Calculating excitation energies with the help of cumulants

Tom Schork and Peter Fulde

M ax-P lanck-Institut fur P hysik kom plexer System e (Au enstelle Stuttgart),
H eisenbergstr. 1, 70569 Stuttgart, G em any.

(December 31, 2021)

Abstract

Recently, it has been shown that the ground-state energy of a quantum many-body system can be written in terms of cumulants. In this paper we show that the energies of excited states can be expressed similarly. These representations are suitable for various approximation schemes, e.g., projection techniques. The explicit use of cumulants ensures size consistency in all approximations.

The theory is then applied to the computation of the energy bands of a sem iconductor with diam ond structure. As we focus especially on the e ects of electron correlations, we consider a simplied model and re-derive results obtained previously by the variational Local Ansatz method.

I. IN TRODUCTION

Energy is a size-extensive quantity, i.e., the energy of two well-separated, but otherwise identical systems equals twice the value of a single system. Approximations which are applied when energies of a system are calculated must preserve this property. In the standard diagram matic approach size consistency is ensured in any approximation by considering linked diagrams only [1]. However, the diagrammatic description makes essential use of Wick's theorem, which is applicable only if the dominant part of the Hamiltonian is a one-particle operator. Therefore this approach is restricted to weakly correlated systems in which the electron-electron interaction is weak and may be treated perturbatively.

It is known from classical statistical mechanics that size consistency is attained by expressing size-extensive quantities in terms of cumulants [2]. Kubo de ned the cumulant of a quantum mechanical expectation value [3]. It is denoted by a superscript of and, with respect to the vector ji, it is given by

$$h \not A_1 ::: A_n j i^c = \frac{0}{0 \cdot 1} ::: \frac{0}{0 \cdot n} logh \not E^{1A_1} ::: e^{nA_n} j i$$

$$1.1)$$

One immediately nds, e.g.,

Based on the Hom-Weinstein theorem [4], an in nite series termed \connected moment expansion" (CMX) has been derived for the ground-state energy [5{7]. Involving cumulants only (rather than ordinary expectation values), any truncation of the series leads to size-consistent estimates for the ground-state energy.

Here we would like to proceed as in Ref. [8], where a dierent representation of the ground-state energy in terms of cumulants has been derived and which we refer to for details. The interacting many-body system is described by a Hamiltonian H. We assume that the ground state j_0 i of a part H $_0$ of the Hamiltonian H is known

$$H_0 j_0 i = _0 j_0 i :$$
 (1.3)

Provided that j $_0$ i has a nite overlap with the ground state of H , the ground-state energy E $_0$ of H is given by

$$E_0 = (H \dot{j}) : (1.4)$$

Here we introduced the abbreviation

$$(A \ \ \,) = h_0 \ \ \,)^A \ \ \,) = h_0 \ \ \,) i^C :$$
 (1.5)

It serves as a form on the vector space (the Liouville space) spanned by the operators which are de ned on the usual Hilbert space. is a superoperator which acts on the Liouville space. It is de ned by

$$= 1 + \lim_{z \to 0} \frac{1}{z (L_0 + H_1)} H_1 : \qquad (1.6)$$

 L_0 is the Liouville operator with respect to H₀. It acts on any operator A according to $L_0A = [H_0;A]$. characterizes the transform ation of the unperturbed ground state j₀i into the ground state of H, j₀i. Thus it bears similarities to the wave operator of quantum mechanics and we will refer to it as \wave operator" in the following. Note, however, that appears only in an expectation value, Eq. (1.5), and does not yield the wave function j₀i.

The explicit use of cumulants in Eq. (1.4) ensures size consistency in any subsequent approximation and can be considered as replacing the afore-mentioned \linked diagrams". However, it is important to notice that the cumulant representation of the ground-state energy, Eq. (1.4), does not have the limitations which the diagram matic approaches often have since it holds for an arbitrary decomposition of Hinto H₀ and H₁. In particular, H₀ need not be an one-particle Hamiltonian (e.g., Hartree-Fock) as in the standard diagram matic approach. Hence, the present description is applicable to strongly correlated systems as well, e.g., to spin systems described by the Heisenberg Hamiltonian.

A nother advantage of using Eq. (1.4) is that quite dierent types of approximations can be applied than in diagram matic approaches. One example is a partitioning of the Liouville space, which was pioneered by Lowdin and by the Uppsala and Gainsville Quantum Chemistry Groups [9{11]. Consider a set of operators A, which span a subspace of the Liouville space. Taking into account this \relevant" subspace only and, thereby, neglecting the remaining part the following ansatz for the wave operator (1.6) is made

$$= 1 + X$$
 A: (1.7)

The coe cients are determined by

$$(A +) = 0 : (1.8)$$

This equation holds generally for all operators A and is easily proven by inserting the de nition (1.6) of [12]. Making the linear ansatz (1.7) for is equivalent to applying projection technique to Eq. (1.4) [8]. In particular, if we choose the powers of the Ham iltonian as relevant operator set, i.e., A = H (= 1:::N) we recover the improved CM X as in Refs. [6,7]. For a more general ansatz than Eq. (1.7) and other approximation schemes, see Ref. [12].

For these reasons it would be desirable to express not only the ground-state energy, but also the excitation energies in terms of cumulants. The way this has to be done can be conjectured by considering the Rayleigh-Schrodinger perturbation theory. By expanding in terms of H $_1$ and taking the limit z! 0 one arrives at the perturbation expansion of the ground-state energy:

$$E_0 = {}_{0} + {}_{n=0}^{\frac{1}{N}} H_1 \qquad \frac{1}{L_0} H_1 \qquad : \qquad (1.9)$$

The generalization to excited states, which suggests itself, is to take the expectation values with respect to an excited state j $_{i}$ i of H $_{0}$ instead of j $_{0}$ i

$$H_0 j_i i = i j_i i$$
 (1.10)

so that the expansion of the energy of an excited state of H becomes

$$E_{i} = {}_{i} + {}_{n=0}^{x^{i}} H_{1} \qquad \frac{1}{L_{0}} H_{1} \qquad :$$
 (1.11)

The subscript i indicates that the expectation values are now taken with respect to the excited state j $_i$ i

$$(A \not B)_i = h_{i} \not A^y B j_{i} i^c$$
 (1.12)

[cf. Eq. (1.5)]. Sum m ing the geom etric series in Eq. (1.11), we arrive at the conjecture

$$E_{i} = H 1 + \lim_{z = 0} \frac{1}{z (L_{0} + H_{1})} H_{1} = (H j)_{i}$$
 (1.13)

where is de ned as in Eq. (1.6). Note the interchange of the \lim its z ! 0 and n ! 1 .

In the next section, we show that the conjecture (1.13) is indeed true under certain circum stances. In Sec. III we present a speci c application of the form alism by calculating the quasiparticle energy bands of a sem iconductor in the Bond-O rbital Approximation (BOA). In Sec. IV we compare the results with previous work. Finally, we give a brief sum mary and conclude in Sec. V.

II. EXPRESSING EXCITATION ENERGIES IN TERMS OF CUMULANTS

In this section we prove that Eq. (1.13) holds indeed provided $\mbox{$h$}_{i}\mbox{$j$}_{i}\mbox{$i$}\mbox{$j$}_{i}\mbox{$$

$$f_{i}(t) = logh_{i}\dot{j}e^{itH}\dot{j}_{i}\dot{i} = log^{X}$$
(2.1)

for t=0. Note that the derivative of $f_i(i)$ with respect to i is the function introduced by Horn and Weinstein in Ref. [4], which tends to the ground-state

energy at the lim it ! 1 (provided that $_0^{(i)} \in 0$). However, the function defined here need not tend to the ground-state energy as t! 1 since the arguments of the exponentials are imaginary. This is shown next.

The argument of the logarithm is a complex number and, therefore, we have to specify the branch of the logarithm. This is done by requiring that $f_i(t)$ is analytic in a neighborhood of the positive real t-axis. As we assume that $i^{(i)} > 1=2$, it is h i jexp(iH t)j ii i0 for all t 0 and this requirement can be fullled. We continue straightforwardly

$$f_{i}(t) = \log^{X} {}^{(i)}e^{itE}$$

$$2 \qquad 0 \qquad 13$$

$$= \log^{4} {}^{(i)}e^{itE_{i}}e^{1} + X \qquad {}^{(i)}e^{it(E_{i}}e^{it(E_{i})}A5$$

$$= itE_{i} + \log^{(i)}{}_{i} + \log^{e}{1} + X \qquad {}^{(i)}e^{it(E_{i}}e^{it(E_{i})}A + 2 \text{ in (t)}:$$

$$= itE_{i} + \log^{(i)}{}_{i} + \log^{e}{1} + X \qquad {}^{(i)}e^{it(E_{i}}e^{it(E_{i})}A + 2 \text{ in (t)}:$$

The integer function n (t) guarantees that we remain on the correct branch of the logarithm. n (t) is xed by the previous requirement, namely that f_i (t) is analytic for t 0. The rst two terms of the last line in Eq. (2.2) are analytic. The third term is analytic, too, because

$$\frac{X}{\frac{(i)}{(i)}} e^{it(E - E_{i})} \qquad \frac{X}{\frac{(i)}{(i)}} = \frac{1}{\frac{(i)}{(i)}} \qquad 1 < 1$$
(2.3)

(rem em ber that $_{i}^{(i)} > 1=2$). Therefore n (t) is a constant, which vanishes because n(0) = 0. As the argument of the logarithm in Eq. (2.2) is bounded the asymptotic behavior of f_{i} (t) is

$$\frac{f_{i}(t)}{t}$$
! E_{i} (t! 1); (2.4)

i.e., the energy of the excited state is given by the behavior of f_i (t) for large t.

In order to calculate E_i with the help of Eq. (2.4), we consider the half-sided Fourier transform of f_i

$$\hat{f}_{i}(z) = i \int_{0}^{z} dt e^{itz} f_{i}(t)$$
 (2.5)

Since $f_i(t)$ =t approaches a constant for t! 1 , the integral is well-de ned for Im z > 0. From the asymptotic behavior (2.4) we readily obtain the energy of the excited state in terms of $\hat{f}_i(z)$:

$$E_{i} = \lim_{z \to 0^{+}} z^{2} \hat{f}_{i}(z) :$$
 (2.6)

We nally introduce cumulants by making use of Eq. (1.1)

$$f_i(t) = logh_i\dot{p}^{iHt}\dot{j}_i\dot{i} = h_i\dot{p}^{iHt}$$
 1 $\dot{j}_i\dot{i}^c$: (2.7)

This enables us to take the Fourier transform (2.5) explicitly and after some algebra (cf. Ref. [8]) we obtain

$$\hat{f}_{1}(z) = \frac{1}{z^{2}} + \frac{1}{z^{2}} + \frac{1}{z^{2}} + \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{z}$$
(2.8)

Hence the energy of the exited state is given by

$$E_{i} = \lim_{z! \ i0^{+}} z^{2} \hat{f}_{i}(z) = (H j)_{i};$$
 (2.9)

where we again used the de nition (1.6) of and the abbreviation (1.12).

III.APPLICATION TO SEM ICONDUCTORS

The formalism presented in the previous section will now be used to calculate the electronic excitation energies of a sem iconductor. These quasiparticle (quasi-hole) energies are de ned as the energy dierence between an excited state with momentum \underline{k} of the N + 1- (N 1-) particle system and the ground state of the N-particle system, where N denotes the number of electrons in the charge neutral system.

To be speci c, we treat a sem iconductor of diam ond-type structure. It is described by orthogonalized atom ic-like sp^3 hybrids and the resulting functions are denoted by $g_i(\underline{r})$. The corresponding electron creation (annihilation) operators a_i^y (a_i) full the usual anticom mutation relations.

In this basis the Hamiltonian reads as follows:

$$H = H_{0} + H_{int}$$

$$H_{0} = X_{i;j} a_{i}^{y} a_{j}$$

$$i;j; X_{int} = \frac{1}{2} X_{i;jk;lk;j} V_{ijkl} a_{i}^{y} a_{k}^{y} a_{l} a_{j}$$

$$i;j;k;lk;j a_{i}^{y} a_{k}^{y} a_{l} a_{j} :$$
(3.1)

H ere

$$t_{ij} = \int_{-\infty}^{Z} d^3 r g_i(\underline{r}) \frac{1}{2m} + V_{ext}(\underline{r}) g_j(\underline{r})$$
 (3.2)

is the bare hopping m atrix element between the hybrid orbitals i and j, and $V_{\text{ext}}(\underline{r})$ is the electrostatic potential set up by the nuclei and the core electrons.

$$V_{ijkl} = \int_{0}^{Z} d^{3}r d^{3}r^{0} g_{i} (\underline{r}) g_{j} (\underline{r}) \frac{e^{2}}{ir r^{0} j} g_{k} (\underline{r}^{0}) g_{l} (\underline{r}^{0})$$
(3.3)

are the interaction matrix elements.

In the Hartree-Fock (HF) approximation, the elective one-particle Hamiltonian is given by

$$H_{HF} = \int_{i:j}^{X} f_{ij} a_i^{y} a_j$$
 (3.4)

where f_{ij} denotes the Fock matrix:

$$f_{ij} = t_{ij} + \sum_{k,l; 0}^{X} V_{ijkl} \frac{1}{2} V_{ilkj} h^{HF} \dot{j} a_{k}^{Y} a_{l} \dot{j}^{HF} i :$$
 (3.5)

j HF i is the HF ground state which has to be determined self-consistently from Eqs. (3.4) and (3.5). The HF ground-state energy is given by

$$E_0^{HF} = h^{HF} \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{H}_{int} \mathcal{J}^{HF} \mathbf{i} + E_{NN}$$
 (3.6)

where $E_{\,\mathrm{N}\,\mathrm{N}}$ denotes the interaction energy of the nuclei and the core electrons.

To proceed further, we introduce bonding and antibonding functions for each bond I with corresponding creation operators

$$B_{I}^{Y} = \frac{1}{2} (a_{I1}^{Y} + a_{I2}^{Y})$$

$$A_{I}^{Y} = \frac{1}{2} (a_{I1}^{Y} - a_{I2}^{Y}) :$$
(3.7)

The operators a_{11}^y and a_{12}^y refer to the sp^3 hybrids I1 and I2 which form bond I. In the bond-orbital approximation (BOA) [13] the N-particle HF ground state is approximated by occupying all bonding states

$$j^{HF}i = {}^{Y}_{I} B_{I}^{Y} j0i:$$
 (3.8)

In a more re ned calculation the bonding orbitals are constructed from the HF orbitals by applying the localization method of Foster and Boys [14] or with the help of a localization potential, which acts within the space of the occupied HF orbitals only.

When an electron with momentum \underline{k} is added to the system the N + 1-particle

HF wave function is given within the BOA by

$$j_{\underline{k}m}^{HF} i = A_{\underline{k}m}^{Y} j^{HF} i$$
 (3.9)

where $A_{\underline{k}m}^{\,\,y}$ creates an electron with momentum \underline{k} in the conduction band m . The operator $A_{\underline{k}m}^{\,\,y}$ is decomposed into

$$A_{\underline{k}m}^{Y} = X \qquad (I)A_{I}^{Y} \qquad (3.10)$$

and the $_{\underline{k}\underline{m}}$ (I) are found from the solution of the HF eigenvalue problem .

The wave function (3.9) yields an energy eigenvalue $E_{\underline{k}\underline{m}}^{HF}$. From this we not the energy of a quasiparticle in the conduction band

$$_{km}^{HF} = E_{km}^{HF} \qquad E_{0}^{HF} ; \qquad (3.11)$$

which, according to Koopm ans' theorem [15], coincides with an eigenvalue of the one-electron H am iltonian $H_{\rm H\,F}$. Sim ilarly, the valence bands are calculated.

We now want to determ ine the correlation contributions to these quasiparticle energies. They re ect the in uence of the residual Hamiltonian

$$H_{res} = H \quad H_{HF}$$

$$= H_{int} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad X_{i;j;k;l;j} \quad (V_{ijkl} \quad ; \quad V_{ilkj}) h^{HF} j a_k^{Y} \cdot a_l \cdot j^{HF} i a_i^{Y} a_j \quad (3.12)$$

W e have to include the e ect of correlations on both, the N -particle ground-state energy, E $_0$, and the (excited) N + 1-particle energy E $_{\underline{k}m}\,$.

The rst problem has already been treated in Ref. [16]. The authors made a variational ansatz for the ground-state wave function in order to include correlation e ects. We want to re-derive their results by directly making use of the cumulant representation of the ground-state energy, Eq. (1.4),

$$E_0 = (H \dot{j})$$
: (3.13)

It is impossible to determ ine the wave operator exactly. Instead, we make an ansatz for in the Liouville space taking into account only the most important processes which are induced by $H_{\rm res}$. Within the Local Ansatz method they are described by the following operator [16]

$$S_{IJ} = \frac{1}{4} X_{J 0} A_{J 0} B_{J 0} A_{I} B_{I} :$$
 (3.14)

 S_{IJ} excites electrons in bonds I and J from a bonding into an antibonding state, thus changing the charge distribution in these bonds. This re ects the van-der-W aals interaction between the bonds I and J, as well as the interaction within one bond (I = J). Our ansatz for the wave operator in Eq. (3.13) reads [see Eqs. (1.7) and (1.8)]:

$$= 1 + \sum_{IJ}^{X} S_{IJ} :$$
 (3.15)

The coe cients $^{(0)}_{\text{IJ}}$ are determined from

$$0 = (S_{TT} +)$$
: (3.16)

Thus we are lead to the same result for the ground-state energy as in Ref. [16], which we refer to for the further evaluation of the expectation values.

This procedure to calculate the ground-state energy is advantageous because it applies for excited states, too, as is shown below.

The energies $E_{\underline{k}m}$ which we consider next are, in fact, excited energies of the N+1-particle system . A coording to Sec. II, they are given by

$$E_{km} = (H j)_{km} ;$$
 (3.17)

where the expectation values are taken with respect to an excited N + 1-particle state $j_{\underline{k}m}^{HF}$ i. Again, we make an ansatz for the wave operator . In this case, however, there are two different correlation elects which we have to take into account. They have already been discussed in detail in Ref. [16]. The rst process is the induced dipole-dipole interaction as it was present in the N-particle ground state above. It is described by the operators S_{IJ} , Eq. (3.14). The second process is the formation of a polarization cloud around the added particle. It is described by

$$S_{IJ} = \frac{1}{4} X_{J 0} A_{J 0} B_{J 0} A_{I} A_{I} : \qquad (3.18)$$

This is seen from

$$S_{IJ} j_{\underline{k}m}^{HF} i = \frac{\underline{k}m}{4} (I)^{X} A_{J}^{Y} \partial B_{J} \partial A_{I}^{Y} j^{HF} i$$
 (3.19)

where the extra electron in bond I induces a transition of an electron in bond J from a bonding to an antibonding state resulting in a polarization of bond J.

For the wave operator in Eq. (3.17) we make the following ansatz

Note that the coescients describing the dipole-dipole interaction, $_{\rm IJ}$, do not necessarily equal $_{\rm IJ}^{(0)}$. Furthermore, the coescients $_{\rm IJ}$ (km) and $_{\rm IJ}$ (km) may depend on the quantum numbers \underline{k} , m, and . They are determined from

$$0 = (S_{KL} H)_{km}$$
 (3.21)

$$0 = (S_{KL} \mathcal{H})_{\underline{km}}$$
 : (3.22)

This linear system of equations for $_{\rm IJ}$ (km) and $_{\rm IJ}$ (km) leads via Eqs. (3.20) and (3.17) to improved energies of the N + 1-particle system. Subtracting the ground-state energy E $_0$ of the N-particle system, Eq. (3.13) with Eq. (3.15), we arrive at quasiparticle energies $_{\rm km}$, which include the main e ect of electron correlations.

Obviously, the case of one added hole can be treated in close analogy. This will yield the valence bands.

IV . D ISC U SSIO N

In this section we want to compare the results with previous work done in Ref. [16]. There the same model is treated as here. Starting from a HF calculation, the authors make a variational ansatz for both, ground and excited states. The correlation operators are the same as in Eqs. (3.14) and (3.19). The authors consider the quasiparticle energy directly and, by making use of a linked-cluster theorem, they introduce cumulants ensuring size-consistency. Finally, they derive equations for the variational param eters $_{IJ}$ and $_{IJ}$ by m in im izing the energy. The nal equations look sim ilar to the Eqs. (321) and (322) derived here, the main difference being that A $_{\rm km}^{\rm Y}$ is subjected to cumulant ordering there, while it is included in the wave function here and, thus, not subjected to cumulant ordering. This difference is not surprising: When the quasiparticle energy is calculated directly, only processes which are connected to the extra particle contribute, e.g., the blocking of ground-state correlations in the neighborhood of the extra particle. This is ensured by subjecting $\mathtt{A}_{\underline{k}m}^{\,\, \underline{y}}$ to cumulant ordering. In this work, however, we calculate the energy of the N - and N 1-particle system separately. Therefore, we must also include processes which are not connected to the extra particle, e.g., ground-state correlations far apart from the extra particle.

By exploiting the properties of cum ulants we may rewrite the expectation values in Eqs. (3.21) and (3.22) such that they are taken with respect to joi only, thus

subjecting A_{km}^{y} to cumulant ordering. Taking the energy dierence between E_{km} and E_{0} , we arrive at essentially the same quasiparticle energies as in Ref. [16] as will be shown next.

W e begin with the expectation values which involve \mathbf{S}_{IJ} :

$$(S_{IJ} \mathcal{H})_{\underline{k}m} = h^{HF} \mathcal{A}_{\underline{k}m} S_{IJ}^{Y} H A_{\underline{k}m}^{Y} j^{HF} i$$

$$= h^{HF} \mathcal{A}_{\underline{k}m} S_{IJ}^{Y} H A_{\underline{k}m}^{Y} j^{HF} i^{c} + h^{HF} \mathcal{B}_{IJ}^{Y} H j^{HF} i \qquad (4.1)$$

$$= (S_{IJ} A_{\underline{k}m}^{Y} \mathcal{H} A_{\underline{k}m}^{Y}) + (S_{IJ} \mathcal{H}) :$$

Sim ilarly,

$$(S_{IJ} \mathcal{H} S_{KL})_{\underline{k}m} = S_{IJ} A_{\underline{k}m}^{\underline{y}} \qquad \mathcal{H} \qquad {}^{\mathrm{HF}}_{\underline{k}m} \mathcal{J} S_{KL} A_{\underline{k}m}^{\underline{y}} \qquad + (S_{IJ} \mathcal{H} S_{KL}) \qquad (4.2)$$

since (A $_{\underline{k}m}^{\, Y}$)H A $_{\underline{k}m}^{\, Y}$) = $_{\underline{k}m}^{\, H\, F}$. The operators S $_{\text{IJ}}$ are connected to A $_{\underline{k}m}^{\, Y}$. Hence,

$$(S_{IJ} \mathcal{H})_{\underline{k}m} = S_{IJ} A_{\underline{k}m}^{y} + A_{\underline{k}m}^{y}$$

$$(S_{IJ} \mathcal{H} S_{KL})_{\underline{k}m} = S_{IJ} A_{\underline{k}m}^{y} + K_{KL} A_{\underline{k}m}^{y}$$

$$(S_{IJ} \mathcal{H} S_{KL})_{\underline{k}m} = S_{IJ} A_{\underline{k}m}^{y} + K_{KL} A_{\underline{k}m}^{y}$$

$$(4.3)$$

When we insert Eqs. (4.1) { (4.3) into Eq. (3.21) we nd

$$0 = S_{IJ}A_{\underline{k}m}^{y} + B_{\underline{k}m}^{y} + (S_{IJ}H)$$

$$+ K_{KL}(\underline{k}m) S_{IJ}A_{\underline{k}m}^{y} + (S_{IJ}H)$$

$$+ K_{KL}(\underline{k}m) S_{IJ}A_{\underline{k}m}^{y} + (S_{IJ}HS_{\underline{k}m})$$

$$+ K_{KL}(\underline{k}m) S_{IJ}A_{\underline{k}m}^{y} + (S_{IJ}HS_{\underline{k}m})$$

$$+ K_{KL}(\underline{k}m) S_{IJ}A_{\underline{k}m}^{y} + K_{KL}A_{\underline{k}m}^{y}$$

$$+ K_{KL}(\underline{k}m) S_{IJ}A_{\underline{k}m}^{y} + K_{KL}A_{\underline{k}m}^{y}$$

$$+ K_{KL}(\underline{k}m) S_{IJ}A_{\underline{k}m} + K_{KL}A_{\underline{k}m}^{y}$$

$$+ K_{KL}(\underline{k}m) S_{IJ}A_{\underline{k}m} + K_{KL}A_{\underline{k}m}^{y}$$

The individual expectation values di er in their behavior as N $\,!\,$ 1 . W hile the cumulants involving $A_{\underline{k}m}^{\,Y}$ turn out to be of order N 1 , the others are O (N 0). To leading order in N

$$0 = (S_{IJ} \mathcal{H}) + \sum_{KL}^{X} (\underline{k} m) (S_{IJ} \mathcal{H} S_{KL}) : \qquad (4.5)$$

Comparing with Eqs. (3.15) and (3.16) we not that $_{\rm K\ L}$ (km) is the same as for the N-particle system (in leading order in N):

$$_{IJ} (\underline{k}m) = _{IJ}^{(0)} + O (N^{-1}) :$$
 (4.6)

This result was obtained in Ref. [16], too.

Next we consider Eq. (3.22), which determ ines the coe cients $_{\rm IJ}$. They describe the form ation of the polarization cloud around the added particle. Inserting again Eqs. (4.1) { (4.3) we nd

$$0 = S_{IJ}A_{\underline{k}m}^{Y} + A_{\underline{k}m}^{Y} + X \qquad (\underline{k}m) S_{IJ}A_{\underline{k}m}^{Y} + S_{\underline{k}L}A_{\underline{k}m}^{Y}$$

$$+ X \qquad KL \qquad (\underline{k}m) S_{IJ}A_{\underline{k}m}^{Y} \qquad H \qquad H^{FF}_{\underline{k}m} \qquad S_{KL}A_{\underline{k}m}^{Y} \qquad (4.7)$$

The only dierence to Ref. [16] is that instead of the exact quasiparticle energy \underline{k}_{m} the Hartree-Fock energy \underline{k}_{m}^{HF} enters Eq. (4.7). This is due to a dierent introduction of cumulants and dierent approximations thereafter: As already mentioned above, the energies of the N- and the N- 1-particle system are calculated separately here, whereas the quasiparticle energy is calculated directly in Ref. [16].

Finally, the quasiparticle energies are given by

Applying Eq. (4.6) this can be rewritten in the form

$$\underline{k}_{\underline{m}} = \underline{k}_{\underline{m}}^{HF} + X \qquad (0) \qquad \underline{k}_{\underline{m}}^{Y} \qquad \underline{H} \ \underline{S}_{\underline{I}J} A_{\underline{k}\underline{m}}^{Y} \qquad + X \qquad \underline{I}_{\underline{J}} \ \underline{k}_{\underline{m}} \qquad \underline{H} \ \underline{S}_{\underline{J}J} A_{\underline{k}\underline{m}}^{Y} \qquad \underline{S}_{\underline{J}J} A_{\underline{k}\underline{m}}^{Y} \qquad \underline{H} \ \underline{S}_{\underline{J}J} A_{\underline{k}\underline{m}}^{Y} \qquad \underline{H} \ \underline{S}_{\underline{J}J} A_{\underline{k}\underline{m}}^{Y} \qquad \underline{S}_{\underline{J}J} A_{\underline{J}J} \qquad \underline{S}_{\underline{J}J} \qquad \underline{S}_{\underline{$$

The same expression for the quasiparticle energy was derived in Ref. [16]. By using ⁽⁰⁾ from a ground-state calculation and determining _{IJ} (km) from Eq. (4.7) the energy bands for diam and are obtained. The energy gap is found to be only 50% of the HF energy gap and the widths of the valence and conduction bands are considerably reduced from their HF values [16].

V.SUM MARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we showed how excitation energies are expressed in terms of cumulants. This work generalizes the cumulant representation of the ground-state energy

which has been derived recently [8]. Introducing cumulants explicitly implies that all subsequent approximations are a priori size consistent. We would like to emphasize that these representations of ground-state and excitation energies hold irrespectively of the particular splitting of the Hamiltonian H into a solvable part H_0 and the remaining part (H H_0), which has to be treated approximately. This contrasts the standard diagram matic approaches, in which H_0 has to be a one-particle operator. Therefore, forming the cumulant can be viewed as considering linked diagrams" only in the case of weakly correlated systems and as their generalization in the case of strongly correlated systems for which one-particle wave functions would be a poor starting point.

To give an application of the theory, we calculated the quasiparticle energy bands of a sem iconductor. We made two separate calculations, one for the ground-state energy of the charge-neutral system and one for the (excited) system where one electron (hole) with momentum k is added. With the help of the new representation of excitation energies it was possible to perform both calculations within the same formalism, i.e., to apply the same approximations in both cases. Taking the dierence of the two energies, we obtained the quasiparticle energy. Finally we discussed the connection of the present calculations with a variational treatment of this problem [16] and essentially reproduced the results obtained there.

ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Professor Dr.K.W. Becker and Dipl.Phys.K.Fischer for several valuable discussions, from which this work proted.

REFERENCES

- [1] see, e.g.: JW . Negele and H . O rland, Quantum M any-Particle Systems (Addison W esley, 1988).
- [2] H.D.Ursell, Proc.Cambridge Phil.Soc. 23, 685 (1927); J.E.Mayer, J.Chem. Phys. 5, 67 (1937).
- [3] R. Kubo, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. <u>17</u>, 1100 (1962).
- [4] D. Hom and M. Weinstein, Phys. Rev. D 30, 1256 (1984).
- [5] J. Cioslow ski, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>58</u>, 83 (1987).
- [6] P.J.Knowles, Chem. Phys. Lett. <u>134</u>, 512 (1987).
- [7] J. Cioslowski, Phys. Rev. A <u>36</u>, 374 (1987).
- [8] K.W. Becker and P. Fulde, Z. Phys. B. <u>72</u>, 423 (1988); see also J. Chem. Phys. <u>91</u>, 4223 (1989).
- [9] P.O. Lowdin, Int. J. Quant. Chem. <u>21</u>, 69 (1982).
- [10] O.Goscinski and E.Brandas, Int. J. Quant. Chem. $\underline{5}$, 131 (1971).
- [11] R.J. Bartlett and E. Brandas, J. Chem. Phys. <u>56</u>, 5467 (1972).
- [12] T. Schork and P. Fulde, J. Chem. Phys. <u>97</u>, 9195 (1992).
- [13] W A. Harrison, "Electronic Structure and the properties of solids" (Freeman, San Francisco, 1980).
- [14] JM . Foster and S.F. Boys, Rev. Mod. Phys. 32, 300 (1960).
- [15] T.A. Koopmans, Physica 1, 104 (1933).
- [16] W. Borrm ann and P. Fulde, Phys. Rev. B <u>31</u>, 7800 (1985); B <u>35</u>, 9569 (1987); and earlier references therein.