

# Planar random networks with flexible fibers

K. J. Niskanen<sup>1</sup> and M. J. Alava<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>K C L Paper Science Centre,  
P.O. Box 70, FIN-02151 Espoo, Finland [y]  
and  
U S D A Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory,  
One G i o r d P i n c h o t D r., M a d i s o n, W I 53705-2398, U S A .

<sup>2</sup>Helsinki University of Technology,  
Laboratory of Physics, FIN-02150 Espoo, Finland

## Abstract

The transition in random fiber networks from two-dimensional to three-dimensional planar structure driven by increasing coverage (total fiber length per unit area) is studied with a deposition model. At low coverage the network geometry depends on the scale-free product of fiber length and coverage while at high coverage it depends on a scale-free combination of flexibility, width and thickness of the fibers. With increasing coverage the roughness of the free surface decouples from the substrate, faster when fibers are stiffer. In the high coverage region roughness decreases exponentially with increasing fiber flexibility.

PACS numbers: 81.30Dx, 81.15Lm, 64.60-i

The mechanical and structural properties of two-dimensional random systems have recently been investigated intensively using computational and theoretical methods [1, 2]. However, experimental studies are still few in numbers as suitable model systems seem to be lacking. Planar materials with random fibrous structure, such as polymer films, short-fiber composites, paper and nonwovens, provide promising possibilities for experimental work [3], in addition to their intrinsic technological interest. Fibers in real materials, such as paper, are much longer than the sheet is thick so that the in-plane alignment of fibers is over 90% [4]. The structure is therefore planar, or "layered" (but without well-defined layers), and the mechanical properties are essentially those of a two-dimensional system. The statistical geometry of strictly two-dimensional random fiber networks is known quite well [5], but not the geometry of planar fiber networks. Analytical models [6, 7] have so far not dealt properly with the bonding of fibers that are not located in adjacent "layers". This bonding is controlled by the random pore structure of the intervening "layers".

In this Letter we employ a simple computer simulation model to study how the geometry of a random network of flexible fibers forms during the build-up or sedimentation on a two-dimensional substrate ("growth in 2+1 D"). If coverage (i.e. the total fiber length per unit area) is low, the fiber networks is almost two-dimensional and the in-plane mechanical properties are governed by coverage. At high coverage, instead, the in-plane properties depend on the three-dimensional "bulk" density. However, in both cases the intrinsic property is the average number of connections (inter-fiber bonds) per unit fiber length or "coordination number" [8]. This in turn depends on the dimensions and flexibility of fibers. We concentrate on the coverage-driven transition from 2D to 3D network geometry with increasing coverage and on the corresponding change in the surface roughness [9]. Our results show the connection between the strictly two-dimensional random fiber networks and their planar three-dimensional real-world counterparts, and thereby allow the comparison of future experiments with theoretical or numerical studies [10, 11, 12].

We start with a two-dimensional square lattice of linear size  $L = 10 :: 1000$ . The size  $L$  affects properties only close to the percolation threshold and, for surface roughness, at very high coverages. Fiber length  $l_f$  is an odd integer multiple of the lattice constant ( $= 1$ ), fiber width and thickness are fixed,  $w_f = t_f = 1$ , and emphasis is given to

$l_f = 1$  characteristic of fibers [13]. Fibers are aligned at random [14] in the two principal directions and the centers of mass are located, again at random, over cell centers. Hence local coverage  $c$  (the total fiber length per cell) has an integer value. Bending flexibility  $T_f$  of fibers is defined by limiting the change in the vertical elevation of a fiber from one lattice cell to the next to  $T_f$  (Fig. 1). A high  $T_f$  gives a dense network, a low  $T_f$  a sparse one. The so-called wet fiber flexibility [15] ( $WFF$ ) of paper-making fibers is related to  $T_f$  through  $T_f = [C w_f WFF]^{\frac{1}{4}}$  where the constant  $C$  depends on experimental details.

During the buildup, fibers are placed down independently, one after another, as if they were sedimenting from a dilute suspension. Each fiber is kept straight and parallel to the substrate until it makes the first contact with the underlying network. Thereafter the fiber is deformed so as to lie as low as possible—but not below the substrate—while still obeying the deflection constraint  $T_f$ . Periodic boundary conditions are applied except in the percolation study. The discretization of network structure should not affect generic properties. The cumulative build-up of the network is a more serious simplification as it does not distinguish between densification mechanisms such as hydrodynamic drag forces and pressing of a filtered bermat. However, the finite width of real fibers implies that they tend to follow a staircase pattern like that given by the model (cf. Fig. 1). The construction also leads to two-sided surface properties, but here only the free top surface is studied.

The model networks are characterized as follows. Local thickness  $t$  is the distance from the free top surface to substrate. Thus the mean thickness  $t$  includes the voids between the network and substrate. However, in calculating density these voids are subtracted away and so  $\phi < 1$  counts only for the voids within the sheet. This can be considered as the "true" density, one that is independent of surface roughness. A "coarse-grained" or "apparent" density is also employed to mimic the finite in-plane resolution of real measurements. In this case the system is first divided into subgroups of 10 by 10 cells (the size being arbitrary) and the largest value  $t = t_g$  is determined for each subgroup. The "apparent" density is then given by  $\phi_g = c/t_g$  where  $c$  and  $t_g$  are the mean values of  $c$  and  $t_g$ . Finally, the coordination number  $n$  is defined as the average bonded surface area of a fiber, divided by  $2l_f w_f$  [8].

At low mean coverage  $c$  the mechanical properties of a planar network should be controlled by  $c - c_c$  where  $c_c$  is the percolation threshold [16, 17] for mechanical connectivity. We determined  $c_c$  numerically using the following rules: 1) the network is connected when there exists a cluster of fibers that is connected to at least one cell on two opposite boundaries of the system; 2) two cells are connected by a fiber if the fiber covers the both sites; and 3) two fibers covering a cell are connected, if the top surface of the lower fiber is in contact with the bottom surface of the upper fiber. The threshold  $c_c$  is then equivalent to the bond percolation density of the dual lattice for bonds of length  $l_f - 1$ . Thus an overall  $c - 1 = (l_f - 1)$  behavior is expected. On the other hand, if the network had continuous spatial symmetry and the fibers had zero width and infinite extensibility, then the percolation density would be given by  $c_c = 5/71 = l_f$  [18].

The computed percolation threshold  $c_c$  is shown in Fig. 2 for the lattice size  $L = 200$ . With long fibers ( $l_f = 20$ ) the threshold is  $c_c = 8 = (l_f - 1)$  when  $T_f \rightarrow 1$ , which is slightly larger than with continuous symmetry. With short fibers the non-zero width  $w_f$  lowers the asymptotic value so that  $c_c = 6 = (l_f - 1)$  when  $l_f = 5$ . The asymptotic values at  $T_f \rightarrow 1$  are independent of  $L$ , increasing  $L$  upto 800 revealed no deviation from the behavior shown in Fig. 2. A random walk argument suggests that in the opposite limit of  $T_f \rightarrow 0$  the radius  $r$  of a cluster with  $N$  fibers is  $r \sim l_f^{-1} N^{1/2}$  and therefore the thickness of a percolating cluster is  $l_f (L = l_f)^{1/2}$ . Thus the network becomes three-dimensional and  $c_c$  very large and dependent on  $l_f$  and  $L$ . The non-smooth small- $T_f$  behavior of  $c_c$  seen in Fig. 2 when  $l_f = 5$  is reproducible and hence probably an artefact related to the fact that connectivity benefits from rational values of  $T_f$ . Since the maximum vertical deflection per unit length of a fiber is  $T_f$ , crossover between the flexible fiber and stiff fiber behaviors should occur at  $T_f = l_f^{-1}$ ; our data suggests that this occurs at  $T_f = 4 = l_f^{-1}$ .

When coverage  $c$  increases, coordination number  $n$  increases too and eventually becomes a constant, see Fig. 3. In the high-coverage region  $n$  is independent of  $l_f$ . In contrast to  $n$ , density  $\rho$  decreases with increasing coverage because at  $c \rightarrow 0$  there are no intra-sheet voids. An order of magnitude higher coverage than with  $n$  is needed before "bulk" values of  $\rho$ , independent of  $c$ , are obtained. The difference is especially clear with stiff fibers. As  $n$  should be the main factor controlling the in-plane mechanical properties of the network, it follows that these become homogeneous, independent

of coverage, at much lower coverages than density does.

The length of a fiber  $l_f$  affects the above results at low coverages,  $c \ll c_c$ . For example, at the percolation threshold and with large  $T_f$  the number of inter-fiber bonds per fiber, given by  $2n l_f$  is 7.1;6.3 and 4.7 for  $l_f = 21;11$  and 5. However, at higher coverages the three-dimensional network structure should be controlled by the scale-free combination  $w_f T_f = t_f$ . This can be rationalized by observing that each fiber on the surface of the network prevents new fibers from making contact with others on  $(w_f + l_f)t_f = T_f$  sites (on the average) when  $l_f \ll w_f$ , while bonding with the fiber in question is possible in  $w_f l_f$  cells. Measured values of the wet fiber exhibility [15] and known dimensions of paper-making fibers yield  $w_f T_f = t_f = 0.5 - 0.7$ .

Coordination number  $n$  is tedious to measure directly and therefore density is sometimes used to estimate it. Indeed, Fig. 4 shows that if  $c$  is held constant and  $T_f$  is varied, then  $n$  is roughly proportional to  $l_f$  and  $g$ , as long as  $l_f \ll 0.6$  and  $c$  is not too low. For example, in ordinary paper  $l_f = 0.2 - 0.5$  so that the linear behavior should be valid. The reproducible discontinuities at  $n = 0.8$  and  $0.9$  (corresponding to  $T_f = 2$  and 4) are probably again artefacts of the discrete model. It is interesting to note that the slope  $dn/dl_f = 1.2$  with  $l_f \ll 10$  and approaches unity only for short fibers. The slope depends slightly on coverage and thus density usually cannot be used to compare coordination numbers if coverage varies.

The two-dimensional projection of the network obeys Poisson distribution [5] and therefore the standard deviation of local coverage should be  $\sigma_c = \sqrt{P_c}$ . Our simulations follow this relationship very accurately. The flat substrate affects the network structure at small  $c$  but at sufficiently high  $c$  the structure is truly three-dimensional, independent of  $c$ . Then, for example, the standard deviation of the number of pores per cell  $p$  becomes  $\sigma_p = \sqrt{P(T_f)}$ . The amplitude  $P(T_f)$  decreases with increasing  $T_f$ . Areas of high coverage have few pores and therefore  $P < 1$ .

Simulation results for the roughness  $\sigma_t$  of the free surface (i.e. the standard deviation of  $t$ ) can be compactly expressed as

$$\sigma_t^2 = c [A(T_f) + B(c)] \quad (1)$$

(for general  $t_f$  and  $w_f$  we would of course expect that  $\sigma_t = t_f$  and  $A = A(w_f T_f = t_f)$ ).

The functions  $A$  and  $B$  are shown in Fig. 5 for system size  $L = 100$  and  $c = 10^4$ . They are independent of fiber length as long as  $l_f \geq 5$ . Equation (1) describes the coverage-driven cross-over from a 2D to 3D network structure. Hence, as coverage increases roughness is no longer affected by the flat substrate. This corresponds to  $B$  becoming smaller than  $A$ . The decoupling is fast with stiff fibers ( $A$  is large) because there are many pores in the network. The simulated roughness values can easily be decomposed according to Eq. (1) since  $\zeta_t$  becomes constant (or  $A \rightarrow 0$ ) at large  $T_f$ .

The form of Eq. (1) arose from the observation that  $\zeta_t$  is controlled by high "peaks" and deep "valleys" of the surface. Hence the variance of  $t$  could be a sum of two terms especially since the "peaks" are dominant at low coverage or high fiber flexibility and the "valleys" at high coverage or low flexibility. The exponential decay of roughness at a fixed  $c$  (cf.  $A(T_f)$  in Fig. 5) then follows from the decrease in the depth of the "valleys". The planar fiber network differs from many growth models [9] in that there is nothing that would play the role of surface tension, although fluctuations of surface height are limited by  $T_f$  over the fiber length. Clearly, making  $T_f$  small does not give a smooth surface. In fact our model is similar to the Vold model [19, 9]. The growth behavior at high coverage, including the effects of system size and the naturally included overhangs, is beyond the scope of this study and will be presented elsewhere.

Summarizing, we have presented a computer simulation study of planar random fiber networks. The results can be compared with experiments on disordered fibrous materials such as paper. At high coverage  $c$  the network is three-dimensional and its geometry is controlled by the scale-free combination of fiber width, thickness and flexibility,  $(w_f = t_f)T_f$ , provided that  $w_f = l_f \geq 1$  which usually holds fibers. At low  $c$  the network is two-dimensional and its properties depend on the scale-free product of coverage and fiber length,  $cl_f$ , provided that  $(l_f = t_f)T_f$  is large ( $\geq 4$ ). Thus fiber length is important only at low coverages. Our results connect the properties of realistic planar fiber networks with those of ideal two-dimensional networks. In particular, if coverage is held constant then coordination number is linearly related to density for  $c \geq 0.6$  but the slope depends slightly on  $c$ . Roughness of the free surface decreases exponentially with increasing  $T_f$ . Because of its simplicity our model could also be used to simulate other properties such as three-dimensional permeability and transverse compressibility.

This study was financially supported by the Technology Development Centre of Finland and by the Academy of Finland which is gratefully acknowledged.

## References

[y] Permanent address.

- [1] Statistical Models for the Fracture of Disordered Media, H.J. Hermann and S. Roux, eds. (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990).
- [2] Sahimi, M., Physica A 186 (1992) 160.
- [3] Kertesz, J., Physica A 191 (1992) 208.
- [4] Radvan, B., in The fundamental properties of paper related to its uses, F. Bolam, ed. (British Paper and Board Ind. Fed., London, 1976) pp 137-147.
- [5] Corte, H. and Kallmes, O.J., Tappi J. 43 (1960) 737.
- [6] Kallmes, O.J., Corte, H. and Bernier, G., Tappi J. 44 (1961) 519.
- [7] Gorres, J., Sinclair, C.S. and Tallentire, A., Paperi ja Puu 71 (1989) 54; Gorres, J. and Luner, P., J. Pulp Paper Sci. 18 (1992) J127.
- [8] For paper the quantity corresponding to coordination number is relative bonded area, RBA, of the fibers. See Kallmes, O.J., Corte, H. and Bernier, G., Tappi J. 46 (1963) 502.
- [9] Krug, J. and Spohn, H., in Solids far from Equilibrium: Growth, Morphology, Defects, C. Godreche (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991) 479 – 582.
- [10] Alava, M.J. and Ritala, R.K., J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 2 (1990) 6093; Alava, M.J. and Ritala, R.K., Physica Scripta T 33 (1990) 155.
- [11] Astrom, J.A. and Niskanen, K.J., Europhys. Lett. 21 (1993) 557.
- [12] Astrom, J., Saarinen, S., Niskanen, K. and Kurkijarvi, J., J. Appl. Phys. 75 (1994) 2383.



- [13] Fiber thickness is arbitrary in our study. For paper-making fibers  $l_f = t_f$  and  $l_f = w_f$ ,  
20 50.
- [14] We use the R250 random number generator, Kirkpatrick, S. and Stoll E., J. Comp. Phys. 40 (1981) 517.
- [15] Steadman, R. and Luner, P., Papermaking raw materials, V. P. unton, ed. (Mechanical Engineering Publ. Ltd., London, 1985) 311-338.
- [16] Kirkpatrick, S. Rev. Mod. Phys. 45 (1973) 574.
- [17] Schwartz, L. M., Feng, S., Thorpe, M. F. and Sen, P. N., Phys. Rev. 32 (1985) 4607.
- [18] Pike, G. E. and Seager, C. H., Phys. Rev. B 10 (1974) 1421.
- [19] Vold, M. J., J. Colloid. Sci. 14 (1959) 168.

## Figures

FIG . 1. Two crossing fibers on the square lattice. The height of the "steps" is  $= T_f = 1=3$ .

FIG . 2. The percolation threshold  $c_c$  versus  $T_f$  for fiber lengths  $l_f = 5, 11$  and  $21$  (diamonds, crosses and squares, respectively); system size  $L = 200$ .

FIG . 3. Coordination number  $n$ , density  $\rho$  and "apparent" density  $\rho_g$  (triangles, squares and diamonds, respectively) against coverage  $c$  on log-scale with  $T_f = 1$  and  $2$  (filled and open symbols, respectively). Arrows indicate the percolation point. System size  $L = 1000$ , fiber length  $l_f = 21$ .

FIG . 4. Coordination number  $n$  against density  $\rho$  and  $\rho_g$  (open and closed symbols, respectively) with growing fiber flexibility  $T_f$ . Coverage  $c = 10.5, 31.5$  and  $94.5$  (triangles, squares and diamonds, respectively). Fiber length  $l_f = 21$ , system size  $L = 1000$ .

FIG . 5. Function  $A(T_f)$  on semi-log scale (Eq. (1)), for coverages  $c = 10; 100; 10^3$  and  $10^4$  (squares, crosses, triangles and diamonds, respectively). Fiber length  $l_f = 5$ , system size  $L = 100$ . Inset shows function  $B(c)$  on semi-log scale.