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## ABSTRACT

I discuss the concept of quasi-state decom positions for ground states and equilibrium states of quantum spin system s . Som e recent results on the ground states of a class of one-dim ensional quantum spin $m$ odels are sum $m$ arized and new work in progress is presented. I also outline som e challenging open problem $s$ and conjectures.

## IN TRODUCTION

The aim of this contribution is threefold. First I would like to review the notion of quasi-state decom position as it w as developed and used in (A izenm an and $N$ achtergaele, 1993a). I then show how interesting quasi-state decom positions for the ground states of a class quantum spin $m$ odels can be obtained using a P oisson integral representation of the G ibbs kemele ${ }^{H}$. Secondly I w ill try to give a short review of the results we have recently obtained using quasi-state decom postions. $T$ hese results $m$ ainly concem a class of one-dim ensionalquantum spin $H$ am iltonians introduced by A eck (A eck, 1985). The third and last part is devoted to a discussion of work in progress and som e open problem $s$ in the sub ject as well as som e stim ulating con jectures.

## 2. QUASI-STATEDECOMPOSITIONSANDPOISSON INTEGRAL

 REPRESENTATIONSA quantum system is determ ined by a C *-algebra of observables A and a one-param eter group of autom orphism s of A representing the dynam ics. H ere we are $m$ ainly interested in quantum spin system s de ned on a nite lattice forwhich $A \quad A=x_{2} A_{f x g} w$ ith $A_{f x g}=M(d ; \mathbb{C})$ (the complex d dmatrices), for allx 2 . O ften is a subset of an in nite lattice (e.g. $\overline{6}$ ) and one is then interested in properties of the system as tends to the full lattice (the therm odynam ic lim it). The dynam ics is usually de ned in term sof a H am iltionian $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H} \quad 2 \mathrm{~A}$ and we will give several exam ples of interesting $H$ am iltonians below. A state of the system is a nom alized positive linear functional of A. A class of physically interesting states are the equilibrium states: for any 0 one de nes a state! by

$$
\begin{equation*}
!(A)=\frac{\operatorname{TrAe}^{H}}{\operatorname{Tr}^{H}} \tag{2:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all A 2 A . In this paper by ground state we alw ays m ean a state which is a lim iting point of (2.1) as ! 1 , possibly under speci ed boundary conditions. In m ost exam ples discussed here (2.1) $w$ ill converge to a unique lim it for nite system $s$ and the therm odynam ic lim it willbe taken afterw ards.
It has been rem arked $m$ any tim es that analyzing the structure of equilibrium states and ground states of a quantum system poses extra di culties as com pared to the situation in classicalequilibrium statisticalm echanics where the states are probability m easures. Sure enough these probability $m$ easures can be highly non-trivial as well, but the fact that there is an underlying con guration space which can be visualized in a concrete way helps a lot in understanding their behaviour. The aim of this section is to explain how at least for som equantum spin models one can obtain a pictorial representation of the ground states and equilibrium states which resem bles som ew hat the
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situation for classical system s. M ore precisely we will derive a decom position of ! of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
!(A)=(d!) E!(A) \tag{2:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( $d!$ ) is a probability $m$ easure on a space of con gurations!, and for each ! , $E$ ! is a linear functionalofA. . O fcourse such an integraldecom position $w$ illonly be usefiulifthe structure of the $E$ ! is considerably sim pler than ! itself and if we are able to analyse the $m$ easure (d!). Below we show that for a certain class of $H$ am iltonians there is a quasi-state decom position to which one can give a stochastic geom etric interpretation. T he resulting stochastic geom etric $m$ odel has proved to be rather helpful in revealing structural properties of the states (see Section 3 and 4). $T$ he linear finctionals $E$ ! $w$ ill in general not be states and for in nite system $s$ they are in general only de ned on a dense subalgebra of the form

$$
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{loc}}={ }^{[ } \mathrm{A}
$$

where the union is over nite subsets of. Im plicit in (2.3) is the naturalembedding of A in A ofor $\quad 0$. A though the E! are typically not positive and not even bounded, they will be states of an abelian subalgebra of A. W e therefore call these functionals quasi-states.

### 2.1. P oisson integral representations

In this subsection we consider a quantum spin model de ned on a nite set of sites, which is com pletely arbitrary at this point. The set of \bonds", denoted by B, is a collection of subsets of . Soon we w ill specialize to the case where the bonds b 2 B are pairs of sites and in the case of antiferrom agnetic $m$ odels it $w$ ill be im portant that be given a bipartite struture: $={ }_{A}\left[{ }_{B}\right.$, $A_{A} \backslash{ }_{B}=; A_{A}$ and $B$ are called the $A$ - and the $B$-sublattice respectively. In that situation a bond $\mathrm{b}=$ fx;yg 2 B w ill be called ferrom agnetic if x and y belong to the sam e sublattice and antiferrom agnetic if x and y belong to di erent sublattices. W e denote the set of ferrom agnetic bonds by $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{F}}$ and the set of antiferrom agnetic bonds by $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{AF}}$.
A complex H ibert space $H_{x}$ is associated w ith each site x 2 . A though this is not necessary form ost of what follow S , we will assum e that all $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{x}}$ have the same nite dim ension d : $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathbb{C}^{d}$. A spin $S$ system has $d=2 S+1, S=\frac{1}{2} ; 1 ; \frac{3}{2} ;:::$. The observables att site $x$ are the elem ents of $B\left(H_{x}\right)=M(d ; \mathbb{C})$. The $H$ ilbertspace of the system is then $H=x_{2} H_{x}$ and the algebra of observables is $A=x_{2} M(d ; \mathbb{C})$. Physically im portant observables are usually expressed in term $S$ of the spin $m$ atrices $S^{1} ; S^{2} ; S^{3}$ which are the generators of the d-dim ensional irreducible unitary representation of $S U(2)$. They satisfy the com $m$ utation relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
[S ; S \quad]=i^{X} \quad " \quad S \tag{2:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ; ; 2 f1;2;3g and " is the completely antisymmetric tensor with "123 = 1. One often combines the three spin $m$ atrices into a vector $S=\left(S^{1} ; S^{2} ; S^{3}\right)$. The $m$ agnitude of this \spin-vector" is related to $S$ by $S \quad S=S(S+1)$ II.
A quantum spin $m$ odeled is de ned by its H am iltonian $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}$ which wewrite in the form

$$
H=\underbrace{}_{\text {b2 } B} J_{b}\left(h_{b} \quad 1\right)
$$

N
w th $h_{b} 2 A_{b}={ }_{x 2 b} M(d ; \mathbb{C})$, and we assum $e J_{b}>0$ for allb $2 B$.
To obtain a quasi-state decom position of!, we start from the follow ing P oisson integral form ula:
Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{H}=\quad J(d!) K(!) \tag{2:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
${ }^{J}$ ( $\mathrm{d}!$ ) is the probability $m$ easure of a product of independent $P$ oisson processes, one for each bond b 2 B , running over the tim e interval $\left[0 ;\right.$, and $w$ ith rates $J_{b}$. For the tim e being we draw the con gurations! for this process as in $F$ igure 1 (for evident reasons the gures are draw $n$ for the sim plest case of a one-dim ensionalsystem : $=[\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}] 2 \underline{\underline{\sigma}}$ and $B=f f x ; x+1 \mathrm{~g} j \mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{b} 1 \mathrm{~g}$.
$K(!)$ is a product of operators $h_{B}$, one for each bond occurring in ! and ordered according to the tim es at which they occur: $K(!)=h_{b_{1}} h_{b_{2}} \quad b_{n}$ hif ! is the set of tim e-indexed bonds $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{b}_{1} ; \mathrm{t}_{1}\right)$; $\left(\mathrm{b}_{2} ; \mathrm{t}_{2}\right) ;::: ;\left(\mathrm{b}_{n} ; \mathrm{t}_{n}\right) \mathrm{g} w$ ith $\mathrm{t}_{1}<\mathrm{t}_{2}<\quad \mathrm{n}$. t

An im portant quantity is the partition function $Z=$ Tre ${ }^{H}$, which by (2.6) and linearity of the trace is given by:

Z
$\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{d}!) \operatorname{TrK}(!)$

W e have found that for a quite large class of interactions $h$ the follow ing is true:
$\operatorname{TrK}(!)>0$ for all ! and this num ber can be com puted in term s of relatively sim ple geom etric properties of!.
the diagonal m atrix elem ents of the operators $K$ (!) are all non-negative in a certain tensor product basis of the H ilbert space of the system .

Let us consider som e elem entary exam ples of th is before we proceed. For a m ore detailed discussion of these exam ples we refer to (A izenm an and $N$ achtergaele, 1993a).

Figure 1. A typical con guration of the Poisson process ${ }^{J}(d!)$.

## Exam ple 1

Let $h$ be the operator which interchanges the states of the two sites, i.e. $h^{\prime}={ }^{\prime}$ for any two vectors'; $2 \mathbb{C}^{d}$. In any basis of $\mathbb{C}^{d}$ the $m$ atrix elem ents are 0 or 1 and a fortiori nonnegative. K (! ) represents a perm utation (!) of the sites in , and its trace is easily seen to be $d^{\#}$ cycles in (! ). The num ber of cycles in ! becom es a geom etric property of the con guration if we replace the P oisson-\beeps" by tw o horizontal lines that cross each other as in F igure 2. W ith the convention of periodic boundary conditions in the vertical direction the num ber of cycles in the perm utation (!) is then equal to the num ber of loops in !, which we w ill frequently denote by $l(!)$.
$W$ ith $d=2$ this interaction is equivalent to the usual spin $1 / 2 \mathrm{H}$ eisenberg ferrom agnet: $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{fx} \text {;yg }}=$ $\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{x} y$, where is the vector w ith as its com ponents the three canonicalP auli-m atrioes.

> Figure 2. A typical con guration of the Poisson process ${ }^{J}(d!)$ decorated for the ferrom agnetic m odels of Exam ple 1 .

## Exam ple 2

For the second exam ple (which includes the spin $1 / 2 \mathrm{H}$ eisenberg antiferrom agnet) we require to be bipartite and allbonds are assum ed to be antiferrom agnetic in the sense ofabove (so, B = $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{AF}}$ ). $W$ e consider the interaction $h$ de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{m}^{0}}^{\mathrm{X}}(1)^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{~m}^{0} \underset{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~m}} ; \mathrm{mirm}^{0} ; \mathrm{m}^{0}{ }_{j} \tag{2:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where fin ig is a basis of $\mathbb{C}^{d}$ given by the eigenvectors of the third com ponent $S^{3}$ of the spin with eigenvalues $m$. In the case $d=2$ this is the interaction for the standard $H$ eisenberg antiferro$m$ agnet ( $h=\frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} x \quad y$ )but the sam e expressions de ne an interesting interaction for any nite dim ension (any magnitude of the spin) and was rst studied by A eck (A eck, 1985) and later in (A eck, 1990; K lum per, 1990; B atchelor and B arber, 1990). T he interaction is proportionalto the pro jection operator onto the singlet vector for a pair of spins and hence antiferrom agnetic. It can be show $n$ that the diagonalm atrix elem ents of the corresponding $K$ (!) (but not the o -diagonal ones) are non-negative and there is again a simple form ula for the trace. Each Poisson-\beep" is now replaced by two parallel horizontal lines as show $n$ in $F$ igure 3. A gain this tums ! into a con guration of loops (assum ing periodic boundary conditions in the vertical direction) and $\operatorname{TrK}(!)=d^{1(!)}$.
$T$ he exam ples given above are not the $m$ ost generalones that can be treated but they are in som $e$ sense the two basic ones as will becom e clear at the end of this section. U nlike their classical analogues, quantum ferro-and antiferrom agnets behave in a very di erent way and it is therefore not a surprise that they lead to tw o very di erent stochastic geom etric m odels.
W e com plete the quasi-state decom position of! by establishing the relation betw een expectation values of observables for the quantum spin system on the one hand and probabilities of events (or m ore generally expectations of random variables) in the probability $m$ easure describing the stochastic geom etric $m$ odel on the other hand. From (2.6) it follow $s$ that for any local observable A for the quantum spin chain

$$
\text { ! (A) } \frac{\operatorname{TrAe}^{H}}{\text { Tre }{ }^{H}}={ }^{Z} \text { (d!)E!(A) }
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{d}!)=(\mathrm{Z})^{1} J(\mathrm{~d}!) \operatorname{TrK}(!) \tag{2:10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E!(A)=\frac{\operatorname{TrAK}(!)}{\operatorname{TrK}(!)} \tag{2:11}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $d!$ ) is a probability $m$ easure on the con gurations ! and for $A \quad x e d E$ ! (A) is a random variable. $W$ e found that form any im portant observablesA this random variable can in fact be given a sim ple geom etric interpretation. Take e.g. $A=S_{x}^{3} S_{y}^{3}$. O ne then nds:

$$
E!\left(S_{x}^{3} S_{y}^{3}\right)=\begin{array}{ll}
C(S) I[(x ; 0) \text { and }(y ; 0) \text { are on the sam e loop }] & \text { for E xam ple } 1  \tag{2:12}\\
(1)^{\text {jx } y \dot{C}}(S) I[(x ; 0) \text { and }(y ; 0) \text { are on the sam e loop }] & \text { for E xam ple } 2
\end{array}
$$

w th $C(S)=\left(\begin{array}{r}P \\ \mathrm{~m}= \\ \mathrm{s} \\ \mathrm{S}\end{array} \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)=(2 \mathrm{~S}+1)=\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{S}+1)=3$ and where $(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{t}) 2 \quad[0$; ] denotes a space-tim e point. I[ ] denotes the indicator function of the event described betw een the brackets. H ence, the spin-spin correlation is proportional to the probability, w ith respect to the e ective probability $m$ easure (d!) on the space of loop con gurations, that tw o sites are on the sam e loop of !:

$$
\mathrm{hS}_{\mathrm{x}}^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{y}}^{3} \mathrm{i}=\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~S}) \mathrm{P} \text { rob }  \tag{2:13}\\
\left.(1)^{j \mathrm{x}} \mathrm{y} \dot{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~S}) \mathrm{P} \text { rob }(\mathrm{X} ; 0) \text { and }(\mathrm{y} ; 0) \text { are on the sam e loop }\right) & \text { for E xam ple } 1 \\
(\mathrm{x} ; 0) \text { and }(\mathrm{y} ; 0) \text { are on the sam e loop }) & \text { for E xam ple } 2
\end{array}
$$

For! xed (2.11) de nes a functional of the algebra of observables which is a state on a certain abelian subalgebra (see (2.20)). W e therefore call (2.9) a quasi-state decom position of the state ! .

Figure 3. A typical con guration of the P oisson process $J$ ( $d$ !) decorated for the antiferrom agnetic m odels of E xam ple 2.

E xam ples 1 and 2 can be com bined in order to obtain a quasi-state decom position for a class of m odels w ith m ixed ferro- and antiferrom agnetic interactions.
In the statem ent of the follow ing theorem som e extra notation is used: for any con guration $=(x)_{x 2}$ we denote by $j i$ the vector in $H$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
j i={\underset{x 2}{0} j x i}^{0} \tag{2:14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j_{x} i$ is the eigenvector of $S_{x}^{3}$ belonging to the eigenvalue $x^{2} \mathrm{f} S ; S+1 ;:: ; S g$. ( $(\mathrm{t})=$ $(x(t))_{x 2}$ is a piecew ise constant function on $\quad[0 ;]$ taking values in $f \quad S ; S+1 ;::: ; S g$. A con guration of the $P$ oisson process becom es a con guration of loops if we draw the ferrom agnetic bonds as in Exam ple 1 (Figure 2) and the antiferom agnetic ones as in Exam ple 2 ( $F$ igure 3). As before, l(! ) denotes the num ber of loops in ! . W e call (t) consistent with a con guration of loops
! if the discontinuities only occur at the tim e-indexed bonds in! (but! need not be discontinuous at a bond) and if in addition for each tim e-indexed bond $\mathrm{b}=(\mathrm{fx} ; \mathrm{yg} ; \mathrm{t}) 2 \mathrm{~B} \quad[0 ;]$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ccl}
x(t)= & y\left(t^{+}\right) & \text {if fx;yg is a ferrom agnetic bond } \\
y(t) & \text { if fx;yg is an antiferrom agnetic bond }  \tag{2:16}\\
x\left(t^{+}\right)= & y(t) & \text { if fx;yg is a ferrom agnetic bond } \\
y\left(t^{+}\right) & \text {if fx;yg is an antiferrom agnetic bond }
\end{array}
$$

Theorem 2.1. For a Ham iltonian $H$ of the form (2.5) and such that $h_{b}$ is the interaction of E xample 1 if b $2 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{F}}$ and $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{b}}$ is the interaction of Example 2 if $\mathrm{b} 2 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{AF}}$ we have the follow ing relations:
i)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { consistent with ! }
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\operatorname{sign}\left({ }^{0} ;\right)=(1)^{N_{A F}}() \quad \mathrm{w}$ ith $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{AF}}()$ denoting the number of transpositions $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{y}} \mathrm{w}$ ith fx;yg $2 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{AF}}$ in any perm utation of the sites in such that ${ }_{\mathrm{x}}^{0}=(\mathrm{x})$ (the sign is well-de ned because of the bipartite structure of the $m$ odel).
ii) the partition function is given by:

$$
Z=\operatorname{Tre}{ }^{H}=\mathrm{Z}_{[0 ;]}^{\mathrm{J}}(\mathrm{~d}!)(2 S+1)^{1(!)}
$$

iii) the equilibrium expectation values of observables which are functions of the operators $S_{x}^{3}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{Trf}\left(\left(S_{x}^{3}\right)_{x 2}\right) e^{H}}{Z}={ }^{Z} \quad(d!) E!(f) \tag{2:19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\mathrm{d}!)=\mathrm{Z}^{1}\left[0 ; 1(\mathrm{~d}!)(2 S+1)^{1(!)}\right.$ and the expectation functional E ! ( f$)$ is obtained by averaging, w ith equal weights, over all the spin con gurations consistent with ! :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E!(f)=\frac{1}{(2 S+1)^{1(!)}} \quad X \quad f((t=0)) \tag{2:20}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.2. Q uasi-state decom positions for m ore generalm odels

$W$ e now show that the $m$ ethod yielding the quasi-state decom positions of $T$ heorem 2.1 can be extended, w ith little e ort, to a m uch larger class of $H$ am iltonians of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=X_{b 2 B}^{X} h_{b}=X_{\text {fx;yg2 B } k=0}^{X_{k}^{s}} J_{k}(x ; y)\left(S_{x} \quad S\right)^{k} \tag{2:21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is in fact useful to think of the bonds here as a pair fx;yg of sites together w ith a label k 2 f1;2Sg. So, for a nearest neighbour model, we now have 2 S bonds associated w th each pair of nearest neighbour sites and also 2 S independent P oisson processes $w$ ith rates $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y})>0$. The $J_{k}(x ; y)$ are not the same as the $J_{k}(x ; y)$ because it $w i l l$ be necessary to reorganize the $2 S$ interaction term $s$ in (2 21) as follow s:

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{Js}_{k}} J_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y})\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}} \quad \mathrm{~g}\right)^{k}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{X}^{s}} J_{k}(\mathrm{x} ; y) Q_{k}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
S_{x} & \S \tag{2:22}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$w$ here $Q_{k}$ are particular polynom ials of degree $k$ to be speci ed below. $H$ ence the $J_{k} w$ ill be related to the $J_{k}$ by a linear transform ation.
Them ost straigth forw ard w ay to obtain a stochastic geom etric representation for a quite extensive class of spin $S H$ am iltonians of the form (212) is by representing them as a spin $1=2$ system . $T o$ this end we introduce the (up to a phase) unique isom etry $V{ }^{(S)}: \mathbb{C}^{2 S+1}$ ! ( $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ ) ${ }^{2 S}$, which intertw ines the spin $S$ representation of $S U$ (2) w ith $2 S$ copies of the spin $1=2$ representation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}^{(\mathrm{S})} \mathrm{D}^{(\mathrm{S})}(\mathrm{g})=\left(\mathrm{D}^{(1=2)}(\mathrm{g})\right)^{2 \mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{~V}^{(\mathrm{S})} ; \quad \mathrm{g} 2 \mathrm{SU}(2) \tag{2:23}
\end{equation*}
$$

$V^{(S)}$ satis es: $V^{(S)} V^{(S)}=\mathbb{I I}$ and $V^{(S)} V^{(S)}=P^{(S)}$ where $P^{(S)}$ is the orthogonalpro jection onto the spin $S$ states in the $2 S$-fold tensor product of the spin $1=2$ states. $T$ he spin $S$ states coincide $w$ th the states that are sym $m$ etric under perm utations of the $2 S$ factors in ( $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ ) ${ }^{2 S}$, and hence $P^{(S)}$ can be expressed as

It follow s by di erentation of the intertw in ing property (2.23) that the spin $S$ operators $S$; = $1 ; 2 ; 3$, can be expressed in term $S$ of $2 S$ copies of the $P$ aulim atrices (for $S=\frac{1}{2}, S=\frac{1}{2} \quad$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{(S)} S=\frac{1}{2}_{i}^{X^{S}} \quad V^{(S)} \tag{2:25}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e can now de ne a class of H am iltom ians $H$ for a spin $S$ system on a lattice by representing it as a spin $1=2 \mathrm{~m}$ odelon the extended lattice ${ }^{\sim}=\quad \mathrm{f} 1 ;::: ; 2 \mathrm{Sg} . \mathrm{W}$ ith each x 2 we associate a set of 2S sites of the form ( $x ; k$ ) in ~. The set ofbonds $B$ is constructed as follow $s$. Fork $=1 ;::: ; 2 \mathrm{~S}$ and $b=f x ; y g 2$ B we de ne $b_{k}=f(x ; 1) ;(y ; 1) ;::: ;(x ; k) ;(y ; k) g$. Then $B=f b_{k} j b 2 B ; 1 \quad k \quad 2 S g$. $T$ he interactions ${\check{h_{b}}}$ are de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathrm{h}}_{\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}}=\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{l}=1}^{\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{k}}} \mathrm{~h}_{(\mathrm{x} ; 1) ;(\mathrm{y} ; 1)} \tag{2:26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{(x ; 1) ;(y ; 1)}$ is one of the follow ing tw o operators, depending on whether $b$ is a ferro- or antiferrom agnetic bond:

$$
\mathrm{h}_{(\mathrm{x} ; 1) ;(\mathrm{y} ; 1)}=\begin{array}{llll}
\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} & (\mathrm{x} ; 1) & (\mathrm{y} ; 1) & \text { if } \mathrm{b} \text { is ferrom agnetic }  \tag{2:27}\\
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & (\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{l}) & (\mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{l})
\end{array} \text { if } \mathrm{b} \text { is antiferrom agnetic }
$$

It is then straightforw ard to derive (as an extension of T heorem 2.1) a quasi-state decom position and the corresponding stochastic geom etric representation for a H am iltonian of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=V_{\text {b2B } k=1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}} \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{X} \tag{2:28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V={ }^{N} \quad{ }_{x 2} V_{x}{ }^{(S)}$. W e will describe to resulting stochastic geom etric representation in detail below. But rst we address the question of how to express a $H$ am iltonian of the form (2.28) in term s of the spin $S \mathrm{~m}$ atrioes. In particular what are the polynom ials $Q_{k}$ appearing in (222). That (2.28) is equivalent w ith (2.22) for som e particualar choice of the polynom ials $Q_{k}$ follow $s$ directly form the $S U$ (2) invariance which one can check using the intertw ining relation (223). Explicit know ledge of the $Q_{k}$ is im portant if one $w$ ants to check whether som e particular $H$ am iltonian (e.g. one that is discussed in the physics literature) has a stochastic geom etric representation of the kind developed here or not.
So, we are looking for two sets of polynom ials $f Q_{k}^{F} g$ and $f Q_{k}^{A F} g$ of degree $2 S$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{k}^{F}\left(S_{x} \quad \S\right)=V_{l=1}^{Y^{k}} t_{(x ; 1) ;(y ; 1)} V  \tag{2:29}\\
& \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{k}} \\
& Q_{k}^{A F}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
S_{x} & S
\end{array}\right)=V{ }_{l=1}^{\mathrm{P}_{(x ; 1) ;(y ; 1)} V} \tag{2:30}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\mathrm{t}_{(\mathrm{x} ; 1) ;(\mathrm{y} ; 1)} & =\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \quad(\mathrm{x} ; 1) \quad(\mathrm{y} ; 1) \\
\mathrm{p}_{(\mathrm{x} ; 1) ;(\mathrm{y} ; 1)} & =\frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad(\mathrm{x} ; 1) & (\mathrm{y} ; 1) \tag{2:32}
\end{array}
$$

The sites $x$ and $y$ in (3.29)-(3.30) just indicate any tw o distinct sites and play further no role. We therefore om it these indices in the discussion that follow $\mathrm{s} . \mathrm{W}$ e also denote by V and P the tensor products of the isom etry $V^{(S)}$ and the projection $P^{(S)}$ over the relevant sites.

The follow ing tw o lem m a's will be useful.
Lemma 22. For any $k ; l_{i} k^{0} ; l^{0} 2$ f1;:::2Sg;k $k^{0} ; l \not l^{0}$ the follow ing relations hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{k ; 1}^{2}=I I \\
& P t_{k ; 1} t_{k}{ }^{0 ; 1}=P t_{k ; 1} \\
& P t_{k ; 1} t_{k ; 1} t_{k ; 1}=P t_{k ; 1} \\
& P t_{k ; 1} P=\frac{1}{(2 s)^{2}}{ }_{i ; j=1}^{X^{2 s}} P t_{i ; j} \\
& \begin{aligned}
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k} ; 1}^{2} & =2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k} ; 1} \\
\mathrm{P} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k} ; 1} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}} ; 1 & =\mathrm{P} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k} ; 1} \\
\mathrm{P} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k} ; 1} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k} ; 1} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k} ; 1^{0}} & =\mathrm{P} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k} ; 1} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{0} ; 1^{0} \\
\mathrm{P} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k} ; 1} \mathrm{P} & ={\frac{1}{(2 \mathrm{~s})^{2}}}_{\mathrm{X} ; j}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i} ; j}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

P roof :. The set of relations for the $t^{\prime}$ 's follow s directly from (2 24) and the fact that $t_{k ; 1}$ is the transposition interchanging the k th factor of the 2 S -fold tensor product associated w th the rst site and the 1 th factor of the $2 S$-fold tensor product associated $w$ th the second site. $T$ he relations for the p's follow from the relations for the t's by using

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k} ; 1}=\text { II } \quad t_{k ; 1} \tag{2:33}
\end{equation*}
$$

which in tum follow s directly from (2.31-32).

Lemma 2.3. The operators $Q_{k}^{F} \quad Q_{k}^{F}\left(S_{0} \quad S\right)$ and $Q_{k}^{A F} \quad Q_{k}^{A F}\left(S_{0} \quad \Phi\right)$ de ned in (2 29-30) satisfy the recursion relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& (2 S \quad k)^{2} Q_{k+1}^{F}=f(2 S)^{2} Q_{1}^{F} \quad 2 k(2 S \quad k) g Q_{k}^{F} \quad k^{2} Q_{k}^{F} \quad 1  \tag{2:34}\\
& (2 S \quad k)^{2} Q_{k+1}^{A F}=f(2 S)^{2} Q_{1}^{A F} \quad 2 k(4 S \quad k+1) g Q_{k}^{A F} \tag{2:35}
\end{align*}
$$

for $1 \mathrm{k} \quad 2 \mathrm{~S} 1$ and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Q_{0}^{F}=\mathbb{I} & Q_{0}^{A F}=\mathbb{I} \\
Q_{1}^{F}=\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{I}+\frac{1}{2 S^{2}} S_{0} \quad S & Q_{1}^{A F}=\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{I} \quad \frac{1}{2 S^{2}} S_{0} \quad S \tag{2:36}
\end{array}
$$

Proof :. W e derive the recursion relation for the ferrom agnetic case. The relation for the antiferrom agnetic operators can be derived in a sim ilar way. W e use the form ulas of Lem ma 22 and the properties of $V$ and $P$.

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{1}^{F} Q_{k}^{F} & =V t_{1 ; 1} V V t_{1 ; 1} \quad{ }_{k ; k} \mathbb{Z} \\
& =\frac{1}{(2 S)^{2}}{ }_{i ; j=1}^{X^{S}} V P t_{i ; j} t_{1 ; 1} \quad k ; k \tag{2:37}
\end{align*}
$$

The (2S $)^{2}$ term $s$ in the RHS of the last equality can be taken together into three groups of term $s$ which are equal: ( $2 S \mathrm{k})^{2}$ term $s$ where $i>k$ and $j>k, k^{2}$ term $s w h e r e i k$ and $j k$, and the rest which are $2\left(\begin{array}{ll}k & 1\end{array}\right)(2 S \quad k+1)$ tem $s$ where either $i k<j$ or $j k<i$. The result is:

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{1}^{F} Q_{k}^{F}= & \frac{(2 S \quad k)^{2}}{(2 S)^{2}} V t_{i_{1} ; j_{1}} t_{i_{2} ; j_{2}} \quad i_{k+} t_{; j_{k+1}} V \\
& +\frac{2 k(2 S \quad k+1)}{(2 S)^{2}} V t_{i_{1} ; j_{1}} \quad i_{k} ; j_{k} V  \tag{2:38}\\
& +\frac{k^{2}}{(2 S)^{2}} V t_{i_{1} ; j_{1}} \quad i_{k} f_{; j_{k} 1} V
\end{align*}
$$

where $f i_{1} ; i_{2} ;::: ; i_{k+1} g$ and $f j_{1} ; j_{2} ;::: ; j_{k+1} g$ are tw $o$ sets ofdistinct indiges taken from $f 1 ;::: ; 2 S g$. $T$ his proves the recursion relation for the $Q_{k}^{F}$. T he recursion realtion for the $Q_{k}^{A F}$ is derived in the sam e way w ith one smalldi erence: when $i ; j k$ the cases $i=j$ and $i \in j$ lead to di erent term $s$ due to the relations of Lem ma2.

For $k=0$ one has $Q{ }_{0}^{F}=Q_{0}^{A F}=V V=I I$. For $k=1$ we use the relations (2.25) and (3.31-32):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{1}^{F}=V \quad t_{1 ; 1} V=V P{\frac{1}{(2 S)^{2}}}_{i ; j}^{X} t_{i ; j} V
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{2} I+\frac{1}{2 S^{2}} S_{0} \quad S \tag{2:39}
\end{align*}
$$

and $Q_{1}^{A F}=\mathbb{I} \quad Q_{1}^{F}$ by (2.33).

In particular th is lem $m$ a show sthat $Q_{k}^{F}$ and $Q_{k}^{A F}$ are tw o com plete independent fam ilies of rotation invariant operators on $\mathbb{C}^{2 S+1} \quad \mathbb{C}^{2 S+1}$ because the recursion relations im ply that the they are polynom ials of degree $k$ in the $H$ eisenberg interaction $S_{0} S$. The recursion relation can also be used to obtain explicit expressions for the polynom ials $Q_{k}^{F}$ and $Q_{k}^{A F}$. For $S=1$ one nds:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{0}^{F}=I I \quad Q_{0}^{A F}=I \\
& Q_{1}^{F}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} S_{0} \quad S \quad Q_{1}^{A F}=\frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} S_{0} \quad \$  \tag{2:40}\\
& Q_{2}^{F}=I+S_{0} S+\left(S_{0} S\right)^{2} \quad Q_{2}^{A F}=I+\left(\begin{array}{l}
S_{0}
\end{array}\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

For later reference we also give here a general form ula for the $Q_{k}^{A F}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{k}^{A F}(z)=2^{k} \frac{(2 S \quad k)!^{2}}{(2 S)!} \quad Y^{S} \sum_{l=2 S} \quad\left(Z_{l} \quad z\right) \tag{2:41}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $z_{l}=\frac{1}{2} l(l+1) \quad S(S+1)$ are the eigenvalues of $S_{0} \quad S$. From (2.41) it im $m$ ediately follow $S$ that $Q_{k}^{\text {AF }}\left(\begin{array}{ll}S_{0} & \Phi\end{array}\right)$ is of the form

$$
Q_{k}^{A F}\left(S_{0} \quad \Phi\right)=\sum_{l=0}^{2 g k} a_{k 1} P^{(1)}
$$

where $P^{(1)}$ is the orthogonalpro jection onto the states of total spin $l$ in the tensor product of tw o spin $S$ 's. O ne can in fact easily determ ine the $a_{k 1}$ by com puting the eigenvalue $Q_{k}^{A F}\left(\begin{array}{ll}S_{0} & \Phi\end{array}\right)$ takes on a state of total spin $l$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k l}=2^{k} \frac{(2 S \quad k)!^{2}}{(2 S)!} \quad Y_{m=2 S}^{k+1} \quad\left(z_{m} \quad z_{1}\right) \tag{2:43}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follow s im mediately that $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k} 1}=0$ if $\mathrm{l}>2 \mathrm{~S} \quad \mathrm{k}$ and that $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k} 1}>0$ if $\mathrm{l} \quad 2 \mathrm{~S} \mathrm{k}$. From this observation it also follows that the class of integer spin antiferrom agnetic Valence B ond Solid (VBS) chains studied in (A rovas et al, 1988) and (Fannes et al, 1989, 1992) do not alow for a stochastic geom etric representation of the kind discussed here; the m easure would not be nonnegative. O $n$ the other hand the interactions of V B S m odels are tuned such that exact cancelations in the $m$ easures occur, ie., positive and negative constributions in the $m$ easure canceleach other in such a way that som e types of uctuations are greatly reduced. The sim plest non-trivial exam ple of a VBS m odel is the AKLT chain, introduced in (A eck et al, 1988). For this model one can derive an extrem ely sim ple quasi-state decom position for its unique ground state which reveals beautifully the structure of the state (N achtergaele, 1993b).
W e now proceed to describe the stochastic geom etric representation which is the result of the discussion above. W e consider a spin S model on a lattice with Ham iltonian

It is assum ed that the lattioe has a bipartite structure in the sense discussed in Section 2.1. Let
(d!) denote the Poisson process on $B$ as de ned in Section 2. As in Exam ples 1 and 2 of Section 2 $\operatorname{TrK}$ (!) can be com puted in a geom etric way. O ne proceeds as follow $s$. B ecause $V$ is an isom etry and the cyclicity of the trace it is obvious that

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{TrK}(!) & =\operatorname{Tr} r_{\mathrm{H}} \quad b_{k} 2!V \check{b}_{\mathrm{b}_{k}} \mathrm{~V} \\
& =\operatorname{Tr} r_{\mathrm{H}} \operatorname{PK}(!) \tag{2:45}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $\widetilde{\mathrm{h}}_{\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}}$ are de ned in (2.26), ${ }^{\text {Q }}$ denotes a tim e-ordered product, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa(!)=b_{b_{k} 2!}^{\left(\check{\Lambda}_{b_{k}} P\right)} \tag{2:46}
\end{equation*}
$$

If there would be no projection operators $P$ in (2.46), it would im m edately follow from Theorem 2.1 that $\operatorname{TrK}(!)=2^{1(!)}$. At each site of the original lattice there would then be 2 S vertical lines, one for each site in ${ }^{\sim}$. E ach lines carries a spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ degree of freedom. The tim e indexed bonds $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}$ are graphically represented by the diagram s show n in F igure 4.

> Figure 4. The diagram s representing the interactions $Q_{k}^{F}$ and $Q_{k}^{A F}$. The shaded boxes represent the perm utations $v$ of the lines in each vertical segm ent: the index $i_{1}$ at the top of the leftm ost line indicates that this line is identi ed $w$ ith the line num ber $i_{1}$ under the shaded box etc.

The projection operators $P$ re ect the fact that only states which are sym $m$ etric under perm utations of the 2 S factors are relevant. From (2.24) it is quite obvious how the inserted projection operators can be incorporated into the stochastic geom etric representation. It is su cient to average over all perm utations of the lines betw een any two tim e-indexed bonds, i.e. we introduce a random perm utation at each vertical segm ent in !. By a vertical segm ent $v$ in ! we mean a $m$ axim altime interval at a site $x 2$ such that no bond $b_{k}=(f x ; y g ; k)$ occurs in ! during that tim e interval. Foreach! we de ne ! (d) to be the uniform probability m easure on con gurations $(\mathrm{v})_{\mathrm{v} 2}$ ! of perm utations v of the set of 2 S lines running through the segm ent v . It is then obvious that

## Z

$$
\operatorname{TrK}(!)=\quad!(d) 2^{1((!;))} \quad(2: 47:)
$$

It is convenient to de ne $\sim^{J}(\mathrm{~d}!)=\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{d}!)!(\mathrm{d})$ with $!=(!$; ).
Theorem 2.4. For a $H$ am iltonian $H$ of the form (2.44) with all $J_{k}(x ; y) \quad 0$ for $1 \quad k \quad 2 S$. $W$ ithout loss of generality we m ay assum e that $J_{0}(x ; y)=0$. Then: i)

 sign de ned in Theorem 2.1 (note that this sign depends only on and ${ }^{0}$.)
ii) the partition function is given by:

Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\text { Tre }^{H}=\sim^{J}(\mathrm{~d}!) 2^{1(t)} \tag{2:49}
\end{equation*}
$$

iii) the equilibrium expectation values of observables which are functions of the operators $S_{x}^{3}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{Trf}\left(\left(S_{x}^{3}\right)_{x 2}\right) e^{H}}{Z}=Z^{Z} \quad(d!) E!(f) \tag{2:50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\mathrm{d}!)=\mathrm{Z}^{1} \sim^{\top}(\mathrm{d}!) 2^{1(!)}$ and the expectation functional $\mathrm{E}!(\mathrm{f})$ is obtained by averaging, w ith equalw eights, over all the spin con gurations consistent w ith !:

$$
E!(f)=\frac{1}{2^{1(!)}} \quad \begin{gather*}
\mathrm{X}  \tag{2:51}\\
\text { consistent with }! \\
\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{S}} \sim(\mathrm{t}=0)\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

iv) For a general local observable A one has

$$
!(A)=^{Z} \sim(\mathrm{~d}!) E!(A)
$$

w ith

$$
E_{(!;)}(A)=2^{1((!;))} \operatorname{Tr}_{\sim} V A V{ }_{b_{k} ; v 2!} \tilde{K}_{\mathrm{b}_{k}} \text { v }
$$

There is a special case of the $m$ odels (2.44) for which the stochastic geom etric picture sim pli es a lot. They are $m$ odels on a bipartite lattice $w$ ith only antiferrom agnetic bonds ( $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{F}}=$; ) and for which only $J_{2 S}>0$ and all other coupling constants vanish. Then only the diagram $D_{2 S}^{A F}$ appears and the 2 S lines belonging to one site alw ays act in unison, and therefore can equally w ell.be draw $n$ as one line. Therefore we w ill refer to this special cases as the single-line m odels as oppposed to the generalm ulti-line $m$ odels. The integral over the perm utation variables ! can be carried out explicitely and one nds

Z
! $(\mathrm{d}) 2^{1((!;))}=(2 S+1)^{1(!)}$
where $l(!)$ is now the num ber of loops in the con guration ! drawn with a single line at each site and w ith the diagram $D_{1}^{A F}$ at each of the tim e-indexed bonds as if it were a spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ antiferrom agnet. Up to trivial constants the $H$ am iltonians of this $m$ odel is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=X \quad P_{b}^{(0)} \tag{2:55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{(0)}$ denotes the orthogonal pro jection onto the singlet state at the bond b. In fact ( $2 \mathrm{~S}+$ 1) $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{(0)}$ is identical to the interaction h given in E xam ple 2 (2.8), and the quasi-state decom position for these $m$ odels can be derived directly $\mid w$ ithout reference to the generalm ulti-line $m$ odels $\mid$ as w as done in (A izenm an and $N$ achtergaele, 1993a).

## 3. M A IN RESULTS FOR THE ONE-D IMENSIONALSINGLE-LINEMODELS

In this section we only consider one-dim ensional single-line models, i.e. = [ L ; L ] $\underline{\underline{\sigma}}$ and the H am iltonian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\quad X^{L} \quad J_{x} P_{x ; x+1}^{(0)} \tag{3:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where all $J_{x}$ are strictly positive and we willm ostly assum e that $J_{x}$ is independent of $x$ or alternatingly takes on two di erent values. For the proofs of the theorem $s$ in this section and further details see (A izenm an and $N$ achtergaele, 1993a).
O ne is m ainly interested in properties of the ground states of (3.1) in the therm odynam ic lim it (L ! 1 ). The existence of som e speci c therm odynam ic lim its is guaranteed by $T$ heorem 0 of our study.

Theorem 3.0. For each $L \quad 0$ the $H$ am iltonian $H_{L}$ has a unique ground state $L$ and if $J_{x}=J_{x+2}$ for all $x$, the follow ing tw o lim its exist for all local observables A (nite algebraic com binations of the spin $m$ atrioes $S_{x}^{i} ; i=1 ; 2 ; 3$ and $x 2$ 西):

A priori it is not obvious whether $h$ diven and $h$ dedd represent pure phases or not, and also these two lim its are not necessarily distinct. O urm ain results are the follow ing:
a) Long range order

The interaction $P^{(0)}$ is obviously antiferrom agnetic in nature: it favours states where nearest neighbour spins are antiparallel. It is therefore natural to ask whether the ground states posses long-range antiferrom agnetic order in the sense that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h S_{0}^{3} S_{x}^{3} i \quad(1)^{x} m^{2} \quad \text { for } x \text { large } \tag{3:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $m$. This kind of behaviour is called $N$ eel order. Ignoring the quantum uctuations such a state could be pictorially represented by

```
" # " # " # " # " # " # " # " # " # " # " # " #
```

It tums out that N eel order does not occur in the m odels w th H am iltonian (3.1). A bsence of N eel order is in fact expected to hold for any one-dim ensionalquantum antiferrom agnet based on the very general argum ent of ( $M$ em in and $W$ agner, 1966). So far a rigorous proof of the general statem ent has not been found but for the fam ily of $m$ odels under consideration here we have the follow ing theorem.

T heorem 3.1. The in nite volum e ground states described in (3.2) do not have N eel order:

$$
\lim _{x!1} h S_{0}^{3} S_{x}^{3} i_{\text {even (odd) }}=0
$$

The interaction $P^{(0)}$ could how ever give rise to another type of long-range order. A though for a chain of length 3 there is no state in which all nearest neighbour pairs of spins are exactly in the singlet state, there are two states in which half of the nearest neighbour pairs do form a singlet: all the spins at an even site could form a singlet $w$ ith their nearest neighbour on the right or, altematively, w ith their nearest neighbour on the left. This leads to tw o states of periodicity 2 w ith respect to lattice translations. P ictorially these tw o states w ould look as follow s:


This kind of long-range order is called dim erization for obvious reasons. A gain we are ignoring the quantum uctuations and one can actually not expect that these are the true ground states. A lso in cases where there is only a preference of the spins at even sites to form singlet states with their left or right neighbours, we call the state (partially) dim erized.
The stochastic geom etric representation perm its us to prove the follow ing result related to the A eck- ieb dichotomy (A eck and Lieb, 1986).

Theorem 32. For the ground states of the translation invariant $m$ odel (3.1) ( $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{J}$ ), one of the follow ing holds:
i) either the translation sym $m$ etry is spontaneously broken in the in nite volum e ground states
ii) or the spin-spin correlation function decays slow ly (non-exponential) $w$ ith

$$
{ }_{x}^{x} \quad{ }_{x h S}{ }_{0}^{3} S_{x}^{3} i j=+1
$$

In the rst case, the sym $m$ etry breaking is $m$ anifested in the non-invariance of the pair correlation:

$$
h S_{0} \quad \$ i_{\text {even }} h S_{1} \quad S i_{\text {even }}=h S_{0} \quad \$ i_{\text {odd }}
$$

The stochastic geom etric representation in fact gives us a detailed picture of the correlations in the dim erized phase. $W$ e nd the follow ing behaviour: in the state where the spins on the even sites are $m$ ore correlated $w$ ith their neighbours to the right one nds that $w$ ith probability 1 som e spins on the left half-in nite chain ( 1 ; x] form a singlet $w$ ith som e spins on the right half-in nite chain $[x+1 ;+1$ ), for each even $x$. In the sam e state this probability is $<1$ for odd $x$.
b) D ecay of correlations

Theorem s 3.1 and 32 do not specify how fast $h S_{0}^{3} S_{x}^{3} i$ converges to zero as $\mathrm{x}!1$. The decay rate by itself is interesting inform ation and in particular one would like to show that the decay is exponentially fast (existence of a nite correlation length) in the cases where the translation sym $m$ etry is broken. M ore generally one can consider the truncated correlation function of any tw o localobservablesA and B. For any localobservable C we denote by $C_{x}$ the observable obtained by translating $C$ over $x$ and let suppC be the sm allest interval [a;b] in the chain such that $C$ is localized in $[a+1 ; b 1]$. W e have an estim ate for the truncated correlation function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hA } ; B_{x} i j=h A B_{x} i \quad h A i h B_{x} i \tag{3:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in term sof the truncated two-point function ( $x ; y$ ) of an associated tw o-dim ensionalP ottsm odel. For the translation invariant case the P otts m odel is at its self-dual point and for the staggered m odel it can be taken to be in the high tem perature phase. T he precise de nition of this P otts $m$ odel is given in (A izenm an and $N$ achtergaele, 1993a). The com parison theorem reads as follow s.

Theorem 3.3. Let $h$ i denote the expectation in the ground state of a nite chain containing an even num ber of sites, or in one of the lim iting states $h$ diven or $h$ dedd. Then, for any pair of local observables $A$ and $B$ of the quantum spin chain there are constants $C_{A}$ and $C_{B}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { f } A ; B_{x} i j \quad C_{A} C_{B} \underset{y 2 \text { suppa }}{X} \quad(y ; z) \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { y } 2 \operatorname{suppA} \\
\text { z } 2 \operatorname{suppB}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

In this theorem suppA is roughly equal to the set of sites in the lattioe on which the observable A acts non-trivially.
c) The spectral gap

O ne says that the system has a spectral gap of $m$ agnitude at least $>0$ in an in nite volum e ground state $h$ i if for any local observable A the follow ing inequality holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\lim _{L!} h A \quad H_{L} ; A\right] i \quad(h A \text { Ai } \nrightarrow A i f) \tag{3:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is equivalent to the G NS H am iltonian having a spectralgap separating the ground state from the rest of the spectrum. It is therefore obvious that the existence of a spectral gap would also follow from an estim ate of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { カA } e^{\text {th }} B \text { ij } \quad C_{A} C_{B} e^{t} \tag{3:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne can actually prove the analogue of $T$ heorem 3.3 for the observable $B$ being translated in im aginary tim e instead of in space and this im plies the follow ing theorem.

Theorem 3.4. W henever the two-dim ensionalP otts $m$ odel associated $w$ ith the quantum spin chain has an exponentially decaying truncated two-point function there is a spectral gap in the ground states $h$ eiven and $h$ dedd.
4.RESULTS, OPEN PROBLEMS,AND CONJECTURESFOR THEMULTI-LINE M ODELS

A lso here we retrict ouselves to one-dim ensional models. Som e im portant questions related to higher dim ensional m odels were brie y discussed in (N achtergaele, 1993a). We will also only consider translation invariant $m$ odels, i.e. the coupling constants $J_{k}$ do not depend on the position in the chain. So, the H am iltonians under investigation here are

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=X^{X=1} X^{\mathbb{Z}} J_{k} Q_{k}^{A F}\left(S_{x} \quad S+1\right) \tag{4:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $Q_{k}^{A F}$ is the polynom ial of degree $k$ de ned in (2.41) and we assum e that $J_{k} \quad 0$ for $1 \quad k$ 2S.

### 4.1. The excess spin operator

In the stochastic geom etric picture of the ground state provided by the P oisson integral representation of Section 2, it is rather clear intuitively that the quantity

$$
\hat{S}_{x}=\underbrace{X}_{y>x} S_{y}
$$

$m$ ight indeed $m$ ake sense when the spin-spin correlations have su cient decay. W e call these quantities the excess spin operators. W e would like to give $m$ eaning to this in nite sum in such a way that the associated random variable satis es

$$
E+\left(\hat{S}_{x}\right)=\begin{array}{cc}
\text { X } & \text { X }  \tag{4:2}\\
\begin{array}{c}
\text { loops in ! } \\
\text { intersecting both }(1 \text { ix and }(x ;+1)
\end{array} & (y ; k) \text { on }
\end{array}
$$

A crucial property then is that the total third com ponent of the spin (or any com ponent for that $m$ atter) on the collection of sites where a given loop intersects the $t=0$ axis, is alw ays identically zero. So, therefore only these loops that intersect both ( $1 ; x]$ and $[x+1 ;+1$ ), appear in the sum (4.2). Because of (2.51) decay of the spin-spin correlation function translates directly into a restriction on the typical size of the loops in a con guration!.
W e de ne local approxim ants $\hat{S}_{x}($ ") for the exœess spin as follow $s$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{S}_{x}(")=\underbrace{X}_{y>x} e^{" i y{ }^{x j} S_{y}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for " $>0, x 2$ 百, and $=1 ; 2 ; 3$. The $\hat{S_{x}}(")$ are bounded self-adjint operators and generate one-param eter unitary groups, although they are not representations of SU (2). For all g 2 SU (2) we w ill denote by $g$ the vector in $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ such that in any strongly continuous unitary representation $\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{g})=\exp$ ig $\hat{\mathrm{S}}$, where $\hat{S}$ denotes the vector of generators of the representation. For any state $h$ i of the in nite spin chain there is a (up to unitary equivalence) unique $H$ ibbert space $H_{G N S}$, a vector $2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{GNS}}$ and a representation of the algebra ofobservables $A \underline{\underline{6}}$ on $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{GNS}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h A i=h \quad j(A) i \tag{4:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for allA $2 \mathrm{~A} \underline{\underline{\pi}}$. This is called the G NS representation of the state (B ratteli and R obinson, 1981). A natural dense subspace of $H_{G N S}$ is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{l o c}=f(A) \quad j A 2 A \operatorname{loc} 9 \tag{4:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{\text {loc }}$ is the subalgebra of $A$ form ed by all nite combinations of the operators $S_{x}$.
Theorem 4.1. Let h i be a ground state of a H am iltonian of the form (4.1) and denote by $H_{G N S}$ its GNS H ilbert space. If

$$
{ }_{x}^{\mathrm{X}} \dot{\mathrm{x}}^{3} h S_{0} S_{x} i j<+1 \text {; or for the single-linem odels: } \int_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{j} h S_{0} S_{x} i j<+1}
$$

then, for every x 2 画 there is a strongly continous unitary representation $U_{x}$ of $S U(2)$ de ned by the lim it

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{x}(g)=\lim _{n \# 0} e^{i g} \quad \hat{a}_{x}\left({ }^{("))}\right. \tag{4:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{GN}} \mathrm{s}$ w ith the follow ing properties:
i) $U_{x}(g)$ com $m$ utes $w$ ith $A(1 ; x]$
ii) $U_{x} \quad{ }_{1}(g)=e^{i g} \quad\left({ }^{\text {( }}\right) U_{x}(g)$
iii) $T$ he dom ain of the generators of $U_{x}$ contains $H_{l o c}$.

The proof of this theorem will be given in (A izenm an and $N$ achtergaele, 1993b).

Corollary 42. There are well-de ned self-adjint generators $\hat{S_{x}}$ of the representation $U_{x}$ which are strong lim its of the local approxim ants (4.3) and they have a com $m$ on dom ain for all $=1 ; 2 ; 3$ and $\times 2 \underline{\underline{\sigma}} .0 n$ this dom ain, which contains $H_{l o c}$, one has the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{S_{x}}=\left(S_{x}\right)+\hat{S_{x}} \tag{4:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For situations where the excess spin operators exists one can then apply the follow ing theorem to prove that su cient decay of correlations in a ground state of a quantum spin chain with a H am iltonian of the form (4.1) and $S=\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{3}{2} ; \frac{5}{2} ;:::$, necessarily exhibits breaking of the translation invariance.

Theorem 4.3. Let! be a pure state of the spin $S$ chain $w$ ith hal ntegralS, which is invariant under even translations and such that the operators $\hat{S}_{x}$ exist and have the properties stated in Theorem 4.1 and C orollary 42, then ! is not invariant under odd translations.

Proof :. As $\hat{S_{x}}$ is self-adjoint the follow ing spectral projection is well-de ned:

$$
P_{x} \quad \text { projection onto subspace where } \hat{S_{x}} 2 \underline{\boxed{\sigma}}+\frac{1}{2}
$$

(4.8) and the SU (2)-com mutation relations of the excess spin operators im ply that the $\hat{S_{x}}$ have spectrum $\quad \frac{1}{2} \overline{\boxed{\sigma}}$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{x} \quad 1=\mathbb{I} \quad P_{x}=P_{x+1} \tag{4:10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence $P_{x}$ com $m$ utes with any localobservable: $P_{x} 2 A_{(1 ; x+2 k]}^{0}$ for allk $2 \underline{\underline{6}}$. In an irreducible representation of the observable algebra this $m$ eans that $P_{x}$ is a $m$ ultiple of the identity and because it is a projection we conclude that $P_{x}=x$ II w ith $x 2$ f0;1g. By (4.10) this im plies

$$
!\left(\mathbb{P}_{x}\right)=\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { for } x \text { even (odd) }  \tag{4:11}\\
0 & \text { for } x \text { odd (even) }
\end{array}
$$

and therefore! is not invariant under translations over an odd distance.

## 42. A topologicalindex as order param eter

The con gurations of loops in the plane that occur in the P oisson integral representation of the quantum spin chains (4.1) have interesting topological properties which we expect to be of deter$m$ ining im portance for the behaviour of their ground states. $W$ e willbe considering con gurations of loops in the in nite plane, which corresponds to studying the bulk of the quantum spin chain in the ground state. A fterw ards we also $m$ ake a rem ark about topological e ects in nite and half-in nite chains.
A possible con guration ofloops $\downarrow$ fora spin- 1 chain is show $n$ in $F$ igure 5, and in generalw e consider con guartions which are constructed using the diagram $s D_{k}^{A F}, k=0 ;::: ; 2 S . O$ bviously one can construct con gurations out of these diagram s that contain in nite lines. Such con gurations are excluded from the considerations in this section. M ore precisely we im pose the follow ing condition.

Condition 4.4. Let $t$ be a loop con guration for a spin-S chain. $t$ satis es the Condition if all loops are nite and any point ( $x ; t$ ) in the plane is surrounded by only a nite number of loops. T he set of allcon gurations satisfying this condition $w$ illbe denoted by 0 . The probability $m$ easure $(d \downarrow)$ satis es the $C$ ondition if $P$ rob $(0)=1$.

Here, by \surrounded by a loop", we m ean that any continuous path connecting $(x ; t)$ to in nity m ust necessarily intersect that loop.
In a translation invariant ground state of the quantum spin chain $C$ ondition 4.4 w ill be satis ed if there is su cient deacy of the spin-spin correlation function, e.g. C ondition 4.4 is satis ed if

X

$$
\begin{equation*}
j x!\left(S_{0} \quad s\right) j<1 \tag{4:12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 5. A typical con guration of loops $\downarrow$ for a spin 1 antiferrom agnetic chain. The loops are show $n$ w ith the canonicalorientation $w$ ith respect to $X w h i c h$ is used in the de nition of the canonical $w$ inding num ber (4.13).

In fact (4.12) im plies that the average num ber of loops surrounding any given point is nite:


Let $\downarrow$ be a con guration for which Condition 4.4 is satis ed. For any ( $x ; t) 2 R^{2}$ such that ( $x ; t$ ) does not belong to a loop in $\downarrow$, we de ne the canonical orientation of the loops $2 \downarrow$ as the one for which the vertical lines located at z $2 \underline{\underline{6}}$ are oriented in the positive time direction if $\operatorname{sign}\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & z\end{array}\right)(1)^{[x} z^{z]}=1$ ( $[a]$ denotes the integral part of $a$ ), and in the negative tim e direction if $\operatorname{sign}\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & z\end{array}\right)(1)^{[x]}=1(\operatorname{see} F$ igure 5$) . W$ e then de ne the canonical winding num ber $w_{(x ; t)}^{(!)}$) of $t$ w th respect to $(x ; t)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{(x ; t)}(\nsim)=\frac{1}{2!}^{Z} d_{(x ; t)} \tag{4:13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is de ned in $F$ igure 5. By C ondition 4.4 only a nite number of term $s$ in the sum are non-vanishing and of course $w(x ; t)(\downarrow)$ is an integer. The follow ing crucial properties, which are straightforw ard to derive from the de nition of the canonicalw inding num ber, show that the value of the winding num ber is actually a property of $\downarrow$, i.e. it does not depend upon the point $(x ; t)$ w ith respect to which it is com puted, except for a trival dependence on the parity of $x$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}_{(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{t})}(\downarrow)=\mathrm{w}_{(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{s})}(\downarrow) \quad \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{x}}(\downarrow) \tag{4:14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{x+1}(\stackrel{\downarrow}{\bullet})=2 S \quad A_{x}(\stackrel{\downarrow}{\bullet}) \tag{4:15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for allx 2 Rn 区 $\mathrm{\sigma}_{\text {and }}$ s;t2R.
$W$ hat we have found is an index $A_{0}(t)$ which takes on integer values and which characterizes a global topological property of $\downarrow$. It follow $s$ that for any $\bar{\sigma}$-ergodic probability m easure ( $d t$ ) on $0, A_{0}(\stackrel{\downarrow}{\circ}) \mathrm{w}$ ill take a $x e d$ value w ith probability 1 w th respect to ( $\left.\mathrm{d} \cdot.\right)$. W e claim that the dim erization transition observed in certain antiferrom agnetic quantum spin chains can be
interpreted as a transition between phases $w$ ith a di erent value for the index $A_{0}(\stackrel{\downarrow}{\circ})$. It can be show $n$ that for each integer $z$ there are indeed $\downarrow 2$ forwhich $A_{0}(\downarrow)=z, w$ ith the exception of the case $s=\frac{1}{2}$, where $A_{0}(\stackrel{\downarrow}{\circ})$ can only be 0 or 1 . B ut we expect that only relatively sm all values will occur in the ground states of the H am iltonians (4.1) although we have not been able to prove this so far. A second im portant open problem is to show that the winding number, which under certain conditions on the decay of spin-spin correlations is certainly m easurable $w$ th respect to
( $\mathrm{d} \downarrow$ ), is also observable for the quantum spin chain. It is indeed not trivial that there exists an observable A 2 A of the quantum spin chain of which the expectation value! (A) would be equal to (or determ ine uniquely) the value of the topological index $A_{0}$ in the probability $m$ easure ( $d . t$ ) related to ! by (2.52) ( w th $=+1$ ).
Mym ain interest in the problem sm entioned in the previous paragraph stem $s$ from the im plications they have for the generalstructure of the ground state phase diagram of antiferrom agnetic quantum spin chains. (A eck and Haldane, 1987) m ake an thorough analy is of that phase diagram on the basis of an identifaction of the low energy spectrum of spin chainsw ith certain conform alquantum
eld theories. Their (non-rigorous) argum ents lead to som e very interesting predictions which we can sum $m$ arize as follow $s$. For the param eters in the $H$ am iltonian taking values in the com plem ent of som e subm anifold of less than $m$ axim aldim ension one expects that: i) for the half-integer spin antiferrom agnetic chains the ground states either exhibit one of a nite num ber of possible types of dim erization, in which case they have exponential decay and a spectral gap, or the ground state is unique and translation invariant w ith slow (non-exponential) decay of correlations and no spectral gap; ii) for the integer spin antiferrom agnetic chains there also is a nite num ber of possible types of dim erization and there is also a translation invariant phase but one $w$ ith exponential decay and a spectral gap (the so-called H aldane-phase). So, apart from special choiges of the coupling constants w here one is on the boundary betw een tw o orm ore di erent phases, one expects that the integer spin chains alw ays have expontential decay and a spectral gap where for the half-integer spin chains a gapless translation invariant phase exists. O ur T heorem 4.3 (com bined with $T$ heorem 4.1 and C orollary 4.3) show s that for half-integer spin chains w ith antiferrom agnetic interactions of the form (4.1) indeed there is no translation invariant phse $w$ ith rapid decay of correlations. W e believe that the di erent possible phases can be characterized by the value of the index $A_{0} . N$ ote that (4.15) has no translation invariant solutions for half integer $S$ but that there is a translation invariant solution $A_{x} \quad S$ for integer $S$. It follow $s$ that the gapless translation invariant phase for half-integer spin chains $m$ ust have a slow ly decaying spin-spin correlation function so as to $m$ ake (4.6) divergent.

The existence of the excess spin operators also allow s for a natural interpretation of the \exotic" edge states in nite and half-in nite integer spin chains. It w as observed in E lectron Spin R esonance experim ents on the quasi-one dim ensionalcom pound NENP (H agiv ara et al, 1990,1992; H agív ara and $K$ atsum ata, 1992) that the edges interact $w$ ith a $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent near to it as if they carry spin $1 / 2$, although the system in all other respects seem $s$ to be modelled very well by a spin 1 antiferrom agnetic chain. It was noted in (H agiv ara et al, 1990) that if the ground state of this system resembles the one of the A K LT model (for which the exact ground state is given in (A eck et al, 1987,1988)), the spin 1/2 nature of the edge states could be easily explained. O ur proof of the existence of the excess spin operator is valid for a quite general class of H am iltonians. If one assum es that it is correct that a unique translation invariant ground state for a spin 1 chain im plies that the index $A_{x}$ is identically equal to 1 (or equal to $S$ for higher integer spin), it follow $S$ that the excess spin is half-integral and that the edge states should have half-integral spin as well.
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