Theory of the Eigler-switch

M ads B randbyge and Per Hedegard
rsted Laboratory, Niels Bohr Institute,
Universitetsparken 5, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark
(December 31, 2021)

Abstract

We suggest a simple model to describe the reversible eld-induced transfer of a single X e-atom in a scanning tunneling microscope, | the Eigler-switch. The inelasticly tunneling electrons give rise to uctuating forces on and damping of the X e-atom resulting in an elective current dependent temperature. The rate of transfer is controlled by the well-known Airhenius law with this elective temperature. The directionality of atom transfer is discussed, and the importance of use of non-equilibrium—formalism for the electronic environment is emphasized. The theory constitutes a formal derivation and generalization of the so-called Description Induced by Multiple Electron Transitions (DIMET) point of view.

Eigler and Schweizer [1] have been able to manipulate Xe atoms and place them with atom ic precision on a Nisurface using an ultra high vacuum scanning tunneling microscope (STM) operated at 4 Kelvin. Experiments by Eigler, Lutz and Rudge show [2], that a single X e atom physisorbed to a particular kink site on a single crystal N i-(110) surface, can be transferred reversibly between this surface-site and the W tip in the STM. These experiments are done at 4 Kelvin. Using voltage pulses of 0.8V for 64m sec, they are able to toggle the X e atom from surface to tip and back to the same position on the surface at will, and nd that the direction of transfer is the same as that of the tunneling electrons. For a particular tip-surface con quration with the X e adatom on the surface, the transfer rate, 1 , goes as I $^{4:9}$ $^{0:2}$, I being the tunneling current. The voltage range in these m easurem ents is from 18m V to 180m V with V=I=906k2%. These phenomena has been investigated theoretically by Walkup, Newns and Avouris [3] and Gao, Persson and Lundquist [4]. These authors suggest that the main mechanism behind the transfer is that the current excite the Xeatom vibrationally in the double-well-potential, sustained by the van der Waalattraction to surface and tip. On the other hand the X e atom dissipates energy to the surface phonons, so they calculate the rate of transfer using rate-equations (Paulim aster equations) including the competition between dissipation to surface-phonons and \heating" by inelasticly tunneling electrons. In Ref. [3] the possible mehanisms behind the directionality of transfer is discussed, and they conclude that the adsorbtion-induced dipole is the dom inant e ect.

In this paper we concentrate on showing that the key-features of the experiments can be explained from a less phenomenological point of view, based on a simple model.

The main idea in our calculation is the following. We view the Xe atom as a quantum B rownian particle interacting with the environment of electrons in the tip and surface via the adsorbate-resonance, through which the electrons tunnel. Besides this environment the Xe atom also interact with an environment of surface-phonons. The in uence of these environments on the atom is described in a path-integral framework using the in uence-functional introduced by Feynman and Vernon [5,6], giving an elective action describing the motion of the atom. For two independent environments, the in uence-functional will simply

be the product of the individual in uence-functionals [6]. The in uence-functional for a harm onic oscillators with a linear coupling to a continuous distribution of harm onic oscillators in them all equilibrium has been calculated by Caldeira and Legget [7]. This in uence-functional contains the uctuating force and dissipation caused by the environment which in the classical limit are the ingredients in the Langevin equation describing the classical motion [8]. Our contribution is the calculation of the in uence-functional corresponding to the non-equilibrium electronic environment. In general, when a Brownian particle is in equilibrium with a heat-bath, the uctuating force acting on the particle and the corresponding friction force are \connected* by the uctuation-dissipation theorem (FDT). This is the situation when no chemical-potential difference between tip and surface drives a current through the adsorbate-resonance, and the Xe atom is in equilibrium, the surface-phonons and the electrons acting as heat baths. When an external eld in some way is transferring energy to the Brownian particle the relation between the uctuating force and the dissipation, found in the equilibrium case, no longer holds. There will in general occur a extra current-dependent uctuating force not \compensated* by friction.

We show this general feature in our model, where the interaction with the inelasticly tunneling electrons give rise to the uncompensated uctuating force. At su ciently low temperature compared with the oscillation frequency in the adsorbtion well, as in the actual experiment, this force will be the dominant. The temperature independent dissipation is due to exitation of surface-phonons and electron-hole-pairs in the surface and tip by the vibrating Xe atom. The time scale of desorbtion is much longer than than the relaxation time of the dissipative system, thus we have a quasi-stationary situation a relaxation time after the current is turned on. In this quasi-stationary situation we can consider the Xe-atom as a damped harmonic oscillator with a wavepacket width given by the usual FDT-result plus a contribution due to the non-equilibrium uctuating force. From this width we de ne our elective temperature. The desorbtion rate is then given by the well-known results for escape of a Brownian particle from a potential well in equilibrium with a heat-bath where the new elective temperature depends on the voltage. This leads to the experimentally

found result if we assume that the adsorbtion well contains about ve bound states, as was done in Refs. [3] and [4]. The dependence of the direction of transfer on the polarity of eld follows in our model from the mean occupation of the Xe atom. We stress that it is crucial to use a non-equilibrium formalism to calculate the occupation.

Let us now introduce the model and sketch the derivation of our results. The reason why a rare-gas atom like X e actually can be imaged by STM is explained by Eigler et al. [9]. Thus wewill only consider this adsorbate state. Denoting the operator and energy associated with the X e 6s-orbitald and a, and the operators assciated with surface, respectively tip, c_k , c_l , we write the Hamiltonian for the electronic part,

$$H_{el} = H_{surf} + H_{tip} + {}_{a}d^{y}d + {}^{X}_{k:l} d^{y}(T_{k}c_{k} + T_{l}c_{l}) + h x:$$
 (1)

W e de ne the weighted density of states for surface and tip,

$$_{s}\left(\mathbb{E}\right) =\overset{X}{\int}_{k}\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{E}_{k}\right) \tag{2}$$

$${}_{s}(E) = {}^{X} {}_{fk} {}^{2} {}_{fk} (E _{k})$$

$${}_{t}(E) = {}^{X^{k}} {}_{f1} {}^{2} {}_{fk} (E _{1}) :$$

$$(2)$$

For simplicity we use the wideband limit and take these to be constant. The current, corresponding to the model above, can be expressed by a non-equilibrium G reen's function which is evaluated using the Keldysh technique [10], yielding

$$I(V) = \frac{4e^{\frac{Z}{1}} \frac{d!}{h} \frac{d!}{2} \frac{(t)(s)(n_{F}(! eV) n_{F}(!))}{((t+s))^{2} + (! s)^{2}};$$
(4)

We have neglected the small in wence of the vibrations of the Xe atom on the current.

The param eters of the electronic Hamiltonian (1) depends on the position of the adatom. This of course leads to the picture of e ective potential energy-surfaces, which is the basis of m ost surface dynam ics calculations. We shall see that this dependence also is responsible for the uctuations in the force experienced by the atom. Denoting by & the operator associated with the position of the Xe-atom, relative to the equilibrium distance from the surface, and expanding the the onsite energy to $\ \ \,$ rst order in $\ \ \,$ we obtain the coupling between electrons and $\ \ \,$ and $\ \ \,$

$$V_{el\ vib} = g(d^{y}d \ hd^{y}di_{eq}) \hat{x};$$
 (5)

where g is the positive coupling-constant. (For sim plicity we neglect the \hat{x} -dependence of T_K and T_1). Note that we have subtracted the equilibrium (eV = 0) mean population of the site, because this contribution is assumed already to be incorporated in the adsorbtion potential-surface. The physical picture of the interaction is that the temporary increase in charge on the site, when a electron tunnel through it, will cause the atom to be attracted more strongly towards the strongest in age, which will be the one in the surface when the atom is located at the surface. Thus g will be positive. The interaction results in a uctuating force acting on the Xe atom, due to the electrons, jumping on and of the site. This physical electron has been dubbed D IM ET (desorbtion induced by multiple electron transitions) [11]. On the other hand, the vibration of the atom will, because of this interaction, excite electron-hole pairs in the metal-surface and dissipate energy to the electronic part of the system. A similar model has been used by Persson and Barato [12] to describe the in unneed of inelastic tunneling on the current in the STM.

We use the Feynman-Vemon theory of in uence-functionals to do a system atic calculation of these features. Considering a quantum system interacting with a general environment, one is often only interested in the in uence of the environment on the system. This can be accomplished by the reduced density operator, tracing out all super uous information of the environment. This is elegantly done in a path-integral framework [6]. The result of this procedure is an elective action of the system. This approach has been used on the problem of a quantum oscillator in equilibrium with a heat-bath consisting of a continuum of quantum oscillators, and is treated thoroughly in Refs. [5{8}]. In our problem we will be concerned only with the behavior of the Xe-oscillator and thus trace out the equilibrium phonon environment as well as the non-equilibrium electronic environment.

The propagator for the reduced density-operator for the oscillator can be written as a

double path integral,

$$J (x_{f}; y_{f}; t; x_{i}; y_{i}; 0) = Z Z$$

$$D X D Y e^{i(S(x) S(y))=h} F_{el}(x; y) F_{ph}(x; y);$$
(6)

where S is the action for the non-interacting oscillator, and F_{el} and F_{ph} are the in uence-functionals containing all relevant inform ation of the electronic and phonon environments, respectively. These will, in general, couple the paths moving \forward" (x) and \backward" (y) in time. The phonon in uence functional, corresponding to the oscillator coupled to a continuum of oscillators, has been evaluated in Ref. [7],

$$S_{e}^{ph}(x();y()) = ih ln \mathbb{F}_{ph}(x;y)]$$

$$= \frac{\frac{ph}{2} (x + y)(x + y)d}{\frac{z}{2} (x + y)(x + y)d}$$

$$+ i_{ph} (x + y)(x + y)d$$

$$= \frac{h!}{2kT}$$

$$= (x + y)(x + y)(x + y)d$$

$$= \frac{h!}{2kT}$$

$$= (x + y)(x + y)(x + y)d$$

$$= \frac{h!}{2kT}$$

$$= (x + y)(x + y)(x + y)d$$

$$= \frac{h!}{2kT}$$

$$= (x + y)(x + y)(x + y)d$$

$$= \frac{h!}{2kT}$$

$$= (x + y)(x + y)(x + y)d$$

$$= \frac{h!}{2kT}$$

$$= (x + y)(x + y)(x + y)d$$

$$= \frac{h!}{2kT}$$

$$= (x + y)(x + y)(x + y)d$$

$$= \frac{h!}{2kT}$$

$$= (x + y)(x + y)(x + y)d$$

$$= \frac{h!}{2kT}$$

$$= (x + y)(x + y)(x + y)d$$

$$= \frac{h!}{2kT}$$

$$= (x + y)(x + y)(x + y)d$$

$$= \frac{h!}{2kT}$$

$$= (x + y)(x + y)(x + y)d$$

$$= \frac{h!}{2kT}$$

$$= (x + y)(x + y)(x + y)d$$

$$= \frac{h!}{2kT}$$

$$= (x + y)(x + y)(x + y)d$$

$$= \frac{h!}{2kT}$$

$$= (x + y)(x + y)(x + y)d$$

$$= \frac{h!}{2kT}$$

$$= (x + y)(x + y)(x + y)d$$

$$= \frac{h!}{2kT}$$

$$= (x + y)(x + y)(x + y)d$$

$$= \frac{h!}{2kT}$$

$$= (x + y)(x + y)(x + y)d$$

$$= \frac{h!}{2kT}$$

$$= (x + y)(x + y)(x + y)d$$

$$= \frac{h!}{2kT}$$

$$= (x + y)(x + y)(x + y)d$$

$$= \frac{h!}{2kT}$$

$$= (x + y)(x + y)(x + y)d$$

$$= \frac{h!}{2kT}$$

$$= (x + y)(x + y)(x + y)d$$

$$= \frac{h!}{2kT}$$

$$= \frac{h!}{2$$

The real part of $S_{\rm e}$ describes the friction [13] and the imaginary is the correlation function of the time-non-local uctuating force. These two terms are related through the equilibrium FDT.

The new feature here is the contribution to S_e from the non-equilibrium electronic environment. This is calculated using a technique similar to the one used in Ref. [14], extended to cover this non-equilibrium case. The rst order term is,

$$S_{e}^{\text{el};(1)}(x;y) =$$

$$g(N(eV) N(0)) \int_{0}^{Z_{t}} dt^{0}(x(t^{0}) y(t^{0}));$$
(8)

where N (eV) is the mean population of the X esite after the voltage is applied, and is given by,

$$N (eV) = (9)$$

$${}^{Z} {}^{1} d! \frac{{}^{t} n_{F} (! eV) + {}_{s} n_{F} (!)}{(! {}_{a})^{2} + {}^{2} ({}_{t} + {}_{s})^{2}};$$

where the $_{\rm F}^{\rm surf}$ = 0 and $_{\rm F}^{\rm tip}$ = eV . This corresponds to a simple change of the potential energy surface due to the change of population of the site. The mean-population of the resonance increases for eV positive, and thus increase the energy-barrier between the surface and tip adsorbtion-sites, whenever the electrons tunnel from tip to surface. Reversing the polarity, so the electrons tunnel from surface to tip, decreases the barrier. When the Xeatom is located on the tip, the roles played by tip and surface are exchanged. This can explain the observed dependence of transfer on the polarity.

To second order in the coupling constant g we not term s similar to (7) with a friction-coe cient, $_{\rm el}$ (eV), which can be expressed in term s of g, $_{\rm s}$, $_{\rm t}$ and eV [15]. Besides these term s we not the additional imaginary term in S $_{\rm el}^{\rm el;(2)}$,

$$(eV) \int_{0}^{z} \int_{t}^{z} \int_{t}^{z} \int_{1}^{1} \left[\coth\left(\frac{(h! + eV)}{2kT}\right) + \coth\left(\frac{h!}{2kT}\right) \right] \\ (x() y()) \cos(!(s)) (x(s) y(s)) d ds \frac{d!}{2};$$
(10)

with

(eV) =
$$\frac{g^2}{2} \left[\frac{g^2}{g^2} \left[\frac{g^2}{g^2} \left[\frac{g^2}{g^2} \left(\frac{g^2}{g^2} + \frac{g^2}{g^2} \right) \frac{g^2}{g^2} \right] \right]$$
 (11)

The e ective action obtained so far is quadratic in the Xe-atom coordinate, and the remaining path-integral is Gaussian and can be worked out exactly.

The width of a wave-packet describing the spatial motion of a dam ped harm onic oscillator with frequency $!_0$ and mass M in equilibrium with a heatbath is determined by the imaginary part of the response function for dam ped oscillator 0 () through the FDT,

$$^{2} = \frac{h^{Z_{1}}}{0} \coth \frac{h}{2kT}!$$
 (12)

$${}^{0}() = \frac{1}{M} \frac{\overline{M}}{(^{2} + \frac{1}{0})^{2} + (\overline{M})^{2}} :$$
 (13)

From the discussion by Caldeira and Legget [7], it follows immediatly that this also will be the case in equilibrium (eV = 0) for our model, with = $_{\rm ph}$ + $_{\rm el}$. For su ciently low damping, where the energy eigenstates of the oscillator is welldened, 00 () will be a narrow function centred around !0, and for low temperature we get,

2
 / 1 + 2e $^{h!_{0}=kT}$: (14)

Carrying out a sim ilar analysis in our non-equilibrium case, we nd in the same lim it, that

2
 / 1 + 2e $^{h!_{0}=kT}$ + $\frac{j \text{ (eV)}j}{\text{tot (eV)}!_{0}}$: (15)

Comparing the non-equilibrium expression (15) with the equilibrium expression (14) enable us to de ne an e ective temperature by equating the small terms:

$$\exp \frac{h!_0}{kT_e \text{ (eV)}}^! = e^{h!_0 = kT} + \frac{j \text{ (eV)}j}{2_{\text{tot}} \text{ (eV)}!_0};$$
(16)

In gure 1 we have plotted the e ective temperature as a function of the voltage eV.

In the case where we can neglect the exponentially smalle $^{h!_0=kT}$, the elective Boltzmann factor is proportional to and thus to eV. The observed rate can now be understood from the Arrhenius-factor e $^{U_0=kT}$ dominating the rate-expressions of escape problems in general [16], where U_0 is the barrier-height. If we, as suggested in [3,4], assume that U_0 5h! o, we conclude that 1 / (eV) 5 . In gure 2 the escape rate is plotted as a function of voltage for dierent temperatures. We predict a drastic change in this behavior as the temperature is raised which can be veriled experimentally.

We have not, in this calculation, taken into account the dependence of the tunnelmatrixelements on the adsorbate position $(T_k(x), T_1(x))$. This will contribute to the friction as well as the uctuating forces on the Xe-atom. Taking this dependence into account also, the general scheme described above can be used to calculate the friction coecient from a microscopic starting point, using given expressions for $_a(x)$ and $T_k(x)$, $T_1(x)$. This will be applied in a future publication to the problem of laser-induced describtion, where the resulting temperature dependent friction coecient is used in the Langevin equation. [17]

In conclusion we have outlined a formalism that provide a theoretical foundation of the DIMET point of view of desorbtion and applied this to the non-equilibrium Eigler experiment.

REFERENCES

- [1] D.M. Eigler, E.K. Schweizer, Nature 344, 524 (1990).
- [2] D.M. Eigler, C.P. Lutz, and W. E. Rudge, Nature 352, 600 (1991).
- [3] R.E.Walkup, D.M. Newns and Ph. Avouris, Phys. Rev. B 48, 1858, (1993)
- [4] S. Gao, M. Persson, B. I. Lundqvist, Solid State Comm. vol. 84, no. 3, 271, (1992)
- [5] R.P. Feynman, F.L. Vemon, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 24, 118, (1963)
- [6] R.P. Feynman, A.R. Hibbs, Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals, McGraw Hill 1965, chap. 12.
- [7] A.O. Caldeira, A.J. Legget, Physica 121A, 587, (1983)
- [8] A. Schm id, J. Low Temp Phys 49, 609, (1982)
- [9] D.M. Eigler, P.S.Weiss, E.K. Schweizer and N.D. Lang, Phys. Rev. Lett 66, 1189 (1991)
- [10] J.Rammer, H.Smith, Rev.Mod.Phys., vol. 58, no. 2, (1986)
- [11] J.A.M isew ich, T.F.Heinz, D.M.Newns, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 3737 (1992)
- [12] B.N.J.Persson, A.Barato, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 339 (1987)
- [14] P. Hedeard, Phys. Rev. B 35, 533, (1987)
- [15] M. Brandbyge, P. Hedegard, unpublished
- [16] V.O.Mel'nikov, Phys. Rep. vol. 209, no. 1-2, 71, (Dec. 1991)

 $[17]\,\mathrm{M}$. Brandbyge, P. Hedegard, J. A. M isew ich and D. M. Newns, to be published.

FIGURES

- FIG .1. The electric temperature as a function of the applied voltage eV. The absolute ratio $= \text{ is estim ated roughly using the ratio, Xevibrational-to electronic life-time, } _{el} = _{ph} \quad 100, \text{ and}$! 0 3m eV.
- FIG .2. The transfer rate, 1 , as a function of junction voltage, for T = 0K; 4K; 6K and 8K.