

X Y universality of the stacked triangular Ising antiferromagnet

M. L. Plumer

Centre de Recherche en Physique du Solide et D partement de Physique

Universit  de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Q bec, Canada J1K 2R1

A. Mailhot

INRS-EAU, 2800 rue Einstein, C.P. 7500, Ste-Foy, Q bec, Canada G1V 4C7

(July 1994)

Abstract

Histogram analysis of Monte-Carlo simulation results of the Ising antiferromagnet on a stacked triangular lattice are reported. Finite-size scaling estimates for critical exponents suggest X Y universality. This result supports earlier predictions based on symmetry arguments and is in contrast with a recent suggestion of a new universality class by Bunker et al. [Phys. Rev. B 48, 15861 (1993)].

75.40 Mg, 75.40 Cx, 75.10 Hk

Controversy regarding the critical behavior of frustrated spin systems continues.¹ The difficulty in resolving such issues is due partly to the disparity in results, and in their interpretation, among the wide variety of theoretical and numerical approaches available. Even Monte-Carlo (MC) simulations performed by two different groups can give results which lead to two different conclusions. Sets of critical exponents associated with different universality classes may differ by only a relatively small amount. In the case of the XY antiferromagnet on a stacked triangular lattice (STL), recent histogram MC results have produced exponent estimates,² not too different from those found earlier by Kawamura based on conventional MC analysis.³ In the former case, however, the results are suggestive of mean-field tricritical behavior in contrast with the proposal by Kawamura of a new universality class. Although MC simulation results by a number of groups in the Heisenberg case concur with those found by Kawamura (see, e.g., Ref. 4), thus supporting the scenario of a new universality class for that model system, these data do not agree with the proposal by Azzaria et al.⁵ of either $O(4)$ or tricritical universality (if the transition is continuous). In the present work, finite-size scaling of extensive histogram MC data in the Ising case is shown to yield critical exponents which strongly suggest XY universality. This result supports the symmetry arguments made some ten years ago by Berker et al.⁶ and is in contrast with the recent suggestion of a new universality class for this system.⁷

The finite-size scaling of histogram MC data can yield highly accurate critical exponents for unfrustrated models.^{8,9} For a system of size $L \times L \times L$, exponent ratios determine the scaling with L of both the extrema of thermodynamic functions (except the order parameter) near the critical temperature, T_N , and also these functions evaluated at T_N . The latter procedure appears to be both more accurate (especially in the case of the susceptibility) and less computer-time expensive with use of the cumulant crossing method to obtain reliable estimates of critical temperatures.⁸ With the former procedure, histograms must be made at temperatures close to the where the extrema occur, which can vary significantly with L . The multiple-histogram technique is useful in this case, but with more computational expense.

Frustrated systems are expected to exhibit more fluctuations due to the proximity of nearly degenerate states.¹ Although much progress has been made in recent years to overcome critical slowing down, these techniques appear largely ineffective on frustrated systems. Special attention must then be given to ensure that MC runs are sufficiently long and that L is sufficiently large for the system to exhibit its true critical behavior.¹⁰

The present work serves to complement and extend our previous results on the STL Ising antiferromagnet which focused on the effects of next-nearest-neighbor basal-plane coupling.¹¹ With these limited data (i.e., only 1×10^6 MCS (Monte-Carlo steps) were used for averaging at each $L=12-30$), the exponents were estimated by the scaling of extrema of thermodynamic functions also for the near-neighbor model. Given the rather large uncertainties in these results, only consistency with XY universality could be claimed.

In contrast, Bunker et al.⁷ employed the multiple histogram technique to estimate exponents from the scaling of extrema and, although their results are not too far from those of XY universality, the differences were significant enough to lead to the suggestion that the STL Ising antiferromagnet belongs to a new universality class. Their histograms were made at $L=6-30$, with averaging made using approximately 1×10^6 MCS for the smaller lattices and only 2.2×10^5 MCS at $L=30$.

In an effort to further test the hypothesis of a new universality class, we report here the results of extensive MC simulations performed on the STL Ising model with antiferromagnetic near-neighbor exchange coupling in the basal plane, $J_z = 1$, and ferromagnetic coupling along the c -axis, $J_k = -1$. The Metropolis MC algorithm was used to generate histograms on lattices with $L=12-33$ using runs with from 5×10^5 MCS for the smaller lattices to 1.2×10^6 MCS for the larger lattices, after discarding the initial $1 \times 10^5 - 2 \times 10^5$ MCS for thermalization. Averaging was then made using from 6 runs for the smaller L to 15 runs at $L=30$. Averaging was made over 13 runs at $L=33$. For the largest lattice, this gives a reasonable 15.6×10^6 MCS for averaging. Errors were estimated (approximately) by taking the standard deviation of the many runs for each L . All histograms were generated at our previous estimate of the critical temperature, $T_N \approx 2.93$.¹¹

Results of applying the cumulant-crossing method⁸ to estimate the critical temperature are presented in Fig. 1. The points represent the temperatures at which the order-parameter cumulant $U_m(T)$ at L^0 crosses the cumulant at $L = 12$ or $L = 15$. There is considerable scatter in the data and care must be taken to use only results with L sufficiently large to be in the asymptotic region where a linear extrapolation is justified⁸, i.e., for $\ln^{-1}(L^0/L) < 2.2$. From these results, the critical temperature is estimated to be $T_N = 2.9298(10)$. This value compares well with our previous estimate but differs significantly from that of Bunker et al., $T_N = 2.920(5)$.

Finite-size scaling results at T_N for the specific heat C , spin order parameter M , susceptibility (as defined in Kawamura's work^{3;8}), and the first logarithmic derivative of the order parameter $V_1 = \partial[\ln(M)]/\partial K$ (where $K = T^{-1}$)⁹ are shown in Figs. 2-5. Except in the case of the specific heat, exponent ratios were estimated by assuming a scaling dependence $F = aL^x$ for a function of interest. Errors were too large to extract a reliable estimate for ν and Fig. 2 shows the scaling results with an assumption of XY universality. A good straight-line fit is obtained using data from the five largest lattices. Estimates for the other exponent ratios were made using either all the data, with the $L=12$ data excluded, with $L=12-15$ data excluded, etc. Only the estimates for $1/\nu$ displayed a significant variation when data for the smaller lattices were not included in the fitting procedure. These were made using V_1 , with the results 1.531(6), 1.526(5), 1.521(5), and 1.515(5), respectively (quoted uncertainties represent the robustness of the fitting procedure and does not include the effects of the error bars). Corresponding results using the second logarithmic derivative⁸ V_2 are 1.536(6), 1.532(5), 1.527(5), and 1.521(4). The sets of values represent fits from 8 (first numbers) to only 5 (last numbers) data points. Although caution must therefore be used in weighing the significance of these latter estimates, the sets of values suggest that $1/\nu = 1.51(2)$ is a reasonable extrapolation for the thermodynamic limit.

Scaling of estimated maxima of the function V_1 was also performed. The result of using our data for $L=12-30$ is $1/\nu = 1.46$, exactly the value found by Bunker et al.. With only results $L=21-30$ included, the estimate $1/\nu = 1.44$ was obtained. Since simulations were all

performed at only one temperature ($T = 2.93$), the uncertainty associated with these scaling results is large. For example, the maximum in V_1 at $L = 30$ was found at $T = 2.952$, quite far from the simulation temperature. In principle, larger values for the maximum in V_1 would be obtained if the simulations were performed at temperatures closer to where they occur. This would lead to an increase in the value of $1/\nu$. Similar conclusions may be relevant in the case of the results at $L = 30$ of Bunker et al.

The ν values quoted for $\nu = 1$ and $\nu = 2$ correspond to ν made with the two smallest lattices excluded. In order to estimate errors due to the uncertainty in T_N , identical scaling was also performed at $T = 2.9288$ and $T = 2.9308$. Uncertainties arising from the error bars indicated on the figures were always found to be smaller. The resulting exponents (using $\nu = 1.51 = 0.662$) and error estimates are presented in Table I, along with a variety of exponent estimates for the standard XY model, as well as those of Bunker et al. Our results are well within the range of values found for the XY model.

In conclusion, these results clearly indicate that the Ising antiferromagnet on a stacked triangular lattice exhibits a phase transition which belongs to the standard XY universality class. This is in agreement with the symmetry arguments of Berker et al.⁶ and not with the suggestion of a new universality class recently made by Bunker et al.⁷. We believe that the critical exponents estimated from the MC simulations reported in this latter work were made using lattices that were too small and with insufficient statistics at the larger lattice sizes. The scaling of extrema of thermodynamic functions, rather than at the critical point, may also reduce the accuracy of exponent estimates. These conclusions serve as a general reminder that special care must be taken in the numerical simulation of frustrated spin systems.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by NSERC of Canada and FCAR du Quebec.

REFERENCES

- ¹ Magnetic Systems with Competing Interactions, edited by H.T.Diep (World Scientific, in press).
- ² M.L.Plumer, A.Mailhot, and A.Caille, Phys.Rev.Lett. (submitted).
- ³ H.Kawamura, J.Phys.Soc.Jpn. 54, 3220 (1985); 55, 2095, 2157 (1986); 56, 474 (1987); Phys.Rev.B 38, 4916 (1988); 42, 2610 (E) (1990); J.Phys.Soc.Jpn. 61, 1299 (1992).
- ⁴ T.Bhattacharya, A.Billoire, R.Lacaze, and Th.Jolicoeur, J.Phys.I (France) 4, 122 (1994); D.Loison and H.T.Diep (unpublished); A.Mailhot, M.L.Plumer, and A.Caille, Phys.Rev.B (to be published).
- ⁵ P.Azaria, B.Delamotte, and Th.Jolicoeur, Phys.Rev.Lett. 64, 3175 (1990); P.Azaria, B.Delamotte, F.Delduc, and Th.Jolicoeur, Nucl.Phys.B 408, 485 (1993).
- ⁶ A.N.Berker et al, J.Appl.Phys. 55, 2416 (1984); D.Blankshtein et al, Phys.Rev.B 29, 5250 (1984).
- ⁷ A.Bunker, B.D.Gaulin, and C.Kallin, Phys.Rev.B 48, 15861 (1993).
- ⁸ P.Peczak, A.M.Ferrenberg, and D.P.Landau, Phys.Rev.B 43, 6087 (1991).
- ⁹ A.M.Ferrenberg and D.P.Landau, Phys.Rev.B 44, 5081 (1991), C.Holm and W.Janke, Phys.Rev.B 48, 936 (1993); K.Chen, A.M.Ferrenberg, and D.P.Landau, Phys.Rev.B 48, 3249 (1993).
- ¹⁰ M.L.Plumer, A.Mailhot, and A.Caille, Phys.Rev.B 48, 3840 (1993); 49, June 1 (1994); A.Mailhot and M.L.Plumer Phys.Rev.B 48, 9881 (1993).
- ¹¹ M.L.Plumer et al, Phys.Rev.B 47, 14312 (1993).
- ¹² M.S.S.Challa, D.P.Landau, and K.Binder, Phys.Rev.B 34, 1841 (1986).
- ¹³ J.C.LeGuillou and J.Zinn-Justin, J.Phys.Lett. (Paris) 46, L137 (1985).

¹⁴ M .Ferer, M A .M oore, W .W ortis, Phys. Rev. B 8, 5205 (1973).

¹⁵ P .Butera, M .Com i, and A .J. G uttm ann Phys. Rev. B 48, 13987 (1993).

¹⁶ W .Janke, Physics Lett. A 148, 306 (1990).

¹⁷ A .P .G ottlob and M .H asenbusch, Physica A 201, 593 (1993).

TABLES

TABLE I. Comparison of estimates for critical exponents of the unfrustrated XY model and those for the stacked triangular (STL) Ising antiferromagnet.

XY:RG (4) . ^a	-0.013 (15)	0.349 (4)	1.315 (7)	0.671 (5)
XY:HT Series. ^b	-0.01 (2)	0.345 (10)	1.323 (15)	0.670 (7)
XY:HT Series. ^c	-0.01 (3)	0.348 (15)	1.315 (9)	0.67 (1)
XY:Histogram MC. ^d	-0.010 (6)	0.347 (3)	1.316 (5)	0.670 (2)
XY:Histogram MC. ^e	+0.014 (20)	0.331 (10)	1.324 (1)	0.662 (7)
STL Ising: This work. ^f	+0.012 (30)	0.341 (4)	1.31 (3)	0.662 (9)
STL Ising: Bunker et al. ^g	-0.05 (3)	0.311 (4)	1.43 (3)	0.685 (3)

^aRenormalization-group from Ref. 13 and scaling relations.

^bHigh-temperature series from Ref. 14 and scaling relations.

^cHigh-temperature series from Ref. 15 and scaling relations.

^dFrom Ref. 16 and scaling relations.

^eFrom Ref. 17 and scaling relations.

^fWith determined from scaling relations.

^gFrom Ref. 7 with determined from scaling relations.

FIGURES

FIG .1. Results of applying the cumulant-crossing method (see text) to estimate the critical temperature, where $b = L^0 = L$.

FIG .2. Finite-size scaling of the specific heat data. Data at $L=12$ and $L=15$ is excluded from the fit. Error bars are estimated from the standard deviation found in the MC runs.

FIG .3. Finite-size scaling of the order parameter. Data at $L=12$ and 15 are excluded from the fit. Error bars are estimated from the standard deviation found in the MC runs.

FIG .4. Finite-size scaling of the susceptibility, as in Fig. 3.

FIG .5. Finite-size scaling of the logarithmic derivative of the order parameter V_1 (see text), as in Fig. 3.