

Manifestation of spin degrees of freedom
in the double fractional quantum Hall system

T. Nakajima and H. Aoki

Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Hongo, Tokyo 113, Japan

Abstract : The double fractional quantum Hall system of spin $1/2$ electrons is numerically studied to predict that there exists a novel spin-unpolarized quantum liquid specific to the multi-species system, which exemplifies a link between the spin state and the inter-layer electron correlation. Even when the ground state is spin-polarized, the lowest charge-excitation mode involves the spin when the interlayer tunneling is considered.

PACS numbers: 73.40.Hm.

Recently, much attention is focused on the double fractional quantum Hall (FQH) system, in which two layers interact with each other as realized in double quantum wells[1] or in wide single quantum wells[2]. Specifically, Eisenstein et al have observed a FQH state at total Landau level filling of $\nu = 1/2$ in a structure in which the inter-layer tunneling is prohibited due to a barrier separating the two layers and yet the two layers are coupled via Coulomb interactions.[3] Usual practice in considering a double FQH system is to introduce a pseudospin describing the layer degrees of freedom, while the real spin is neglected under the assumption that they are fully polarized. Then the spin-polarized double FQH system mimics the single FQH system of spin $1/2$ electrons.

However, already in single-layer FQH systems, [4] the real spin degrees of freedom dominate the electron correlation via Pauli's principle. Namely, the ground state is spin fully polarized for odd-fraction Landau level filling factor, while the ground state is spin unpolarized for some other fractions as detected in tilted-field experiments. Thus the real question for the double FQH system is that how the inclusion of the spin degrees of freedom dominate the physics, in which the total spin should crucially affect the interplay of the intra-layer and inter-layer electron correlations, which is controlled by the layer separation (d) normalized by the magnetic length ($l_B = \sqrt{\hbar / eB}$).

Motivated by this, we consider double-layer FQH systems of spin $1/2$ electrons. We shall show from a numerical study for finite systems that we do have spin-unpolarized FQH state specific to the double-layer system of spin $1/2$ electrons. Another motivation of the present Letter is to look into the low-lying excitations in the coexistence of real and pseudo spins in view of the recently emerged measurements of the excitations in the FQH system from the inelastic light scattering.[5, 6, 7]

We consider the Hamiltonian, first in the absence of inter-layer tunneling, given by

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m_1, m_4} \sum_{x_1, x_4} \lim_{j \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^2}{j_1^2 j_2^2 + (z_1 - z_2)^2} j_{n_4, 4} j_{m_3, 3} i a_{m_1, 1}^y a_{m_2, 2}^y a_{m_3, 3}^0 a_{m_4, 4}^0; \quad (1)$$

where a_m^y is the creation operator for the m -th in-plane orbit of real spin with pseudospin $(= \text{layer } 1; 2)$, r the in-plane position and ϵ the dielectric constant.

We have obtained the ground-state wavefunctions from the exact diagonalization of finite systems in both torus and spherical geometries. Since the total spin, S_{tot} , of the system is conserved, we concentrate on the subspace of $S_z^{\text{tot}} = (N_{\uparrow} - N_{\downarrow})/2 = 0$. Still, the inclusion of the spin in the double-layer system enormously increases the dimension of the Hamiltonian matrix (to typically 8×10^5 for $L = 4=7$ with four electrons per each layer), which has been diagonalized here by the Lanczos method. We have determined S_{tot} and the intra- and inter-layer electron correlations for various values of total L and d .

To characterize the numerically obtained wavefunctions we have looked into, in addition to the radial distribution function, the overlap with trial wavefunctions: we can extend the Gork-Roman wavefunction [8] proposed for the single-layer system of spin $1=2$ electrons to the double-layer system, which is feasible in the absence of inter-layer tunneling with the fixed number of electrons in each layer.[9] The double Gork-Roman' wavefunction is given (in the symmetric gauge) for N electrons as

$$\Psi_{\text{G-R}} = \hat{A} \left[\Psi_{\text{G-R}}(z) (u_{\uparrow})_1 \dots (u_{\downarrow})_1 \dots (u_{\downarrow})_N \right. \\ \left. (d_{\uparrow})_1 \dots (d_{\downarrow})_1 \dots (d_{\downarrow})_N \right] \quad (2)$$

$$\Psi_{\text{G-R}}(z) = \Psi_{\text{intra}}^{\uparrow\uparrow} \Psi_{\text{intra}}^{\uparrow\downarrow} \Psi_{\text{inter}} \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=4}^{\infty} z_i z_j^j \right); \quad (3)$$

$$\Psi_{\text{intra}}^{\uparrow\uparrow} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq \frac{N}{4}} (z_i - z_j)^1 (z_i - z_j)^1 (\dots)^1 (\dots)^1; \quad (4)$$

$$\Psi_{\text{intra}}^{\uparrow\downarrow} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq \frac{N}{4}} (z_i - z_j)^m (\dots)^m; \quad (5)$$

$$\Psi_{\text{inter}} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq \frac{N}{4}} (z_i - z_j)^n (z_i - z_j)^n (z_i - z_j)^n (z_i - z_j)^n; \quad (6)$$

Here $z_i = x_i - iy_i$ is the position of an \uparrow -spin electron in layer 1, Z_i for a \downarrow -spin in layer 1, \dots for a \uparrow -spin in layer 2 and \dots for a \downarrow -spin in layer 2. \hat{A} is the antisymmetrization operator, $u=\downarrow$ are the spinors for layer 1/2, \dots are the spinors for real spin up/down. The exponents in the Jastrow factors specify the orbital correlation (minimum relative angular momentum) for intra-layer like spins (1), intra-layer unlike spins (m) and inter-layer electrons (n).

Fermi statistics requires L to be odd. In addition a wavefunction must be an eigenstate of S_{tot} . Since the total spin of each layer is conserved in the absence of inter-layer tunneling, we should impose the usual Fock condition upon each layer, which is satisfied only when $m = 1$

(spin-polarized) or $m = 1 - 1$ (spin-unpolarized) for $S_z^{\text{tot}} = 0$. The filling factor is given by $\nu = 4/(1 + m + 2n)$; since we have $N = (1 + m + 2n)N/4 = 1$ in a spherical system with the number of flux quanta being N , which is in turn related to the Landau-level filling via $N = 2\ell^2 N$ where ℓ is an integer.

We have previously obtained the result for total $\nu = 1$. [9] The result shows an existence of a spin-polarized/spin-unpolarized transition at $(d/\ell)_c = 1.43$. A change in the inter-layer radial distribution function, which is quantitatively slight but discontinuous, signals the transition at d_c . The spin-polarized ground state for $\nu = 1$ has a large overlap with ψ_{111} . This is in fact expected, since we have an obvious limit of $d = 0$ at which both the pseudospin and real spin should be polarized with all the correlations between like/unlike layers and like/unlike spins becoming equivalent as realized in ψ_{mmmm} .

Now we turn to the case where the role of spin is truly dramatic. The double G reen-R om an trial function predicts the simplest spin-unpolarized state to be $\nu = 4/7 = 4/(3 + 2 + 2 - 1)$, for which ψ_{321} becomes an eligible function. This state is thus in sharp contrast with the extensively studied $\nu = 1/2$ state, in which case the only eligible function among ψ_{lmn} 's with a finite inter-layer correlation ($n \neq 0$) is spin-polarized ψ_{331} . While for $\nu = 1/2$ Yoshioka et al have pointed out, for spinless electrons, a large overlap between the ground state and the (spinless counterpart of) ψ_{331} around $d/\ell = 1.5$ from the numerical calculation [10], followed by an experimental identification of the state by Eisenstein et al, [3] the $\nu = 4/7$ state thus exemplifies a novel, spin-unpolarized class in the coexistence of real and pseudo-spins.

As seen in Fig.1 (a), preliminary reported in [9], the overlap between the exact ground state at $\nu = 4/7$ and ψ_{321} numerically calculated in the spherical geometry has indeed a maximum value, 0.968, around $d/\ell = 1.0$. ψ_{321} has the intra-layer correlation similar to that of the $\nu = 2/5$ single-layer state with $S_{\text{tot}} = 0$ proposed by Halperin, [8] but incorporates a significant inter-layer correlation as well. Namely, given the fact that three of the intra-layer correlation of parallel spins, intra-layer correlation of antiparallel spins and inter-layer correlation cannot vary independently in a quantum liquid, ψ_{321} provides a simplest example in which all the three correlations differ from each other. The state is realized for a finite range of d because, as d

is increased, the difference between the intra-layer and inter-layer interactions (or the Haldane pseudopotentials for the spherical geometry) increases, thereby giving a chance for the inter-layer correlation to deviate from the intra-layer correlation, while the system will eventually reduce to independent layers for larger d .

Experimentally the quantization at $\nu = 4/7$ in double FQH systems has not been observed so far. This may be because the layer separation has not been made small enough. Another factor is that a spin-unpolarized state will be unfavored when the Zeeman energy, E_{Zeeman} , is taken into account. Hence it is imperative to confirm the $\nu = 4/7$ state can survive the Zeeman effect. We have calculated the energy difference per particle, E , between the lowest of the spin-polarized states and the ground state as a function of $d=\lambda$. The result in Fig.1 (b) shows that it has a peak of $0.0058 e^2/\epsilon$, which is $\sim 1.0\text{K}$ for GaAs (with $\epsilon = 12.6$) in $B = 10\text{T}$ and is in fact comparable with the Zeeman energy $g_B B \sim 1.5\text{K}$, where $g (= 0.44 \text{ for GaAs})$ is Lande's g factor.[11] The figure may also serve as a phase diagram, if we normalize the vertical axis to regard it as the ratio, E/E_{Zeeman} (the right scale in the figure): in the region where the curve exceeds unity the spin-unpolarized ground state survives the Zeeman energy. Since $E/E_{\text{Zeeman}} = (g \mu_B / \epsilon)^{-1}$ we predict that the $\nu = 4/7$ FQH state should be observable for smaller B (with smaller density of electrons to retain $\nu = 4/7$), or for smaller g -factor possibly realized in high-pressure experiments [12].

We have also calculated the energy gap between the ground state and the first excited state as a function of $d=\lambda$. The result in Fig.1 (a) has a peak of $0.027 e^2/\epsilon$, which has a magnitude similar to the gap for the single-layer $\nu = 1/5$ state. All of the three curves in Fig.1 are peaked in the same region of d , which confirms the existence of an intrinsic state in this region.

We can further show that, even when the ground state is real-spin polarized, the discussion of charge excitations has to include the spin degrees of freedom when we take the interlayer tunneling into account. The tunneling adds a term, $H_t = \sum_m (c_{SA}^\dagger c_S + \text{h.c.})$, to the Hamiltonian. The single-particle wavefunctions then split into symmetric and antisymmetric ones about the center of the structure, and the gap, ϵ_{SA} , enters as another energy. For spin $1/2$ electrons in a double layer, we have then to consider the excitation mode in

which pseudospin- $\uparrow\downarrow$ and real-spin- $\uparrow\downarrow$ simultaneously take place (which we call SPS-mode) in addition to the spin-wave (S) and pseudospin-wave (PS) excitations.

This is expected from the effective spin/pseudospin Hamiltonian for the system, which comprises the pair creation/annihilation of the PS mode, the $S \leftrightarrow PS + SPS$ and $SPS \leftrightarrow S + PS$ processes on top of the free boson piece. Because of these processes, the effective Hamiltonian cannot be diagonalized by a Bogoliubov transformation, unlike the spinless case where the PS mode may be nicely fitted by the single-mode approximation. Bray has discussed an SPS excitation in the Hartree-Fock approximation.[13] However, this problem has to be investigated rigorously, because we are dealing with a strongly correlated system.

Here we look into the case of $\kappa = 1$ because of the recent interests for this situation in the presence of inter-layer tunneling. Murphy et al have experimentally investigated the double-layer system [14] to probe the phase diagram, in which the $\kappa = 1$ QHE region' exists with the ground state being both real-spin polarized and pseudospin polarized throughout. There the nature of the ground state evolves continuously, as ϵ_{SAS} is increased, from ν_{111} dominated by the inter-layer Coulomb interaction ((a) in the inset of Fig 2) down to the fully-occupied symmetric state, $\nu_{sym} = 1$, dominated by single-particle tunneling (b).

We present in Fig 2 the numerical result for the low-lying excitations for three typical points in the QHE region, which includes the case (c) of a large $d = \ell$ for which a dip evolves in the pseudospin-wave dispersion precursing an instability of $\nu_{sym} = 1$. When $\epsilon_{SAS} \ll 0$, the spin-wave excitation is a gapless Goldstone mode restoring the $SU(2)$ symmetry of the spin if the Zeeman shift is neglected, while both the PS mode and the SPS modes have gaps. A new finding here is that the energies of the three modes satisfy $E_S < E_{SPS} < E_{PS}$ for $\kappa < 1 = \ell$ for cases (a-c), which persists when the Zeeman energy is considered. Namely, the charge excitation costs smaller energy ($< \epsilon_{SAS}$) when the spin excitation is exploited simultaneously. Thus the SPS-mode can be a candidate for the thermal gap, but this would contradict with the experimentally reported gap much larger than ϵ_{SAS} for samples having a small ϵ_{SAS} [14]. The problem is thus still open. For the spin-polarized case, the pseudospin-wave excitations from the ground state have been shown to have multiplet structures of weakly-interacting bosons (pseudomagnons)

for small layer separations.[15] Extension of this picture to spin $1/2$ electrons in a double-layer is another future problem.

We are grateful to Prof. D. Yoshioka and Koichi Kusakabe for valuable discussions. The numerical calculations were done on HITAC S3800 in the Computer Centre, the University of Tokyo. This work was in part supported by a Grant-in-Aid from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan.

References

- [*] Present address: Semiconductor Research Lab, Mitsubishi Electric Co., Amagasaki, Japan.
- [1] G.S. Boebinger et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 1793 (1990).
- [2] Y.W. Suen et al, Phys. Rev. B 44, 5947 (1991).
- [3] J.P. Eisenstein et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 1383 (1992).
- [4] The Quantum Hall Effect 2nd ed., edited by R.E. Prange and S.M. Girvin (Springer, New York, 1990); T. Chakraborty and P. Pietilainen, The Fractional Quantum Hall Effect (Springer, Berlin, 1988).
- [5] A. Pinczuk et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 3623 (1992); *ibid* 70, 3983 (1993).
- [6] P. Pietilainen, Phys. Rev. B 38, 4279 (1988).
- [7] J.P. Longo and C. Kallin, Phys. Rev. B 47, 4429 (1993).
- [8] B.I. Halperin, Helv. Phys. Acta 56, 75 (1983).
- [9] T. Nakajima and H. Aoki, Physica B 184, 91 (1993).
- [10] D. Yoshioka, A.H. MacDonald and S.M. Girvin, Phys. Rev. B 39, 1932 (1989).
- [11] The energy difference is shown to become slightly smaller if we take the finite thickness of the wavefunction normal to the layers into consideration.
- [12] R.G. Clark, private communication.
- [13] L. Brety, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 903 (1990).
- [14] S.Q. Murphy et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 728 (1994).
- [15] T. Nakajima and H. Aoki, Proc. Int. Symposium on Frontiers in High Magnetic Fields, 1993; Physica B, in press.

Figure captions

Fig.1. (a) The overlap between the exact ground state at $\mu = 4/7$ and μ_{321} against the layer separation, d for eight (four per layer) electrons in the spherical geometry. The energy gap per particle between the ground state (which is spin-unpolarized) and the lowest spin-polarized state (b) or the gap between the ground state and the first excited state (a, right scale) are also plotted. The right scale in (b) represents the ratio, $(E_{\text{Spin ax}} - E_0)/E_{\text{Zeeman}}$, where $g = g_{\text{GaAs}}$ and $B = 10\text{T}$: in the region where the line exceeds unity the spin-unpolarized ground state survives the Zeeman energy. The lines are guide to the eye.

Fig.2. The excitation modes for the double-layer system of spin $1/2$ electrons having six electrons at $\mu = 1$ for (a) $d = 0.5$, $\mu_{\text{SAS}}/(e^2/\epsilon) = 0.05$, (b) $d = 0.5$, $\mu_{\text{SAS}}/(e^2/\epsilon) = 0.20$ and (c) $d = 1.5$, $\mu_{\text{SAS}}/(e^2/\epsilon) = 0.20$ in the absence of the Zeeman energy. The modes comprise the spin-wave excitation (S, dotted line), pseudospin-wave excitation (PS, broken line), and pseudospin-wave excitation with one-spin-flip (PSP, solid line). The lines are guide to the eye. The inset indicates the positions of the three sets of parameters on a plot of the numerically obtained overlap between the exact wavefunction and the fully-occupied symmetric state against d and μ_{SAS} .