Statistical D istribution for Particles obeying Fractional Statistics

Yong-ShiW u Department of Physics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112 (August 26, 2021)

We formulate quantum statistical mechanics of particles obeying fractional statistics, including mutual statistics, by adopting a state-counting de nition. For an ideal gas, the most probable occupation-number distribution interpolates between bosons and fermions, and respects a generalized exclusion principle except for bosons. Anyons in strong magnetic eld at low temperatures constitute such a physical system. Applications to the thermodynamic properties of quasiparticle excitations in the Laughlin quantum Hall uid are discussed.

PACS num bers: 05.30.-d, 05.70 Ce, 71.10+ x, 73.40 H m

Statistics is the distinctive property of a particle (or elem entary excitation) that plays a fundam ental role in determining macroscopic or thermodynamic properties of a quantum many-body system. For example, superuidity or superconductivity is essentially due to Bose-Einstein condensation; and the stability of macroscopic matter [1] depends crucially on the Ferm i-D irac statistics of electrons and protons. In recent years, it has been recognized that particles with \fractional statistics" interm ediate between bosons and ferm ions can exist in twodim ensional [2] or in one-dim ensional [3,4] system s. M ost of the study has been done in the context of many-body quantum mechanics. Despite calculations of certain therm odynam ic properties in a few examples [3,5{7] with the help of exact solutions, general formulation of quantum statistical mechanics (QSM) with a distribution that interpolates between bosons and ferm ions is still lacking.

A single-particle quantum state can accomm adate an arbitrary number of identical bosons, while no two identical ferm ions can occupy one and the same quantum state (Pauli's exclusion principle). In QSM [8], this dierence gives rise to dierent counting of many-body states, or dierent statistical weight W . For bosons or ferm ions, the number of quantum states of N identical particles occupying a group of G states is, respectively, given by

$$W_b = \frac{(G + N - 1)!}{N!(G - 1)!};$$
 or $W_f = \frac{G!}{N!(G - N)!}$: (1)

A simple generalization and interpolation is

$$W = \frac{[G + (N 1) (1)]!}{N! [G N (1)]!};$$
 (2)

with = 0 corresponding to bosons and = 1 ferm ions. Such an expression can be the starting point of Q SM for intermediate statistics with 0 < < 1. Let us rst clarify

its precise meaning in connection with \occupation of single-particle states", and justify it as a new de nition of quantum statistics, a la Haldane [4].

Following ref. [4], we consider the situations in which the number Gi of linearly independent single particle (or elementary excitation) states of species i, con ned to a nite region of matter, is nite and extensive, i. e. proportional to the size of the matter region in which the particle exists. Now let us add more particles with the boundary conditions and size of the condensed-matter region xed. The N -particle wave function, when the coordinates of the N 1 particles and their species are held xed, can be expanded in a basis of wave functions of the n-th particle. The crucial point is that in the presence of other particles, the number di of available single-particle states in this basis for the n-th particle of species i generally is no longer a constant, as given by Gi; rather it m ay depend on the particle num bers fN ig of all species. This happens, for example, when localized particle states are non-orthogonal; as a result, the number of available single-particle states changes as particles are added at xed size and boundary conditions. Haldane [4] de ned the statistical interactions ij through the relation

$$d_{i} = \begin{cases} X \\ ij & N_{j}; \end{cases}$$
 (3)

where f N $_{\rm j}$ g is a set of allowed changes of the particle numbers. In the same spirit, but more directly for the purposes of Q SM , we prefer to de ne the statistics, including possible mutual statistics, by counting [9] the number of many-body states at xed fN $_{\rm i}$ g:

$$W = {\overset{Y}{\underbrace{}}} \frac{[G_{i} + N_{i} \quad 1 \quad {\overset{P}{\underbrace{}}} \quad (N_{j} \quad ij)]!}{(N_{i})! G_{i} \quad 1 \quad {\overset{P}{\underbrace{}}} \quad (N_{j} \quad ij)]!} : \quad (4)$$

The statistics param eters $_{ij}$ must be rational, in order that a therm odynam ic lim it can be achieved through a sequence of system swith dierent sizes and particle numbers. If we restrict to only one species, (4) reduces to (2). Note there is no periodicity in so-de ned statistics.

Now let us consider the simple case that every single-particle state of species i has the same energy $"_i$. An ideal gas, by de nition, is a system whose total energy is a simple sum

$$E = X \\ N_{i}"_{i};$$
 (5)

where each term is linear in the particle number N $_{\rm i}$. D effering the discussions about when eqs. (4) and (5) are

obeyed, let us rst apply them to study Q SM of such ideal gas. Following the standard procedure [8], one may consider a grand canonical ensemble at temperature T and with chemical potential $_{\rm i}$ for species i. A coording to the fundamental principles of Q SM , the grand partition function is given by (with k the Boltzmann constant)

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} X & X & X \\ W & (fN_{i}g) & expf & N_{i}(_{i} & "_{i})=kTg : \end{cases}$$
(6)

As usual, we expect that for very large G_i and N_i , the sum m and has a very sharp peak around the set of most-probable (or mean) particle numbers fN_ig . Using the Stirling formula log N != N log (N=e), and introducing the average \occupation number de ned by $n_i N_i = G_i$, we express log W as (with $log N = G_i$)

The most-probable distribution of n_i is determined by

$$\frac{e}{en_i} \log W + G_i n_i (_i \quad "_i) = kT = 0;$$
 (8)

It follows that

Setting
$$w_i = n_i^{1} \stackrel{P}{\underset{k = ik}{}} n_k = n_i$$
, we have
$$(1 + w_i) \stackrel{Y}{\underset{i}{}} \frac{w_j}{1 + w_j} \stackrel{ji}{\underset{i}{}} = e^{("_i - i) = kT} :$$
 (10)

Therefore the most-probable average occupation numbers n_i (i = 1;2;) can be obtained by solving

$$X$$
 $(_{ij}w_{j} + _{ij})n_{j} = 1;$
(11)

w ith w $_{\rm i}$ determ ined by the functional equations (10). The therm odynam ic potential ~=~ kT $\log Z$ is given by

$$PV = kT \int_{i}^{X} G_{i} \log \frac{1 + n_{i}}{1} \int_{j}^{p} \frac{ij}{ij} n_{j} ;$$
 (12)

and the entropy, S = (E ${\rm ^P}_{\rm i \ iN \ i}$)=T , is

$$\frac{S}{k} = \frac{X}{g_{i}} \frac{n_{i}}{n_{i}} \frac{n_{i}}{kT} + \log \frac{1 + n_{i}}{1} \frac{P}{p_{i}} \frac{j_{ij}n_{j}}{j_{ij}n_{j}}$$
(13)

O ther therm odynam ic functions follow straightforwardly. As usual, one can easily verify that the uctuations, $(N_i^2 N_i^2)=N_i^2$, of the occupation numbers are negligible, which justiles the validity of above approach.

To see m ore explicitly the consequences of the above results, consider the simplest case of only one species with dierent energy levels, for which we set $_{ij} = _{ij}$ and $_{i} =$. Then the average occupation number n_{i} satisfies

$$(1 n_i) [1 + (1)n_i]^1 = n_i e^{(n_i) + kT};$$
 (14)

and we have the statistical distribution

$$n_i = \frac{1}{w (e^{("_i)^{-kT}}) + }$$
; (15)

 $\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{w}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{here}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{the}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{w}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{the}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\mbox{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{stis}}}\mbox{\ensur$

$$w() [1 + w()]^{\frac{1}{2}} = e^{(") = kT} :$$
 (16)

Note that w() = 1 for = 0; and w() = for = 1. Thus, eq. (15) recovers the familiar Bose and Fermi distributions, respectively, with = 0 and = 1. For semions with = 1=2, eq. (14) becomes a quadratic equation, which can be easily solved to give

$$n_i = \frac{1}{1 - 4 + \exp[2(\mathbf{v}_i)]}$$
: (17)

For interm ediate statistics 0 < < 1, it is not hard to select the solution w () of eq. (16) that interpolates between bosonic and ferm ionic distributions. In particular, when is very large, we have w () and, neglecting compared to w (), we recover the Boltzm ann distribution

$$n_i = e^{("_i)^{-kT}};$$
 (18)

at su ciently low densities for any statistics.

Furtherm ore, we note that is always non-negative, so is w; it follows from eq. (15) that

$$n_i = 1 = 1$$
 (19)

$$n_i = 0;$$
 if $"_i > E_F;$

$$1 = ;$$
 if $"_i < E_F:$ (20)

The Ferm i surface " = E $_{\rm F}$ is determined by the requirement ment " $_{{}_{\rm i}<{}{\rm E}_{\rm F}}$ G $_{\rm i}$ = N . Below the Ferm i surface, the average occupation number is 1= for each single-particle state, a consequence of generalized exclusion principle.

One may be tempted to consider, in parallel to usual Bose and Fermi ideal gas, the case [10] with

$$"_{i} = \frac{h^{2}k_{i}^{2}}{2m}; G_{i} = \frac{V k_{i} k_{i}}{2};$$
 (21)

say in two dimensions with V the area. Then treating momentum as continuous, one has the Ferm imomentum

$$k_F^2 = (4) (N = V)$$
: (22)

M oreover at nite tem peratures, by using (15) and (16), the sum $_{i}$ G $_{i}$ n $_{i}$ = N can be done to give

$$\frac{1}{kT} = \frac{2 h^2 N}{m kT V} + \log 1 \exp \frac{2 h^2 N}{m kT V}$$
 : (23)

U sing the identity derived by integration by parts,

we have the statistics-independent relation PV = E . In the Boltzm ann lim it (exp(=kT) << 1), w() = + 1,

$$PV = N kT [1 + (2 1)N ^2 = 4V];$$
 (25)

where = 2 h^2 =m kT. So the \statistical interactions" are attractive or repulsive depending on whether < 1=2 or > 1=2.

W hether a given system satis es the seem ingly harm less conditions (5) or (21) together with (4) is a nontrivial question. The state-counting de nition (4) for fractional statistics does not apply [4] to free anyons, i.e. New tonian particles carrying ux-tubes [11,12]. On the other hand, anyons in magnetic eld satisfy (4), but not the condition (5) unless (at very low tem peratures when) all anyons are in the lowest Landau level (LLL) [13]. The Jastrow-type prefactor $a < b (z_a z_b) = , w ith 0$ < 2 , in the anyon wave function has the e ect of increasing the ux through the system by (=)(N1). Thus, with xed size and number of ux, the dimension of the e ective boson Fock space [14,15] is given by d = N(=)(N1), where $N = qB V = hc V = V_0$, with q the anyon charge. Eq. (2) applies, with the single-anyon degeneracy G = N and the statistics = = . Applying eqs. (15) and (16) with only one energy $" = h!_c=2$, we have

$$n \frac{N}{G} \frac{1}{w (e^{(")+kT}) + }; \qquad (26)$$

where w () is the positive solution of eq. (16). Here N=V is the areal density, and $_0$ 1=V $_0$. Eq. (26), together w ith (16), determ ines the chem ical potential in terms of = $_0$ and T. Therm odynam ic quantities can also be expressed as functions of the ratio = $_0$. In particular, the therm odynam ic potential is

$$= kT \frac{V}{V_0} \log \frac{1+w}{w} = kT \frac{V}{V_0} \log \frac{1+(1)n}{n} : (27)$$

The equation of state is

$$\frac{PV}{NkT} = \frac{1}{0} \log \frac{1 + (1) (= 0)}{1 (= 0)} :$$
 (28)

The pressure P is linear in T for xed . It diverges at the critical density $_{\rm c}$ = (1=) $_{\rm 0}$, which corresponds to the complete lling of the LLL. The emergence of an incompressible state at lling fraction 1= is a consequence of the generalized exclusion principle (20). [16] The magnetization per unit area is

$$M = {}_{0} + \frac{2_{0}}{2} \log \frac{1 + (1) (=_{0})}{1 (=_{0})};$$
 (29)

where $_0$ = qh=2m c is the Bohrm agneton. Note the rst (de Haas-van A lphen) term is statistics-independent. At low temperatures, kT << h!c, the second term can be neglected except for very close to (l=)0, where it gives rise to a non-vanishing, -dependent susceptibility

$$= kT \frac{q}{2 hc} \frac{1}{B} \frac{= 0}{(1 = 0)[1 + (1)] = 0} : (30)$$

The entropy per particle is also -dependent:

$$\frac{S}{N} = k (1 + \frac{0}{n}) \log [1 + (1) - \frac{1}{n}]$$

$$k \log \frac{1}{n} k (\frac{0}{n}) \log (1 - \frac{1}{n}) : \qquad (31)$$

Eqs. (27), (28) and (29) have been derived in Ref. [7] from the known exact many-anyon solutions in the LLL.

Vortexlike quasiparticle excitations in Laughlin's incompressible 1=m-uid (m being odd) [17] are known to be fractionally charged anyons, and their wave functions are such as if they are in the LLL (with electrons acting as quantized sources of \ux") [14]-[21]. The existence of two species of excitations, quasi-holes (labeled by) and quasi-electrons (labeled by +), dictates nontrivialm utual statistics. From the \total-ux" constraint

$$N = BV = hc = mN_e + N N_+;$$
 (32)

where N $_{\rm e}$ the number of electrons, it follows [4] that

$$=$$
 $_{+}$ $=$ $_{+}$ $=$ 1=m; $_{++}$ $=$ 2 1=m; (33)

(appropriate for hard-core quasielectrons [15,21]). The single excitation degeneracy in the therm odynam ic lim it is $G_+ = G_- = (1-m_-)N_-$. Ignoring the interaction energies and assum ing the system is pure, we apply the form ulas (10-13) to this case with the values (33) for $_{\rm ij}$. The densities $_{\rm ij}$ of the excitations are given by

$$n = \frac{w + w + w}{(w_{+} + w_{+})(w_{+} + w_{+})} : (34)$$

where $_{0}$ G =V; w satisfy the functional equations

$$w = (1 + w)^1 = \frac{w}{1 + w} = e^{(")} = kT$$
:

(35)

Here " is the creation energy of a single excitation. At T = 0 or very close to it, therm all activation is negligible; there is only one species of excitations, behaving like anyons in the LLL. Say, if N < m N_e, then there are only quasielectrons: n = 0, n₊ = (m N_e N)=G₊ = 1=(w₊ + $_{++}$). One can apply the above eqs. (26)-(31). At higher tem peratures, therm all activation of quasiparticle pairs, satisfying $_{+}$ + = 0, become simportant and the elects of mutual statistics become manifest with increasing density of activated pairs. The therm odynam ic properties at dillier erent sides of electron lling

 $\rm N_e$ =N = 1=m are not symmetric due to asymmetry in quasielectrons ($_{++}$ = 2 1=m) and quasiholes (= 1=m). The general equation of state is

$$\frac{P}{kT} = {}_{0} X \log \frac{1 + (i = 0)}{1} \sum_{j=ij}^{P} (j = 0)$$
: (36)

W hen the excitation densities satisfy

X
$$ij \ j = 0;$$
 $j = +;$
(37)

the pressure diverges and a new incompressible state is formed, as a result of the generalized exclusion principle obeyed by the excitations upon completely lling the LLL.AtT = 0, e.g., for i = + (quasiparticles) the limit (37) is reached when electron lling is = 2 = (2m - 1), giving rise to the well-known hierarchial state [14,22,15]. At nite T, it may happen at somewhat dierent lling, because of the additional quasihole contribution in eq. (37). Moreover, the magnetization per unit area is

$$M = X_{i i} + \frac{ekT}{m hc} log \frac{0 + i p j ij j}{0 j ij j} : (38)$$

Here = 0" = 0B; the linearity of " in B is observed in recent experiments [23]. Hopefully, when kT is of order of " or higher, the $_{ij}$ -dependent second term may give an appreciable contribution. The mutual-statistics-dependent total entropy, S = $_{i}$ N $_{i}$ s $_{i}$, is

$$\frac{s_{i}}{k} = \log \frac{i}{0} \quad (\frac{0}{i} \quad x \quad i_{j} - \frac{j}{i}) \log (1 \quad x \quad i_{j} - \frac{j}{0})$$

$$+ [1 + \frac{0}{i} \quad x \quad i_{j} - \frac{j}{i}] \log [1 + (i_{j} - i_{j}) - \frac{j}{0}]; \quad (39)$$

To conclude, we have form ulated the Q SM of particles of fractional statistics (and mutual statistics), adopting a state-counting de nition. When their interaction energies can be neglected, they obey a statistical distribution that interpolates between bosons and ferm ions, and respect a generalized exclusion principle which makes them behave more or less like ferm ions. A nyonic quasiparticle excitations in the Laughlin quantum Hall liquids are such particles with nontrivial mutual statistics. It would be

interesting to experim entally test their therm odynam ic properties. A lso it would be interesting to see if and how statistics with 2, which makes perfect sense with the state-counting de ntion (2), realizes in Nature.

I thank Fu-Chun Zhang for helpful discussions. This work was supported in part by NSF grant PHY -9309458.

- E. Lieb and W. Thirring, Phys. Rev. Lett. 35, 687 (1975).
- [2] See, e.g., \Fractional Statistics and Anyon Superconductivity", ed. F. W ilczek, W orld Scienti c (Singapore), 1989; and references therein.
- [3] C N. Yang and C P. Yang, J. M ath. Phys. 10, 1115 (1969).
- [4] F D M . Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 937 (1991).
- [5] D. Arovas, J.R. Schrie er, F. Wilczek and A. Zee, Nucl. Phys. B 251 FS13], 117 (1985).
- [6] F D M . Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 1529 (1991).
- [7] A.Dasnieres de Veigy and S.Ouvry, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 600 (1994).
- [8] See, e.g. K. Huang, \Statistical Mechanics", John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (New York, 1963).
- [9] At xed particles numbers, the particles of species i can be regarded as either bosons with a Fock space dimension $d_B = d_i$, or fermions with a Fock pace dimension $d_F = d_i + N_i$ 1. Moreover, $d_i = G_i$ $_j$ $_{ij}$ (N $_j$ $_{ji}$) solves (3) under the condition that $d_i = G_i$ when N $_j = _{ji}$.
- [10] We assume the system has a natural cuto at large momenta so that the total number of single-particle states is nite. But we will neglect the elect of a nite cuto in various relevant integrals.
- [11] F. W ilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48, 1144 (1982); 49, 957 (1982).
- [12] Y S. W u, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52 (1984) 2103; 53, 111
 (1984).
- [13] M D .Johnson and G S.Canright, Phys.Rev. B 41,6870 (1990); G V.Dunne, A.Lerda, S.Sciuto and C A.Trugenberger, Nucl. Phys. B 370, 601 (1992); and refs in
- [14] F D M . Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51, 605 (1983).
- [15] S.He, X \mathcal{L} .X ie and F \mathcal{L} .Zhang, Phys.Rev. Lett. 68, 3460 (1992).
- [16] We note that the Laughlin wave function for the 1=m state looks the same as if the LLL is completely led by \super"-electrons with statistics = m.
- [17] R.B. Laughlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 1359 (1983).
- [18] B.I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 1583 (1984).
- [19] D. Arovas, J.R. Schrie er and F. W ilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53, 722 (1984).
- [20] J. Yang and W P. Su, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 1163 (1993).
- [21] M D . Johnson and G S. Canright, preprint UCF-CM-93-005.
- [22] M .M a and F $\mathcal L$.Zhang, Phys.Rev.Lett.66,1769 (1991).
- [23] R. R. Du, H. L. Stormer, D. C. Tsui, L. N. Pfei er and K. W. W. est, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 2944 (1993).