

# Generalized $t$ - $j$ Model<sup>1</sup>

Shao-Ming Fei and Rui-Hong Yue

CCAST (World Laboratory)

P.O. Box 8730, Beijing 100080, China

and

Institute of Theoretical Physics, Academia Sinica

P.O. Box 2735, Beijing 100080, China<sup>2</sup>

## Abstract

By parameterizing the  $t$ - $j$  model we present a new electron correlation model with one free parameter for high-temperature superconductivity. This model is of  $SU_q(1;2)$  symmetry. The energy spectrum is shown to be modulated by the free parameter in the model. The solution and symmetric structures of the Hilbert space, as well as the Bethe ansatz approach are discussed for special cases.

---

<sup>1</sup>Supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

<sup>2</sup>Mailing address

Strongly correlated electronic systems are believed to be important in studying the phenomenon of high-temperature superconductivity [1, 2]. An appropriate starting model suggested by Anderson is the t-j model [3, 4]. The model describes the behavior of electrons on a discrete lattice with Hamiltonian including nearest-neighbour hopping (t) and antiferromagnetic exchange (j). And the Hilbert space admits no double occupancy of any single site. As the two dimensional systems may share features of one dimensional systems [5], the t-j model in one dimension has been extensively investigated. The model is shown to be integrable and supersymmetric when  $j = -2t$  [6, 7]. Nevertheless, the phenomenon of high-temperature superconductivity greatly depends on detailed material. While in supersymmetric t-j model there is no non-trivial free parameters left. By taking into account some physical considerations, in this letter we present a generalized t-j model. The interest is that this model degenerates into an integrable one with a free parameter q and q-deformed supersymmetric symmetry.

Electrons on a lattice are described by canonical Fermi operators  $c_j^+$  and  $c_j$  satisfying anti-commutation relations given by

$$\{c_i^+, c_j\} = \delta_{ij} \quad (1)$$

where  $\delta_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ ;  $j = 1, \dots, L$  and  $L$  is the total number of lattice sites,  $c_i$  annihilates an electron of spin  $\uparrow$  at site  $i$ . The Fock vacuum  $|0\rangle$  satisfies  $c_i |0\rangle = 0$ . As the double occupancy is not allowed, there are three possible electronic states at a given lattice site  $i$ :

$$|0\rangle; |1\rangle; |2\rangle = c_i^+ |0\rangle = |1\rangle; |1\rangle; |2\rangle = c_i |1\rangle = |0\rangle;$$

The Hamiltonian of supersymmetric t-j model on a lattice of  $L$  sites is given by the following expression:

$$H_{tj} = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \left( t (c_i^+ c_{i+1} + c_i c_{i+1}^+) + j (c_i^+ c_{i+1}^+ + c_i c_{i+1}) \right) \quad (2)$$

where

$$c_i = c_i; \quad c_i^+ = c_i^+; \quad (3)$$

are the local generators of the supersymmetric algebra  $SU(1;2)$  and

$$n_i^0 = \sum_j c_{i,j}^\dagger c_{j,i}; \quad n_i^+ = \sum_j c_{i,j}^\dagger c_{j,i}^\dagger; \quad n_i^- = \sum_j c_{i,j} c_{j,i} \quad (4)$$

are the number operators of holes, spin-up electrons and spin-down electrons at site  $i$  respectively. It is direct to prove that  $H_{tj}$  commutes with the total operators of  $SU(1;2)$  on lattice.

In parameterizing the usual supersymmetric  $t$ - $j$  Hamiltonian (2), we reasonably distinguish the interactions between spin up (down) electrons and between holes. Therefore the coupling constants of  $n_i^+ n_{i+1}^+$  and  $n_i^- n_{i+1}^-$  are different to the one of  $n_i^0 n_{i+1}^0$ .

We also suppose that the chemical potentials of spin up (down) electrons and holes are different. The terms for chemical potential is then of the form

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{X^L} c n_i^0 + c^0 (n_i^+ + n_i^-); \quad (5)$$

where  $c$  and  $c^0$  are chemical potentials of a hole and an electron respectively. Accounting to the no double occupancy condition,

$$n_i^0 + n_i^+ + n_i^- = 1; \quad (6)$$

the chemical potential simply becomes

$$= \sum_i^{X^L} n_i^0 (c - c^0) \quad (7)$$

modular a constant term.

In addition we consider the nearest-neighbour interactions between electrons with opposed spin direction. For an electron  $c_j^\dagger$  located at site  $j$ , the general form of the interaction is  $n_{j-1} n_j + n_j n_{j+1}$ , where  $-$  takes  $"$  and  $+$  takes  $\#$  and vice versa. If we impose the saturation condition on it, the interaction only contributes one term to the Hamiltonian. Without loss of generality, we can assume that the interaction between opposed direction spin electrons is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{X^L-1} n_i^- n_{i+1}^+; \quad (8)$$

which may be in a sense considered as the first order expansion of Cooper pair in coordinate space. In the following, we will see that this term plays an important role in resumption of symmetry of the system.

Based on the analysis above and accounting to suitable boundary conditions, we present a modified t-J Hamiltonian with parameters:

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} X_i^+ X_{i+1}^+ + X_i^+ X_{i+1}^+ - X_i^0 X_{i+1}^0 + X_i^0 X_{i+1}^0 - X_i^{+0} X_{i+1}^{0+} + X_i^{0+} X_{i+1}^{+0} + n_i n_{i+1}^+ + (n_i^+ n_{i+1}^+ + n_i n_{i+1}) + n_i^0 n_{i+1}^0 + n_i^0 ; \quad (9)$$

where  $q$ ;  $\alpha$ ;  $\beta$  are free parameters. This Hamiltonian no longer possesses the  $SU(1;2)$  symmetry and is not integrable in general. To recover the broken symmetry in some contents we note that the generators  $X_i$ ,  $X_i^+$ ,  $X_i^0$ ,  $X_i^{+0}$  in (3) are also the trivial representations of the  $q$ -deformed algebra  $SU_q(1;2)$ . Therefore a reasonable candidate for symmetry imposed on the system is  $SU_q(2)$ . Before studying the symmetry of the system, we give some preliminary knowledge of  $SU_q(1;2)$ . This algebra is spanned by generators  $X_i$ ,  $X_i^+$ ,  $X_i^0$ ,  $X_i^{+0}$ . They satisfy the following algebraic relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [X_i^+, X_i^+] &= [X_i^{++}, X_i] l_q; \\ [X_i^0, X_i^0] &= [X_i^{00}, X_i] l_q; \\ [X_i^{++}, X_i^+] &= X_i^+; & [X_i^{++}, X_i^{+0}] &= X_i^{+0} \\ [X_i^{++}, X_i^+] &= X_i^+; & [X_i^{++}, X_i^{0+}] &= X_i^{0+} \\ [X_i^-, X_i^+] &= X_i^+; & [X_i^-, X_i^0] &= X_i^0 \\ [X_i^-, X_i^+] &= X_i^+; & [X_i^-, X_i^0] &= X_i^0 \\ [X_i^{00}, X_i^{0+}] &= X_i^{0+}; & [X_i^{00}, X_i^0] &= X_i^0 \\ [X_i^{00}, X_i^{+0}] &= X_i^{+0}; & [X_i^{00}, X_i^0] &= X_i^0 \\ (X_i^0)^2 &= 0; & (X_i^0)^2 &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

and the Serre relations

$$\begin{aligned} (X_i^+)^2 X_i^0 &= (q + q^{-1}) X_i^+ X_i^0 X_i^+ + X_i^0 (X_i^+)^2 = 0; \\ (X_i^+)^2 X_i^0 &= (q + q^{-1}) X_i^+ X_i^0 X_i^+ + X_i^0 (X_i^+)^2 = 0; \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where

$$l_q = \frac{q^x - q^{-x}}{q - q^{-1}};$$

As a quantum algebra,  $SU_q(1;2)$  has non-trivial Hopf algebraic structures with operations coproduct, counit and antipode. Here we only give the coproduct expressions:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{X}^-) &= \mathcal{X}^- \quad 1 + 1 \quad \mathcal{X}^- ; \quad = + ; \quad ; 0 \\
(\mathcal{X}^{++}) &= \mathcal{X}^{++} \quad q^{(\mathcal{X}^{++} \mathcal{X}^-)=2} + q^{-(\mathcal{X}^{++} \mathcal{X}^-)=2} \quad \mathcal{X}^{++} \\
&= \mathcal{X}^{++} \quad f1 + (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1) \mathcal{X}^{++} + (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1) \mathcal{X}^- g \\
&\quad + f1 + (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1) \mathcal{X}^{++} + (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1) \mathcal{X}^- g \quad \mathcal{X}^{++} ; \\
(\mathcal{X}^{+}) &= \mathcal{X}^{+} \quad q^{(\mathcal{X}^{++} \mathcal{X}^-)=2} + q^{-(\mathcal{X}^{++} \mathcal{X}^-)=2} \quad \mathcal{X}^{+} \\
&= \mathcal{X}^{+} \quad f1 + (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1) \mathcal{X}^{++} + (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1) \mathcal{X}^- g \\
&\quad + f1 + (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1) \mathcal{X}^{++} + (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1) \mathcal{X}^- g \quad \mathcal{X}^{+} ; \\
(\mathcal{X}^{0-}) &= \mathcal{X}^{0-} \quad q^{(\mathcal{X}^{00} + \mathcal{X}^-)=2} + q^{-(\mathcal{X}^{00} + \mathcal{X}^-)=2} \quad \mathcal{X}^{0-} \\
&= \mathcal{X}^{0-} \quad f1 + (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1) (\mathcal{X}^- + \mathcal{X}^{00}) g \\
&\quad + f1 + (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1) (\mathcal{X}^- + \mathcal{X}^{00}) g \quad \mathcal{X}^{0-} ; \\
(\mathcal{X}^{0-}) &= \mathcal{X}^{0-} \quad q^{(\mathcal{X}^{00} + \mathcal{X}^-)=2} + q^{-(\mathcal{X}^{00} + \mathcal{X}^-)=2} \quad \mathcal{X}^{0-} \tag{12} \\
&= \mathcal{X}^{0-} \quad f1 + (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1) (\mathcal{X}^- + \mathcal{X}^{00}) g \\
&\quad + f1 + (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1) (\mathcal{X}^- + \mathcal{X}^{00}) g \quad \mathcal{X}^{0-} ; \\
(\mathcal{X}^{0+}) &= \mathcal{X}^{0+} \quad q^{(\mathcal{X}^{++} + \mathcal{X}^{00})=2} + q^{-(\mathcal{X}^{++} + \mathcal{X}^{00})=2} \quad \mathcal{X}^{0+} \\
&\quad + (q - q^{-1}) q^{(\mathcal{X}^{++} \mathcal{X}^-)=2} \mathcal{X}^{0-} \quad q^{(\mathcal{X}^- + \mathcal{X}^{00})=2} \mathcal{X}^{++} \\
&= \mathcal{X}^{0+} \quad f1 + (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1) (\mathcal{X}^{++} + \mathcal{X}^{00}) g \\
&\quad + f1 + (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1) (\mathcal{X}^{++} + \mathcal{X}^{00}) g \quad \mathcal{X}^{0+} + (q - q^{-1}) \mathcal{X}^{0-} \quad \mathcal{X}^{++} ; \\
(\mathcal{X}^{+0}) &= \mathcal{X}^{+0} \quad q^{(\mathcal{X}^{++} + \mathcal{X}^{00})=2} + q^{-(\mathcal{X}^{++} + \mathcal{X}^{00})=2} \quad \mathcal{X}^{+0} \\
&\quad + (q - q^{-1}) q^{(\mathcal{X}^{++} \mathcal{X}^-)=2} \mathcal{X}^{0-} \quad q^{(\mathcal{X}^- + \mathcal{X}^{00})=2} \mathcal{X}^{++} \\
&= \mathcal{X}^{+0} \quad f1 + (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1) (\mathcal{X}^{++} + \mathcal{X}^{00}) g \\
&\quad + f1 + (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1) (\mathcal{X}^{++} + \mathcal{X}^{00}) g \quad \mathcal{X}^{+0} + (q - q^{-1}) \mathcal{X}^{0-} \quad \mathcal{X}^{++} :
\end{aligned}$$

The co-product operator are algebraic isomorphism,  $(ab) = (a)(b)$ ;  $8a; b \in U_q(1;2)$ .  $\mathcal{X}^{0+}$  and  $\mathcal{X}^{+0}$  are the algebra elements in the sense that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{X}^{+0} &= q^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{X}^{+} \mathcal{X}^{0-} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{X}^{0-} \mathcal{X}^{+} ; \\
\mathcal{X}^{0+} &= q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{X}^{0-} \mathcal{X}^{+} - q^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{X}^{+} \mathcal{X}^{0-} :
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Their co-product representations  $(X^{+0})$  and  $(X^{0+})$  are obtained by the actions of co-product operator  $\Delta$ . It is not difficult to show that operators defined by equation (12) satisfy the same relations (10) as  $X$ .

Now we return to study what conditions will be imposed on the parameters appearing in Hamiltonian (9), when the system enjoys the symmetry of quantum group  $SU_q(1;2)$ .

Define

$$X^{\pm} = q^{L \mp 1} (X^{\pm}) = (id)^{L \mp 2} (X^{\pm}) : \quad (14)$$

Explicitly

$$\begin{aligned} X^{\pm} &= \sum_{i=1}^{L \mp 1} q^{H_i \mp 2} X^{\pm} q^{H_i \mp 2} ; \quad = + ; 0 \\ X^{\pm} &= \sum_{i=1}^{L \mp 1} q^{H_i \mp 2} X^{\pm} q^{H_i \mp 2} ; \quad = + ; \\ X^0 &= \sum_{i=1}^{L \mp 1} q^{H_i \mp 2} X^0 q^{H_i \mp 2} ; \\ X^0 &= \sum_{i=1}^{L \mp 1} q^{H_i \mp 2} X^0 q^{H_i \mp 2} ; \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where  $H_1 = X^{++} X$  and  $H_2 = X^{00} + X$ . The operator  $X^{0+}$  ( $X^{+0}$ ) can also be represented in terms of  $X^{+}$  and  $X^0$  ( $X^{+}$  and  $X^0$ ). These operators satisfy the  $SU_q(1;2)$  algebraic relations (10).

It is easy to show that the bosonic operators  $X$  commute with the Hamiltonian (9) for arbitrary parameters  $q$ ,  $\alpha$ , and  $\beta$ . Therefore we only need to calculate

$$\begin{aligned} [X^{+}, H] &= \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} q^{H_j-2} [q^{H_j-2} X_{j+1}^{+}, H_{j+1}] q^{H_j-2} + q^{H_1-2} [X_j^{+}, H_{j+1}] q^{H_1-2} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} (q^{1-2} q^{1-2}) X_j^{++} X_{j+1}^{+} + (q^{1-2} q^{1-2} + q^{1-2}) X_j^{+} X_{j+1}^{+} \\ &\quad + (q^{1-2} q^{1-2} + q^{1-2}) X_j^{+} X_{j+1}^{++} + (q^{1-2} + q^{1-2}) X_j^{+} X_{j+1} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Then the following conditions are necessary for  $[X^{+}, H] = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} q &= q \\ : &= q q^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Similarly, the vanishing condition for commutator  $[X^{0+}; H] = 0$  imposes the conditions  $q = 1$  and  $q = q^{-1}$ . Taking  $q = q$  and  $q^{-1} = q$  in (9) we get a parameterized Hamiltonian with  $q$ -deformed symmetry  $SU_q(1;2)$ ,

$$H_{tj}^q = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} (X_i^+ X_{i+1}^+ + X_i^- X_{i+1}^- + X_i^0 X_{i+1}^0 + X_i^+ X_{i+1}^0 + X_i^0 X_{i+1}^+ + X_i^- X_{i+1}^0 + X_i^0 X_{i+1}^- + X_i^+ X_{i+1}^- + X_i^- X_{i+1}^+) + q(n_i^+ n_{i+1}^+ + n_i^- n_{i+1}^- + n_i^0 n_{i+1}^0) + (q - q^{-1})(n_i^+ n_{i+1}^+ + n_i^0) : \quad (18)$$

The calculations to check that the other operators commutes with  $H_{tj}^q$  is tedious but direct. Therefore the modified  $t$ - $j$  Hamiltonian (18) is of  $SU_q(1;2)$  symmetry. It has a free parameter  $q$ . When  $q$  approaches one it becomes the usual  $t$ - $j$  Hamiltonian (2).

This generalized  $t$ - $j$  model is again integrable. Similar to the case of supersymmetric  $t$ - $j$  model [6], it can be exactly solved in terms of the algebraic Bethe ansatz. The symmetry algebra is now  $SU_q(1;2)$ . Moreover, the usual coordinate Bethe ansatz also gives complete energy spectrum and eigenstates of the generalized model by using the symmetry algebra operators. The detailed exact solutions and phase diagram analysis are to appear in our paper [10]. In this letter we calculate the model at a few lattice sites, so as to show the symmetric structures of the Hilbert space and the roles played by the free parameter  $q$ .

First we consider the case  $L = 2$ . The Hamiltonian is simply

$$H = X_1^+ X_2^+ + X_1^- X_2^- + X_1^0 X_2^0 + X_1^+ X_2^0 + X_1^0 X_2^+ + X_1^- X_2^0 + X_1^0 X_2^- + X_1^+ X_2^- + X_1^- X_2^+ + q(n_1^+ n_2^+ + n_1^- n_2^- + n_1^0 n_2^0) + (q - q^{-1})(n_1^+ n_2^+ + n_1^0) : \quad (19)$$

By  $|j_i\rangle$  we denote  $|j_i\rangle$  for  $j_i = +; -; 0$ . The ferromagnetic states  $|| = |+++ \rangle$  and  $_{##} = |--- \rangle$  are eigenstates of  $H$  with energy  $q$ . The two-hole state  $_{00} = |00\rangle$  is also an eigenstate with energy  $q^{-1}$ . For configuration of one spin up electron and one spin down electron, the state is of the form  $_{\#} = a|j_{\#}^+ i\rangle + b|j_{\#}^- i\rangle$ .  $a$  and  $b$  are constants. The Schrodinger equation

$$H |_{\#} = E |_{\#} \quad (20)$$

gives two solutions of  $E$ ,  $E_1 = q$ ,  $E_2 = q^{-1}$  with eigenstates

$$\begin{aligned} |_{\#}^1 &= q|j_{\#}^+ i\rangle + |j_{\#}^- i\rangle; \\ |_{\#}^2 &= |j_{\#}^+ i\rangle - q|j_{\#}^- i\rangle; \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

respectively.

For the configuration with a hole and a spin up electron we have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{matrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{matrix}'' &= q \mathcal{D} \begin{matrix} i+ \\ j \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} 0 \\ i \end{matrix}; \\ \begin{matrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{matrix}'' &= \mathcal{D} \begin{matrix} i \\ j \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} q \\ 0 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} i \\ i \end{matrix}; \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$


with energy  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  respectively.

The one spin down electron and one hole case also gives two eigenstates

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{matrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{matrix}\# &= q \mathcal{D} \begin{matrix} i+ \\ j \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} 0 \\ i \end{matrix}\#; \\ \begin{matrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{matrix}\# &= \mathcal{D} \begin{matrix} i \\ j \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} q \\ 0 \end{matrix}\# \begin{matrix} i \\ i \end{matrix}; \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

with respect to energy  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ .

Therefore there  $9 (= 3^L = 3^2)$  independent states. According to the tensor decomposition of the  $SU_q(1;2)$  algebra representation space, there are two invariant spaces. The eigenstates in the same invariant space can be exchanged by using the co-product operators of the algebra. One of the invariant subspace is constituted of states  $\begin{matrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{matrix}''$ ,  $\begin{matrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{matrix}\#$ ,  $\begin{matrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{matrix}''$ ,  $\begin{matrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{matrix}\#$  and  $\begin{matrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{matrix}\#$  with energy  $q$ . They satisfy the following exchange diagram



The left four states give rise to another invariant eigenstate space of energy  $q^{-1}$ ,



$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& (X^{0+}) & \\
\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \# \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\quad - \quad} & \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 0\# \end{array} \\
\begin{array}{c} | \\ 6 \\ | \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} | \\ ? \\ | \end{array} \\
(X^{0}) & (X^{+}) & (X^{0}) \\
\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 0 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\quad \star \quad} & \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 00 \end{array} \\
& (X^{+0}) &
\end{array}$$

Where the inverse action of  $(X^{\epsilon})$  is  $(X^{-\epsilon})$  for  $\epsilon = +, -; 0$ .

For  $L = 3$  there are 27 independent eigenstates. They decompose to four invariant subspaces of the algebra  $SU_q(1;2)$ .

$$1) E^1 = 2q$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{1} &= j^{""i} \\
\frac{1}{2} &= q^2 j^{\#\#\#\#i} + qj^{\#\#\#\#i} + j^{\#\#\#\#i} \\
\frac{1}{3} &= q^2 j^{\#\#\#\#i} + qj^{\#\#\#\#i} + j^{\#\#\#\#i} \\
\frac{1}{4} &= q^2 j^{\#\#\#\#i} + qj^{\#\#\#\#i} + j^{\#\#\#\#i} \\
\frac{1}{5} &= q^3 j^{\#\#\#\#i} + q^2 j^{\#\#\#\#i} + q^2 j^{\#\#\#\#i} \\
&\quad + qj^{\#\#\#\#i} + qj^{\#\#\#\#i} + j^{\#\#\#\#i} \\
\frac{1}{6} &= q^2 j^{\#\#\#\#i} + qj^{\#\#\#\#i} + j^{\#\#\#\#i} \\
\frac{1}{7} &= j^{\#\#\#\#i}
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

$$2) E^2 = -2q^{-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{2}{1} &= j^{000i} \\
\frac{2}{2} &= j^{00\#i} - qj^{\#\#\#\#i} + q^2 j^{\#\#\#\#i} \\
\frac{2}{3} &= j^{00\#i} - qj^{\#\#\#\#i} + q^2 j^{\#\#\#\#i} \\
\frac{2}{4} &= j^{\#\#\#\#i} - qj^{\#\#\#\#i} - qj^{\#\#\#\#i} \\
&\quad + q^2 j^{\#\#\#\#i} + q^2 j^{\#\#\#\#i} - q^3 j^{\#\#\#\#i}
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

$$3) E^3 = q^{-1} - q^{-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{3}{1} &= j^0 \# i + j^0 \# i + j^0 \# i + j^0 \# i \\
\frac{3}{2} &= j^0 \# \# i + qj^0 \# \# i + j^0 \# \# i + qj^0 \# \# i \\
\frac{3}{3} &= j^0 \# \# \# i + qj^0 \# \# \# i + j^0 \# \# \# i + qj^0 \# \# \# i \\
\frac{3}{4} &= qj^0 \# \# i + qj^0 \# \# i + q^2 j^0 \# \# i + j^0 \# \# i \\
&\quad q^2 j^0 \# \# i + qj^0 \# \# i + j^0 \# \# i + qj^0 \# \# i \\
\frac{3}{5} &= j^0 \# \# \# i + qj^0 \# \# \# i + j^0 \# \# \# i + qj^0 \# \# \# i \\
\frac{3}{6} &= j^0 \# \# \# i + qj^0 \# \# \# i + j^0 \# \# \# i + qj^0 \# \# \# i \\
\frac{3}{7} &= qj^0 \# \# i + qj^0 \# \# i + j^0 \# \# i + j^0 \# \# i \\
\frac{3}{8} &= qj^0 \# \# i + qj^0 \# \# i + j^0 \# \# i + j^0 \# \# i
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

$$4) E^4 = q + 1 - q^{-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{4}{1} &= j^0 \# \# \# i + qj^0 \# \# \# i + j^0 \# \# \# i + qj^0 \# \# \# i \\
\frac{4}{2} &= j^0 \# \# \# i + qj^0 \# \# \# i + j^0 \# \# \# i + qj^0 \# \# \# i \\
\frac{4}{3} &= qj^0 \# \# i + qj^0 \# \# i + j^0 \# \# i + j^0 \# \# i \\
\frac{4}{4} &= qj^0 \# \# i + qj^0 \# \# i + j^0 \# \# i + j^0 \# \# i \\
\frac{4}{5} &= qj^0 \# \# i + q^2 j^0 \# \# i + qj^0 \# \# i + j^0 \# \# i \\
&\quad + qj^0 \# \# i + q^2 j^0 \# \# i + j^0 \# \# i + qj^0 \# \# i \\
\frac{4}{6} &= j^0 \# \# \# i + qj^0 \# \# \# i + j^0 \# \# \# i + qj^0 \# \# \# i \\
\frac{4}{7} &= j^0 \# \# \# i + qj^0 \# \# \# i + j^0 \# \# \# i + qj^0 \# \# \# i \\
\frac{4}{8} &= j^0 \# \# \# i + j^0 \# \# \# i + j^0 \# \# \# i + j^0 \# \# \# i
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

From above calculations of finite lattices it is obvious that the energy spectrum is various with the parameter  $q$ . For  $L = 3$  the energy gap between ground and first excited states is changed from 1 to  $q + q^{-1} - 1$ . And when  $q$  is taken be minus some energy levels are reversed.

For arbitrary lattice sites we consider the configuration

$$\# = \sum_{x=1}^{X^L} (x) j^0 \quad x^0 \# \# \#_{x+1} \quad \# i : \tag{28}$$

The Schrodinger equation gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned} E(\psi) &= q(L-3)\psi(x) + \psi(x-1) + \psi(x+1) + (q-q^{-1})\psi(x); \quad x \in 1;L \\ E(\psi(1)) &= q(L-2)\psi(1) + (q-q^{-1})\psi(1) + \psi(2); \\ E(\psi(L)) &= q(L-2)\psi(L) + \psi(L-1); \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

This equation set can be solved using the usual Bethe ansatz

$$\psi(x) = A(k)e^{ikx} + A(-k)e^{-ikx}; \quad (30)$$

Substituting (30) into the first equation of (29) one can get eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian

$$E = (L-3)q + (q-q^{-1}) + 2\cos k; \quad (31)$$

Other equations of (29) give the ratio of the amplitude  $A(k)$  and  $A(-k)$

$$\frac{A(k)}{A(-k)} = \frac{1-qe^{ik}}{1-qe^{-ik}} \quad (32)$$

and

$$\frac{A(k)}{A(-k)} = \frac{(e^{-ik}-q^{-1})e^{ikL}}{(e^{ik}-q^{-1})e^{ikL}}; \quad (33)$$

These two equations must be compatible, which gives a constraint on the impulse  $k$ ,

$$e^{2Lk} = 1; \quad (34)$$

Therefore

$$k = \frac{1}{L}; \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, 2L-1 \quad (35)$$

and

$$A(k) = 1 - qe^{ik}; \quad (36)$$

Now we analyze the spectrum in this special case. First we note that  $k=0$  and  $k=\pi$  should be ruled out since they give vanishing Bethe ansatz function. Second, one can divide the possible impulses  $k$  into two parts, I:  $(\frac{1}{L}; \frac{2}{L}; \dots; \frac{(L-1)}{L})$  and II:  $(\pi + \frac{1}{L}; \pi + \frac{2}{L}; \dots; \pi + \frac{(L-1)}{L})$ . By redefining  $K_j = 2\pi - k_j$ ,  $k_j \in \text{II}$ , one can show that they give the same Bethe wave functions as  $k_j \in \text{I}$ . Therefore, we have only  $L-1$  possible impulses and  $L-1$  independent wave vectors. On the other hand, for the configuration with  $L-1$  spin-up and one spin-down electrons, there exist  $L$  independent states. While the Bethe ansatz

gives only  $L - 1$  states. The missing state can be compensated by using the  $SU_q(1;2)$  algebra operator  $X^+$  acting on the all spin-up state. This state has the same eigenenergy as the all spin-up state because  $X^+$  and  $H_{tj}^q$  are commutative.

From this simple special case, we see that the Bethe ansatz equation can give all the energy spectrum, but not complete states. This had been pointed out in other integrable models [8, 9]. It is worthy to note that Bethe ansatz states are the highest weight states on which the symmetric group acting gives all complete states. For other configurations, this conclusion is also true.

For the configuration with one hole and  $L - 1$  spin-up electrons, we can similarly write down the wave function,

$$\psi_0 = \prod_{x=1}^{L-1} (x)j \prod_{x=1}^{L-1} \theta_{x+1} \dots \theta_L : \quad (37)$$

The Schrodinger equation gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned} E(x) &= q(L-3)(x) - (x-1) - (x+1); \quad x \in 1; L \\ E(1) &= q(L-2)(1) - (2) + (q - q^{-1})(1); \\ E(L) &= q(L-2)(L) - (L-1); \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Using Bethe ansatz it is easy to find

$$E = (L-3)q + (q - q^{-1}) + 2 \cos k; \quad (39)$$

$$\psi(x) = (-1)^x \prod_{n=1}^N (1 - q e^{ik}) e^{ikx} \prod_{n=1}^N (1 - q e^{ik}) e^{-ikx} \quad (40)$$

and

$$k = \frac{2}{L}; \frac{2}{L}; \dots \frac{(L-1)}{L}; \quad (41)$$

These give  $L - 1$  independent states. The missing state can be obtained by using the operator  $X^{0+}$  acting on the ferromagnetic states.

One can again see that the symmetry of the system helps in making up the missing states in Bethe ansatz approach. Hence investigating the symmetry of integrable system is not an artificial technique. It is the basis in studying the completeness of the Hilbert space of the system and discussing related physical properties. For detailed Bethe ansatz solutions of this generalized t-j model see [10].

## Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Professor P. C. Pu for instructive discussions. We are also thankful to CCAST (World Laboratory) for hospitality and support.

## References

- [1] P. W. Anderson, *Frontiers and Borderlines in Many Particle Physics*, ed R. A. Broglia and J. R. Schrieffer, Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1988.
- [2] H. Fukuyama, S. Makiawa and A. P. Malozemov, *Strongly correlation and superconductivity*, Berlin: Springer, 1989.
- [3] P. W. Anderson, *Science* 235 (1987) 1196.
- [4] F. C. Zhang and T. M. Rice, *Phys. Rev. B* 37 (1968) 3759.
- [5] P. W. Anderson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 65 (1990) 2306.
- [6] Fabian H. L. Essler and Vladimir E. Korepin, *Phys. Rev. B* 46 (1992) 9147.
- [7] S. Sarkar, *J. Phys. A* 24 (1991) 1137; 23 (1990) L409.
- [8] B. Y. Hou, K. J. Shi, Z. X. Yang and R. H. Yue, *J. Phys. A* 24 (1991).
- [9] F. H. L. Essler, V. E. Korepin and K. Schoutens, *Nucl. Phys. B* 372 (1992) 559.
- [10] Exact Solution of generalized t-j Model.