PUPT 1466, IA SSNS 94/25 cond-m at/9405017 M ay 1994

Exclusion Statistics: Low-Tem perature
Properties, Fluctuations, Duality, Applications

Chetan Nayak?

Department of Physics

Joseph Henry Laboratories

Princeton University

Princeton, N.J. 08544

Frank W ilczek <sup>Y</sup>
Schoolof Natural Sciences
Institute for Advanced Study
Olden Lane
Princeton, N.J. 08540

<sup>?</sup> Research supported in part by a Fannie and John Hertz Foundation fellow-ship. nayak@puhep1.princeton.edu

y Research supported in part by DOE grant DE-FG02-90ER40542. W LCZEK@SNSJASEDU

### ABSTRACT

We derive some physical properties of ideal assemblies of identical particles obeying generalized exclusion statistics. We discuss uctuations, and in this connection point out a fundamental contrast to conventional quantum statistics. We demonstrate a duality relating the distribution of particles at statistics g to the distribution of holes at statistics 1=g. We suggest applications to Mott insulators.

#### 1. Exclusion Statistics

Several years ago, Haldane [1] introduced the notion of generalized or fractional exclusion statistics, interpolating between bosonic and ferm ionic statistics. Motivated by the properties of quasiparticles in the fractional quantum Halle ect and in one dimensional inverse-square exchange spin chains, he dened the statistics, gof a particle by:

$$g = \frac{d_{N+N} + q_{N}}{N} \tag{1:1}$$

where N is the number of particles and  $d_N$  is the dimension of the one-particle H ilbert space obtained by holding the coordinates of N 1 particles xed. Since any number of bosons can occupy a given state,  $d_{N+N}=d_N$ , and hence g=0. By contrast, the Pauli exclusion principle implies that g=1 for fermions. Particles with intermediate statistics { Laughlin quasiparticles with g=1=m and 1-D spinons with g=1=2 were the two examples given in [1] { satisfy a generalized exclusion principle. Recently W u [2] has discussed the statistical mechanics of particles obeying a generalized exclusion principle locally in phase space (see below). Henceforth we shall call such particles g-ons. Ouvry [3] had previously discussed related statistical statistical distributions in the context of anyons in a strong magnetic eld. Bernard and W u [4] have shown that excitations in the C alogero-Sutherland model obey this statistical mechanics.

An earlier form of exotic statistics { anyons [5, 6] { has proved very in uential in the study of two-dimensional systems. Anyons are particles whose wavefunctions aquire an arbitrary phase e<sup>i</sup> when two of them are braided. Unlike fractional exclusion statistics particles, anyons are special to two dimensions since in higher dimensions two exchanges can be continuously deformed to no exchange. At 1 rst glance, exclusion statistics seem to have little to do with the braiding properties of particle trajectories which are the starting point for anyons. However in a recent important paper, Murthy and Shankar [7] showed that anyons do satisfy a generalized exclusion principle (contrary to Haldane [1]). They did this by relating

the exclusion statistics parameter, g, to the high-tem perature limit of the second virial coe cient, which is non-trivial for an anyon gas [8]. The linchpin of their argument is that in a theory with a high-energy cuto (e.g. any continuum model), the transmutation of statistics by attaching ux tubes [6] will generally push some states beyond the cuto, thereby reducing the Hilbert space dimension. This generates a fractional exclusion statistics that persists even as the cuto is taken to in nity.

In this paper, we investigate the elementary statistical mechanics of fractional exclusion statistics particles further. As an example, we calculate the low-temperature species heat of an ideal gas of  $\frac{1}{2}$ -ons both for a conserved and a non-conserved particle number. In the former case, we indicate the chemical potential appears to be independent of temperature to 0 (T). We consider uctuations in phase space occupancy for g-ons, and that they exhibit subtleties not present for bosons or fermions, and on this basis emphasize that one needs much stronger assumptions than Haldane's to justify g-on statistical mechanics. We also display a duality transformation that relates statistics g particles to statistics 1=g holes. Finally, we attempt to make it plausible that electrons in Mott-Hubbard systems described by the to the model might obey g = 2 statistical mechanics; by duality, the holes will then obey g = 1=2 statistical mechanics with a modified electrone temperature.

We now brie y recall the fram ework of g-on statistical mechanics [1] [2], to x notations and for later use. The statistical distribution of an ideal gas of g-ons can be obtained by maxim izing the entropy subject to the constraints of xed particle number and energy, as in [9]. We imagine dividing the one-particle states into a large number of cells with k 1 states in the each cell and then count the number of congurations with  $n_i$  particles in the ith cell. An elementary combinatorial argument gives:

$$e^{S} = \frac{Y}{n_{i}!} \frac{(d_{n_{i}} + n_{i} - 1)!}{n_{i}! (d_{n_{i}} - 1)!}$$
(12)

where  $d_{n_{\rm i}}$  is the dimension of the one-particle H ilbert space in the  $i^{th}$  cell with the

coordinates of  $n_i$  1 of the particles held constant. If one can apply the de nition (1.1) locally in phase space { a big assumption that we tentatively adopt as the working de nition of g-ons, but will need to discuss critically and re ne shortly { then

$$d_{n_i} = k g(n_i 1)$$
 (1:3)

Hence we must minimize the quantity,

with respect to the occupation numbers,  $n_i$ ; and are the Lagrange multipliers which enforce the constraints of xed energy and particle number. By standard arguments [9] one can show that is the inverse temperature and the chemical potential. Dierentiating with respect to  $n_i$ , we obtain (neglecting terms of 0 (1) which are negligible compared to  $\ln k$ ),

$$\frac{\text{@S}}{\text{@n}_{i}} = (1 \quad \text{g) ln (k + (1 \quad \text{g)}_{\underline{n}})} \quad \ln n_{\underline{i}} + g \ln (k \quad \text{gn}_{\underline{i}}) \quad (_{\underline{i}} \quad ) \quad (1.5)$$

Upon exponentiation this yields,

$$n_i e^{(i)} = 1 g n_i^g 1 + (1 g) n_i^{1 g}$$
 (1:6)

where we have written  $n_i = \frac{n_i}{k}$  for short. This is the fundamental equation that implicitly defines the distribution function for g-ons. In general it can only be solved numerically, but for special cases including  $g = 0; 1; \frac{1}{2}; 2; \frac{1}{3}; 3; \frac{1}{4}; 4$  it can be solved analytically. The g = 1=2 distribution function is:

$$(n_i)_{g=1=2} = \frac{2}{1 + 4e^2 (i)}$$
 (1:7)

### 2. Low -Tem perature P roperties

At T=0 the distribution function vanishes for > and takes the value 2 at < . M ore generally, one can show by inspection of (1.6) that at T=0

$$(n_i)_g = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{g}; & \text{if } < ; \\ 0; & \text{if } > . \end{pmatrix}$$
 (2:1)

It is quite striking that at T=0 particles of general exclusion statistics exhibit a \Ferm i" surface. This fact dictates the low-tem perature therm odynam ics of system s of these particles when the particle number is conserved.

We now develop an expansion in powers of the tem perature { analogous to the Sommerfeld expansion for fermions { for the thermodynamic functions of g-ons.

The Lagrange multipliers and are determined by by the constraints

$$N = {X \atop i} n_i = V \frac{d^D p}{(2)^D} n_g (p)$$
 (2.2)

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^{X} i n_{i} = V \frac{d^{D} p}{(2)^{D}} (p) n_{g} (p)$$
 (2:3)

If the single particle energies are of the form  $(p) = ap^n$ , then these can be rewritten:

$$\frac{N}{V} = \frac{Z}{d^{\frac{D}{n}-1}} n_g \qquad (2:4)$$

$$\frac{E}{V} = \int_{0}^{Z} d^{\frac{D}{n}} n_g ( )$$
 (2.5)

The uninteresting constant factors have been lumped into . The right hand sides of these equations are both of the form

$$Z^{1}$$
 d  $p_{n_{g}}$  ( ) (2:6)

Such integrals are expanded by changing variables to = and shifting the

integral by

Since the integrand of the second integral vanishes exponentially at the lower limit of integration, this limit may be taken to 1 at low temperature. This may then be combined with the strintegral while the third integral may be done, yielding

Expanding in powers of using the binomial theorem, we obtain

where we have isolated the pure numbers

Finally, then, we obtain

$$\frac{\frac{D}{n}}{0} = \frac{\frac{D}{n}}{1} + g(D = n) X \frac{T}{j} 1 + D = n$$
j
(2:11)

$$E = E_0 - \frac{\frac{D}{n} + 1}{0} + g(1 + D = n) - \frac{X}{j} - \frac{T}{j} = 0 = n$$
(2:12)

where  $_{0}$  and E  $_{0}$  are the zero-tem perature chem ical potential and energy, respectively. Thus to second order in T

$$= {}_{0} 1 + gC_{0} \frac{T}{{}_{0}} g(1 + D = n)G \frac{T}{{}_{0}}$$
 (2:13)

$$E = E_0 1 + g(1 + D = n)C_1 + g^2C_0^2 \frac{(D = n)(1 + D = n)}{2} (1 + D = n)^2 \frac{T}{0}$$
(2:14)

In the special case g = 1=2,  $C_0$  can be evaluated analytically. Using the distribution function (1.7), one and rather surprisingly

$$(C_0)_{g=1=2} = \int_0^{Z^1} du \frac{1}{(1+4e^u)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \int_1^{Z^0} du \frac{1}{(1+4e^u)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 1$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_2^{Z^1} \frac{dv}{v} \frac{1}{(1+v^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{Z^2} \frac{dv}{v} \frac{1}{(1+v^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 1$$

$$= 0$$

$$(2:15)$$

Hence, the g = 1=2 speci c heat to order T is sim ply

$$\frac{C}{T}$$
 = (1 + D = n)  $\frac{E_0 C_1}{\frac{2}{0}}$  (2:16)

 $C_1$  m ay be evaluated num erically:  $C_1 = 1:6449$ . In the case of ferm ions  $C_n = 0$  for all even n due to particle-hole symmetry, but this is not the case for g = 1=2: specifically,  $C_2 = 1:2021$ . Remarkably, we not numerically that  $C_0 = 0$  for g = 1=3;1=4 as well. These results { together with a duality property to be demonstrated later which implies that  $C_0 = 0$  for g = 2;3;4 as well { lead us to conjecture that  $C_0 = 0$  for arbitrary g.

If the particle number is not conserved one has, im mediately,

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^{X} i n_{i} = V \frac{Z}{(2)^{D}} (p) n_{g} ((p)) = V T^{\frac{D}{n} + 1} d^{\frac{D}{n}} n_{g} ((p))$$
 (2:17)

For  $\frac{1}{2}$ -ons with D = n = 1, the integral in (2.17) takes the value 0.9870.

## 3. Fluctuations, and a Perspective on the Assumptions

Let us attempt to nd the probabilities for various occupation numbers of a single state, for g = 1=2. De ning  $f(n)e^{n}$  as the probability for n-fold occupancy, we derive from (1.7) the formal relation

$$\frac{\text{nf (n)e}^{\text{n (n)}}}{\text{f (n)e}^{\text{n (n)}}} = \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{4} + e^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}} : \tag{3.1}$$

M atching coe cients and normalizing f(0) = 1 we not f(1) = 1, f(2) = 1=2, f(3) = 1=8, f(4) = 0, f(5) = 1=128, ... Clearly something has gone awry here!

The mathematical problem is as follows. The dimension of the many-particle Hilbert space

$$W = \frac{(k + (1 \quad g) (N \quad 1))!}{N!((k \quad 1) \quad g(N \quad 1))!}$$
(32)

for N particles when the cell includes k states vanishes at  $g = \frac{m}{N-1}$  where  $m = k; k+1; \ldots; k+N$  1. This gives the correct result for ferm ions, namely W = 0 if N > k since g = 1 is then one of the zeroes of W. However, W does not vanish for general g when gN > k. Indeed, W can be negative when gN > k. (To see this, consider the simple case of g = 1=2 for N > 2k and N an even number.) To get a sensible result for the Hilbert space dimension, W, we must stipulate that W = 0 if gN > k, which complicates the minimization of the entropy. If we take a large cell size k we can safely ignore this complication, since W is small for gN > k. For instance, if g = 1=2, N = 2k + 2,  $W = \frac{1}{k}$  and if N = 2k, then  $W = \frac{1}{N}$ .

Thus for g-ons it is important to keep the cell size large, in contrast to the Ferm i and Bose cases where one could take k=1 with impunity. In the ferm ion case, for instance, one has

$$p_0 + p_1 = 1 (3:3)$$

$$p_1 = \overline{n} = \overline{n^2} = \dots = \overline{n^1} \tag{3.4}$$

where  $p_i$  is the probability of having occupation number i. One can calculate moments of the occupation number,  $\overline{n^m}$ , by differentiating the distribution function. The closure property,  $\overline{n^1} = \overline{n^m}$  holds because one can take k=1 and the only allowed occupation numbers are 0 and 1. For  $\frac{1}{2}$ -ons, one must keep 2k equations for  $\overline{n}$ ;  $\overline{n^2}$ ; ...;  $\overline{n^{2k}}$  in terms of  $p_1$ ;  $p_2$ ; ...;  $p_{2k}$ . The closure equation which expresses  $\overline{n^{2k+2}}$  in terms of the rst 2k moments is only satisfied to 0 ( $\frac{1}{k}$ ).

One must therefore exercise some care in using the distribution functions derived from (1.6). The cell size must not become too small in view of the preceding paragraph, but it must also not become too large, because if the energy spread within one cell becomes comparable to the temperature then the notion of a characteristic energy for the cell becomes invalid. It is amusing that negative probabilities appear in this problem in a natural and meaningful way: it is necessary that negative probabilities appear in the description of small cells, if independent addition of many such is to generate the correct average occupancy for large cells!

Our mathematical problem relects a fundamental implicit physical assumption in the derivation of g-on statistical mechanics. For bosons or fermions the fundamental assumption of symmetry or antisymmetry of the wave-function holds rigorously and locally in momentum space. This is enough to allow one to derive the appropriate statistical distribution for an ideal gas locally in phase space. To derive g-on statistical mechanics as above one must assume that the generalized exclusion principle operates on states of nearby energy, and as we have seen one must also take a cell size not too small. Without attempting a rigorous discussion, we can identify qualitatively the physical circum stance under which these

assum ptions become plausible. It is that the elective interaction which reduces the Hilbert space dimensions should be essentially local in momentum space. Then one may apply the counting arguments to cells containing all the states in a small range of momenta: this will be a number of states proportional to the volume, all with essentially the same energy.

The known examples of g-ons have this character. Ideal g-on statistical mechanics operates in the Calogero-Sutherland models [4], which feature long-range interactions. Anyon models (that is, 2 + 1-dimensional systems with a Chem-Simons gauge eld) feature interactions which are singular for nearby momenta, resulting in a shift of the allowed values of the relative angular momentum between two particles, 1 ! 1 + ... Since two particles occupying the same state must have vanishing relative angular momentum, an anyon excludes its state from further occupation. This is certainly local exclusion, but the second condition is not satised: an anyon in any other state also has its relative angular momentum shifted. Hence anyons are not ideal g-ons, but interacting g-ons. Whether the ideal g-on statistical mechanics provides a useful rst approximation in this case, is a question needing further investigation. Hard-core bosons on a lattice have g = 1 according to Haldane's de nition, but are far from being 1-ons according to our de nition, and their behavior is poorly approximated by fermions: one expects them to Bose condense rather than to form a Fermi surface at low temperatures.

To conclude this discussion, let us nally display the rst-order uctuations concretely, for g=1=2. Using the standard identity relating  $\overline{(n)^2}$  to the derivative of n with respect to the chemical potential, we nd:

$$\overline{(n)^2} = n(1 - \frac{1}{4}n^2)$$
 (3.5)

Thus we not that  $\frac{1}{2}$ -ons have sub-Poissonian statistics, as do ferm ions  $(n)^2 = n(1 - n)$ . In contrast, bosons are super-Poissonian,  $(n)^2 = n(1 + n)$ .

# 4.D uality

We alluded earlier to a duality property that relates statistics g and 1=g. This property may be seen by rewriting the implicit relation for the distribution function at statistics g,

$$!_{g} (n_{i})_{g} e^{(i)} = 1 \quad g(n_{i})_{g} \quad 1 + (1 \quad g)(n_{i})_{g}$$
(4.1)

in the form,

1 
$$g(n_i)_g e^{\frac{1}{g}(i)} = (n_i)_g^{1=g} 1 + (1 g)(n_i)_g^{1\frac{1}{g}}$$
 (42)

or,

$$1 \quad g(n_{i})_{g} e^{\frac{-1}{g}(i)} = \frac{1}{g} \frac{1}{g} 1 \quad g(n_{i})_{g} \qquad \frac{1}{g} + 1 \frac{1}{g} 1 \quad g(n_{i})_{g}$$

$$(4:3)$$

But the implicit relation for the distribution function at statistics 1=g reads

$$(n_i)_{1=g} e^{(i)} = 1 \frac{1}{q} (n_i)_g 1=g 1 + 1 \frac{1}{q} (n_i)_g (4:4)$$

Hence,

1 
$$gn_g((q)) = \frac{1}{q}n_{1=g}(q)$$
 (4:5)

This duality relates the distribution of holes in the g-on distribution (where full lling is at  $\overline{n}_g = 1$ =g) to that of 1=g-ons at g times the temperature, or alternatively 1=g times the energy and chemical potential.

This duality is rem in iscent of the one found in Chem-Sim ons models. There one describes anyons as charge Q=q objects which acquire a proportional ux=q=, where is the Chem-Sim ons coupling, and thereby have their statistics

transmuted by  $-=Q=q^2=$ . The fundamental ux tubes then have ux =1=q, charge  $Q==q^2$ , and the inverse statistics. The thermal duality (4.5) is also reminiscent of the g! 1=g duality in the Calogero-Sutherland models [10]. Indeed, for these models thermal duality as discussed here follows from the known coupling-constant duality. The concordance of the general thermal duality for abstract g-on statistics with the more special and complete duality for Calogero-Sutherland quanta, vividly conmission in the idea that these models embody ideal g-on statistics.

#### 5. Remarks on the Mott Problem

The application of g-on statistics to one dimensional systems, even for the soluble models where it is formally correct, is not straightforward. Indeed in one space dimension the application of Fermi statistics to derive the low-energy properties of systems of fermionic quasiparticles has to be carefully considered, since interactions can change the properties qualitatively. The Fermi liquid must be considered as one special case of the generic Luttinger liquid [12]. Thus for example the thermodynamic properties of edge excitations in the fractional quantized Hall states are not correctly reproduced by the ideal g-on formulas, even though the electrons are (for example) formally m-ons in the = 1=m state. Of course the bulk lling fraction is nicely consistent with 1=g lling of the magnetic band, but this is a much weaker statement.

M ore speculative, but if correct probably more useful, is the possibility of applications to systems in higher dimensions. For in higher dimensions the phase space arguments of Landau apply, as in his justication of Fermi liquid theory, and make it plausible that (unlike in one space dimension) the approximation of non-interacting quasiparticles is accurate at low temperature.

There is a class of insulating m aterials, the M ott insulators, which are anom alous from the point of view of band or Ferm i-liquid theory. They are insulators when their valence band is precisely half led. From the point of view of this

paper, it is natural to hypothesize that in these m aterials the electrons are behaving as 2-ons [13]. The most important qualitative feature of Mott insulators, that is the existence of a gap at exactly half lling, follows directly. Such behavior is suggested, but certainly not proved, by the idea (formalized in the t-J model) that because of strong on-site repulsion a single electron excludes two states { namely states of both spins { from its lattice site. As we have taken pains to emphasize, what is needed is local repulsion in momentum space, which could arise directly from a long-range force or indirectly through correlation e ects.

In any case, our hypothesis leads to the statistical mechanical consequences derived earlier, which could be tested in experiments or by numerical work on models. Most interesting are elects which arise just below half lling. The central consequence is the existence at T=0 of a \Fermi surface" of anomalous size, and with anomalous values of the specic heat, Pauli susceptibility, .... There are quantitative anomalies in the experimentally observed normal state specic heat of the CuO-based superconductors [14], and in the size of the \Fermi surface" in the t-Jm odelas calculated using high-temperature expansions [15] and variationally [16]. (In these calculations, the normal Fermi surface is identified from a strong feature in the density-density correlation function.) These anomalies are at least roughly consistent with the 2-on hypothesis: the specic heat is substantially larger, and the volume of the \Fermi surface" is roughly twice as large, as would be expected for ordinary fermions.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. F D M . Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 (1991) 937
- 2. Y S.W u, University of Utah Preprint, March 1994.
- 3. S.Ouvry, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 (1994) 600.
- 4. D. Bernard and Y. S. Wu, University of Utah and Saclay Preprint, April 1994.
- 5. JM . Leinaas and JM yrheim , Nuovo C im . 370 (1977) 1; see also G . G oldin , R . M eniko , and D . Sharp , J . M ath . Phys. 22 (1981) 1664; Phys. Rev. Lett. 51 (1983) 2246.
- 6. F. W ilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48 (1982) 1144; 49 (1982) 957; Fractional Statistics and Anyon Superconductivity (W orld Scientic, 1990).
- 7. M. V. N. Murthy and R. Shankar, Madras preprint IM Sc-94/01 (January, 1994).
- 8. D.P. Arovas, J.R. Schrie er, F. Wilczek, and A. Zee, Nucl. Phys. B 251, (1985) 117.
- 9. E. Schrodinger, Statistical Thermodynamics (Cambridge University Press, 1964).
- 10. R. Stanley, Adv. Math. 77, (1989) 76; M. Gaudin, Saclay preprint SPhT-92-158; D. Bernard, V. Pasquier and Serban, hep-th/9404050; J. Minahan and A. Polychronakos, hep-th/9404192.
- 11. S. Iso, D. Karabali and B. Sakita, Nuclear Physics B 388 (1992) 700; Phys. Lett. B 296 (1992) 143; S. Iso, Tokyo preprint UT-676 (April, 1994).
- 12. See F.D.M. Haldane, J. Phys. C 14 (1981) 2585.
- 13. A related proposal, which seem show ever to dier signicantly (most notably, in having a shift in the chemical potential of rst order in T, has been put forward by J. Spalek and W. Wojcik, Phys. Rev. B 37 (1988) 1532.

- 14. A. Junod, in Physical Properties of High Temperature Superconductors II ed.
  D.G insberg (World Scientic, 1990) { see especially page 47.
- 15. W. Putikka, R. Glenister, R. Singh, and H. Tsunetsugu, FSU-ETH preprint NHML-93-988 ETH-TH 9333, September 1993.
- 16. C. Gros and R. Valenti, Dortmund preprint (April, 1994).