

Replica Real Space Renormalization Group for Spin Glasses

J.R.L. de Almeida and S. Coutinho

Departamento de Física Universidade Federal de Pernambuco 50670-901 Recife, PE, Brasil

ABSTRACT

We construct a real space renormalization group (RG) approach for Ising spin glasses on hypercubic lattices within the scheme of the Migdal-Kadanoff approximation using replicas. Our replica symmetric solution yields results consistent with simple decimation previously obtained and the introduction of breaking of replica symmetry within the RG is discussed, which inserts in a natural fashion non-linear RG into the problem.

PACS.75.10N - Spin glass models

PACS.75.50L - Spin glasses

1. Introduction

The study of the magnetic properties of the so-called spin glasses (random magnetic systems with quenched disorder and competing interactions) has been challenging the imagination of many workers in the field, and up to now the only fairly complete theory is the one associated with the solution of the mean field Sherrington-Kirkpatrick (SK) model (for an excellent account of the development of the field see Fisher and Hertz 1991), which suffers from the original sin of having size dependent and infinite range interactions. Nevertheless, these limitations of the model might be forgiven when one considers bona fide models and from them obtain the known mean field theories either for the pure ferromagnetic case (Kac 1968) or spin glasses (de Almeida 1992).

Usually the mean field results hold at very large dimensionalities, give the qualitative aspects that a theory in finite dimensions should encompass, and are obtained without much effort. Spin glasses changed this altogether for even its mean field theory (assumed as the solution of the SK model) took many years to be worked out, and only in the past few years a phase transition in Ising 3d systems has been largely accepted (see Fisher and Hertz 1991).

To study the nature of the phase transitions in 3d systems a natural framework would be to employ the renormalization group techniques (see Wallace and Zia 1978 for a review). However, from the beginning the absence of a correct picture of the nature of the cooperative fluctuations in spin glasses will be hanging over any results which might be obtained.

Among the various forms of implementing the renormalization group approach, real space methods in lattice models has been widely used for its conceptual and technical simplicity, in particular the Migdal-Kadanoff renormalization group (MKRG) approximation. In this work we study Ising spin glasses on hypercubic lattices using the MKRG approximation in conjunction with the replica method. The study of Ising spin glasses using the MKRG approximation is not new (Ney-Nielsen and Hilhorst 1993 and references therein). What is new in our work, we believe, is the introduction of the replica method and new parameters allowing breaking of replica symmetry. Thus a full consistence between the mean field (SK) theory and a renormalization group approach may emerge.

2. The Model and its RG

Let us consider a model described by the following Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{(i,j)} J_{ij} S_i S_j ; \quad i = 1 \quad (1)$$

where (i,j) labels the pair of nearest neighbor sites on a hypercubic lattice with random exchange interactions coupling constants J_{ij} 's assumed to have zero mean and variance J of a gaussian distribution. The bond average of the replicated partition function of the model (1) is (Edwards and Anderson 1975)

$$\ln Z^n = \text{Tr} \exp \left[\frac{K^2}{2} \sum_{(i,j)} S_i S_j \right] ; \quad (2)$$

where $\langle \dots \rangle$ means bond average, $K = J$, and $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are replica indices.

Let us now perform an approximate RG transformation on (2) within the Migdal-Kadanoff approach. Here we carry this out by working with the effective Hamiltonian in replica space as defined by (2):

$$H_{\text{eff}} = \frac{K^2}{2} \sum_{(i,j)} S_i S_j \quad (3)$$

As far as we know, none of the existing works on spin glasses using the RG approach used (3) as the starting point. First, consider the simple decimation scheme using a rescaling factor $b=2$, and a new rescaled lattice and interactions are obtained from the previous ones using the clusters indicated in figure 1. Calling S_i and S_j the spins of the rescaled lattice each connection in the cluster shown in figure 1 will produce a contribution to the effective interaction between S_i and S_j , which is obtained by tracing out the replicated intermediary (n) spins in the following expression

$$I_{i,j} = \text{Tr}_g \exp \left[\frac{K^2}{2} \sum_{(i,j)} S_i S_j \right] ; \quad (4)$$

Using standard transformation equation (4) assumes the form

$$I_{i,j} = \int dx_1 \int dy_1 \exp \left[-K_1(x_1, y_1) \right] S_i S_j \quad (5)$$

where

$$K_1(x_1; y_1) = \tanh^{-1} [\tanh(K x_1) \tanh(K y_1)] \quad (6)$$

and

$$D x_i = \frac{dx_i}{2} \exp \left(-\frac{x_i^2}{2} \right) \quad (7)$$

From (5) it is seen that each connection in figure 1 contributes with a term $K_1(x_1; y_1)$ to the effective interaction between S_i and S_j , all replicas with the same weight, each term with a probability distribution specified by (7). The new effective interaction is then given by

$$K_{ij}^0 = \prod_{\alpha=1}^{2^d-1} K_\alpha(x_\alpha; y_\alpha) \quad (8)$$

for d -dimensional hypercubic lattices. The probability distribution of the interactions in the decimated lattice is then given by

$$P(K^0) = \int \prod_{\alpha=1}^{2^d-1} \int \prod_{\beta=1}^{2^d-1} K_\alpha^0 \tanh^{-1} (\tanh(K x_\alpha) \tanh(K y_\beta))^5 D x_\alpha D y_\beta \quad (9)$$

whose mean deviation $\langle K^0 \rangle$ is

$$\langle K^0 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int \prod_{\alpha=1}^{2^d-1} dz_\alpha \int \prod_{\beta=1}^{2^d-1} dK_\beta^0 \int \prod_{\alpha=1}^{2^d-1} D x_\alpha \int \prod_{\beta=1}^{2^d-1} D y_\beta (K^0)^2 \exp \left(\int \prod_{\alpha=1}^{2^d-1} \int \prod_{\beta=1}^{2^d-1} dz_\alpha dK_\beta^0 \tanh^{-1} [\tanh(K x_\alpha) \tanh(K y_\beta)] \right) \quad (10)$$

which may be recast into the form ($b=2$)

$$\langle K^0 \rangle = 2^{d-1} \int \prod_{\alpha=1}^{2^d-1} D x_\alpha \int \prod_{\beta=1}^{2^d-1} D y_\beta \tanh^{-1} (\tanh(K x) \tanh(K y))^{i_2} \quad (11)$$

Analogously, one obtains for the fourth moment of the distribution

$$\langle K^0 \rangle^4 = 3 \langle K^0 \rangle^2 + 2^{d-1} \langle u^4 \rangle - 3 \langle u^2 \rangle^2 \quad (12)$$

where $\langle u^2 \rangle$ is the double integral in equation (11) and

$$\langle u^4 \rangle = \int \prod_{\alpha=1}^{2^d-1} D x_\alpha \int \prod_{\beta=1}^{2^d-1} D y_\beta \tanh^{-1} (\tanh(K x) \tanh(K y))^{i_4} \quad (13)$$

Equations (11) and (12) could have been obtained without the need of introducing the replicas shown in equation (4). We exhibit them to stress its replica symmetric nature. They are the result of a one step decimation starting from a gaussian bond distribution

and the last term in (12) is a measure of the deviation from a gaussian of the new iterated distribution. They may be extended to provide an iterative map between the moments of the effective bond distributions at each RG transformation. Here we take the approximation of considering (11) and (12) valid at each RG step which amounts to approximating the iterated probability distributions by gaussians, which is a good approximation as d assume large values.

From (11) we obtain that there is a phase transition only for $d > 2$, and $T_c = 0.95, 2.12, 3.51, 5.32$ for $d = 3, 4, 5$, and 6 , respectively. The correlation coefficient $\rho = \langle K^4 \rangle / 3(\langle K^2 \rangle)^2$, which is one for a gaussian distribution, takes the values at T_c , $\rho = 1.11$ ($d = 3$); 1.11 ($d = 4$); 1.08 ($d = 5$) 1.05 ($d = 6$) justifying the above mentioned approximation. The correlation-length exponent (ν) defined by the flow away from the non-trivial fixed point of (11) is given by

$$\frac{d \langle K^2 \rangle^{1/2}}{dK} \bigg|_{T_c} = 2^{1/2} \quad (14)$$

and we find $\nu = 1.41$ ($d = 3$), $\nu = 0.77$ ($d = 4$), $\nu = 0.60$ ($d = 5$), $\nu = 0.55$ ($d = 6$). For comparison the high temperature series expansion for these exponents (Klein et al 1991) are $\nu = 1.37$ ($d = 3$), $\nu = 0.95$ ($d = 4$), $\nu = 0.73$ ($d = 5$) for a J distribution. Others critical indices may be obtained in the usual way invoking their relationship obtained through usual scaling.

It might be worth mentioning that phenomenological scaling (Bray and Moore 1985, Bray 1988 and references therein) claim that the ordered state is governed by a zero-temperature fixed point, such that the width of the renormalized probability distribution at low temperatures has a growth characterized by an exponent y , i.e., $K^0 \sim 2^y K$. From (11) we find numerically that $y' = (d - 5)/2 = 2$, yielding a lower critical dimension $d_c = 2.5$. For comparison, numerical studies (Bray and Moore 1985, Bray 1988) estimate $y' = 0.30$ ($d = 2$) and $y' = 0.2$ ($d = 3$) while our result gives $y' = 0.25$ ($d = 2$) and $y' = 0.25$ ($d = 3$). However, we note that our results thus far have been obtained within the context of replica symmetric approach. An improved treatment may upset the above flow of the renormalized probability distribution. While equation (11) gives a stable zero-temperature fixed point for $d > d_c$, a proper account of frustration may turn it unstable as in highly frustrated systems studied by McKay et al (1982).

We consider now the question of introducing breaking of replica symmetry within the present approach. The renormalization group framework for studying critical properties can rarely be carried out exactly. In general, one has to resort to some approximations and frequently introduce some parameters to optimize the results (see Wallace and Zia 1978). In the case under study, we may take this step writing equation (4) in the form

$$I_{ij} = T r_f \exp : \frac{K^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^8 q_i S_i S_j + S_j S_j \sum_{i=1}^9 ; \quad (15)$$

where the q_i 's are new parameters introduced into the theory to be determined say, for instance, variationally from a free energy. Breaking of replica symmetry (RSB) within the real space RG may then be pursued by iterating (15). A first step RSB scheme (see below) leads to a iterative map involving several parameters which is under study.

In this work we have only analysed the replica symmetric case $q_i = q = 1$ and leave for future work other cases.

3. Discussion

In this work we have reported, we believe, the outline of the renormalization group in real space as should be applied to spin glasses (here only Ising systems were considered but extension to other systems is straightforward). Only the naive replica symmetric case was considered and results consistent with previous works were obtained (Southern and Young 1977, Curado and Meunier 1988, Bray 1988, Ney-Nifle and Hilhorst 1993, and references therein). It was our aim in this work to explicitly point out the replica symmetric (RS) nature of the results, obtain only the simplest results within the RS solution, and to lay the ground for extension of the theory. We are not aware of any similar discussion except in a work of one of the present authors (de Almeida 1993), where it was argued that it is in the nature of these complex systems (spin glasses) that they require the use of nonlinear renormalization group in their analysis. This may completely change standard universality rules as obtained for uniform systems (critical exponents depending only on the space dimension and on the number of spin components) which have been confirmed numerically through Monte Carlo calculation (Bernardi and Campbell 1993) for $d = 3$ and 4, using several distinct forms

for the probability distribution of the interactions. Moreover, a study of the multifractal spectra of the order parameter for short range Ising spin glasses in $d = 3$ (Coutinho et al 1993) indicate a nontrivial $f(\cdot)$ -function for $T > T_c$ thus suggesting the complex nature of a proper scaling for spin glasses. In the present approach, nonlinear renormalization group sets in immediately as soon as one start breaking replica symmetry among the q in equation (15), in a fashion similar to the breakdown of linear response theory and the Fisher relation in the spin glass phase (Bray and Moore 1980).

In the last few years many works either experimental (Leuch et al 1993 and references therein) or numerical (Badoni et al 1993, Hetzel et al 1993, and references therein) have argued in favour of the mean field SK picture in finite dimensions. There is even the suggestion that for Ising spin glasses there is no qualitative difference in their behaviour for all dimensions above the lower critical one (Bhatt et al 1991). Our preliminar analysis of breaking replica symmetry using equation (15) following a Blandin's like seminal scheme (Blandin 1978), indicates γ exponents much larger than in the RS case suggesting the possibility of existence of an de Almeida-Thouless transition line (de Almeida and Thouless 1978) within the present context. In addition, RSB introduces an unfolding of the bonds probability distribution much like in line with the RSB picture yielding a probability law for the probability law of the overlap of the order parameters (Mezard et al 1984). All these topics deserve further investigation which we leave for future work. In this letter we hope to have added another step forward in the long and hard spin glass way.

Acknowledgments

We should like to thank CNPq, FINEP and CAPES (Brazilian government granting agencies) and that of FACEPE (Pernambuco state granting agency) under the grant APQ 211/1.05/91 for partial financial support. One of us (JRLA) thanks I.A. Campbell for very useful correspondence and preprints.

References

- Badoni D, Ciria J C, Parisi G, Pech J, Ritort F and Ruiz J J 1993 *Europhys. Lett.* 21, 495.
- Bernardi L and Campbell I A preprints (1993).
- Bhatt R N, Reger J D and Young A P 1991 *J. Appl. Phys.* 69, 5219.
- Blandin A 1978 *J. Physique C* 6, 1568.
- Bray A J and Moore M A 1980 *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.* 13, 419
 ——— 1985 *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.* 18, L927.
- Bray A J 1988 *Comment. Condens. Mat. Phys.* 14, 21
- Coutinho S, de Almeida J R L and Curado E M F 1994, *Proc. of the IFIP Second International Working Conference on Fractals in the Natural and Applied Sciences | FRACTAL 93*, Ed. M M Novak, Elsevier, to appear.
- Curado E M F and Meunier J L 1988 *Physica A* 149, 164.
- Edwards S F and Anderson P W 1975 *J. Phys. F: Metal Phys.* 5, 965.
- de Almeida J R L and Thouless D J 1978 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen* 11, 983.
- de Almeida J R L 1991 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*
 ——— 1993 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 26, 193.
- Fisher K H and Hertz J A 1991 *Spin Glasses* (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press).
- Hetzl R E, Bhatt R N and Singh R R P 1993 *Europhys. Lett.* 22, 383; Kac M 1968 *Statistical Physics, Phase Transitions and Superfluidity* (Brandeis University) vol.1 (New York: Gordon and Breach).
- Klein L, Adler J, Aharony A, Harris A B and Meir Y 1991 *Phys. Rev.* B43, 11249.
- Leoch F, Hamman J, Ocio M and Vincent E 1993 *Europhys. Lett.* 18, 647.

McKay S R , Berker A N and Kirkpatrick S 1982 Phys. Rev. Lett. 48, 767.

Mézard M , Parisi G , Sourlas N , Toulouse G and Virasoro M 1984 J. Physique 45, 843.

Ney-Nielsen M and Hilhorst H J 1993 Physica A 193, 48.

Sherrington D and Kirkpatrick S 1975 Phys. Rev. Lett. 35, 1972.

Southern B W and Young A P 1977 J. Phys. C : Solid State Phys. 10, 2179.

Wallace D J and Zia R K P 1978 Rep. Prog. Phys. 41, 1.

Figure Captions

Figure 1: Migdal-Kadanoff $b = 2$ cluster. There are $p = 2^{d-1}$ connections joining the S_i^0 spins in d -dimensions.