Correlation Induced Insulator to Metal Transitions

Qimiao Si, M. J. Rozenberg, G. Kotliar, and A. E. Ruckenstein

Serin Physics Laboratory, Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ 08855-0849, USA

(August, 1993)

We study a spinless two-band model at half-filling in the limit of infinite dimensions. The ground state of this model in the non-interacting limit is a band-insulator. We identify transitions to a metal and to a charge-Mott insulator, using a combination of analytical, Quantum Monte Carlo, and zero temperature recursion methods. The metallic phase is a non-Fermi liquid state with algebraic local correlation functions with universal exponents over a range of parameters.

PACS numbers: 71.27+a, 74.20Mn, 71.28+d, 71.10+x

Strong correlations can lead to low energy behavior qualitatively different from that predicted by band theory. A classic example is provided by the Mott transition where a system, which is expected to be a band metal, turns into an insulator as correlations become greater than a critical value. [1] Recently, the complementary question of whether interactions can drive a band insulator into a metal has been raised in connection with the possible (semi)-metallic nature of the "Kondo insulators". [2] From a theoretical point of view, it is likely that a metal which exists only as the result of interactions can not be analytically continued to a free electron metallic system and hence should not be described by Fermi liquid theory. The related question of the possible existence of non-Fermi liquid metallic states has been of great recent interest, due to the anomalous normal state properties of the high-T_c oxides [3,4].

In this letter, we study a spinless model at half-filling which displays a band insulating ground state in the absence of interactions. We developed two numerical algorithms to study the effects of interactions in infinite dimensions, supplementing the numerical results with analytical arguments. We find that, in spite of the simplicity of the model, the interactions give rise to a novel correlation-induced insulator (to which we refer as a charge-Mott insulator), and a non-Fermi liquid metallic phase with scale invariant low-energy correlation functions. In the latter, we identify two parameter regimes, in which the associated exponents are continuously varying and universal, respectively.

We study the following Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_k (\epsilon_k - \mu) c_k^\dagger c_k + \sum_i (\epsilon_d^\circ - \mu) d_i^\dagger d_i + \sum_i t(d_i^\dagger c_i + h.c.) + V \sum_i (c_i^\dagger c_i - \frac{1}{2})(d_i^\dagger d_i - \frac{1}{2}); \quad (1)$$

where $c^\dagger$ and $d^\dagger$ are creation operators for two species of spinless electrons. The c-electrons have a dispersion ϵ_k, while the d-band is dispersionless with local energy ϵ_d°. These two species are coupled through the hybridization, t, and the density-density interaction, V. Below we focus on the half-filled case, with $\epsilon_d^\circ = \epsilon_c = \mu = 0$.

We solve this model in the limit of infinite dimensions [5] in which the hopping matrix

element t_{ij} must be rescaled with $1=\sqrt{d}$. The one-particle Green's function, $G(k;i!_n)$ $\int d\ e^{i!_n} < T\ \ (k;\ )\ ^y(k;\ ) >$, with $^y = (d^y;c^y)$, can be calculated from the Dyson's equation

$$G^{\ 1}(k;i!_n) \quad \begin{pmatrix} i!_n + & \circ_d \quad t \\ t & i!_n + \ _k \end{pmatrix} \quad (i!_n) \tag{2}$$

The self-energy $(i!_n)$ is momentum independent and can thus be calculated from the local Green function, $< \ ^y \ >_{S_{imp}}$, associated with the impurity action [6],

$$S_{imp}(G_o) = \int_0 d\ d\ ^0\ ^y(\ )G_o^{\ 1}(\ \ ^0)\ (^0) + \int_0 d\ V(\hat{e}c\ \ \frac{1}{2})(d^y d\ \ \frac{1}{2}) \tag{3}$$

according to the Dyson equation, $\ = G_o^{\ 1} - < \ ^y \ >_{S_{imp}}$, where G_o obeys the self-consistency equation $\sum_k G(k;i!_n)=N_{site} = < \ ^y \ >_{S_{imp}}$. As is already implicit in the choice of the local action (3), we will focus on states with no long range (CDW) order [7].

The effective-impurity action (3) can be equivalently written in terms of a resonant-level model,

$$H_{imp} = H_o + t(c^y d + d^y c) + (\ _d^\circ \quad)d^y d + V(n_d \quad \frac{1}{2})(n_c \quad \frac{1}{2}) \tag{4}$$

where H_o describes the local c-electron coupled to a non-interacting electron bath with a hopping matrix determined from the self-consistency equation. For simplicity we study the case of a semi-circular conduction-electron density of states, $_o(\ ) = \sum_k (\ \ _k)=N_{site} = (2=D)\sqrt{1 \quad (=D)^2}$, for which the self-consistency equation reduces to the form:

$$(G_o^{\ 1})_{cc} = i!_n + \ \ (\frac{D}{2})^2 G_{cc} \tag{5}$$

We developed two numerical techniques to analyze Eqs. (3-5). The first algorithm is a generalization of the method used in previous studies of the Hubbard model. [8{10] An initial guess for G_o is used in the impurity action Eq. (3), which is solved by Monte Carlo method. Our algorithm is based on a modification of that due to Hirsch and Fye [11], and uses a different set of Hubbard-Stratonovich variables [12] and a single determinant.

The calculated Green's function is then fed into the self-consistency condition (5) and the procedure is iterated until convergence is achieved.

The second algorithm is based on the recursion method. [13,14] The one particle Green's function can be written as $G(z) = G^{>}(z) + G^{<}(z)$ where $G^{\gtrless}(z) = \int d\ \ \rho(\ )/(z\ \ \ )$ and $\rho(\ ) = 0$ for $\gtrless 0$. We use the continued-fraction expression for $G^{>}(z)$,

$$G^{>}(z) = \cfrac{b_1^r}{z \quad a_1^r \quad \cfrac{b_2^r}{z \quad a_2^r \quad \cfrac{b_3^r}{\ddots}}}; \tag{6}$$

and a similar one for $G^{<}(z)$, with a_i^r and b_i^r replaced by a_i^l and b_i^l. This representation obeys the correct analyticity requirements ($b_1^r + b_1^l = 1$). It allows us to visualize the self-consistency equation, $(G_o^{-1})_{cc} = i\omega_n \quad (_c \quad) \quad (D/2)^2 G_{cc}$, as the inverse of the local Green's function $< c^\dagger c >$ of the non-interacting Hamiltonian,

$$\begin{aligned} H_o = (_c \quad) c^\dagger c + \frac{D}{2}\sqrt{b_1^r}\,(c^\dagger c_{1r} + h.c.) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i^r c_{ir}^\dagger c_{ir} + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\sqrt{b_{i+1}^r}\,(c_{ir}^\dagger c_{i+1r} + h.c.) \\ + \frac{D}{2}\sqrt{b_1^l}\,(c^\dagger c_{1l} + h.c.) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i^l c_{il}^\dagger c_{il} + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\sqrt{b_{i+1}^l}\,(c_{il}^\dagger c_{i+1l} + h.c.) \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where N is the level of the continued-fraction expression which is infinite in the exact representation but taken as finite in practice. The problem can now be reformulated in terms of the self-consistent determination of the variables a_i^r, a_i^l, b_i^r, and b_i^l [15]. We start with an initial guess for these parameters, and solve the ground state $|0>$ of the resonant-level Hamiltonian given by (4) and (7) using the standard sparse matrix diagonalization methods. [14] The new parameters a_i^r, a_i^l, b_i^r, and b_i^l for the next iteration is then obtained from the matrix elements of an orthogonal basis which tridiagonalizes H_{imp}. Specifically, $a_n^r = < f_n^r | H_{imp} | f_n^r > = < f_n^r | f_n^r >$, and $b_n^r = < f_n^r | f_n^r > = < f_{n\ 1}^r | f_{n\ 1}^r >$, $b_1^r = < f_1^r | f_1^r >$, where $|f_1^r> = c|0>$, $|f_2^r> = H_{imp}|f_1^r> \quad a_1^r |f_1^r>$ and for $n \quad 2$, $|f_{n+1}^r> = H_{imp}|f_n^r> \quad a_n^r|f_n^r> \quad b_n^r|f_{n\ 1}^r>$. Similar equations, with $|f_1^l> = c^\dagger|0>$, give rise to a_i^l and b_i^l. Compared to the finite temperature Monte Carlo method, this algorithm works directly at zero temperature, and is less expansive numerically.

Using both methods, we find that the model displays two kinds of insulating phases separated by a metallic phase. The phase diagram in the V t parameter space is shown schematically in Fig. 1. To illustrate the phase diagram, we plot in Fig. 2 the d-electron local Green's function, G_{dd}, as a function of the Matsubara frequency for two parameters with a same value of the hybridization, $t = 0:4D$, and different values of the interaction, $V = 0:1D$ and $V = 2:5D$, respectively. They clearly display the gap in their respective single particle excitation spectrum, and also demonstrate the excellent agreement between the zero temperature results from the recursion method and the low (but finite) temperature results from the Quantum Monte Carlo. Shown in Fig. 3 are G_{dd}, as well as the c-electron local Green's function, G_{cc}, for the hybridization identical to that used in Fig. 2, $t = 0:4D$, and an interaction strength in between those used in Fig. 2, $V = 0:9D$. The single-particle excitation spectrum is gapless in this case.

In order to clarify the nature of the phases and the structure of the phase diagram, we now consider various limiting cases where analytical arguments can be made. In the non-interacting limit ($V = 0$) $= 0$ and the Green function (2) displays two branches of poles, $E_k = (_k \quad \sqrt{(_k)^2 + 4t^2})=2$, describing the fully occupied bonding band ( ) and the empty anti-bonding band (+). Thus, a hybridization gap $_o = \sqrt{D^2 + 4t^2} \quad D$, develops, leading to band-insulating behavior. This state becomes unstable whenever the effect of interactions is strong enough to close the hybridization gap.

This can be most easily seen in the atomic limit, $D = 0$, in which case the behavior of the effective impurity model (3-5) is determined by the local empty, doubly occupied, bonding and anti-bonding singly occupied electron states, respectively,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|E> &= |N = 0> = |0> \\
|D> &= |N = 2> = d^y c^y |0> \\
|B> &= |N = 1; b> = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(d^y \quad c^y)|0> \\
|A> &= |N = 1; ab> = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(d^y + c^y)|0>;
\end{aligned}
\tag{8}
$$

The corresponding eigenvalues are $(E) = (D) = V=4$, $(B) = V=4 \quad t$, and $(A) =$

$V=4+t$. Three parameter regimes occur in this limit: (i) For $V<2t$ the local bonding state, $|B>$, has the lowest energy, and is separated by a finite gap from lowest single particle excitation, leading to band-insulating behavior; (ii) At $V=2t$ three states, $|B>$, $|D>$, and $|E>$, become degenerate and metallic behavior with gapless single-particle excitations sets in; (iii) Finally, for $V>2t$ the low-energy charge doublet, $|D>$ and $|E>$, is separated by a gap from the lowest single-particle excitation leading to a situation analogous to the Mott insulator of the atomic limit of the half-filled repulsive Hubbard model, in which case the spin doublet is the lowest energy state. [9] We thus refer to the resulting phase as a charge-Mott insulator. [16]

The stability of these three phases and the position of the boundaries between them, can also be understood analytically in the limit of small hybridization. In that case, the instability of the band insulator, which occurs through the closing of the hybridization gap, is most easily seen in terms of the irrelevance of the hybridization coupling (in the sense of the renormalization group) for a sufficiently large value of the attractive interaction, V. The value of the critical coupling, V_{c1}, follows from a scaling analysis of the resonant-level model (4), in the vicinity of the phase boundary from the metallic ($t\neq 0$) side. It is obtained from the condition, $_t=1$, on the the anomalous dimension of the hybridization operator, $_t$, defined from the long time behavior of the auto-correlation function, $(\ )=\ <T\,d^{y}(\ )c(\ )\bar{c}(0)d(0)>\ \ ^{2}{}_{t}$. Such a condition implies [17] $(V_{c1})V_{c1}=\ (2=\ )\tan[(\sqrt{2}\ 1)=2]$. Here, $(V)=(2=D)=\sqrt{1\ \ (V=D)^2}$ is the bath density of states at the Fermi level which is itself dependent on V as a result of the self-consistency requirement (5). [18] This argument leads to $V_{c1}=\ 0{:}6D$. Upon further increasing the attraction beyond V_{c1} the charge-Mott gap develops at a second critical value, V_{c2}. The latter can be calculated from the exact solution of the Falicov-Kimball model (at $d=1$) [19] corresponding to the Hamiltonian (1) with $t=0$, and is identified as the value of V at which the local conduction electron density of states at the Fermi energy, $_c(0)$, starts to vanish. For the semi-circular density of states, $_c(0)=(2=D)\sqrt{1\ \ (\frac{V}{D})^2}$; [20] leading to $V_{c2}=\ D$. Our numerical results are consistent with the analytical estimates for V_{c1} and V_{c2}.

To further characterize the nature of the metallic phase, we have analyzed numerically the low frequency behavior of the local Green's functions. Consider first the limit of small hybridizations. In this regime, we find that $G_d \sim i|\omega_n|^{\alpha} \mathrm{sgn}\,\omega_n$. This self-similar behavior is consistent with the irrelevant hybridization, which implies a zero renormalized Fermi energy. [17] In this case, the exponent is expected to to be given by the orthogonality exponent, $\alpha = (2\delta/\pi)^2$, with the phase shift determined from the standard formula, $\delta = (2/\pi)\tan^{-1}(\pi\rho(V)V/2)$. The numerically determined exponents are consistent with this analytical expression. Finally, the calculated c-electron local Green's function, G_{cc}, is nonsingular, as expected from a picture of the metallic state in which the local degrees of freedom asymptotically decouple from the conduction-electron bath at low energies. [17,21]

The most interesting regime of the metallic phase occurs for intermediate to large values of the hybridization (as well as the interaction strength). In this regime, our numerical results show that, G_{dd} continues to have a self-similar behavior, with an exponent close to 1, independent of the interaction strength. At the same time, G_{cc} is again nonsingular, suggesting that, even in the intermediate to large hybridization limit (for finite bandwidth, $2D$), a partial decoupling of the d and c electron degrees of freedom occurs at low energies.

Such a partial decoupling of the local degrees of freedom, and the interaction-independence of the exponents, can be understood as a result of the self-consistent modification of the electron bath for the effective impurity model using the atomic limit metallic state as a starting point. In that case, projecting onto the three degenerate low-energy atomic states, $|B>$, $|D>$, and $|E>$, in (8), leads to the representation of local fermions, $c^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(X_{BE} + X_{DB})$ and $d^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(X_{BE} - X_{DB})$ in terms of projection operators, $X_{\alpha\beta} = |\alpha><\beta|$. The corresponding low-energy spectral functions take the form, $\rho_c(\omega) = \rho_d(\omega) = \frac{2}{3}\rho(\omega)$. In the presence of the hopping term D, these atomic configurations are coupled to the self-consistent electron bath through the H_o term in (4) which we parametrize as, $H_o = \sum_k V_k(c^{\dagger}\psi_k + H.c.) + \sum_k \tilde{\epsilon}_k \psi_k^{\dagger}\psi_k$. The parameters, $\tilde{\epsilon}_k$ and V_k, are determined from the self-consistency condition, $(1/N_{site})\sum_k V_k^2 G(\tilde{\epsilon}_k) = (D/2)^2 \rho_c(\omega)$, which corresponds to taking the imaginary part of Eq. (5). The form of ρ_c in the atomic limit

implies that the electron bath $_k$ corresponds to a single level, created by ^y_o, at zero energy. Hence, the impurity problem reduces to $H_{imp} = \frac{p}{2}=3[(X_{BE} + X_{DB}) _o + h:c:]$, which acts on a Hilbert space spanned by $|E>$, $|B>$, $|D>$ and $^y_o|E>$, $^y_o|B>$, $^y_o|D>$. It is easily seen that the ground state manifold of this Hamiltonian is doubly degenerate and consists of the states $|N = 1> = (1=\sqrt{2})(|B> + ^y_o|E>)$, and $|N = 2> = (1=\sqrt{2})(|D> + ^y_o|B>)$. Recalculating the Green functions of local fermions we obtain a zero energy pole in G_{dd} and pairs of non-zero energy poles, symmetrically centered around zero, in G_{cc}. This form of G_{cc} then implies that the electron bath for the next iteration is composed of pairs of levels at energies $_i$. It can be shown, following the same procedure, that for each pair of levels at energies coupled to the local c-electron, there again exist degenerate ground states, leading to a zero energy pole in G_{dd} and pairs of non-zero energy poles in G_{cc}. As the iteration proceeds, the poles in G_{cc} spread over a range of the order of the bare bandwidth while a zero-energy pole persists in G_{dd}, leading to the self-consistent solution with a regular G_{cc} and a self-similar G_{dd} with the exponent $= 1$.

In summary, we reported a) novel transitions from a band-insulator to a metal and to a charge-Mott insulator within a simple spinless two-band model; and b) a novel non-Fermi liquid metallic regime characterized by an algebraic local correlation functions with universal exponents. These local correlation functions are shown to be associated with a non-trivial effective impurity problem. And the very existence of this new non-Fermi liquid is closely related to a level-crossing in the atomic limit of the associated impurity problem, a benchmark for a class of non-Fermi liquid states in a variety of strongly correlated impurity models [22,23,17,24]. Related ideas have been proposed in a phenomenological discussion of strongly correlated lattice models in which non-Fermi liquid behavior arises due to the coexistence of single particle states and dispersionless three-body resonances at the chemical potential. [25] The results of this letter are expected to have important implications for models describing realistic strongly correlated systems such as the "Kondo-Insulators" and high T_c copper oxides. In this regard we note that, while the metallic phase in our model occurs in a range of attractive interactions, these interactions should be understood as

effective parameters derived from the bare interactions in a more complex set of local orbitals in real materials.[26] We also note that, in the spinful cases and away from half-filling, there can exist an intermediate non-Fermi liquid metallic state with coherent spin excitations and incoherent charge excitations.[17] Our results here should describe the charge sector of the intermediate phase. These and other related questions are currently under investigation within more realistic models.

We thank V. Dobrosavljevic, A. Georges, C. Varma, and X.Y. Zhang for useful discussions. Q.S. and A.E.R. acknowledge the hospitality of the Aspen Center for Physics where part of the work was completed. This work was supported by the NSF under grant # DMR 922-4000 (G.K.) and by the ONR under grant # N00014-92-J-1378 (A.E.R.).

REFERENCES

[1] N. F. Mott, Phil. Mag. 6, 287 (1961); J. Hubbard, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A 281, 401 (1964).

[2] G. Aeppli and Z. Fisk, Comments Cond. Mat. Phys. 16, 155 (1992).

[3] P. W. Anderson, Physica C 185-189, 11 (1991).

[4] C. M. Varma, P. B. Littlewood, S. Schmitt-Rink, E. Abrahams, and A. E. Ruckenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 1996 (1989).

[5] For a review see D. Vollhardt, in Correlated Electron Systems, ed. V. Emery (World Scientific, Singapore, 1993).

[6] A. Georges and G. Kotliar, Phys. Rev. B 45, 6479 (1992).

[7] The study of states with long range order can be easily formulated in infinite dimensions. See A. Georges, G. Kotliar, and Q. Si, Int. Journ. Mod. Phys. B 6, 705 (1992), and Ref. [8].

[8] M. Jarrell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 168 (1992).

[9] M. J. Rozenberg, X. Y. Zhang, and G. Kotliar, ibid. 69, 1236 (1992); X. Y. Zhang, M. J. Rozenberg, and G. Kotliar, ibid. 70, 1666 (1993).

[10] A. Georges and W. Krauth, ibid. 69, 1240 (1992).

[11] J. Hirsch and R. Fye, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 2521 (1986).

[12] We use $\exp[-V(n_d - \frac{1}{2})(n_c - \frac{1}{2})] = \frac{1}{2}\exp(V/4)\mathrm{Tr}\exp[\lambda(n_c + n_d - 1)]$, where $\cosh\lambda = \exp(-V/2)$, for negative values of V.

[13] R. Haydock, in Solid State Physics Vol. 35, eds. H. Ehrenreich et al. (Academic Press, New York 1980), p. 215.

[14] E. Dagotto and A. Moreo, Phys. Rev. D 31, 865 (1985); E. R. Gagliano and C. A.

Balseiro, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 2999 (1987).

[15] While finishing this work, we learned of the works of W. Krauth and M. Caffarel in which a different but related truncation of the mean field equations amendable to exact diagonalization method was presented. W. Krauth and M. Caffarel, preprint (1993).

[16] The charge-Mott insulator exhibits Curie-like local charge and $d^{\dagger}c^{\dagger}$ pairing susceptibilities, signaling a CDW instability at a finite temperature T_c. [7] T_c vanishes as $|V| \to \infty$ and can be strongly suppressed when a system is frustrated.

[17] Q. Si and G. Kotliar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 3143 (1993); Phys. Rev. B 48, 13881 (1993).

[18] Specifically, $\Delta(V)$ is defined as $\mathrm{Im}\,(\mathcal{G})_{cc}(i0^+) = \Delta$. The self-consistency equation (5) then yields $\Delta(V) = (2/D)^2/\mathcal{J}_c(0)$.

[19] U. Brandt and C. Mielsch, Z. Phys. B 75, 365 (1989)

[20] P. G. J. van Dongen, Phys. Rev. B 45, 2267 (1992).

[21] Q. Si, G. Kotliar and A. Georges, Phys. Rev. B 46, 1261 (1992).

[22] P. Nozieres and A. Blandin, J. Phys. (Paris) 41, 193 (1980); V. Emery and S. Kivelson, Phys. Rev. B 46, 10812 (1992).

[23] B. Jones, C. M. Varma and J. W. Wilkins, ibid. 61, 125 (1988); C. Sire, C M. Varma, and H. R. Krishnamurthy, preprint (1993).

[24] I. Perakis, C. Varma and A. Ruckenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 3467 (1993).

[25] A. E. Ruckenstein and C. M. Varma, Physica C 185–189, 134 (1991); A. E. Ruckenstein, A. Sudbo, and C. M. Varma, preprint (1993).

[26] G. Kotliar and Q. Si, Physica Scripta 48, in press (1993).

FIGURES

FIG. 1. The qualitative phase diagram. Here "BI", "M", and "CMI" correspond to the band insulating, metallic, and charge-Mott insulating phases respectively.

FIG. 2. G_{dd} vs. Matsubara frequency ω_n for $V = 0.1D$ and $t = 0.4D$ (band insulator) calculated from the recursion method (solid line) using 10 sites to truncate the impurity Hamiltonian and 100 levels for the continued-fraction expansion, and from quantum Monte Carlo (circles) with $\beta = 32$. Similar results are shown (dashed line and squares) for $V = 2.5D$ and $t = 0.4D$ (charge-Mott insulator).

FIG. 3. G_{dd} vs. Matsubara frequency ω_n for $V = 0.9D$ and $t = 0.4D$ (metal) calculated from the recursion method (solid line) with 10 sites and 100 levels and quantum Monte Carlo (circles) with $\beta = 64$. Inset plots the similar results for G_{cc}.