain wall density $q=0$ and 1 and the nature of the phase transition. W e present the resulting phase diagram in Fig .7 (a) for the case of $a=1$.
(iv) $<4, q=1=2$

A s discussed before, we expect that $f$ has a singularity in $x_{2}$ at $q=1=2$ if is large and negative. To se $q$ dependence of $x_{2}$ near $q=1=2$, we should evaluate the con gurational free energy (q) near $q=1=2$. Gulacsi et al (17) used the root density function (p) to nd the $q=1=2$ phase boundary when $x_{2}(+a)=1$. W e em ploy the sam em ethod to the general case.
(p) is de ned so as N (p)dp to be the number of the roots of the BAE (Eq. (77) w ith $z=e^{i p}$ in the interval ( $p ; p+d p$ ) in the com plex pplane. $W$ e stress here that the roots do not lie on a straight line in the com plex p plane. For dom ain wall density $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{p}$ ( p ) is given by [17]

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p)=\frac{1}{2} \quad 1+q \frac{e^{i p}}{1}{\frac{e^{i p}}{}}^{!}: \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

In $F$ ig. 8, we give a typical root distribution of the BAE in the complex plane which is related to p as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i} \quad 1 \quad e^{j p} \quad: \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The root density function $\sim()$ in the plane is given by
~( )
(p) $\frac{d p}{d}=\frac{1}{2} \quad q+\frac{e^{i}}{e^{i}} \quad 1$
and the variables $A, B$ and $D$ in $F$ ig. 8 are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& q={ }_{n} \operatorname{lm} \ln \left(e^{i A} B \quad 1\right)^{i}=(+A)  \tag{50}\\
& R e \ln \frac{1}{} e^{A^{A} B}+\frac{A}{h} R e^{h} \ln \left(e^{i A} B\right. \\
& D=1 \quad C
\end{align*}
$$

where $C$ is determ ined from the equation
$N$ ear $q=1=2$, they take the values

$$
\begin{align*}
& 8 \text { A }=+(q \quad 1=2) \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{1+}+O\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left.(q \quad 1=2)^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right.\right. \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{2} j j \quad 2 \quad \frac{q}{(j \mathrm{j} \quad 2)^{2} \quad 4}: \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ th this know ledge, we can calculate ( $q$ ) near $q=1=2 . F$ irst consider the case $q<1=2$, where (q) is evaluated from Eq. (16').

$$
\begin{align*}
(q) & =\frac{1}{N}_{j=1}^{x^{2}} \ln 1+a e^{i p_{j}} \\
& ={ }_{c}^{Z} d \sim() \ln \quad a+\quad a e^{i}! \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

where the integration should be taken along the contour C shown in Fig. 8. But, the contour can be deform ed to the straight line $L$ since the integrand is analytic in the shaded region. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (q), up to the rst order in ( } q \quad 1=2 \text { ), is given by }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{2} \ln a+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q & 1=2) \ln \frac{a}{j j}+2 \ln 1+\frac{a+}{a} \quad+O\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(1=2
\end{array}\right)^{2}\right): \\
\end{array}\right. \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

N ote that $(1=2)=\frac{1}{2} \ln$ a independent of . This im plies that there are only type 1 dom ain walls w ithout adjacent pairs of them at $q=1=2$ phase. From the Eq. (28), we see that $\mathrm{q}=1=2$ phase starts at $\mathrm{x}_{2}=\mathrm{x}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln x=\ln \frac{a}{j j} \quad 2 \ln 1+\frac{a+}{a} \quad: \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

(v) $<4, q=1=2^{+}$
$N$ ext consider the case $q>1=2$, where the con gurational free energy is evaluated from the Eq. (191). For convenience, we de ne two quantities A and B;

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { A } \frac{1}{N}^{x^{2}} \ln 1 \quad e^{i p_{j}} \\
& \text { B } \frac{1}{N}^{x^{2}} \ln 1 \quad(a+) e^{i p_{j}}: \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the con gurational free energy is w rilten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(q)=\operatorname{maxf}_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{q}) ; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{g} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w_{\text {were }}=A \quad B$ and $R=\ln a+q \ln ((a+)=a) \quad B$. Thequantity $A$ and $B$ can bew ritten as a contour integration in the complex plane in the them odynam ic $\lim$ it $\mathrm{N}!1$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& A={ }_{Z^{C}}^{Z} d i \sim() \\
& B={ }_{C} d \sim() \ln \frac{a(a+) e^{i}}{j j}: \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the integrand in A is analytic in the shaded region, the contour can be deform ed to the straight line $L$ and the integration results in

$$
A=\frac{1}{2} \ln j j \quad\left(q \quad \frac{1}{2}\right) \ln +O\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
( & \left.1=2)^{2}\right) \quad: ~ \tag{60}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

In other to calculate $B$, there are three possible cases to consider;
(a) $1=\mathrm{D}<\quad<(a+)=\mathrm{a}$

The integrand is also analytic in the shaded region and the contour C is replaced by the straight line L. It yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{a}=\frac{1}{2} \ln (a+)+(q \quad 1=2)^{"} \ln \frac{a+}{j j}+2 \ln 1+\frac{a}{a+} \quad+0 \quad(q \quad 1=2)^{2} \quad:  \tag{61}\\
& \text { (b) } 1=D<(a+)=a<
\end{align*}
$$

In this case, the branch cut intrudes into the shaded area. Therefore, upon changing $C$ to the straight line, one need to subtract the contribution around the branch cut. The results is

$$
B_{b}=\frac{1}{2} \ln (a+)+(q \quad 1=2) \quad \ln \frac{a+}{j j}+2 \ln 1+\frac{a}{a+} \quad+O \quad(q \quad 1=2)^{2} \quad: \quad \text { (62) }
$$

(c) $(a+\quad)=a<1=D<$

In this case, the contour can be deform ed to the straight line $L$ as in the case (a) and the integration results in

$$
B_{c}=\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{j j}{a}+\left(\begin{array}{l}
q  \tag{63}\\
1=2) \\
\ln \frac{a}{j j}+2 \ln \quad 1+\frac{a+\left.\right|^{\#}}{a}+O \quad(q \quad 1=2)^{2} \quad: ~
\end{array}\right.
$$

For each case, the quantity $R$ and $L$ take the follow ing values at $q=1=2$;

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (a) } L=\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{j j}{a+} ; \quad R=\frac{1}{2} \ln a \\
& \text { (b) } L=\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{j j}{a+} ; \quad R=\frac{1}{2} \ln a \\
& \text { (c) } \quad L=\frac{1}{2} \ln a \quad ; \quad R=\frac{1}{2} \ln a+\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{a(a+)}{j j}: \tag{64}
\end{align*}
$$

W hen $a+>1$, only the cases (a) and (b) in Eq. ( $\$ 4$ ) occur and ${ }_{R}>L_{\text {a }}$ at $q=1=2$. So near $q=1=2$, the con gurational free energy ( $q$ ) is determ ined by $\quad R(q)$ and is given by

$$
(q)=\frac{1}{2} \ln a+(q \quad 1=2) \ln \frac{a}{j j}+2 \ln 1+\frac{a+}{a}+O\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q & 1=2)^{2} \tag{65}
\end{array}\right)\right.
$$

W hen $a+\quad<1$, the cases (b) and (c) occur. O ne can easily nd that if $(a+)=a<1=D$ then $L>R$ and if $(a+)=a>1=D$ then $L<R \cdot S o$, near $q=1=2$ the con gurational free energy is

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{2} \ln a+(q \quad 1=2) \ln \frac{a}{j j}+2 \ln 1+\frac{a+}{a}+O\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(q & 1=2
\end{array}\right)^{2}\right) \tag{66}
\end{align*}
$$

From the Eq. (65) and (66), we see that the $q=1=2$ phase ends at $x_{2}=x_{+}$where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln x_{+}=\ln \frac{a}{j j} \quad 2 \ln 1+\frac{a+}{a} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

$x$ (Eq. 56)) and $x_{+}$(Eq. 67)) de nes the phase boundary betwen the $q=1=2 \mathrm{C}$ phase and the IC phase and the dom ain wall density is locked at $q=1=2$ for the range

$$
\begin{equation*}
x<x_{2}<x_{+} \quad: \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x$ and $x_{+}$merge at $c=4$, this phase appears only when $a>4 . F$ ig. 7 (b) show $s$ the fill phase boundaries for $\mathrm{a}=7$ and F ig. 9 show s the dom ain wall density as a function of $\ln x_{2}$ for $=4$ and 5.

```
IV.THECRITICALPROPERTIESOFTHEICPHASE
```

The conform al eld theory predicts that the operator content of critical phase is related to the nite size correction to the eigenvalue spectra of the transfer $m$ atrix 21]. W hen we write an eigenvalue of the transferm atrix for a lattioe ofw idth $N$ ase ${ }^{E}$, then $E$ takes the form at the criticality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=N f_{1}+\frac{2}{N}+\frac{c}{12} \sin +\frac{2 i}{N} \quad \cos +o \frac{1}{N} \quad ; \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

where c is the central charge, ; are the conform aldim ensions of the operator corresponding to the th energy eigenstate, is the anisotropy factor, is the anisotropy angle and nally $f_{1}$ is the non-universalbulk free energy per site in units of $k T$ 21,22].
$T$ he toroidal partition function (TPF) $\mathbb{Z}$ is de ned as the order 1 part of the partition function $Z$ for conform ally invariant system of $N$ colum $n s$ and $M$ row $s$. It follows from Eq. 69) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Ze } \lim _{\substack{N M \leq 1 \\
M=N=f i x e d}} X e^{M E}=e^{N M f_{1}} \\
& ={ }^{x} \exp \frac{2 M}{N}+\frac{c}{12} \sin +i \quad \cos \\
& =(q q)^{c=24} \times \quad \text { q q } \tag{70}
\end{align*}
$$

where $q$, the $m$ odular param eter, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=e^{2 i} \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{M}{N} e^{i( } \text {, ; } \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

$q$ is the complex conjugate of $q$ and the sum is over the in nite set of levels whose energy E scales as Eq. 69). In the rst part of this section we use the notation $q$ to denote the m odular param eter ( $E q$. 71)). $T$ his is not to be confused with the dom ain wall density.

For the $G$ aussian $m$ odel com pacti ed on a circle, or equivalently, the sym $m$ etric six $\{$ vertex $m$ odel in the continuum lim 斗, the TPF under periodic boundary conditions in both directions is given by the $\mathrm{c}=1$ c oulom bic partition function 23]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{Z}_{C}(g)=\frac{1}{j(q) J^{2}}{ }_{n ; m 2 z} q^{n ; m(g)} q^{n ; m(g)} \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is the so called $G$ aussian coupling constant, ${ }_{n, m}=\frac{1}{4} \frac{n}{p_{\bar{g}}}+p_{\overline{g m}}^{!_{2}}$ and (q) is the $D$ edekind eta function;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.(q)=q^{\frac{1}{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{ }_{n=1}^{(1} \quad q^{\tilde{p}}\right) \quad: \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne can im pose U(1) boundary conditions on the six \{vertex $m$ odel instead of periodic boundary conditions. In the Pauli spin representation, the tw isted boundary condition is

$$
\stackrel{\underset{N}{x}+1}{ } \quad i_{\mathrm{N}+1}^{\mathrm{y}}=e^{\mathrm{i}^{\prime}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{x} & \mathrm{i}_{1}^{\mathrm{y}} \tag{75}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where' is the tw isting angle. The C oulom bic toroidal partition function is then $m$ odi ed to 24]

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{C}(g)=\frac{1}{j(q) j_{n ; m}} X_{n} e^{i n^{\prime} 0} q^{n ; m} l^{\prime}=2^{(g)} q^{n ;\left(m m^{\prime}=2\right)(g)} \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

where' and ${ }^{\prime}$ are the tw isting angles in the space and tim e directions, respectively.

A fter this short review，we now tum to the critical properties of the IC phase．It is generally known that the striped IC phase is critical and described by the $\mathrm{c}=1$ confor－ m al eld theory in the continuum lim it 17，25］．In the ferm ion $m$ odel approach， P ank and W idom［G］calculated exact toroidal partition function explicitly for the free ferm ion，i．e． non－interacting dom ain wall system and showed that it is of the form of Eq．76）where $g=1=2,^{\prime}=0$ and ${ }^{\prime}=2$ is the number of the dom ain walls per row（mod 1$)$ ．N ote that the tw isted boundary condition used in Ref．［⿴囗⿱一一⿻儿口一己 ］has no direct physicalm eaning．

For the $T=0$ TAFIM without the second neighbor interaction，the central charge and the scaling dim ensions of several operators are calculated analytically 25］．Since all the transfer $m$ atrix spectra are known from the $O$ nsager solution in this case，one $m$ ay go one step further and calculate the toroidal partition function explicitly．W e present the calculation in A ppendix B．
$W$ hen $=0$ ，the TPF $\mathbb{Z}_{5-v}$ of the 5 \｛vertex $m$ odel can be obtained from the $\mathbb{Z}_{\text {TAFM }}^{(;)}$of A ppendix B，by using the relation Eq．（15）．The result is

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{j(q)\}_{n, m 2 z}} X^{2 i 0 M m} q^{\left(m+\frac{n+2}{2}\right)^{2}=2} \mathcal{G}^{\left(m \quad \frac{n+2}{2}\right)^{2}=2} \quad(=0): \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ his takes the nal form after the $m$ odular transform ation $\sim!=1=\sim$ ；

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{Z}_{5-v}=\frac{1}{j(q) j_{m ; n 2 z}^{2}} X^{i Q 1 m} q^{m ; n Q_{0}(g=1=2)} q^{m ;(n Q 0)(g=1=2)} \quad(=0) \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{0}$ and $Q_{1}$ are given in Eq．B28）and B29），respectively．This is the exactly C oulom－ bic partition function w ith the tw isting angle＇$=2 \mathrm{Q}_{0}$ and ${ }^{\prime 0}=\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ ．
$N$ ote that this can be also obtained by replacing（ $m$ ；$n$ ）in $E q$ ．B3g）by（ $2 m ; n=2$ ）．In Sec． $\mathbb{I}$ ，we gave the relation between the transfer matrioes of the $T=0$ TAFIM and the 5\｛vertex m odel． $\mathrm{T}_{5-\mathrm{v}}$ contains odd Q sectors while $\mathrm{T}_{\text {TAFM }}^{(0)}$ contains the spin reversal odd sector，$T_{L} \quad T_{R}$ ．So，one expects $\mathrm{Z}_{5-\mathrm{v}}$ can be obtained from $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {TAFM }}^{(0 ; 0)}$ by adding term s com ing from the odd $Q$ sectors and elim inating the term $s$ originated from $T_{L} \quad T_{R}$ ．O ur results show that this is exactly done by a sim ple substitution of $(m ; n)$ by $(2 m ; n=2)$ ．

Eq. 78) implies that the IC phase of the non-interacting dom ain wall model is in the universality class of the $G$ aussian $m$ odel with coupling constant $g=1=2$ regardless of the anisotropies in the fugacity of the dom ain walls. This result is in accord w ith previous works but it con m s the universality in the strongest sense.

W e assum e that the ect ofdom ain wall interactions preserves the $\mathrm{c}=1$ nature throughout the IC phase even though it m ay change the m odular param eters, the coupling constant etc. Since the coupling constant $g$ determ ines the critical exponents, its possible dependence on interactions over the IC phase is of interest. If we denote the eigenvalue of $\mathrm{T}_{5-\mathrm{v}}$ corresponding to the $m$-th spin wave operator in the sector $Q$ by $e^{E_{m} ; \text {, } \text {, it is expected to take }}$ the form in the IC phase

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{RefE}_{\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{~g}=\frac{2 \sin }{\mathrm{~N}} \frac{g}{2}\left(Q \quad Q_{0}\right)^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{m}^{2}}{2 g} \quad \frac{\mathrm{c}}{12}+N f_{1} \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $Q_{0}=q \mathbb{N}$ is the average num ber of dom ain walls per row. $H$ ere and below, $q$ denotes the dom ain wall density. We now calculate $g$ perturbatively in the small lim it and num erically for a wide range of . D uring the perturbative calculation with $j \mathrm{j}<1$, we $w$ ill only consider the isotropic case $(a=1)$ for sim plicity. In this case, the eigenvalue $e^{E_{m}}$; of the transfer $m$ atrix $w$ ith $=0$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{RefE}_{\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{Q}}(=0) g=\frac{2^{0}}{\mathrm{~N}} \frac{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}{2 g^{0}}+\frac{\mathrm{g}^{0}\left(\mathrm{Q} \quad Q_{0}\right)^{2}}{2} \quad \frac{C^{!}}{12}+\mathrm{N} f_{1} \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=1,{ }^{0}=\frac{1}{2}$ tan ( $q=2$ ) and $g^{0}=\frac{1}{2}$ as given in A ppendix $B$ and the superscripts in $g^{0}$ and ${ }^{0}$ denote the value for non-interacting case. If we insert $p_{j}=n_{j}+u_{j}$ into the BAE $w$ here $n_{j}=I_{j}+m$ is the solution of the $=0$ BAE for the $m$ th excited state in a given $Q$ sector, the resulting equation for $u_{j}$ is, up to the rst order in ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{j}=i q s+e^{q s} e^{i n_{j}}{ }^{i} \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=\quad \frac{\sin q}{q} e^{2 \text { im }=N} \quad 1+\frac{2}{6 N^{2}} \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is determ ined from the condition ${ }^{P}{ }_{j} u_{j}=0$. W ith this solution fu $u_{j} g$, we can calculate the energy shift $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{Q}} \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{q}}(\notin 0) \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{l}}(=0)$ due to the interaction;

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{N} R e f E_{m ; Q} g= & x \ln \frac{1+e^{i\left(n_{j}+u_{j}\right)}}{1+e^{i n_{j}}}=\frac{q}{N} \frac{x}{j} \frac{\operatorname{se}^{i n_{j}}+e^{2 i n_{j}}}{1+e^{i n_{j}}} \\
= & \frac{q^{2}}{2}+\frac{q}{2} \sin q+2 \sin ^{2} \frac{q}{2} \quad q \sin q \frac{m}{N}{ }^{2} \\
& +\frac{q N^{2}}{2} \sin q+0 \frac{1}{2}: \tag{83}
\end{align*}
$$

$U$ sing the value of $R \operatorname{efE}_{\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{q}} \mathrm{g}=\mathrm{N}$ at $=0$, we can write down the energy $R$ efE $m ; 8$ ( ) g up to the rst order in .

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}} \operatorname{RefE}_{\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{Q}}\left(\mathrm{I}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}} \operatorname{RefE}_{\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{Q}}(=0) \mathrm{g}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}} R \mathrm{Ref} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{~g}\right. \\
& =f_{1}^{0}+2 \frac{Q Q_{0}}{{ }_{n}{ }^{2}}{ }^{\prime \prime} \frac{0^{0} g^{0}}{2} \quad \overline{8} \quad q \sin q+4 \sin ^{2} \frac{q}{2}{ }^{\#} \\
& +2 \frac{m}{N}{ }^{2 \prime \prime} \frac{0}{2 g^{0}}+\frac{-}{2} 2 \sin ^{2} \frac{q}{2} \quad q \sin q \\
& \overline{6 \mathrm{~N}^{2}} \quad{ }^{0} \mathrm{C}^{0} \quad \frac{\mathrm{q}}{2} \sin q+0 \frac{1}{2} \quad \text { ! } \\
& \mathrm{f}_{1}^{0}+\frac{2}{\mathrm{~N}^{2}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~g}}{2}\left(\mathrm{Q} \quad Q_{0}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2 g} m^{2} \quad \mathrm{c}=12 \quad: \tag{84}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he new anisotropy factor, the $G$ aussian coupling constant $g$ and the central charge $c$ are obtained by the com paring the last two expressions;

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{c} & =1 \\
\mathrm{~g} & =\frac{1}{2} \quad 1 \quad \underline{2} \sin q+O\left({ }^{2}\right)  \tag{85}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} \tan \frac{q}{2} 1 \quad 2 q \cos ^{2} \frac{q}{2}+O\left({ }^{2}\right):
\end{align*}
$$

The result from the rst order perturbation calculation show s that the interaction between dom ain walls causes a continuous variation of the coupling constant $g$ so the scaling dim ensions vary continuously as a function of the interaction param eter .

For larger values of , 9 can be evaluated num erically by the nite size corrections of the eigenvalue of the transfer $m$ atrix (Eq. 69)). Suppose the $m$ odel param eters are tuned in such a way that $Q_{0}=N \mathrm{q}$ is an integer. That is, we are considering the case of q being
integer multiple of $1=N$ ．From Eq．79），$g$ and $\sin$ can be evaluated if we calculate four eigenvalues $E_{m ; Q} w$ ith $(m ; Q)=\left(0 ; Q_{0}\right) ;\left(0 ; Q_{0} 1\right)$ and $\left(1 ; Q_{0}\right)$ ．

$$
\begin{align*}
& g=\bar{q} \overline{\operatorname{RefE}_{0 ; Q_{0}+1}+E_{0 ; Q_{0} \quad 1} \quad 2 E_{0 ; 0,0} g=\operatorname{RefE}_{1 ; Q} \quad E_{0 ; 0,0} g=2} \tag{86}
\end{align*}
$$

N ecessary $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m} ; 又}$＇s are obtained by solving the BAE for N up to 150 ．The coupling constant $g$ obtained in this way is show in $F$ ig． 10 as a function of $q$ for several values of for a particular value of $a=j j+0: 1 . N$ ote that the value of $g$ starts from around $1=2$ at $q=0$ and ends at $1=2$ at $q=1$ and varies $m$ oothly when $>4$ ．The values $g=1=2$ at $q=0$ is easily understood since the interaction e ect will vanish in these lim it．So is the case for $q=1$ and $+a<1 . W$ hen 4 ，the value of $g$ approaches 2 as $q!1=2$ ．The fact that $g=2$ exactly in the $q!1=2 \mathrm{lim}$ it can be derived analytically follow ing the procedure sim ilar to that used by G wa and Spohn 26］．

The dom ain wallm odelis obtained from the TA F $\mathbb{M}$ by neglecting the spin con gurations in which three spins on any elem entary triangle are in the sam e state．This excitation driven by the them al uctuation creates or annihilates two dom ain walls at a time and causes a dom ain wall density dislocation．（See Fig．11．）W hen two dislocations of up－triangle and dow n－triangle occur in pair，the density dislocation rem ains as a local defect．These pair excitations are analogousto the vortex and anti－vortex pairexcitations in the X Y m odel．The scaling dim ension for the density dislocation［⿴⿱冂一⿰丨丨丁口$]$ is $x_{0 ; 2} \quad 0 ; 2+0 ; 2$ since such excitation creates or annihilates 2 dom ain walls．Since $\mathrm{x}_{0 ; 2}=2 \mathrm{~g}$ we see that $\mathrm{when} \mathrm{g}<1\left(\mathrm{x}_{0 ; 2}<2\right)$ the density dislocation is relevant and destroys the criticality of the IC phase．Therefore，if dislocations are allow ed w ith nite cost of energy，the IC phase cannot rem ain critical and becom es the disordered uid phase．On the other hand，when $g>1\left(x_{0 ; 2}>2\right)$ the density dislocation is irrelevant and the criticality of the IC phase survives．At the boundary $g=1$ ， the K T transition would occur．Since the non－interacting dom ain wall system has $g=1=2$ ， the critical IC phase cannot survive from the density dislocation．H ow ever as seen in Fig．10， $g$ crosses the critical value $g=1$ in the region of repulsive $(<0)$ interactions．$T$ he $D$ otted
line in $F$ ig. 7 (b) inside the IC phase denotes the position where $g$ takes the value 1.
So, we conclude that the IC phase near the $q=1=2 \mathrm{C}$ phase is stable under the density dislocation. This show s that there are three phases encountered if we consider the dislocation e ect. They are long-range ordered $q=1=2 \mathrm{C}$ phase, quasi-long range ordered IC phase and the disordered phase. They are separated by the PT transition and the K T transition. It also explains the phase diagram of the TAF $\mathbb{I M}$ w ith the isotropic nnn interaction obtained by M onte C arlo sim ulation 11].

## V.SUM M ARY AND D ISCUSSIO N

In this work, we have introduced a solvable interacting dom ain wallm odel derived from the $T=0 T A F \mathbb{M} w$ ith anisotropic nearest neighbor and nnn interactions. The $m$ odel is show n to be equivalent to the 5 \{vertex m odel and exact phase diagram is obtained in the three dim ensional param eter space. It show s C phases where the dom ain wall density is 0 , $1=2$ or 1 and the IC phase in between.

The IC phase is a critical phase described by the G aussian xed point. The G aussian coupling constant $g$ which determ ines the scaling dim ensions of operators is a function of the $m$ odelparam eters and changes $m$ oothly from $1=2$ at $q=0$ and $q=1$ phase boundaries to 2 at the $q=1=2$ phase boundary. A s the interaction is tumed on, it decreases (increase) for the attractive (repulsive) interaction. For strong repulsive interactions, there is a region with $g>1$ in which dislocation is irrelevant. Therefore the scenario proposed by N ienhuis et al. for the e ect of the isotropic nnn interaction in the $T=0 \mathrm{TAF} \mathbb{I}$ is partly bom out in this model.

We also have shown by the explicit calculation of the TPF of the non-interacting $T=0$ TAFIM that it renom alizes to the Gaussian xed point with the coupling constant $g=2$. $T$ his is in accord $w$ th previous works. B ut, the transferm atrix spectra of the 5 \{vertex $m$ odel w ith $=0$ and that of the non-interacting $\mathrm{T}=0 \mathrm{TAF} \mathbb{I}$ are di erent in that som e sectors present in one are absent in the other. This re-distribution of sectors or operator content
changes $g$ of the 5 \{vertex $m$ odelto $1=2 \mathrm{when}=0$. TheTPF ofthe 5 \{vertex m odel is found to take the form of the sym $m$ etric six \{vertex $m$ odel $w$ ith the tw isted boundary conditions. Fractional part of the num ber of dom ain walls across a row and a colum $n$ determ ines the tw isting angles ofU (1) boundary conditions along the space and tim e directions, respectively. Them odelconsidered in this work is rather special in that only one type ofdom ain walls interact. For the fully interacting case, say $y_{1}=y_{2}=y$, one need to rely on less accurate num erical $m$ ethods. The e ects of the interaction between dom ain walls in both direction on the phase diagram and the critical properties of the IC phase are of interest and left for further works.
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## APPENDIX A:

In this appendix, we discuss the $Y$ ang-Baxter equation ( $\mathrm{Y} B E$ ) of the 5 \{vertex $m$ odel and altemative param etrization from which the corresponding quantum chain ham iltonian is derived.

The YBE for the 5 \{vertex m odel is given by
(1 R) $\mathbb{R}^{0}$

1) $\left(1 \quad R^{\infty}\right)=\left(R^{\infty}\right.$
2) $(1$
R) $(R$
3) 

(A 1)
where 1 is the 22 unit matrix, denotes the direct product, $R$ is the $4 \quad 4 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix given by
and nally $R^{0}\left(R^{\infty}\right)$ is the sam e as $R$ w ith $w_{i}$ replaced by $w_{i}^{0}\left(w_{i}^{\infty}\right)$. W hen $w_{3}=w_{3}^{0}=0$, the YBE has a solution provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\mathrm{w}_{1} \mathrm{~W}_{2} \quad \mathrm{~W}_{5} \mathrm{~W}_{6}}{\mathrm{w}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{4}}=\frac{\mathrm{w}_{1}^{0} \mathrm{~W}_{2}^{0} \quad \mathrm{w}_{5}^{0} \mathrm{~W}_{6}^{0}}{\mathrm{w}_{2}^{0} \mathrm{~W}_{4}^{0}}: \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he solution under the norm alization $\mathrm{w}_{2}=\mathrm{w}_{2}^{0}=\mathrm{w}_{2}^{\infty}=1$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{w}_{1}^{\infty}=\mathrm{w}_{1}^{0}=\mathrm{w}_{1} \\
& \mathrm{w}_{3}^{\infty}=0 \\
& \mathrm{w}_{4}^{\infty}=\left(\mathrm{w}_{1} \mathrm{w}_{4}^{0} \quad \mathrm{w}_{4} \mathrm{w}_{1}^{0}\right)=\left(\mathrm{w}_{5} \mathrm{w}_{6}\right)  \tag{A4}\\
& \mathrm{w}_{5}^{\infty}=\mathrm{w}_{5}^{0}=\mathrm{w}_{5} \\
& \mathrm{w}_{6}^{\infty}=\mathrm{w}_{6}^{0}=\mathrm{w}_{6}
\end{align*}
$$

As a result, the transfer $m$ atrix of $\mathrm{T}_{5-v}$ having three independent param eters form $s$ a tw o-param eter fam ily of com $m$ uting $m$ atrices. Vertex w eights of the 5 \{vertex $m$ odelused in this work is given by Eq. (6). If one param etrizes them altematively as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{w}_{1}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{v}} \\
& \mathrm{w}_{2}=1 \\
& \mathrm{w}_{3}=0  \tag{A5}\\
& \mathrm{w}_{4}=\left(e^{\mathrm{v}} \quad e^{\mathrm{e}}\right)= \\
& \mathrm{w}_{5}=\mathrm{w}_{6}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{u}=2}
\end{align*}
$$

and sim ilarly for $w_{i}^{0}, s$, the transfer $m$ atriges $T_{5-v}(u ; v ;) w$ ith di erent $u$ and $v$ com $m$ ute, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{T}_{5-\mathrm{v}}(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{v} ;) ; \mathrm{T}_{5-\mathrm{v}}\left(\mathrm{u}^{0} \boldsymbol{;} \mathrm{v}^{0} \boldsymbol{;}\right)\right]=0 \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u, u^{0}, v$, and $v^{0}$. Eq. A 4) w ith above param etrization becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{w}_{1}^{\infty}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{v}^{0} \mathrm{v}} \\
& \mathrm{w}_{4}^{\infty}=e^{\mathrm{v}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{v}^{0}} \mathrm{v} \quad \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{u}}}\right)=  \tag{A7}\\
& \mathrm{w}_{5}^{\infty}=\mathrm{w}_{6}^{\infty}=\mathrm{e}^{\left(\mathrm{u}^{0} \mathrm{u}\right)=2}
\end{align*}
$$

Standard param etrizations of solutions to the Y BE involve the so-called spectralparam eter $u$ w th which the YBE displays the di erence property; i.e., ifR $=R(u)$ and $R{ }^{0}=R\left(u^{0}\right)$ then $R^{\infty}=R\left(\begin{array}{ll}u^{0} & u\end{array}\right)$. At criticality, it gives the physicalm eaning of the anisotropy angle [2q]. A lso, corresponding quantum chain ham iltonian com $m$ uting $w$ ith the transfer $m$ atrix is $o b-$ tained by the logarithm ic derivative at $u=0$. We nd from Eq. A7) that the 5 (vertex $m$ odelalso displays the di erence property if $w e \operatorname{set} v=0 . T$ his is the special case $w_{1}=w_{2}$ considered in Ref. 17].

W e calculated the quantum ham iltonian $\hat{H}$ of the one-dim ensional quantum spin chain by taking the logarithm ic derivative of the transfer $m$ atrix at $u=0$ for the case of $v=0$. The result is

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{H} & =T_{5-v}^{1}{\frac{@ T_{5-v}}{@ u}}_{u, v=0} \\
& =X_{i=1}^{\mathbb{N}} \quad S_{i}^{+} S_{i+1}+\frac{1}{4} S_{i}^{z} S_{i+1}^{z} \tag{A8}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $s_{i}$ is the quantum spin density operator at site i. This non-herm itian ham iltonian is sim ilar to the ham iltonian of the XXZ quantum spin chain. The di erence is that there is no term $S_{i} s_{i+1}^{+}$in thism odel. $S o$, there is a net ux ofthe spin ow from the right to the left of the chain. It com es from the anisotropic choice of the vertex weights at the beginning.

## A P P END IX B:

In this appendix, we present the phase diagram of the $T=0$ TAF $\mathbb{I M}$ with anisotropic nearest neighbor interaction and the toroidalpartition functions under the generalboundary conditions.

Through the star-triangle relation, the Ising $m$ odel on the triangular lattice can be m apped into the Ising m odel on the honeycomb lattice [18]. Let $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{K}+{ }_{\mathrm{i}}$ and
$L_{i}(i=1 ; 2 ; 3)$ be the interaction strength (including the factor $1=k T$ ) of the Ising $m$ odel on the triangular and honeycom b lattioe, respectively. Then, the partition functions $Z_{\text {TAF M }}$ on a triangular lattice w ith N sites and $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{H}}$ on a honeycom.b lattice w ith 2 N sites are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{~L} ; 2 \mathrm{~N})=\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Z}_{\text {TAFM }}(\mathrm{K} ; \mathrm{N}) \tag{B1}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided $K$ and L satisfy the star-triangle relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\exp \left[K_{1} S_{2} S_{3}+K_{2} S_{3} S_{1}+K_{3} S_{1} S_{2}\right]=R_{t=1}^{x} \exp t\left(L_{1} S_{2}+L_{2} S_{2}+L_{3} S_{3}\right)\right]: \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere $R$ is the norm alization factor.
If we take the zero tem perature lim it K ! 1 , the solution of the star-triangle relation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sinh 2 L_{i}=\frac{z_{i}}{k} ; \quad \cosh 2 L_{i}=\frac{z_{j}^{2}+z_{k}^{2}}{2 z_{j} z_{k}} ; R^{2}=\frac{2 z_{1} z_{2} z_{3}}{k^{2}} \tag{B3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
z_{i}=e^{2 i} ; \\
k^{2}=\left(4 z_{i}^{2} z_{j}^{2} z_{k}^{2}\right)=\left(\left(z_{j}^{2}+z_{k}^{2} \quad \frac{z_{i}}{2}\right)^{2} \quad 4 z_{j}^{2} z_{k}^{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and $(i ; j ; k)$ is a cyclic perm utation of $(1,2,3)$.
N ow , we consider the transferm atrix $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{()}$on the honeycom b lattioe whosem atrix elem ent $T_{H}{ }^{(1)}(s ; t)$ is the Boltzm ann weight for a spin con guration shown in Fig. 12;

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ( } \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}} \quad \mathrm{~F}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~T} \quad \mathrm{~F}_{2} \text { ) ( } \mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{t} \text { ) } \tag{B4}
\end{align*}
$$

The superscript $(=0 ; 1)$ denotes the boundary condition $S_{M+1 ; j}=(1) s_{1 ; j}$. Each of the four factors in the rst two lines in Eq. (B4) de nes the fourm atrices $T_{A}, T_{B 1}, T_{C}$ and $T_{B 2}$, respectively. Their operator form $s$ are
where $i$ is the Paulispin operator at site iand e ${ }^{2 L_{i}}=\tanh L_{i} . P$ utting $N$ row $S$ offig. 12 in succession and applying the star\{triangle transform ation gives the $M \quad N$ triangular lattice whose basis vectors are rotated by $90^{\circ}$ from those of Fig .1 (a). Thus, to calculate the toroidalpartition function of $T=0 \mathrm{TAF} \mathbb{I}$, we instead carry out the calculation using $T_{H}^{()}$. The boundary condition along the vertical direction is $S_{i ; N+1}=(1) S_{i ; 1}$. For each boundary condition, the partition function $Z_{\text {TAFIM }}^{(;)}$is $w$ ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{TAFIM}}^{(;)}=\operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{H}}^{()}{ }^{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{N}}} \mathrm{R} \tag{B6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is the spin reversal operator.
Follow ing the sam e procedure as in R ef. 27], w e diagonalized the transferm atrix E q. B4) exactly. If we w rite the transfer m atrix as $T_{H}^{()}=\left(4 z_{1} z_{2}=k^{2}\right)^{M=2} e^{\hat{H}}$, then the ham iltonian $\hat{H}$ after the usualW igner\{ Jordan transform ation can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}=\frac{1}{2}_{k}^{X} f_{1}(k)\left(2 \hat{n}_{k} \quad 1\right)+{ }_{2}(k)\left(2 m \hat{n}_{k} \quad 1\right) g \tag{B7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{n}_{k}$ and $\mathrm{mf}_{\mathrm{k}}$ are the $m$ utually com $m$ uting occupation num ber operators $w$ ith eigenvalue $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{k}}=0 ; 1$ and ${ }_{1}(\mathrm{k})$ and ${ }_{2}(\mathrm{k})$ are the quasi-particle excitation energy which is given by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
{ }_{1}(k)=\operatorname{sgn}(k) \cosh ^{1} t_{+} \quad \cosh ^{1} p & i \cos ^{1} t \\
{ }_{2}(k)=\operatorname{sgn}(k) \cosh ^{1} t_{+}+\cosh ^{1} p & i \cos ^{1} t \tag{B8}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
t & =\frac{z_{3}^{2}}{4 z_{1} z_{2}} \frac{1}{2}_{2}^{u} \\
p & =\frac{z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}}{2 z_{1} z_{2}} \quad: \tag{B9}
\end{align*}
$$

From now on, we set $z_{3}=1$ since all quantities are functions of $z_{1}=z_{3}$ and $z_{2}=z_{3}$ only. $z_{i}=z_{3}$ in this section is equal to $x_{i}$ of the text if $"_{i}=0$. (See Eq. (4).) The values ofk are restricted to the set
for boundary condition along the horizontal direction.
The partition function $Z_{\text {TAF }}^{(;)}$is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\text {TAFMM }}^{(; i)}=e^{N E_{e}} Z_{\text {even }}+(1) e^{N E_{0}} Z_{\text {odd }} \frac{2}{Z_{3}}{ }^{N=2} \tag{B11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\text {even (odd) }}=\mathrm{X}_{\text {even (odd) }}^{\mathrm{exp}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{X}}\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{Z}_{2}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{\text {) ; }} \text {; } \tag{B12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$P$ even $\quad\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { odd }\end{array}\right)$ denoting the sum s over the occupation num ber con gurations ${f n_{k}}_{k} ; m_{k}=0 ; 1 \mathrm{~g}$ under the restriction ${ }^{P}{ }_{k}\left(n_{k}+m_{k}\right)=$ even (odd), respectively, and the values of $k$ are given in Eq. B10). W e will say a state is in an even (odd) sector if ${ }^{P}{ }_{k}\left(n_{k}+m_{k}\right)$ is even (odd). In Eq. B11) $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}$ are the ground state energy in the respective sectors and are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{e}=\frac{1}{2}_{n=1}^{X_{n}=2} 1 \frac{2}{M}(2 n 1+)+2 \frac{2}{M}(2 n 1+)=x_{n} 1 \frac{2}{M}(2 n 1+) \\
& E_{0}=\frac{1}{2}_{n=1}^{X^{X}=2} \sum^{"} \frac{2(2 n+)^{\#}}{M}+2 \frac{2(2 n+)^{\#!}}{M}=x_{n}^{1} \frac{2(2 n+)}{M}_{M}^{\#}: \tag{B13}
\end{align*}
$$

W e obtain the nite corrections to $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\text {。from }}$ fhe El ler M claurin form ula. T he results for periodic boundary condition ( $=0$ ) are
where

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{k_{c} M}{4} \quad \frac{k_{c} M}{4} \tag{B15}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ ith $[x]$ denoting the integer part of $x$. The ground state energies for each sector under anti-periodic boundary condition ( $=1$ ) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{e}}^{1}=\mathrm{E}_{0}^{0} ; \quad \mathrm{E}_{0}^{1}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{e}}^{0} \text { : } \tag{B16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantity $\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(z_{3}=2\right) \quad \frac{1}{4}{ }_{0}^{R_{2}} \quad 1(k) d k$ is the bulk free energy $f_{1}$ per site.
From the predictions of the conform al eld theory, we know that the transfer $m$ atrix has gapless excitations w ith linear dispersion relation at the criticality. T he quasi-particle excitation energies becom e zero at $k=k_{\varepsilon}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{cosk}_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{1}{2 z_{1} z_{2}}{ }^{h}\left(z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}\right) \quad\left(\frac{2}{4} \quad \frac{2}{2}^{2}\right)^{2^{i}} \tag{B17}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the range $\dot{k}_{1} \quad z_{2} j \quad 3$ and $\dot{k}_{1}+z_{2} j \quad 3$. So, we conclude that the system is critical in this range. This includes the result of $B$ lote and $H$ ihnorst [ $\left.{ }^{[ }\right]$who treated the case $z_{1}=z_{2}$.

The toroidal partition function $\mathbb{Z}_{\text {TAF M }}^{(;)}$under the general boundary condition (; ) is given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{Z}_{\text {TAFM }}^{(;)}=\lim _{\substack{N ; M!1 \\ M=N=\text { fixed }}} Z_{\text {TAFM }}^{(;)}=e^{N M f_{1}}: \tag{B18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Especially, the toroidalpartition function for periodic boundary condition in both directions is given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathbb{Z}_{\text {TAF M }}^{(0 ; 0)}=\lim _{\substack{N ; M!1 \\ N=M=f \text { fixed }}} e^{\frac{4 N}{M}} 0_{0}^{(1=12}{ }^{2}\right)_{\text {even }}^{0}+e^{\frac{4 N}{M}} 0_{0}^{0}\left(1=12 \quad(1=2 \quad)^{2}\right) Z_{\text {odd }}^{0} \quad: \tag{B19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $N$ is large, the m odes near $k=k_{\varepsilon}$ whose energy scales like $1=M$ contribute factors of O (1) in the sum. Therefore, for M ; N ! 1 w ith $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{M}$ xed, we may replace the dispersion relation by the linear one

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 ; 2(\mathrm{k})={ }_{0}^{0} \mathrm{j} \quad k_{\varepsilon j} \quad \mathrm{i}_{0}^{0}\left(\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{k}_{\varepsilon}\right) \quad \mathbf{i}_{0} \tag{B20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\frac{d}{d k}{ }_{k=k_{c}^{+}}=\frac{z_{2}^{2} \frac{2}{4}}{2\left(z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}\right)} 1 \\
\cos \quad 0 & =\cos \quad\left(k_{c}\right)=\frac{1 \frac{z}{4}_{2}^{2} z_{1}^{2} z_{2}}{2}: \tag{B21}
\end{align*}
$$

The restricted sum s can be done conveniently using the transform ation

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\operatorname{tr}_{n}^{0} \frac{1}{2}{ }^{n} 1 \quad(1)^{P} k^{n_{k}}{ }^{\circ} \operatorname{tr}_{m}^{0} \frac{1}{2}{ }^{n} 1+(1)^{P} k^{m_{k}} \circ \tag{B22}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\left.\operatorname{tr}_{n}^{e}=\underset{k=\frac{2}{M}(2 n}{ } 1\right)^{n_{k}=0 ; 1} \quad \mathrm{X} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{tr}_{n}^{\rho}=\underset{k=\frac{4 n}{M} n_{k}=0 ; 1}{Y} \quad X
$$

and sim ilarly for trim ${ }_{m}^{e}$ and $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{o}}$.
A fter a lengthy calculation w th this linear dispersion relation Eq. B2d), we obtain
where
and nally

$$
z=\frac{{ }_{0} N}{2}+\sim:
$$

Follow ing the sam e procedures, we can also calculate $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {TAF M }}^{(;)}$under the generalboundary condition (; ).W e present only the results.

The toroidal partition function $\mathcal{Z}_{\text {TAFM }}^{(;)}$can be rew ritten as an in nite series in $q$. $U$ sing the series form of $\#_{i}$ 's and rearranging the sum $m$ ands, we obtain

To com pare w th the triangular lattioe shown in Fig . 1 (a), we perform the modular transform ation $\sim!=1=\sim$. This is achieved by applying $P$ oisson sum form ula to both sum $m$ ation indices $n$ and $m$ in Eq. B25). The resulting expression for the periodic boundary condition ( $=0$; $=0$ ) is
where $q=e^{2 i} . N$ ote that

$$
=\frac{\mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{~N}}{ }^{0} e^{\mathrm{i}( }
$$

w ith

$$
0=2\left(z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}\right) \quad 1^{1=2}=2
$$

and

$$
0=\cos ^{1} q \frac{z_{2}^{2} \frac{z^{2}}{4}}{2\left(z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}\right)}:
$$

$T$ his is exactly the $C$ oulom bic partition function $w$ th the tw isted boundary conditions and the coupling constant $g=2$.

Since $z_{i}$ 's are the activities of the diam onds shown in $F$ ig. 1 (b), one easily obtains 慁] from the bulk free energy that the $m$ ean dom ain wall densities of each type are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{hn}_{1}+\mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{N}=0= \\
& \mathrm{hn}_{1} \quad \mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{c}}= \tag{B27}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ th 0 and $k_{c}$ are given by Eq. B21) and B17), respectively. Therefore, one sees that the twisting angles are ${ }_{0} \mathrm{~N}$ and 2 in Eq. B26) are related to the total dom ain wall densities as

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0} \mathrm{~N}=\frac{\mathrm{hn}_{1}+\mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{i}}{\mathrm{M}} \quad Q_{0} \tag{B28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2=\frac{h n_{1} n_{2} i}{N} \frac{Q_{1}}{2} \tag{B29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{0}$ is the num ber of dom ain walls per row and $Q_{1}$ is the di erence of num ber of type 1 dom ain walls and that of type 2 per colum $n$. U sing this quantities, ${\underset{T}{T A F M}}_{(0 ; 0)}^{(i s} w$ ritten as
where $m ; n(g)=m={ }^{p} \bar{g}+{ }^{p} \overline{g n}{ }^{2}=4$.
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## Figure C aptions

Fig. 1. (a) C orrespondence betw een the anisotropic couplings and the lattioe directions in the TAFIM w ith nearest and next nearest neighbor interactions. (b) Labeling of three types of diam onds. Types 1 and 2 are considered as dom ain wall excitations.

Fig. 2. A typical striped dom ain wall con guration derived from a ground state of the TA F IM on 46 lattice. The lled circles represent spin up states and em pty circles represent spin dow $n$ states. W e use the periodic boundary condition along the horizontaldirection and the anti-periodic boundary condition along the vertical direction. So, the resulting dom ain wall con guration has even $Q$ and odd .

Fig. 3. Four possibilities of next nearest neighbor bonds along the direction 1.
Fig. 4. D eform ation of $F$ ig. 2 into a square lattice.
Fig. 5. Five types of unit squares in the deform ed lattioe and assignm ent of vertex con gurations.

Fig. 6. Typical $x_{2}$ dependence of $q w i t h i n$ the $I C$ phase is show $n$ for $x_{1}=x_{2}$. The curves are for $=0: 7 ; 0: 3 ; 0 ; 0: 3$ and $0: 7$, respectively.
$F$ ig. 7. (a) Phase diagram in $\ln x_{2}\{$ plane for $a=1 . h 0 i, h 1 i$ and hIC $i$ denotes the $C$ phase w th $q=0 ; 1$ and the IC phase, respectively. (b) Same as in (a) with $a=7$. New C phase w th $\mathrm{q}=1=2$ appears for $<4$. The D otted line in the IC phase denotes the position where dislocations becom e irrelevant. See section $\mathbb{I V}$.

Fig. 8. A typical root distribution of the BAE in complex plane. This gure shows the solutions of $B A E$ for $q=1=2$ and $=7$.

Fig. 9. Typical $x_{2}$ dependence of $T$ he dom ain wall densities for $=4: 0$ and $5: 0$. The curves are for $a=+0: 1$. This is obtained from the equation of state (Eq. (48)) where con gurational free energy is taken from the num erical solution of the BAE with $\mathrm{N}=150$. Fig. 10. The G aussian coupling constant g calculated num erically w ith lattioe size $\mathrm{N}=150$ and $=0: 5 ; 0: 0 ; 0: 5 ; 2: 0 ; 3: 0 ; 4: 0$ and $5: 0$. For each curve, $a$ is set to the value $a=j j+0: 1$.

Fig. 11. E ect of nite tem perature in TAF $\mathbb{I}$ is to excite dislocations in dom ain walls. Sim ultaneous creation of vortex (a) and anti-vortex (b) pairs as in (c) distroys the IC phase if $\mathrm{g}<1$ but is irrelevant if $\mathrm{g}>1$.

Fig. 12. The honeycom b lattice transfer $m$ atrix .


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ G ulacsi et al. use the notation $\mathrm{w}_{2}=0$. Their work and ours are related by the transform ation $\mathrm{w}_{1} \$ \mathrm{w}_{4} \boldsymbol{j}_{2} \$ \mathrm{w}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{w}_{5} \$ \mathrm{w}_{6}$ 。

