Exact result on the M ott transition in a two-dim ensionalm odel of strongly correlated electrons

Frederic Mila

Laboratoire de Physique Quantique
Universite Paul Sabatier
31062 Toulouse Cedex

France

We study the properties of a quarter-led system of electrons on a square lattice interacting through a local repulsion U and a nearest-neighbour repulsion V in the lim it V=+1. We identify the ground-state for U large enough and show that domain walls appear below a critical value $U_c=4t=$. We argue that this corresponds to a metal-insulator transition due to M ott localization.

PACS Nos: 71.10+x,75.10-b,71.30+h,72.15Nj

A lot of attention is currently devoted to the problem of metal-insulator transitions (MII) driven by correlations in electronic systems [1]. While this problem has some direct experimental relevance, the main reason for this renewed interest lies in the possibility that, on the metallic side of the transition, but close enough to the boundary, the electron gas might no longer behave as a Fermiliquid. The model most often considered to study this phenomenon is the Hubbard model dened by

$$H = t X (c_i^{y} c_j + hx;) + U X n_{i} n_{i\#}$$
 (1)

where the symbol < ij > m eans sum mation over pairs of nearest neighbours. At half-lling, this model is believed to undergo a transition from a metallic phase to an insulating one as U increases with a critical value of the repuslion U_c that depends on the lattice and on the dimension. The main source of concern in using this model to study Mott transitions is that the MIT that occurs is often due to the appearance of a spin-density wave (SDW) and not to Mott localisation as rst pointed out by Slater [2]. This is in particular true for lattices that lead to perfect nesting, like e.g. the cubic lattice, in which case $U_c = 0$. This was con med by the Bethe ansatz solution of the one-dimensional Hubbard model by Lieb and Wu [3]. On the contrary, Mott localisation is expected to yield a nite value for U_c . In that respect, to identify models that exhibit a MIT due to Mott localisation is an important issue.

In this paper, we concentrate on the extended Hubbard model de ned by

$$H = t X (c_{i}^{y} c_{j} + h x:) + U X n_{i} n_{i} n_{i} + V n_{i} n_{j}$$
(2)

on a square lattice at quarter-lling. The central motivation to study such an extension of the Hubbard model comes from the one-dimensional case which is known to have a M IT when U and V increase. The rst result on that problem was obtained about 20 years ago by 0 vchinnikov [4] who showed that when U = +1 there is a M IT for V = 2t. Quite

(+1,2t), which is nothing but 0 vchinnikov's result, to (4t,+1). The shape of the line was obtained numerically, but it was possible to prove analytically that the point U=4t, V=+1 belongs to the boundary. That this transition is of the M ott type is quite clear for V=+1 as there is a full spin degeneracy and hence no SDW in the insulating phase. In dimension 2, we expect to get rid of the SDW -driven transition because this model is not half-led but quarter-led, in which case there is no perfect nesting for the square lattice.

The essential reason that allowed an exact determ ination of U_c for $V=\pm 1$ in the one-dimensional case is in fact independent of the dimension and can be summarized as follows. A convenient way to take the fact that V is in nite into account is to include it as a constraint that restricts the Hilbert space to states with no pair of particles sitting next to each other. Then, it is easy to see that, for U large enough, the ground state is the checkerboard state obtained by putting one particle on each site of one sublattice and no particle on the other sublattice (see g.1). This is an eigenstate because all the states that could be connected to it by the hopping term are not in the Hilbert space due to the constraint in posed by $V=\pm 1$. Besides all the other states have at least one doubly occupied site and are certainly higher in energy when U is large enough. The crucial point is that this checkerboard state is always an eigenstate regardless of the value of U because of the constraint. However, when U decreases, it is no longer so costly to make local pairs, and states having such local pairs can be lower in energy than the checkerboard state due to a gain of kinetic energy.

There is an important di erence however between the one-dimensional and two-dimensional cases. In 1D, a single vacancy is already a mobile defect: It splits into two doublets that can move away from each other [6]. In 2D, any defect made of a nite number of vacancies cannot move far away. To see this, consider a rectangle that encloses all the vacancies and whose sides go through empty sites of the checkerboard con guration (see q2). It is easy to see that all the particles located outside this rectangle are not able to

the particles outside the rectangle are either outside the rectangle or on its boundary, and they have at least two particles as neighbours.

So the only chance to get a metallic state, that is charge propagation, is to consider defects with an in nite number of vacancies. From what we just saw, these vacancies have to constitute a connected set in order to allow for charge propagation. The cheapest way in terms of the number of vacancies per unit length is, like in a ferrom agnet, to make a domain wall between two checkerboard con gurations translated from each other by one lattice spacing (see g.3). Due to the constraint, one has to remove a row after performing the translation. So the density of vacancies per unit length in such a defect is equal to the density of particles in a row, that is 1/2. To create a vacancy, one has to create a local pair som ewhere else with an energy cost U. So the potential energy per unit length of such a domain wall is U=2.

Now, such a defect is able to gain kinetic energy. The elementary move is depicted in g.3. Let us keep track of the position of the domain wall by drawing a line that joins the middles of two neighbouring empty sites. Let us also assume for the moment that one point is xed. Taking that point as a reference, the position of the wall is determined by the succession of bonds going up or down. A move is possible only if two successive bonds are going in opposite ways, and it consists of exchanging them. If we represent a conguration by a series of 0 and 1, where 0 stands for a down-going bond and 1 for an up-going bond, a conguration on a periodic nite system has as many 0 as 1, and the elementary moves are 01! 10 and vice versa, with amplitude to the eigenvalue problem of spinless fermions on a 1D chain at half-lling with amplitude to the kinetic energy per unit length is thus given by

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{-2}^{2} 2t \cos k \, dk = \frac{2t}{2}$$
 (3)

This mapping relies on the boundary condition that one point of the wall is xed. In the

U=2 2t= . It vanishes at a critical value $U_c=4t=$. Below that value, domain walls are present in the ground-state.

The presence of domain walls in the ground-state does not guarantee by itself that the state is metallic. For instance, in the case of the weak-coupling Hubbard model, it is believed that the domain walls that appear away from half-lling crystallize, and that the system remains insulating up to a certain doping [7]. However, this elect is due to the large extension of the domain walls which gives rise to a long-range interaction between them. In the present case, the interaction between walls is local, and such a crystallization is unlikely to occur. So we believe that the appearance of domain walls in the ground-state corresponds to a metal-insultor transition. A more careful analysis of the interaction between domain walls is needed however to settle that issue. Concerning the nature of the transition, we note that, as in the 1D case, there is a full spin degeneracy in the insulating state, and hence no SDW. Thus the MIT cannot be due to a SDW and has to come from Mott localization. However, the insulating ground-state is a commensurate charge-density wave, so that the lattice translation symmetry is broken, in agreement with a general argument of Lee and Shankar [8].

Finally, let us comment on the possible applications of these results. This paper provides an example of Mott transition in dimension 2 for which the critical value of the interaction is known exactly. This might be useful to check approximate methods used in the context of Mott transitions. If one can extend the results to nite values of V, this model might also provide an example of Mott transition for reasonable values of U and V [9]. In that respect, we should note that similar results can be obtained for bosons. Charging elects in Josephson junction networks would then be a good realization of these ideas.

I acknow ledge useful discussions with K . Penc, D . Poilblanc, S . Sorella, H . T sunetsugu, T . Z \dot{m} an and X . Zotos.

REFERENCES

- [1] The original idea can be found in N.F.M ott, PhilM ag 6, 287 (1961). Am ong the recent developements, let us mention the work in in nite dimension (see e.g.M. J. Rozenberg et al, Phys Rev Lett 69, 1236 (1992), A. Georges and W. Krauth, Phys Rev Lett 69, 1240 (1992), V. Janis and D. Vollhardt, Int Journ Mod Phys B 6, 731 (1992) and M. Jarrell, Phys Rev Lett 69, 168 (1992)). Another recent development of the eld can be found in M. Im ada, J. Phys Soc Japan 62, 1105 (1993). References to earlier work can be found in these papers.
- [2] J.C. Slater, Phys Rev 82, 538 (1951).
- [3] E.H. Lieb and F.Y. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 20, 1445 (1968)
- [4] A.A.Ovchinnikov, Sov Phys JETP 37, 176 (1973).
- [5] F.M ila and X. Zotos, Europhys Lett 24, 133 (1993); K. Penc and F.M ila, Phys. Rev. B, in press.
- [6] M. Fow Ler and M. W. Puga, Phys. Rev. B 18, 1 (1978).
- [7] D. Poilblanc and T.M. Rice, Phys. Rev. B. 39, 9749 (1989); H. Schulz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 1445 (1990).
- [8] D.H.Lee and R.Shankar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 1490 (1990).
- [9] If V is large but nite, a magnetic coupling $J_{\rm eff}$ of order t^4 =UV 2 will appear due to superexchange, leading to antiferrom agnetic order. However, this energy scale is much smaller than the charge gap, which is of order U, so that the insulating state can still be considered as a M ott insulator.

FIGURES

- FIG.1. Checkerboard con guration. Crosses stand for electrons with spin up or down; small circles stand for empty sites.
 - ${\tt FIG.2.E\,xam\,ple}$ of a defect m ade of a few vacancies (heavy circles).
- FIG. 3. Typical con gurations of a domain wall. The dashed line corresponds to the new con guration obtained after an elementary move from the original one (solid line).