Ferm i-surface properties of the perovskite superconductors

Claudius Gros, Roser Valent

Institut fur Physik, Universitat Dortmund,
44221 Dortmund, Germany,
em ail: UPH 301 and UPH 084 at DDOHR Z11

We calculate Ferm i-surface properties of the Cuprate superconductors within the three-band Hubbard model using a cluster expansion for the proper self-energy. The Ferm i-surface topology is in agreement with angular-resolved photoemission data for dopings 20%. We discuss possible violations of the Luttinger sum-rule for smaller dopings and the role of van-Hove singularities in the density of states of the Zhang-Rice singlets. We calculate the shift in the chemical potential upon doping and not quantitative agreement with recent experiments.

PACS.71.10 M any-body theory { electron states

PACS.74.20 Hight-T_C { theory

PACS. 79.60 Photoem ission

Introduction In the last years, the experimental characterization of Ferm i-surface properties of the high-tem perature superconductors has progressed with the help of angle-resolved $^{(1;2;3;4;5)}$ and angle-integrated $^{(6)}$ photoem ission studies. The such determined Ferm i-surface topology agrees quite well with results from band-structure calculations based on the local-density approximation $^{(7)}$. This measured Ferm i-surface topology has been taken as an input for theoretical calculations $^{(8)}$ in the so called \Ferm iology" scenario. M any theorists use, on the other hand, a simplied three-band Hamiltonian (also called Emery model $^{(9)}$), which incorporates strong, local correlations, as exemplied by the composite-operator approach $^{(10)}$. Determination of the Fermi-surface properties of the Emery model is of central interest and has been investigated in a series of recent studies $^{(11;12;13;14)}$.

It is di cult to calculate with num erical methods, like exact diagonalization $^{(15;16)}$ or Q uantum M onte-C arb $^{(17)}$, the Ferm i-surface topology for the three-band model directly, due to cluster-size limitations. The numerical methods have been shown, on the other side, to be very useful for extracting the energy scales of the local charge—and spin excitations $^{(15)}$. Here we propose that the cluster self-energy provides the missing link between such numerical approaches and calculation of momentum-dependent properties, like the Ferm i-surface topology.

M ethod The self-energy of nite clusters with open boundary conditions contains in a very precise way inform ation upon the G reen's function and self-energy of the extended system $^{(18)}$. Let us consider the real-space components of the self-energy for both the extended system, $_{i;j}(!)$, and for a given nite cluster with open boundary conditions, $_{i;j}^{(c)}(!)$. It is straightforward, that all irreducible diagrams contributing to $_{i;j}^{(c)}(!)$ are a subset of the diagrams contributing to $_{i;j}^{(c)}(!)$. Therefore the cluster self-energy is a system atic approximation to the self-energy of the full system.

Typically one st evaluates the cluster G reen's function, $G_{i;j}^{(c)}(!)$, in an exact diagonalization study. The cluster self-energy is then obtained by inverting the cluster D yson's equation

 $G_{i;j}^{(c)}(!) = G_{i;j}^{(c;0)}(!) + X_{m;n}^{X} G_{i;n}^{(c;0)}(!) \xrightarrow{m;n} (!) G_{n;j}^{(c)}(!);$ (1)

where the i;m;n;j are sites of the cluster and $G_{i;j}^{(c;0)}$ (!) is the non-interacting cluster G reen's function.

The G reen's function of the full CuO₂ lattice is determined by inserting the $m_{jn}^{(c)}$ (!) determined from Eq. (1), into the Dyson's equation of the extended system

$$G_{i;j}(!) = G_{i;j}^{(0)}(!) + \sum_{m=n}^{X} G_{i;n}^{(0)}(!) \quad m_{;n}^{(c)}(!) G_{n;j}(!);$$
(2)

where the i;m;n;j run over all sites of the CuO₂ lattice and $G_{i;j}^{(0)}(!)$ is the non-interacting G reen's function of the CuO₂ plane. The G reen's function, $G_{i;j}(!)$, obtained

by Eq. (2) can be system atically improved considering larger and larger clusters in Eq. (1). The smallest cluster one could possibly consider is the one-site-cluster and Eq. (2) together with Eq. (1) would then reduce to the Hubbard-I approximation $^{(19)}$. For the Em ery model we consider the CuO $_4$ cluster for Eq. (1). Within this approximation both the important local charge uctuations and the singlet-triplet splitting are included.

The passage of the quasi-particle peak through the chem ical potential, determ ined from the k-dependent G reen's function, G_k (!) 1=L $_{i,j}$ exp [ik (R $_i$ R $_j$)]G $_{i,j}$ (!) determ ines then the Ferm i-surface. The density of electrons is given by

n 2
$$\frac{Z_{1}}{1}$$
 d! (-1) Im G (!) n_{F} (!) = 2 $\frac{Z_{k2FS}}{(2)^{2}}$ $\frac{d^{2}k}{(2)^{2}}$ (3)

with n_F (!) and Z_k being the Ferm i distribution function and the quasiparticle spectral weight respectively. The factor of two in Eq. (3) comes from spin-degeneracy and the k-sum is over all occupied states. The density of electrons, as given by Eq. (3), is to be determined self-consistently $^{(18)}$ as a function of the chemical potential, , by demanding it to be equal to the average density of electrons of the CuO $_4$ cluster, for which $^{(c)}_{i;j}$ (!) is determined via Eq. (1).

R esults For the three-band H am iltonian we have chosen (in electron notation), following E skes and Sawatzky $^{(15)}$, $_{\rm p}$ $_{\rm d}$ = 5:3eV for the dierence in oxygen-and copper orbital energies $^{(20)}$, $t_{\rm dp}$ = 1:3eV and $t_{\rm pp}$ = 0:65eV for the Cu-O and the O-O hopping matrix element respectively and U_d = 8:8eV for the onsite C oulomb repulsion on the copper site.

From the G reen's function of a CuO $_4$ cluster we have extracted the self-energy and used it as an approximation for the self-energy of the in nite CuO $_2$ layer, as explained above. In Fig.1 we illustrate typical results for the angle-integrated spectral function and a doping x 20%. For comparison in Fig.1 (c) the density of states of the non-interacting CuO $_2$ plane (i.e. with $U_d=0$) is shown. The bonding-band (mainly copper) is located around 9eV, the antibonding band (mainly oxygen) extends from 3eV to 1eV and the non-bonding oxygen orbitals is in between. For every band the typical 2D logarithm ic van-H ove singularity is clearly visible, for the non-bonding band, for instance, it is located at 5:3eV=3:1eV. In Fig.1 (b) the location and the weight of the peaks of the CuO $_4$ -

The results for the full G reen's function of the extended CuO $_2$ layer are presented in Fig.1 (a). Coherently propagating m any-body bands have form ed out of the cluster energy-levels (18). The chem ical potential lies in the band of Zhang-R ice singlets (21), separated by a charge-transfer gap of about 1eV from the empty band of copper-d¹⁰ states. The non-bonding oxygen orbitals and the band of Zhang-R ice triplets are located -3eV to -5.5eV below the Ferm i-level.

cluster G reen's function are shown.

Van Hove singularities A van Hove singularity can be observed in the band of Zhang-Rice singlets (see Fig.1 (a)). It is tempting to identify this structure with the very

sharp feature observed in recent angle-resolved photoem ission experim ents $^{(5)}$ on untwinned YBa2Cu3O69 about 1eV below the Ferm i-surface. Let us note that, contrary to predictions based on a weak-coupling picture, the van Hove singularity in the density of states does not occur at half-lling in our many body calculation. We note that only for relative large dopings, namely of about 38%, the Ferm i-surface would be located right at the van Hove singularity. The absence of a van Hove singularity at the Ferm i-edge for dopings x < 0.2 is consistent with recent NMR experiments $^{(22)}$ and in contrast to a band-structure calculation based on the local density approximation $^{(23)}$. Also we note that these results do not support the recently proposed \van Hove scenario" of cuprate supercoductivity $^{(24)}$.

Ferm i surface In Fig 2 (a) we present the results for the Ferm i-surface as a function of doping, $x=0.01;\ldots;0.70$. A lso given are values of the quasiparticle spectral weight, Z_k , along the (1,1) direction at the Ferm i edge. In Fig 2 (b) we present, for comparison, the Ferm i-surface of the non-interacting CuO $_2$ layer at various dopings. (0,0) corresponds to the -point.

We not that the Ferm i-surface presented in Fig.2(a) is in agreement with angular resolved photoem ission experim ents $^{(1;3)}$ for dopings of about x 20%. The location along the (1,0) | (1,1) lines does also agree quantitatively quite well. A long the (0,0) | (1,1) line the calculated Ferm i edge is located at som ewhat too large momenta. We note that the quasiparticle spectral weight is reduced by a factor 2 to 5, depending on the doping. For small dopings the calculated Ferm i surface expands rapidly, due to the reduced spectral weight, Z_k (compare Eq. (3)) and nally closes completely for x=0 at the (1,1) point. Similar results for the volume of the Ferm i-sea as a function of doping has been found by Unger and Fulde $^{(12)}$ with the projection technique. Note that the data presented in Fig.1 are for a paramagnetic state and are therefore not in contradiction with the notion $^{(13;25)}$ that in the antiferrom agnetic state and dopings of a few percent, the Ferm i-surface should take the form of hole-pockets" centered around (=2; =2).

For small interaction strength, in perturbation theory, the Luttinger sum -rule $^{(26)}$ is valid. It states, that the volume of the Ferm i-sea of the system with interaction should be equal to that of the non-interacting system. Comparing Fig 2 (b) and Fig 2 (a) we note that the volume of the Ferm i-sea obtained for $U_d = 8.8 \mathrm{eV}$ is larger than the one predicted by the Luttinger sum-rule (i.e. those obtained for $U_d = 0.0$). E.g. for x = 20% the dierence is 14% of the Brillouin zone. The cluster-expansion for the self-energy used here is best for large interacting strength and it is therefore not so surprising that the results for the Ferm i-surface violate the Luttinger sum-rule, which may be expected to hold for small interactions, U_d . Let us note, that for very large dopings the Ferm i-surface for both $U_d = 8.8 \mathrm{eV}$ and $U_d = 0$ have nearly identical volumes (for x = 70% they agree within a percent), since the doped charge carriers go predom inantly into the oxygen orbitals, which are in uenced only indirectly by U_d .

Quantitatively the Luttinger sum -rule can be experimentally veried best by comparison with results from band-structure calculations. The experimentally determined Fermisurfaces (accuracy (4): 10-20% of the Brillouin zone) agree quite well with band-structure

calculations⁽⁷⁾. Since, on the other hand, standard band-structure calculations employ only one Slater determinant they necessarily full the Luttinger sum -rule (and yield a \mathbb{Z}_k 1).

Chem ical potential In Fig.3 we present the shift (relative to half-lling $^{(27)}$) in the chem ical potential, , upon doping, x. For comparison the shift in the chem ical potential for the non-interacting CuO₂ plane, ₀, is also shown, they dier by about a factor of two. We have included in Fig.3 the data obtained by a recent photoem ission study $^{(6)}$ on Bi₂Sr₂Ca_{1 x} Y_xCu₂O₈₊. In order to compare with the experimental results we adjusted our calculated position of the chem ical potential for x = 0 to the experimental value for x = 0.01. Note that, eventhough the experimental data for x = 0.01:::0.03 correspond to an insulator state, while we have a metallic state, excluding these points and adjusting at values, e.g., x = 0.08, wouldn't change the curves significantly.

We also show in Fig.3 the doping dependence of the renormalized Fermi-velocity, $v_F = v_{F\,0}$ m=m. We nd, for about x=20% doping, an elective mass m=m of about two, in agreement with results from angle-resolved photoemission experiments and quantum Monte-Carlo studies $^{(17)}$. The elective mass is found to diverge for dopings x! 0 (see Fig.3), as a signal of the metal-insulator transition at half-lling.

C on clusions It is still controversial how the Ferm i surface of 2D correlated, translational invariant electrons on a lattice changes when going from the metal to the Mott-Hubbard insulator regime as a function of electron density. While it seems clear $^{(13)}$ that the rst holes doped into the half-lled, antiferror agnetic ordered insulator, occupy states with momenta around (=2;=2), the situation for nite doping concentrations in the param agnetic state is not so clear. Here we proposed a scenario, where the volume of the Ferm i-sea expands continuously with particle density towards the (;) point at half-lling.

Form oderate dopings, x=0.2%, this scenario is compatible with exact diagonalization results of one-band models (25) and angle-resolved photoem ission (3) experiments on the cuprate superconductors. For small dopings, x=5 10% we predict deviations from the Luttinger sum-rule, which might be measurable. The calculated shift in chemical potential associated with the Fermi-surface topology is in reasonable agreement with recent photoem ission experiments (6).

* * *

We would like to thank A.Kampf, R.Manzke, G.A.Sawatzky, W.Weber and W. Wenzel for discussions. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and by the Minister fur Wissenschaft und Forschung des Landes Nordrhein-Westfalen.

REFERENCES

- [1] C.G.Olson et al., Phys. Rev. B 42, 381 (1990).
- [2] G.M ante et al., Zeit. Phys. 80, 181 (1990).
- [3] J.C. Cam puzano et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 2308 (1990).
- [4] Liu et al, Phys. Rev. B 46, 11 056 (1992).
- [5] J.G. Tobin et al., Phys. Rev. B 45, 5563 (1993).
- [6] M. A. van Veenendaal, R. Schlatmann, G. A. Sawatzky, W. A. Groen, Phys. Rev. B 47, 446 (1993).
- [7] M.S. Hybertsen, L.F. Mattheiss, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 1161 (1988); W. E. Pickett, Rev. Mod. Phys. 61, 433 (1989); W. E. Pickett, R.E. Cohen, H. Krakauer, Phys. Rev. B 42, 8764 (1990).
- [8] See Q. Si, J.P. Lu, K. Levin Phys. Rev. B 45, 4930 (1992) and references therein.
- [9] V.J. Emery, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 2794 (1987).
- [10] H.M. atsum oto, M. Sasaki, S. Ishihara, M. Tachiki, Phys. Rev. B. 46, 3009 (1992); M. Sasaki, H. M. atsum oto, M. Tachiki, ibid., 3022 (1992).
- [11] J. Schm alian, G. Baum gartel, K. H. Bennem ann, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 1406 (1992).
- [12] P. Unger, P. Fulde, Spectral Function of Holes in the Emery Model, to be published.
- [13] C. L. Kane, P.A. Lee, N. Read, Phys. Rev. B 39, 6880 (1989); M. D. Johnson, C. Gros, K. J. von Szczepanski, Phys. Rev. B 43, 11 207 (1991).
- [14] D. Poilblanc, T. Zim an, H. J. Schulz, E. Dagotto, Phys. Rev. B 47, 14 267 (1993).
- [15] H. Eskes, L.H. Tjeng, G.A. Sawatzky, Phys. Rev. B 41, 288 (1990); H. Eskes, G.A. Sawatzky, Phys. Rev. B 44, 9556 (1991).
- [16] Y. Ohta, K. Tsutsui, W. Koshibae, T. Shimozato, S. Maekawa, Phys. Rev. B 46, 14 022 (1992).
- [17] G. Dopf, J. Wagner, P. Dietrich, A. Muramatsu, W. Hanke, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 2082 (1992).
- [18] C.Gros, R. Valent, Phys. Rev. B 48, 418 (1993).
- [19] J. Hubbard, Proc. R. Soc. A 276, 238 (1963); 277, 238 (1964); 281, 401 (1964).
- [20] In hole-notation the orbital energy di erence would be U_d 5:3eV = 3:5eV.

- [21] F.C. Zhang, T.M. Rice, Phys. Rev. B 37, 3759 (1988).
- [22] Y.Q. Song, M.A. Kennard, K.R. Poppelmeier, W.P. Halperin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 3131 (1993).
- [23] J.H. Xu, T.J. W aston-Yang, J. Yu, A.J. Freem an, Phys. Lett. A 120, 489 (1987) predict a van Hove singularity at the Ferm i surface for a doping x=0:17 for La_{2 x} Sr_xCuO₄.
- [24] D. M. News, C.C. Tsuei, P.C. Pattnaik, C.L. Kane, Comm. Cond. Mat. Phys. 15, 273 (1992); D. M. News, H. R. Krishnam urthy, P.C. Pattnaik, C.C. Tsuei, C.L. Kane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 1264 (1992).
- [25] W . Stephan, P. Horsch Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 2258 (1991).
- [26] J. Luttinger, J. W ard, Phys. Rev. 118, 1417 (1960); J. Luttinger, Phys. Rev. 119, 1153 (1961); G. Benefatto, G. Gallavotti, J. Stat. Phys. 59, 541 (1990).
- [27] The lim it $\lim_{T \downarrow 0} \lim_{x \downarrow 0} (x;T)$ for the doping and tem perature dependent chem ical potential is the middle of the charge transfer-gap. Within our zero-tem perature formalism the position of the chemical potential at half-lling, $\lim_{x \downarrow 0} \lim_{T \downarrow 0} (x;T)$, coincides with the top of the valence band.

Figure captions

- Fig.1 (a) A typical result for the angle-integrated spectal weight, for a doping x=0.2. The Ferm i surface lies in the band of coherently propagating Zhang-Rice singlets. (b) The position and the weight of the poles of the CuO₄ cluster G reen's function used for calculating the self-energy. (c) For comparison the density of states of the non-interacting ($U_d=0$) CuO₂ plane with the three van Hove singularities for the bonding, non-bonding and the anti-bonding band.
- Fig 2 (a) Results for the position of the Ferm i surface in the rst B rillouin zone, as a function of doping, x, and the quasiparticle renormalization factor, Z, at the Ferm i edge. Note the strong reduction of Z near the (;) point. (b) For comparison the Ferm i surface of the non-interacting ($U_d=0$) CuO $_2$ plane, which fulls the Luttinger sum-rule, is shown.
- Fig.3 Filled circles: Results for the shift in the chem ical potential, , upon doping, x, relative to half-lling. Crosses: Experim ental (6) results for the shift in chem ical potential for Bi₂Sr₂Ca_{1 x} Y_xCu₂O₈₊. Open circles: For comparison the shift in $_0$ of the non-interacting, U_d = 0, CuO₂ plane. Filled squares: The renormalized Fermi velocity, $v_F = v_{F,0}$ m=m . Note the reduction of about two for x = 0.2.