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#### Abstract

R ecently, it has been show $n$ that the ground-state energy of a quantum $m$ any-body system can be w ritten in term sof cum ulants. In this paper we show that the energies of excited states can be expressed sim ilarly. These representations are suttable for various approxim ation schem es, e.g., projection techniques. T he explicit use of cum ulants ensures size consistency in all approxim ations.

T he theory is then applied to the com putation of the energy bands of a sem iconductor w th diam ond structure. A s we focus especially on the e ects of electron correlations, we consider a sim pli ed model and re-derive results obtained previously by the variational Local A nsatz $m$ ethod.


Energy is a size-extensive quantity, ie., the energy of two well-separated, but otherw ise identical system $s$ equals tw ioe the value of a single system. A pproxim ations which are applied when energies of a system are calculated $m$ ust preserve this property. In the standard diagram $m$ atic approach size consistency is ensured in any approxim ation by considering linked diagram s only [7]. H ow ever, the diagram $m$ atic description $m$ akes essential use of $W$ idk's theorem, which is applicable only if the dom inant part of the H am iltonian is a one-particle operator. Therefore this approach is restricted to weakly correlated system s in which the electron-electron interaction is weak and $m$ ay be treated perturbatively.

It is known from classical statisticalm echanics that size consistency is attained by expressing size-extensive quantities in term s of cum ulants 2]. Kubo de ned the cum ulant of a quantum $m$ echanical expectation value [6]. It is denoted by a superscript ${ }^{c}$ and, $w$ ith respect to the vector $j i$, it is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { h } \overbrace{1}::: A_{n} j i^{C}=\frac{@}{@_{1}}::: \frac{@}{@_{n}} \operatorname{logh} \dot{j}^{1 A_{1}}::: e^{n^{A_{n}}} j i_{1=:::=}^{n=0} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne im m ediately nds, e.g.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h} \mathrm{AA}_{1} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{h} \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{j} i \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$


$B$ ased on the H om-W einstein theorem [7] , an in nite series term ed lconnected mom ent expansion" (CMX) has been derived for the ground-state energy 目[7]. Involving cum ulants only (rather than ordinary expectation values), any truncation of the series leads to size-consistent estim ates for the ground-state energy.

H ere we would like to proceed as in Ref. [0], where a di erent representation of the ground-state energy in term s of cum ulants has been derived and which we refer to for details. The interacting $m$ any-body system is described by a $H$ am iltonian $H$. $W$ e assum e that the ground state $j$ oi of a part $H_{0}$ of the $H$ am iltonian $H$ is known
Hojoi= ojoi:

Provided that j oi has a nite overlap with the ground state of $H$, the ground-state energy $\mathrm{E}_{0}$ of H is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}=(H j): \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere we introduced the abbreviation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A \mathcal{B})=h \circ \mathcal{A B}^{y_{B}} j \circ \mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{c}}: \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It serves as a form on the vector space (the L iouville space) spanned by the operators which are de ned on the usual H ilbert space. is a superoperator which acts on the Liouville space. It is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
=1+\lim _{\mathrm{z}!} \frac{1}{\mathrm{z}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{0}+\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)} \mathrm{H}_{1}: \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{L}_{0}$ is the Liouville operator w th respect to $\mathrm{H}_{0}$. It acts on any operator A according to $\left.L_{0} A=\mathbb{H}_{0} ; A\right]$. characterizes the transform ation of the unperturbed ground state $j$ oi into the ground state of $H, j$ oi. Thus it bears sim ilarities to the wave operator of quantum $m$ echanics and we will refer to it as \wave operator" in the follow ing. N ote, how ever, that appears only in an expectation value, Eq. (1.5), and does not yield the wave function $j{ }_{0} i$.

The explicit use of cum ulants in Eq. (1.4) ensures size consistency in any subsequent approxim ation and can be considered as replacing the afore-m entioned \linked diagram s". H ow ever, it is im portant to notioe that the cum ulant representation of the ground-state energy, Eq. (1.4), does not have the lim itations which the diagram $m$ atic approaches often have since it holds for an arbitrary decom position of $H$ into $H_{0}$ and $H_{1}$. In particular, $H_{0}$ need not be an one-particle $H$ am iltonian (e.g., H artree $F$ Ock) as in the standard diagram $m$ atic approach. H ence, the present description is applicable to strongly correlated system s as well, e.g., to spin system s described by the H eisenberg H am iltonian.

A nother advantage of using Eq. (1.4) is that quite di erent types of approxi$m$ ations can be applied than in diagram $m$ atic approaches. O ne exam ple is a partitioning of the Liouville space, which was pioneered by Low din and by the Uppsala and $G$ ainsville $Q$ uantum $C$ hem istry $G$ roups 9 [11]. C onsider a set of operators A , which span a subspace of the Liouville space. Taking into account this \relevant" subspace only and, thereby, neglecting the rem aining part the follow ing ansatz for the wave operator ( $\mathbf{4 . 6}$ is $m$ ade

$$
\begin{equation*}
=1+^{x} \quad A \quad: \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coe cients are determ ined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (A } \ddagger \mathrm{H})=0 \text { : } \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation holds generally for all operators A and is easily proven by inserting the de nition (1.9) of [2]. M aking the linear ansatz (.7] for is equivalent to applying pro jection technique to Eq. 1.4) [G]. In particular, if we choose the pow ers of the H am iltonian as relevant operator set, i.e., $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{H} \quad(\quad=1::: \mathrm{N})$ we recover the im proved CM X as in Refs. [ [ 7 ]. For a m ore general ansatz than Eq. 1.7) and other approxim ation schem es, see Ref. [12].

For these reasons it would be desirable to express not only the ground-state energy, but also the excitation energies in term s of cum ulants. The way this has to be done can be conjectured by considering the R ayleigh-Schrodinger perturbation theory. By expanding in term sof $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and taking the lim it z ! 0 one arrives at the perturbation expansion of the ground-state energy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}=0+{ }_{n=0}^{X^{B}} H_{1} \quad \frac{1}{L_{0}} H_{1}^{n}: \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The generalization to excited states, which suggests itself, is to take the expectation values with respect to an excited state $j$ ii of $H 0$ instead of $j o i$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{0} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{i}={ }_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{i}} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the expansion of the energy of an excited state of H becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i}={ }_{i}+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}=0}^{\mathrm{X}_{1}} \quad \frac{1}{L_{0}} H_{1}^{n} \quad: \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The subscript i indicates that the expectation values are now taken $w$ ith respect to the excited state $j_{i} i$
[cf. Eq. (1.5)]. Sum m ing the geom etric series in Eq. 1.11), we arrive at the con jecture

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i}=H 1+\lim _{z!} \frac{1}{Z\left(L_{0}+H_{1}\right)} H_{i}^{!}=(H j)_{i} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is de ned as in Eq. (.6. $N$ ote the interchange of the lim its $z!0$ and n ! 1 .

In the next section, we show that the con jecture (1.13) is indeed true under certain circum stances. In Sec. $\mathbb{\text { We present a speci c application of the form alism by }}$ calculating the quasiparticle energy bands of a sem ioonductor in the B ond-O rbital A pproxim ation ( BOA ). In Sec. $\mathbb{I V}$ we com pare the results w ith previous work. Finally, we give a brief sum $m$ ary and conclude in Sec. V .
II. EXPRESSING EXCITATION ENERGIES IN TERMSOF CUMULANTS

In this section we prove that Eq. (1.13) holds indeed provided $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{i} i f>1=2$. Here, $j_{i} i$ denotes an eigenstate of $H$ with eigenvalue $E_{i}$. Further, let (i) $=$ th ij if. We consider the follow ing function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}(t)=\operatorname{logh}_{i} \dot{e}^{i t h} j_{i} i=\log { }^{x} \quad \text { (i) }^{i+E} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

fort 0 . N ote that the derivative of $f_{i}(i) w$ ith respect to is the function introduced by Hom and $W$ einstein in Ref. [G], which tends to the ground-state
energy at the lim it ! 1 (provided that $0_{0}^{(i)} 0$ ). H ow ever, the function de ned here need not tend to the ground-state energy ast! 1 since the argum ents of the exponentials are im aginary. This is shown next.

The argum ent of the logarithm is a com plex num ber and, therefore, we have to specify the branch of the logarithm . This is done by requiring that $f_{i}(t)$ is analytic in a neighborhood of the positive real t-axis. As we assume that ${ }_{i}^{(i)}>1=2$, it is $h{ }_{i} j \exp (i H t) j{ }_{i} i \neq 0$ for all $t \quad 0$ and this requirem ent can be ful led. $W$ e continue straightforw ardly

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{i}(t)=\log _{2}^{X} \quad{ }^{\text {(i) }} e^{i+E} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he integer fiunction $n(t)$ guarantees that we rem ain on the correct branch of the logarithm . $n(t)$ is xed by the previous requirem ent, nam ely that $f_{i}(t)$ is analytic fort 0. The rst two term s of the last line in Eq. (2.2) are analytic. The third term is analytic, too, because
(rem em ber that ${ }_{i}^{(i)}>1=2$ ). Therefore $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{t})$ is a constant, whidh vanishes because $n(0)=0$. A s the argum ent of the logarithm in Eq. (2.2) is bounded the asym ptotic behavior of $f_{i}(t)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f_{i}(t)}{t}!\quad i E_{i} \quad(t!1) ; \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., the energy of the excited state is given by the behavior of $f_{i}(t)$ for large $t$.

In order to calculate $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{w}$ th the help of Eq . (2.4), we consider the half-sided Fourier transform of $f_{i}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{f_{i}}(z)=i_{0}^{z_{1}} d t e^{i t z} f_{i}(t): \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f_{i}(t)=t$ approaches a constant for $t!1$, the integral is well-de ned for Im $z>0$. From the asym ptotic behavior (2.4) we readily obtain the energy of the excited state in term $\operatorname{sof} \hat{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}}(\mathrm{z})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}=\lim _{\mathrm{z}!\mathrm{i} 0^{+}} z^{2} \hat{\hat{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{i}}}(\mathrm{z}): \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We nally introduce cum ulants by $m$ aking use ofeq. (1.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}(t)=\operatorname{logh}_{i} \dot{e}^{i H t} j_{i} i=h_{i j} \dot{e}^{\text {iHt }} \quad 1 j_{i} i^{c}: \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This enables us to take the Fourier transform 2.5) explicitly and after som e algebra


$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}}(\mathrm{z})=\frac{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{z}^{2}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{z}^{2}}}{}{ }^{\star}{ }_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{H}_{1} 1+\frac{1}{\mathrm{z}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{0}+\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \quad: \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the energy of the exited state is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i}=\lim _{z!i 0^{+}} z^{2} \hat{\hat{f}_{\mathrm{i}}}(z)=(H j)_{i} ; \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we again used the de nition (1.6) of and the abbreviation (‥12).

## III. APPLICATION TO SEM ICONDUCTORS

The form alism presented in the previous section will now be used to calculate the electronic excitation energies of a sem iconductor. These quasiparticle (quasihole) energies are de ned as the energy di erence between an excited state w ith $m$ om entum $\underline{k}$ of the $N+1-(\mathbb{N} \quad 1-)$ particle system and the ground state of the N -particle system, where N denotes the num ber of electrons in the charge neutral system.

To be speci c, we treat a sem ioonductor of diam ond-type structure. It is described by orthogonalized atom ic-like $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybrids and the resulting functions are denoted by $g_{i}(\underline{r})$. The corresponding electron creation (annihilation) operators $a_{i}^{y}$ ( $a_{i}$ ) fiul ll the usual antioom $m$ utation relations.

In this basis the H am iltonian reads as follow s :

$$
\begin{align*}
H & =H_{0}+H_{\text {int }} \\
H_{0} & ={ }_{i ; j ;}^{x} t_{i j} a_{i}^{y} a_{j}  \tag{3.1}\\
H_{\text {int }} & =\frac{1}{2}{ }_{i ; j k ; l ;} \quad{ }^{x} V_{i j k l} a_{i}^{y} a_{k}^{y} \text { o } a_{l}{ }^{\circ} a_{j}:
\end{align*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i j}=d^{3} r g_{i}(\underline{r}) \quad \frac{1}{2 m}+V \operatorname{ext}^{(\underline{r})} g_{j}(\underline{r}) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the bare hopping $m$ atrix elem ent betw een the hybrid orbitals $i$ and $j$, and $V_{\text {ext }}(\underline{r})$ is the electrostatic potential set up by the nuclei and the core electrons.

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i j k l}=d^{Z} r d^{3} r^{0} g_{i}(\underline{r}) g_{j}(\underline{r}) \frac{e^{2}}{\underline{\underline{r}} \quad \underline{r}^{0} j} g_{k}\left(\underline{r}^{0}\right) g_{1}\left(\underline{r}^{0}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

are the interaction $m$ atrix elem ents.
In the $H$ artree Fock ( $\mathrm{H} F$ ) approxim ation, the e ective one-particle H am ittonian is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{H F}={\underset{i ; j ;}{X} f_{i j} a_{i}^{y} a_{j}, ~}_{i} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $f_{i j}$ denotes the Fock $m$ atrix:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i j}=t_{i j}+{ }_{k ; 1 ; 0}^{x} \quad V_{i j k l} \quad \frac{1}{2} V_{i l k j} h^{H F} \dot{\mu}_{k}^{Y} \circ a_{1} \circ j{ }^{H F} i: \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$j^{H F} i$ is the HF ground state which has to be determ ined self-consistently from Eqs. (3.4) and (3.5). The H F ground-state energy is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{0}^{\mathrm{HF}}=h^{\mathrm{HF}} \mathrm{H}_{0}+\mathrm{H}_{\text {int }} j^{\mathrm{HF}} i+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{NN}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{NN}}$ denotes the interaction energy of the nuclei and the core electrons.
To proceed further, we introduce bonding and antibonding functions for each bond I w ith corresponding creation operators

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{\mathrm{I}}^{y}=\frac{1}{\overline{2}}\left(a_{\mathrm{I} 1}^{y}+a_{\mathrm{I} 2}^{y}\right) \\
& A_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{y}}=\frac{P^{1}}{\overline{2}}\left(a_{\mathrm{I} 1}^{y} \quad a_{\mathrm{I} 2}^{y}\right): \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The operators $a_{\mathrm{I} 1}^{\mathrm{y}}$ and $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{I} 2}^{y}$ refer to the $s \mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybrids I 1 and $I 2$ which form bond I. In the bond-orbitalapproxim ation (BOA) 13] the $N$-particle HF ground state is approxim ated by occupying allbonding states

$$
\begin{equation*}
j^{H F} i={ }_{I ;}^{Y} B_{I}^{Y} j 0 i: \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a m ore re ned calculation the bonding onbitals are constructed from the HF orbitals by applying the localization $m$ ethod of Foster and Boys 14] or $w$ th the help of a localization potential, which acts within the space of the occupied HF orbitals only.

W hen an electron $w$ ith $m$ om entum $\underline{k}$ is added to the system the $N+1$-particle HF wave function is given w thin the BOA by

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{\underline{k m}}^{\mathrm{kF}} i=A_{\underline{k} \mathrm{~m}}^{\mathrm{y}} j^{\mathrm{HF}}{ }_{i} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{\underline{k} m}^{y}$ creates an electron with $m$ om entum $\underline{k}$ in the conduction band $m$. The operator $\mathrm{A}_{\underline{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{Y}}$ is decom posed into

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\underline{k} m}^{Y}=\underbrace{X}_{I} \quad \underline{k m} \quad \text { (I) } A_{I}^{Y} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the ${ }_{\underline{k}}$ (I) are found from the solution of the HF eigenvalue problem.
The wave function 3.9) yields an energy eigenvalue $\mathrm{E}_{\underline{\mathrm{km}}}^{\mathrm{HF}}$. From this we nd the energy of a quasiparticle in the conduction band

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\underline{\mathrm{km}}}{\mathrm{HF}}=\mathrm{E}_{\underline{\mathrm{k} \mathrm{~m}}}^{\mathrm{HF}} \quad \mathrm{E}_{0}^{\mathrm{HF}} ; \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, according to K oopm ans' theorem [15], coincides with an eigenvalue of the one-electron H am iltonian $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{HF}}$. Sim ilarly, the valence bands are calculated.

W e now want to determ ine the correlation contributions to these quasiparticle energies. They re ect the in uence of the residual $H$ am iltonian

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{\text {res }}=H \quad H_{H F} \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

W e have to include the e ect of correlations on both, the N -particle ground-state energy, $\mathrm{E}_{0}$, and the (excited) $\mathrm{N}+1$-particle energy $\mathrm{E}_{\underline{\mathrm{km}}}$.

The rst problem has already been treated in Ref. 16]. The authors m ade a variationalansatz for the ground-state w ave function in order to include correlation e ects. W e want to re-derive their results by directly $m$ aking use of the cum ulant representation of the ground-state energy, Eq. 1.4),

$$
E_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{H} \tag{3.13}
\end{array}\right):
$$

It is im possible to determ ine the wave operator exactly. Instead, we $m$ ake an ansatz for in the Liouville space taking into account only the m ost im portant processes which are induced by $\mathrm{H}_{\text {res }}$. W ithin the Local A nsatz method they are described by the follow ing operator 16]

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\text {IJ }}=\frac{1}{4}_{; 0}^{X} A_{J}^{y}{ }_{0} B_{J}{ }^{0} A_{I}^{y} B_{I}: \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$S_{\text {IJ }}$ excites electrons in bonds $I$ and $J$ from a bonding into an antibonding state, thus changing the charge distribution in these bonds. This re ects the van-derW aals interaction between the bonds I and $J$, as well as the interaction within one bond ( $I=J$ ). O ur ansatz for the wave operator in Eq. (3.13) reads [see Eqs. (.7] and (1.8)]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
=1+{ }_{\mathrm{IJ}}^{\mathrm{X}}{ }_{\mathrm{IJ}}^{(0)} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{IJ}}: \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coe cients ${ }_{\text {IJ }}^{(0)}$ are determ ined from

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\left(\mathrm{S}_{\text {IJ }} \mathrm{H}\right): \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we are lead to the sam e result for the ground-state energy as in Ref. [16], which we refer to for the further evaluation of the expectation values.

This procedure to calculate the ground-state energy is advantageous because it applies for excited states, too, as is show n below .

The energies $\mathrm{E}_{\underline{\mathrm{km}}}$ which we consider next are, in fact, excited energies of the $\mathrm{N}+1$-particle system . A coording to Sec. [

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\underline{\mathrm{k} m}}=(\mathrm{H} j)_{\underline{\mathrm{k} m}} ; \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the expectation values are taken $w$ ith respect to an excited $N+1$-particle state $j_{\underline{k} m}^{\mathrm{HF}}$ i. A gain, we make an ansatz for the wave operator. In this case, how ever, there are two di erent correlation e ects which we have to take into account. They have already been discussed in detail in Ref. 16]. The rst process is the induced dipole-dipole interaction as it was present in the N -particle ground state above. It is described by the operators $S_{\text {IJ }}$, Eq. (3.14). The second process is the form ation of a polarization cloud around the added particle. It is described by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{I J}=\frac{1}{4}{ }_{; 0} A_{J}^{Y}{ }_{0} B_{J} 0^{\circ} A_{I}^{y} A_{I}: \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is seen from

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{I J} j_{\underline{k m}}^{\mathrm{HF}} i=\frac{\underline{k m}(I)}{4}{ }_{0}^{X} A_{J}^{y}{ }_{0} B_{J}{ }_{0} A_{I}^{Y} j^{H F} i \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the extra electron in bond I induces a transition of an electron in bond $J$ from a bonding to an antibonding state resulting in a polarization ofbond $J$.

For the wave operator in Eq. (3.17) we m ake the follow ing ansatz
$N$ ote that the coe cients describing the dipole-dipole interaction, IJ , do not necessarily equal ${ }_{\text {IJ }}^{(0)}$. Furtherm ore, the coe cients IJ (km ) and IJ (km ) may depend on the quantum num bers $\underline{k}, m$, and . They are determ ined from

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=\left(S_{\mathrm{KL}} \ddagger\right)_{\underline{\mathrm{km}}}  \tag{321}\\
& 0=\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{KL}} \ddagger \mathrm{H}\right)_{\underline{\mathrm{k} m}}: \tag{322}
\end{align*}
$$

This linear system ofequations for IJ (km ) and IJ (km ) leads via Eqs. 32G) and 3.17) to im proved energies of the $\mathrm{N}+1$-particle system . Subtracting the groundstate energy $\mathrm{E}_{0}$ of the N -particle system, Eq. (3.13) w ith Eq. 3.15), we arrive at quasiparticle energies km , which include the m ain e ect of electron correlations.

O bviously, the case of one added hole can be treated in close analogy. This w ill yield the valence bands.

## IV. D ISC U SSIO N

In this section we want to com pare the results with previous work done in Ref. [16]. There the sam e m odel is treated as here. Starting from a HF calculation, the authors m ake a variational ansatz for both, ground and excited states. The correlation operators are the sam e as in Eqs. (3.14) and 3.19). The authors consider the quasiparticle energy directly and, by $m$ aking use of a linked-cluster theorem, they introduce cum ulants ensuring size-oonsistency. Finally, they derive equations for the variationalparam eters iv and iv by minim izing the energy. The nalequations look sim ilar to the Eqs. (321) and (322) derived here, the m ain difference being that $A_{\underline{k m}}^{Y}$ is sub jected to cum ulant ordering there, while it is included in the wave function here and, thus, not sub jected to cum ulant ordering. This difference is not surprising: W hen the quasiparticle energy is calculated directly, only processes which are connected to the extra particle contribute, e.g., the blocking of ground-state correlations in the neighborhood of the extra particle. This is ensured by sub jecting $A_{\underline{k m}}^{Y}$ to cum ulant ordering. In this work, how ever, we calculate the energy of the N - and $\mathrm{N} \quad$ 1-particle system separately. Therefore, we m ust also inchude processes which are not connected to the extra particle, e.g., ground-state correlations far apart from the extra particle.

By exploiting the properties of cum ulants wem ay rew rite the expectation values in Eqs. (321) and (322) such that they are taken with respect to $j$ oi only, thus
sub jecting $A_{\underline{k} m}^{Y}$ to cum ulant ordering. Taking the energy di erence betw een $E_{\underline{\underline{k} m}}$ and $E_{0}$, we arrive at essentially the sam e quasiparticle energies as in $R e f$. 16] as w ill be shown next.

W e begin w ith the expectation values whid involve $S_{\text {IJ }}$ :

Sim ilarly,
since $\left(A_{\underline{k} m}^{Y}\right.$ fi $\left.A_{\underline{k} m}^{Y}\right)=\underset{\underline{k} m}{\mathrm{HF}}$. The operators $S_{I J}$ are connected to $A_{\underline{k} m}^{Y}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(S_{I J} \mathcal{H} S_{K L}\right)_{\underline{k m}}=S_{I J} A_{\underline{k} m}^{Y} \quad H S_{S_{L}} A_{\underline{k} m}^{Y} \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

W hen we insert Eqs. (4.1) (4.3) into Eq. 3.21) we nd

The individual expectation values di er in their behavior as $N$ ! $1 . W$ hile the cum ulants involving $A_{\underline{k m}}^{Y}$ tum out to be of order $N^{1}$, the others are $O\left(\mathbb{N}^{0}\right)$. To leading order in N

C om paring with Eqs. 3.15) and 3.16) we nd that k ( ${ }^{2 m}$ ) is the same as for the N -particle system (in leading order in N ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{IJ}(\mathrm{~km} \quad)={ }_{\mathrm{IJ}}^{(0)}+O\left(\mathbb{N}^{1}\right): \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result was obtained in Ref. [16], too.
N ext we consider Eq. (322), which determ ines the coe cients iv. They describe the form ation of the polarization cloud around the added particle. Inserting again Eqs. 4.1) $\{4.3$ ) we nd
$T$ he only di erence to $R$ ef. 16] is that instead of the exact quasiparticle energy km the H artree Fock energy $\underset{\underline{k} \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{kF}}$ enters Eq. 4.7). This is due to a di erent introduction of cum ulants and di erent approxim ations thereafter: A s already m entioned above, the energies of the N - and the $\mathrm{N} \quad 1$-particle system are calculated separately here, whereas the quasiparticle energy is caloulated directly in Ref. 16].

Finally, the quasiparticle energies are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{H} \quad 1+{ }_{\text {IJ }}^{\mathrm{X}}{ }_{\mathrm{IJ}}^{(0)} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{IJ}} \quad \text { ! } \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying Eq. 4.6) this can be rew ritten in the form

The sam e expression for the quasiparticle energy was derived in Ref. 16]. By using ${ }_{\text {IJ }}^{(0)}$ from a ground-state calculation and determ ining is (km ) from Eq. 4.7) the energy bands for diam ond are obtained. The energy gap is found to be only $50 \%$ of the HF energy gap and the widths of the valence and conduction bands are considerably reduced from their H F values 16].

## V.SUMMARYAND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we showed how excitation energies are expressed in term sofcum ulants. This work generalizes the cum ulant representation of the ground-state energy
which hasbeen derived recently [G]. Introducing cum ulants explicitly im plies that all subsequent approxim ations are a priori size consistent. W e would like to em phasize that these representations ofground-state and excitation energies hold irrespectively of the particular splltting of the H am iltonian H into a solvable part $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ and the rem aining part ( $\mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{H}_{0}$ ), which has to be treated approxim ately. This contrasts the standard diagram $m$ atic approaches, in which $H_{0}$ has to be a one-particle operator. Therefore, form ing the cum ulant can be viewed as considering \linked diagram s" only in the case of weakly correlated system $s$ and as their generalization in the case of strongly correlated system s for which one-particle w ave functions w ould be a poor starting point.

To give an application of the theory, we calculated the quasiparticle energy bands of a sem iconductor. W e m ade two separate calculations, one for the ground-state energy of the charge-neutral system and one for the (excited) system where one electron (hole) with $m$ om entum $k$ is added. $W$ th the help of the new representation of excitation energies it was possible to perform both calculations within the sam e form alism, i.e., to apply the sam e approxim ations in both cases. Taking the di erence of the tw o energies, we obtained the quasiparticle energy. Finally we discussed the connection of the present calculations w ith a variationaltreatm ent of this problem 16] and essentially reproduced the results obtained there.
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