

AN AD E O C L A S S I F I C A T I O N O F M I N I M A L I N C O M P R E S S I B L E Q U A N T U M H A L L F L U I D S^y

Jurg Frohlich,¹ Urban M. Studer,² and Emmanuel Thiran¹

¹Institut für Theoretische Physik, ETH-Honggerberg
8093 Zurich, Switzerland

²Instituut voor Theoretische Fysica, K.U. Leuven
3001 Leuven, Belgium

I N T R O D U C T I O N A N D T H E G E N E R A L C L A S S I F I C A T I O N P R O B L E M

The quantum Hall (QH) effect¹ is observed in two-dimensional electronic systems (2DES's) subjected to a strong, uniform, transverse external magnetic field. Experimentally, such systems are realized as inversion layers that form at the interfaces of heterostructures (e.g., GaAs/AlGaAs) in the presence of an electric field (gate voltage) perpendicular to the structures. To develop an idea of the orders of magnitude involved in QH systems, we recall that sample sizes are typically of a few tenths of a mm times a few mm, whereas the charge carrier densities, $n = n_{\text{electron}} - n_{\text{hole}}$, are of the order of $10^{11}/\text{cm}^2$, and the magnetic fields, B_c , range from about 0.1 T up to 30 T. Moreover, experiments are performed at very low temperatures, T , typically between 10 mK and 100 mK. An important quantity characterizing QH systems is the filling factor ν : denoting by $\phi_0 = h/e = 4\pi \times 10^{11} \text{ T cm}^2$ the magnetic flux quantum and by $B_{c\perp}$ the component of the magnetic field B_c perpendicular to a 2DES, the filling factor is defined by $\nu = n \phi_0 / B_{c\perp}$.

Two basic facts characterize the QH effect. First, the Hall (or transverse) resistance R_H , as a function of $1/B$, exhibits plateaux at heights which are rational multiples of h/e^2 . Second, with the quantization of R_H , one observes a near vanishing of the longitudinal (or magnetoresistance) R_L . [Strictly speaking, this is true only if measurements are carried out in a stationary state and on large distance scales, that is, on scales larger than the phase-coherence length of the constituents of the

^yA slightly modified version of a paper which is to appear in the proceedings of the NATO Advanced Research Workshop "On Three Levels", Leuven (Belgium), July 19-24, 1993, A. Verbeure et al., eds. (Plenum, 1994).

system s . For QH system s , the phase-coherence length is of the order of 100 nm (a so-called "mesoscopic" length scale). For a general account of "quantum interference fluctuations" in disordered, mesoscopic system s , see Ref. 2; and, in particular, for some results in mesoscopic QH system s , see Ref. 3.] The near vanishing of R_L indicates the absence of dissipative processes in the corresponding QH system s which, in turn, is interpreted as the presence of an energy gap above the ground-state energy in these many-body system s (so-called incompressibility). Incompressibility is a crucial input to our analysis and, in these notes, we use the term quantum Hall fluid to denote a QH system which exhibits incompressibility. Next we briefly review the main logical steps that lead to the general classification problem of QH fluids.

Gauge Symmetry and $\hat{u}(1)$ -Current Algebra. For definiteness, let us consider a QH fluid which is confined to a domain Σ of the $(1;2)$ -plane, and let the external magnetic field, B_c , be along the 3-axis, i.e., $B_c = (0;0;B_c)$. Furthermore, let us assume that the spins of the constituent electrons and/or holes are aligned with the strong field B_c . [For an analysis of QH fluids including the spin degrees of freedom, see Refs. 4-6.]

We denote by $Z(A)$ the partition function (at $T = 0$) of a 2DES confined to a space-time domain $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^2$ and coupled to an external electromagnetic field with associated potential 1-form $A = \int_0^2 A(x) dx$, where $A = A_{\text{tot}}; A_c$; with $\partial_1 A_{c/2} - \partial_2 A_{c/1} = B_c$ fixed. Exploiting the $U(1)$ -gauge symmetry of non-relativistic Schrodinger quantum mechanics and the incompressibility ($R_L = 0$) of QH fluids, one can show⁴ that, in the limit of large distance scales and low frequencies (scaling limit), the effective action of a QH fluid (i.e., the logarithm of $Z(A)$) takes the universal asymptotic form

$$S^{\text{as}}(A) = -\frac{\kappa_H}{2} \int_{\Sigma} A \wedge dA + B \int_{\partial \Sigma} A; \quad (1)$$

where $\kappa_H = R_H^{-1}$ is the Hall conductivity of the fluid, $A \wedge dA = \int_{\Sigma} A \otimes A$ is the abelian Chern-Simons form, and $B \int_{\partial \Sigma} A$ denotes a "boundary term" depending only on $A|_{\partial \Sigma}$, the restriction of A to the boundary space-time $\partial \Sigma$. Since the Chern-Simons term in Eq. (1) exhibits a boundary $U(1)$ -gauge anomaly, the gauge invariance of the total effective action, $S^{\text{as}}(A)$, constrains the form of the boundary term $B \int_{\partial \Sigma} A$. The cancellation of the gauge anomaly of the Chern-Simons term can be achieved⁴ by introducing a set of N_L and a set of N_R chiral $U(1)$ boundary currents whose coupling to the external electromagnetic field $A|_{\partial \Sigma}$ is given by $N_{L=R}$ electric charges which can be arranged in a "charge vector" $\vec{Q}_{L=R}$ with $N_{L=R}$ components. Physically, these left/right chiral boundary systems (CBS's) describe gapless, chiral electric currents of electrons/holes circulating at the edge of a QH sample. Denoting by $W_{L=R}(\vec{Q}_{L=R}; A|_{\partial \Sigma})$ the effective action for these CBS's, the boundary term $B \int_{\partial \Sigma} A$ is given by

$$B \int_{\partial \Sigma} A = W_L(\vec{Q}_L; A|_{\partial \Sigma}) + W_R(\vec{Q}_R; A|_{\partial \Sigma}) + G \int_{\partial \Sigma} A; \quad (2)$$

where $G \in (A \setminus \mathbb{Z})$ stands for a possible gauge-invariant boundary term. Then gauge-anomaly cancellation implies that the Hall conductivity κ_H is given by

$$\kappa_H = \frac{h}{2\pi} \left(\langle \vec{Q}_L; \vec{Q}_L \rangle - \langle \vec{Q}_R; \vec{Q}_R \rangle \right) \frac{e^2}{h}; \quad (3)$$

where $(\vec{n}; \vec{m})$ denotes the Euclidean scalar product of two vectors \vec{n} and \vec{m} . For details, see Refs. 4-6; and for related points of view on the role of edge currents in QH fluids, see the works in Ref. 7.

Quantum Hall Lattices. The vectors \vec{Q}_L and \vec{Q}_R are not the only data encoding the physics of an incompressible QH fluid in the scaling limit. Excitations above the ground state of the QH fluid are described by unitary representations of the $\hat{u}(1)$ -current algebras. Among all possible unitary representations ($\mathbb{R}^{N_{L=R}}$) only a subset, in fact, a lattice, will be realized in a QH fluid. Combining known properties of these representations⁸ with the physical requirement that the spectrum of local excitations of CBS's be generated by excitations with the quantum numbers of electrons and holes (i.e., by excitations with electric charges e that obey Fermi statistics and are relatively local) one infers^{5,6} that the additional data needed to characterize the scaling limit of a QH fluid is a pair of odd, positive integral lattices Λ_L and Λ_R : $\Lambda_{L=R}$ is generated by $N_{L=R}$ vectors $\mathbf{q}_{(1)}; \dots; \mathbf{q}_{(N_{L=R})}$ describing distinct one-electron or one-hole excitations above the ground state. A general vector \mathbf{q} in $\Lambda_{L=R}$ represents a multi-electron/hole excitation of the QH fluid. In such a basis, $\Lambda_{L=R}$ is fully specified by its integral Gram matrix $K_{L=R}$

$$(K_{L=R})_{ij} = (\mathbf{q}_{(i)}; \mathbf{q}_{(j)}) \in \mathbb{Z}; \quad i, j = 1; \dots; N_{L=R}; \quad (4)$$

Fermi statistics of the elementary charge carriers implies the oddness of the lattice $\Lambda_{L=R}$ (i.e., in any basis of $\Lambda_{L=R}$, at least one basis vector has an odd squared length). The vectors in $\Lambda_{L=R}$ do not necessarily label all physical excitations of the QH fluid. Indeed, any vector in the dual lattice $\Lambda_{L=R}^*$ (generated by the vectors $\mathbf{h}^{(j)} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{L=R}} (K_{L=R}^{-1})^{ji} \mathbf{q}_{(i)}$, $j = 1; \dots; N_{L=R}$) describes a (multi-)quasiparticle excitation which is relatively local to all electrons and holes in the system. However, in general, such an excitation has fractional electric charge and anyonic statistics. It is the $N_{L=R}$ -dimensional analogue of the celebrated Laughlin vortices.⁹

The vector $\vec{Q}_{L=R}$ assigns an electric charge to every boundary excitation. Hence it is a linear functional on the lattice $\Lambda_{L=R}$, i.e., an element of the dual lattice $\Lambda_{L=R}^*$, and $Q_{el}(\mathbf{q}) = \vec{Q}_{L=R} \cdot \mathbf{q}$ is the electric charge, in units of e , of the excitation labelled by \mathbf{q} . Thus $1 = Q_{el}(\mathbf{q}_{(i)}) = \vec{Q}_{L=R} \cdot \mathbf{q}_{(i)} = (K_{L=R})_{i1}$, for every basis vector $\mathbf{q}_{(i)} \in \Lambda_{L=R}$. This means that $\vec{Q}_{L=R}$ is what mathematicians call a visible vector in $\Lambda_{L=R}^*$. This has an all important consequence:

$$(\vec{Q}_{L=R}; \vec{Q}_{L=R}) \text{ is a rational number.}$$

Eq. (3) then gives the rational quantization of the Hall conductivity κ_H , in units of e^2/h , as observed in QH fluids.

A pair $(\vec{\nu}; \vec{Q})$ is called a quantum Hall lattice and represents the geometrical data characterizing a QH fluid. Classifying universality classes of QH fluids thus amounts to classifying pairs of QH lattices $(\vec{\nu}_L; \vec{Q}_L)$ and $(\vec{\nu}_R; \vec{Q}_R)$.

CLASSIFICATION OF QUANTUM HALL LATTICES

A lattice which is the orthogonal direct sum of several sublattices is called decomposable (otherwise indecomposable). QH fluids corresponding to decomposable or indecomposable QH lattices are called composite or elementary fluids, respectively. For a composite QH fluid consisting of two components, we have $\kappa_H = \frac{1}{\kappa_H^1} + \frac{2}{\kappa_H^2}$, where $\frac{1}{\kappa_H^i} = (\vec{Q}^i; \vec{Q}^i) e^2/h = \vec{Q}^i \cdot K^{-1} \vec{Q}^{iT} e^2/h$, with $0 \leq \vec{Q}^i \cdot 2\pi \vec{e}_i$; $i = 1, 2$. In the following, we focus our attention on indecomposable QH lattices. We note that QH fluids consisting of electrons (L) and holes (R) are composite and thus will not appear explicitly in the subsequent classification. Moreover, since the discussions of the two chirality subsectors (L and R) are analogous, we may focus on, say, the left one. We now drop the index L from our notation.

Writing $\kappa_H = (n_H/d_H) e^2/h$, with $\gcd(n_H; d_H) = 1$, Eq. (3) tells us that, for some positive integer l , called the level of the QH fluid,

$$ld_H = \det K; \quad \text{and} \quad \ln_H = \vec{Q} \cdot K \vec{Q}^T; \quad (5)$$

where K is the cofactor (or adjoint) matrix of K , i.e., $K^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det K} K$. Next we state two general classification results on quantum Hall lattices (for proofs, see Ref. 6).

First, for a given Hall fraction κ_H and two positive integers l and N_0 , one can prove that there are only finitely many inequivalent QH lattices $(\vec{\nu}; \vec{Q})$ with $\kappa_H = (\vec{Q}; \vec{Q}) e^2/h = \vec{Q} \cdot K^{-1} \vec{Q}^T e^2/h$; $l = ld_H$, and $\dim \vec{Q} = N_0$.

Second, one can show that the minimal, non-trivial fractional charge, e , associated with a QH lattice $(\vec{\nu}; \vec{Q})$ is given by

$$e = \min_{\substack{n \geq 2 \\ \vec{Q}_{el}(n) \neq 0}} \vec{Q}_{el}(n) e = \frac{e}{d_H}; \quad (6)$$

where n is some integer dividing the level l . In particular, if d_H is even, one can prove that the charge parameter e has to be a multiple of 2 and the level l a multiple of 4. Thus, for the observed QH fluids with $\kappa_H/h = e^2 = \frac{1}{2}; (\frac{3}{2})$, and $\frac{5}{2}$, a model-independent prediction is that e is a fraction of $e=4$: $e = (2\pi) e=4$!

Next we focus our attention on minimal QH lattices which are characterized by the property that their levels satisfy $l=1$. There are several basic facts (for proofs, see Ref. 6) about the corresponding universality classes of minimal elementary QH

uids which make these classes particularly attractive from a theoretical, as well as from a phenomenological, point of view :

(M 1) For the fractions $\nu_H = 1/(2p+1)e^2/h$; $p = 1; 2; \dots$, one can easily show that the famous Laughlin uids⁹ do correspond to the unique minimal indecomposable QH lattices $(\nu; \nu)$ where: ν is one-dimensional, it is generated by a vector $\mathbf{q}_{(1)}$ with length squared $(\mathbf{q}_{(1)}; \mathbf{q}_{(1)}) = 2p+1 = K_{11} = K$, the visible vector ν is given by $\nu = h^{(1)}$ where $h^{(1)} = 1/(2p+1)\mathbf{q}_{(1)}$ is generating the dual lattice, and $\nu_H h = e^2 = (\nu; \nu) = 1/(2p+1)$.

(M 2) If $l=1$ then $d_H = \det K$ has to be odd. Experimentally, there is ample evidence for the "odd-denominator rule".¹⁰ For one-layer (or one-component) systems, the only firmly established exception to this rule is a QH uid with $\nu_H = \frac{5}{2}e^2/h$.¹¹ [For a discussion of even-denominator QH uids, see Refs. 5 and 6.] Furthermore, the following relationship holds between the numerator n_H of the Hall conductivity ν_H and the dimension $N = \dim$ of the indecomposable QH lattice:

$$\text{for } n_H \begin{matrix} (\text{even}) \\ (\text{odd}) \end{matrix}; N \text{ has to be } \begin{matrix} (\text{even}) \\ (\text{odd}) \end{matrix}, \text{ and } N - n_H \pmod{4} : \quad (7)$$

(M 3) For minimal QH uids, one can prove a charge-statistics theorem : for such uids, the (anyonic) statistical phases, $(\eta) = (\eta; \eta) = \eta^{-1} K^{-1} \eta^T$, of (quasiparticle) excitations, labelled by η^2 , are completely determined by the single quantity of their (fractional) charges, $Q_{el}(\eta) = (\nu; \eta) = \nu^{-1} K^{-1} \eta^T$. [In non-minimal QH uids, there are anyons which have the same fractional charges but different statistical phases!] Experimentally, there is evidence¹² that the observed odd-denominator QH uids exhibit "elementary" anyons with fractional charges given by $e = e/d_H$. Eq. (6) then suggests that $\nu = 1$, which is a property automatically satisfied by minimal QH uids where $\nu = 1/1$!

Conway, Sloane, and Sloane¹³ have compiled a classification of all positive integral quadratic forms K associated with an indecomposable (even or odd) lattice for a range of determinants given by $1 \leq \det K \leq 25$ and for lattice dimensions up to a limit ranging from 18 (for $\nu = 1$) to 7 (for $\nu = 25$). This classification involves over 100 odd lattices of odd determinant. When combined with a systematic study of visible vectors ν in the associated dual lattices, it yields, for a physically interesting range of Hall conductivities ν_H , all possible minimal indecomposable QH lattices $(\nu; \nu)$ of dimension $N = \dim N(\nu_H)$, where the dimensions $N(\nu_H)$ depend on the limits in Ref. 13 and satisfy (7). We summarize our results in Table 1 below, where the dimensions $N(\nu_H)$ are indicated in square brackets to the right of each fraction ν_H , except for fractions with $n_H = 1$ for which the Laughlin uids are unique (see (M 1)).

In Table 1, minimal indecomposable QH lattices (or, equivalently, universality classes of minimal elementary QH uids) are specified in terms of explicit matrix and vector realizations of the pairs $(K; \nu)$. For a general discussion of the geometry of

QH lattices making use of gluing theory, see Ref. 6.] The results are presented relative to lattice and dual lattice bases in (8) and (9), respectively, which make the symmetries of the corresponding QH fluids most manifest.⁴⁻⁶ The general results of Refs. 13 and 6 show that most QH lattices in the range of determinants and dimensions specified above have a Gram matrix of the form

$$K = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c|c|c} 0 & \begin{array}{c} \underline{t}_1 \\ \underline{s}_1 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \underline{t}_2 \\ \underline{s}_2 \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \underline{t}_1^T \\ \underline{s}_1^T \end{array} & C(X_1) & 0 \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \underline{t}_2^T \\ \underline{s}_2^T \end{array} & 0 & C(X_2) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{array}; \quad (8)$$

which we abbreviate by writing $(\underline{t}_1^T; \underline{s}_1^T; X_1; \underline{t}_2^T; \underline{s}_2^T; X_2)_N$, where $C(X_i)$ denotes the Cartan matrix of a simply-laced simple Lie algebra, X_i , with non-trivial center, $i = 1, 2$. For $i = 1, 2$; X_i is one of the following algebras: $A_{n-1} = su(n)$; $n = 2, 3, \dots$; $D_n = so(2n)$; $n = 4, 5, \dots$; E_6 , or E_7 . [E_8 -sublattices do not appear in elementary QH fluids! For the Cartan matrices we use the explicit forms given, e.g., in Ref. 14.] Furthermore, in (8), O stands either for an odd integer, $O = 2p + 1$; $p = 1, 2, \dots$, or for an odd, two-dimensional integral quadratic form with Gram matrix $O = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$, abbreviated by $a^b c$; $N = \text{rank } K = \dim$. The quantities \underline{t}_i and \underline{s}_i denote $(\text{rank } X_i)$ -dimensional integral vectors of the form $\underline{t}_i = (0; \dots; 0; 1; 0; \dots; 0)$, where 1 stands in the $\underline{t}_i^{\text{th}}$ place indicated by $\underline{t}_i^T \underline{s}_i X_i$, and similarly for \underline{s}_i ; $i = 1, 2$. [The vectors \underline{s}_1 and \underline{s}_2 are understood to be absent if $\dim O = 1$.] Finally, if \underline{t}_i or $\underline{s}_i = 0$ then \underline{t}_i or $\underline{s}_i = \underline{0}$.

A particularly interesting subclass of minimal indecomposable QH lattices is formed by what we call maximally symmetric lattices. A maximally symmetric QH lattice has the property that the neutral sublattice of \mathcal{Q} (which lies in the orthogonal complement of \mathcal{Q} , i.e., $\mathcal{Q}_{el}(\mathbf{p}) = \mathcal{Q} \quad K^{-1} \mathbf{p}^T = 0$, for $\mathbf{p} \neq 0$) is a direct sum of A_n ; D_n , and $E_{n \notin 8}$ -lattices, and nothing else. In particular, they have $\dim O = 1$ and, relative to the dual bases chosen in (8), their visible vectors are always of the form $\underline{Q} = (1; 0; \dots; 0)$. One can show⁴⁻⁶ that the corresponding maximally symmetric QH fluids exhibit Kac-Moody algebras at level 1 associated with the Lie algebras X_1 and X_2 .

In Table 1, we use round brackets $(\underline{t}_1^T; \underline{s}_1^T; X_1; \underline{t}_2^T; \underline{s}_2^T; X_2)_N$ to indicate maximally symmetric QH lattices, thereby distinguishing them from all other possible minimal indecomposable QH lattices (with $N = N(\mathcal{H})$) for which we use square brackets $[\dots]_N$. Moreover, for maximally symmetric QH lattices, we do not display the vectors \underline{Q} explicitly.

It seems natural to call maximally symmetric QH fluids "generalized Laughlin fluids" because they can be constructed from Laughlin's $1 = (2p + 1)$ -fluids by "adding symmetries and iterating". Let

$$K = \begin{array}{c|c} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \text{rank } K^0 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \text{rank } X \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} K^0 \\ \text{rank } K^0 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{rank } X \\ \text{rank } X \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{rank } K^0 \\ \text{rank } K^0 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{rank } X \\ \text{rank } X \end{array} \end{array} ; \quad \text{and} \quad Q = \begin{array}{c|c} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \text{rank } K^0 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \text{rank } X \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{rank } K^0 \\ \text{rank } K^0 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{rank } X \\ \text{rank } X \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{rank } K^0 \\ \text{rank } K^0 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{rank } X \\ \text{rank } X \end{array} \end{array} ; \quad (9)$$

similarly to (8). Then one easily proves the following relations for

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{A}_{n-1} = \mathfrak{su}(n) : \quad & = n^0 \quad \text{and} \quad = n^0 - t(n-t)^0; \text{ for } t=1, \dots, n-1, \\ \mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{D}_n = \mathfrak{so}(2n) : \quad & = 4^0 \quad \text{and} \quad = 4^0 - n^0; \text{ if } t=n-1 \text{ or } n, \\ \mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{E}_6 : \quad & = 3^0 \quad \text{and} \quad = 3^0 - 4^0; \text{ if } t=1 \text{ or } 5, \\ \mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{E}_7 : \quad & = 2^0 \quad \text{and} \quad = 2^0 - 3^0; \text{ if } t=6, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $\mathbf{0}_H = (\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0})e^2\hbar$, with $\mathbf{0} = \det K^0$ and $\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{Q}^0 K^0 \mathbf{Q}^{0T}$, and accordingly for the unprimed quantities; see also (5).

We note that, for a given value of ν_H , one can construct all maximally symmetric QH lattices, in arbitrary dimension, reproducing that value of ν_H . In Table 1, all minimal, maximally symmetric QH lattices are given for the physically relevant range of Hall conductivities $1/7 \leq \nu_H = e^2/h = n_H/d_H \leq 3$ with $n_H \leq 12$ and $d_H \leq 17$, and all QH lattices that can be constructed on the basis of the classification in Ref. 13 are displayed. For additional results, see Refs. 5 and 6.

A step towards a comparison of our results with phenomenological data obtained in one-layer (or one-component) QH systems¹⁰ is made, in Table 1, by indicating in bold type all experimentally observed fractions. Moreover, Hall fractions for which there is some evidence are typed in bold and enclosed in brackets. All other fractions (plain) have so far not been established experimentally in one-layer systems. A discussion of our results can naturally be organized by collecting QH lattices of the same symmetry type " into structural families"; see Refs. 5 and 6. Moreover, in these references, detailed discussions of physical implications of our results above and of further complete investigations of some more general classes of QH lattices than the minimal ones are given, as well as comparisons with the standard Haldane-Halperin¹⁵ and Jain-Goldman¹⁶ hierarchy schemes. Here we only mention that Table 1 comprises the Laughlin $1/(2p+1)$ -uids, the "basic" Jain uids¹⁷ (corresponding to the A-type uids with $\nu_H < \frac{1}{2}e^2/h$), and the two two-dimensional hierarchy uids with $\nu_H = \frac{4}{11}e^2/h$ and $\frac{6}{17}e^2/h$. All other (universality classes of) QH uids given in Table 1 do not seem to have appeared previously in the literature. Evidence that some of these "new" QH uids might actually have been observed in some experiments will appear in Refs. 5 and 6.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

UM S would like to thank the Onderzoeksfonds K.U. Leuven (grant OT/92/9) for financial support.

Table 1. Minimal indecomposable quantum Hall lattices with $1 \leq n_H = d_H = \frac{h}{e^2} \leq 3$. $(:::)_N$ and $Q = (1; 0; :::; 0)$: maximally symmetric lattices (complete list); $[:::]_N$ and Q as indicated: non-maximally symmetric lattices (all with $N \in \mathbb{N}$).

[The LaTeX file for Table 1 is appended to the text and uses the config file A4 Landscape.]

REFERENCES

1. K . von K litzing, G . D orda, and M . Pepper, Phys. Rev. Lett. 45, 494 (1980);
D C . T sui, H L . Storm er, and A C . G ossard, Phys. Rev. B 48, 1559 (1982);
for a review , see, e.g., R E . P range and S M . G ervin, eds., The Q uantum H all E ffect,
Second Edition, G raduate Texts in C ontem porary Physics (Springer, New York, 1990).
2. B L . A ltshuler and P A . Lee, Physics Today 41 (12), 36 (1988);
R A . W ebb and S. W ashburn, *ibid.* 41 (12), 46 (1988).
3. R . M ottahedeh et al., Solid State C om m un. 72, 1065 (1989);
D . Yoshioka, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 62, 839 (1993).
4. J. Frohlich and U M . Studer, C om m un. M ath. Phys. 148, 553 (1992); Rev. M od. Phys.
65, 733 (1993).
5. J. Frohlich, U M . Studer, and E . Thiran, \Structuring the set of incompressible
quantum Hall uids", in preparation; \C lassification of quantum Hall uids", in
preparation.
6. J. Frohlich and E . Thiran, \Integral quadratic forms, K ac-M oody algebras, and fractional
quantum Hall effect: an ADE O classification", preprint, ETH-TH/93-22, to appear
in J. of Stat. Phys. (July 1994).
7. B I. H alperin, Phys. Rev. B 25, 2185 (1982);
M . Buttiker, *ibid.* 38, 9375 (1988);
C W . J. Beenakker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 216 (1990);
A H . M acD onald, *ibid.* 64, 220 (1990);
X G . W en, *ibid.* 64, 2206 (1990); Phys. Rev. B 41, 12838 (1990);
J. Frohlich and T . Kerler, Nucl. Phys. B 354, 369 (1991);
M . Stone, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 207, 38 (1991);
R C . A shoori et al., Phys. Rev. B 45, 3894 (1992);
K . von K litzing, Physica B 184, 1 (1993).
8. P. G oddard and D . Olive, Int. J. M od. Phys. A 1, 303 (1986).
9. R B . Laughlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 1395 (1983); Phys. Rev. B 27, 3383 (1983).
10. D C . T sui, Physica B 164, 59 (1990), and references therein;
T . S ajto et al., Phys. Rev. B 41, 8449 (1990), and references therein;
H W . J iang et al., Phys. Rev. B 44, 8107 (1991);
H L . Storm er, Physica B 177, 401 (1992), and references therein.
11. R L . W illett et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 1776 (1987);
J P . E isenstein et al., *ibid.* 61, 997 (1988); Surf. Sci. 229, 31 (1990).
12. R G . C lark et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 1747 (1988);
S W . H wang et al., Surf. Sci. 263, 72 (1992).
13. J H . C onway, F R S . S bane, and N J A . S bane, Proc. R . Soc. Lond. A 418, 17 (1988),
and references therein.
14. R . S lansky, Phys. Reports 79, 1 (1981).
15. F D M . H alkane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51, 605 (1983);
B I. H alperin, *ibid.* 52, 1583 (1984).
16. J K . Jain and V J . G oldm an, Phys. Rev. B 45, 1255 (1992).
17. J K . Jain, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 199 (1989); Phys. Rev. B 41, 7653 (1990); C om m ents
Cond. M at. Phys. 16, 307 (1993).