## Scaling of Reaction Zones in the A + B ! ; D i usion-L in ited Reaction

Benjam in P. Lee and John Cardy

Theoretical Physics, University of O xford, 1 K eble Road, O xford O X 1 3N P, United K ingdom .

(Preprint: December 31, 2021)

W e study reaction zones in three di erent versions of the A + B ! ; system . For a steady state form ed by opposing currents of A and B particles we derive scaling behavior via renorm alization group analysis. By use of a previously developed analogy, these results are extended to the time-dependent case of an initially segregated system . We also consider an initially m ixed system , which form s reaction zones for dimension d < 4. In this case an extension of the steady-state analogy gives scaling results characterized by new exponents.

PACS num bers: 05.40.+ j, 02.50.-r, 82.20.-w

The reaction-di usion process A + B !; has received m uch attention since the work of Toussaint and W ilczek [1], in which it was demonstrated that density uctuations are important when the dimensionality d < 4. Attempts to incorporate the uctuation e ects, including the application of renorm alization group (RG) m ethods, have proven successful for one-species reactions [2,3,4]. How ever, the physics of the two-species reaction is som ewhat di erent, as the number di erence of A and B particles is locally conserved. Nonetheless, the main results of the RG analysism ay be extended to this case [5,6].

In the present work we are concerned with situations in which the A and B particles are well segregated, so that reactions are then con ned to reaction zones, on the boundaries between the A - and B -dom inated regions. The segregation m ay be a consequence of the initial conditions, or arise asymptotically from a mixed initial state when d < 4. Both of these cases m ay be compared to that of a steady state formed by directing steady, uniform currents of A and B particles towards each other. In all three cases the structures of the reaction zones are very similar.

Reaction zones were rst studied by G al and Racz [7] in the context of a system with segregated initial conditions. Their analysis is essentially a mean-eld result, as we will show, which holds asymptotically for d > 2. Later, C omell and D roz treated the case of d < 2 by using scaling arguments motivated by a renormalization group picture [8]. A lso, reaction zones in initially homogeneous system swere studied by Leyvraz and Redner [9,10]. In this paper we use exact RG methods both to con rm previous results and to derive new ones for the exponents which characterize the reaction zones.

The model we shall use for the A + B ! ; reaction is one of particles undergoing continuous-time random walks on a hypercubic lattice. We consider general, nonzero values of the di usion constants D<sub>A</sub> and D<sub>B</sub>. If an A and a B particle are together on a lattice site, then they annihilate with some characteristic reaction rate . In the eld-theoretic approach it is convenient to allow multiple occupancy of lattice sites, regardless of particle type, but this is not expected to alter the universal properties.

F irst we consider the steady state reached when equal currents J of A and B particles are directed towards each other. The average densities then vary only in the direction of the currents. In this case the width of the reaction zone goes as a power of J, as  $J \ ! \ 0$ ,

The typical nearest-neighbor distance in the reaction zone  $\mathbf{\dot{r}_{zz}}$  scales as

W

ł

$$\sum_{rz} \begin{array}{c} J^{1=(d+1)} & d & 2 \\ J^{2=3d} & d > 2. \end{array}$$
(2)

The second example we consider is that of initially segregated particles. Consider a system prepared with only A particles in the region x < 0 and only B particles for x > 0. The behavior in the reaction zone again scales, now with respect to time, with w t and 'rz t. The densities in the reaction zone, where  $jx = x_{\rm E}j^{<}w$ , have the scaling form

ai; hbi 
$$t^{d} F_{a;b} \frac{x x_{c}}{t}$$
 (3)

where  $x_{\rm c}\ /\ t^{1=2}$  is the reaction zone center. The angular brackets will be de ned precisely below . The reaction rate R also has the scaling form

$$R(x;t) t G \frac{x x_{e}}{t} : \qquad (4)$$

The values of the exponents are given in table I.

The nalexample is that of random, how ogeneous initial conditions, with equal densities  $n_0$  of A and B particles. It has been shown in the mathematical treatment of B ram son and Lebow itz [11] that this system exhibits

TABLE I. The exponents, as de ned in the text, for the scaling behavior in the cases of segregated and hom ogeneous initial conditions.

Segregated	d 2	$\frac{1}{2(d+1)}$	$\frac{d+2}{2(d+1)}$	1 2 (d + 1)
	d > 2	<u>1</u> 6	<u>2</u> 3	<u>1</u> 3d
H om ogeneous	d 2	$\frac{d+2}{4(d+1)}$	$\frac{(d+2)^2}{4(d+1)}$	$\frac{d+2}{4(d+1)}$
	2 < d < 4	$\frac{d+2}{12}$	$\frac{d+2}{3}$	$\frac{d+2}{6d}$

asymptotic segregation for d < 4. We present a simple derivation of this result from an elective eld theory, which is valid for 2 < d < 4. We argue that reaction zones which result from the segregation can be studied in the same fashion as in the previous problem s. As a result, we predict the same scaling form s as given by Eqs. (3) and (4), with new values for the exponents, which are listed in rows 3 and 4 of table I.

The characteristic nearest-neighbor distance in the reaction zone  $`_{\rm rz}$  is found from the scaling form of the densities in the reaction zone (3). Consider the total number of particles N in the volume of the reaction zone for a given interfacial surface area S\_d  $_1$ ,

$$Z_{x_{c}+w}$$

$$N \quad S_{d 1} \qquad dx ha(x) + b(x)i \quad S_{d 1}t \quad d: (5)$$

$$X_{c w}$$

Since the volum e of this region is S<sub>d 1</sub>t , then the average volum e per particle goes as t <sup>d</sup>. If one assumes that there is just one length scale describing typical nearest-neighbor distance, both in the direction of the interface and perpendicular to it, and also for both like and unlike particles, then this length is given by 'rz t. A convenient de nition of 'rz, which follows from the derivation above, is 'rz ha (x<sub>c</sub>)i<sup>1-d</sup>.

The model for A + B ! ; can be mapped to a eld theory, which is useful for the application of RG methods [5,12]. We summarize here the results of a study of this eld theory which pertain to the problem at hand. A more detailed account will appear in [6]. One feature, which is general to all irreversible reaction-di usion systems, is that there are no diagrams which dress the propagator. This means that there is no wavefunction renorm alization, and consequently no anom alous dimension for the elds. The signi cance of this will be noted below.

There is only one coupling constant  $_0$  in the eld theory (in the notation of [5]), which is given in terms of the original m icroscopic parameters as  $_0 = h^d = D$ , where  $D = (D_A + D_B) = 2$  and h is the lattice size. The coupling  $_0$  is found to be irrelevant, in the RG sense, for d > 2, m arginal for d = 2, and relevant for d < 2.

In general one can derive from the eld theory the equations of m otion

$$Q_{t}hai = D_{A}r^{2}hai R \qquad Q_{t}hbi = D_{B}r^{2}hbi R$$
(6)

where  $R = {}_{0}D$  habi is the reaction rate. The angular brackets refer to averages over the stochastic processes of di usion and reaction, but not over the initial conditions. A verages over the initial conditions will be denoted with a bar: hai. Note that the quantity habi obeys a simple di usion equation when  $D_{A} = D_{B}$ .

W hen the d > 2 the irrelevance of the coupling leads to the asymptotic result that R haihoi. Then the equations of motion (6) are simply di erential equations with rate constant , which is the starting point in the analysis of G al and Racz [7]. Therefore their results are applicable for d > 2. W hen d 2 one nds that the coupling  $_0$  can be renorm alized exactly, allowing us to derive the scaling behavior of the reaction zones.

Consider the steady state reached by in posing currents  $J_a = J \hat{x}$  at x = L and  $J_b = -J \hat{x}$  at x = L, where L w. From the analysis in [7] one nds for d > 2 that

R haihbi 
$$J^{=3}f(xJ^{1=3});$$
 (7)

from which the exponents for d > 2 given in Eqs. (1) and (2) follow directly.

For d 2 one must consider the e ects of renormalization. We work in units of time where the average di usion constant D = 1, and de ne the parameter  $= (D_A \quad D_B) = (D_A + D_B)$ . One can show that is not renormalized, for the same reason that there is no wavefunction renormalization. In these units the dimension of the current is  $[J] = (length)^{1 d}$ . The width w can depend in the steady state on  $_0$ , J, and only.

In the eld-theoretic RG, the  $_0$ -dependence is traded for a dependence on a renorm alized coupling  $_{\rm R}$ , de ned at an arbitrary length scale  $J_0^{1=(d+1)}$ . We then consider w as depending on J, ,  $J_0$ , and the the dimensionless renorm alized coupling  $g_{\rm R}$   $_{\rm R}J_0^{(d-2)=(d+1)}$ . This then satis es an RG equation whose solution is

$$w (J;g_r;J_0; ) = \frac{J}{J_0} \qquad w J_0;g(J=J_0;g_R); \quad (8)$$

where, as J ! 0, the running coupling g ! g = 0 (2 d), independently of the initial value of  $_0$ . When d = 2 the running coupling goes as g = B = h j J j for small J. The simple form of the overall scale factor is a result of the absence of wave-function renorm alization.

The signi cance of Eq. (8) is that, in the lim it of sm all J, the only J dependence on the right-hand side comes from the overall scale factor. Therefore any length will scale asymptotically as  $_{rz}$  J<sup>1=(d+1)</sup>, giving the results stated Eqs. (1) and (2) ford 2. When d = 2 the running coupling g is J dependent. However, it can be shown that the right-hand side is nite in the lim it g! 0 [6]. Therefore the leading term for sm all J is unchanged, and there are no logarithm ic corrections. It should be noted that while this result holds for general j j < 1, the lim it of j j! 1 does not necessarily commute with the




FIG.1. The pro le of a reaction zone.

asym ptotic lim it in our analysis, so our results are only for the case when both species are mobile.

The asymptotic limit of any dimensionful quantity can be found by the same technique, using only dimensional analysis. Therefore the densities in the reaction zone have the scaling form

ha (x) i; hb (x) i 
$$J^{d=(d+1)}F_{a:b}(xJ^{1=(d+1)})$$
 (9)

and the reaction rate

R (x) 
$$J^{(d+2)=(d+1)}G(xJ^{1=(d+1)})$$
: (10)

The scaling functions  $F_{a,b}$  and G are expected to be universal, up to metric factors, and should be calculable within a (2 d)-expansion. Note that R / habi  $J^{(2 d)=(d+1)}$  habibit for small J. This result can be demonstrated explicitly within the original eld theory [6].

An equivalent and less form alway to state these results is that there are only two input parameters with dimension: J, and  $_0$ . However, ford  $_2$ , in the asymptotic limit the theory is independent of the initial value of  $_0$ , so the remaining J dependence can be determined on dimensional grounds.

These results were found by Cornell and Droz [8], by use of an RG -m otivated scaling analysis. Our work provides a quantitative con m ation of the lack of anom alous dimension and asymptotic lack of  $_0$  dependence. They perform ed simulations for d = 1;2;3 which are in good agreem ent with the predictions.

We now turn to the problem of segregated initial conditions. At t = 0 the boundary is at x = 0, and the A and B particles are random ly distributed within their regions, with initial densities  $a_0$  and  $b_0$  respectively. A pro le of the densities at a later tim e t is sketched in Fig. 1. The densities are depleted out to a range W  $_{\rm d}$ t=2, which is the length over which particles will have had a chance to di use into reaction range. Provided that < 1=2, which will be veried self-consistently, then asym ptotically w W<sub>d</sub>. Consequently one nds in the depletion regions w jx x<sub>e</sub>j W  $_{\rm d}$  that R 0 and Eq. (6) reduces to the di usion equation. As a result, it follows that the density pro les in this depletion region are linear in  $x = x_c$ . The slope can be determ ined by observing that the A particle density goes from  $a_0$  to zero  $t^{1=2}$  . in a range  $W_{d}$ , so the slope  $a_0 = W_d$ 

Implicit in the analysis of Gal and Racz [7] is the observation that this linear depletion regime provides the same boundary conditions for the reaction zone as was found in the steady-state system . In that case the slope in the linear region (w  $j_X j < L$ ) is given by  $\ell_x hai = J$ . Therefore we can apply the results obtained from the steady state to the time-dependent reaction zone by making the scaling substitution  $J = t^{1-2}$ . The result is the scaling form s given by Eqs. (3) and Eq. (4) with the exponents shown in table I. The exponent for the nearest-neighbor distance  $r_{rz}$  is, to our know ledge, a new result.

The exponents and , which describe w and R, were derived in the analysis of C ornelland D roz [8]. They nd reasonable agreement with these predictions in d = 1 simulations, although the asymptotic region is dicult to obtain. O ther simulations in d = 1 nd evidence for multi-scaling [13]. Since the parameters in these simulations are at the opposite extreme from the weak coupling expansion implicit in the eld-theoretic approach, it is conceivable that they m ight fall into a separate universality class. How ever, more extensive simulations seem to indicate that ordinary scaling is recovered asymptotically [14].

The nalcase we consider is the system with hom ogeneous initial conditions. The A and B particles are random ly distributed with equal initial densities  $n_0$ . Starting from Eq. (6) one can show that the average over initial conditions yields a density hai  $t^{d=4}$  for d < 4 [6]. As argued by B ram son and Lebow itz, the A and B particles segregate asymptotically, which may be shown directly from Eq. (6) for 2 < d < 4 as follows. We use the notation hai! a, since R = ab for d > 2.

Given that  $f^2$   $f^2$ , where f is a real quantity, then

$$\frac{1}{2}a^2 + b^2$$
 (a + b) ja bj: (11)

Since a and b correspond to the physical density for a particular initial condition, they are everywhere nonnegative. Therefore a + b ja bjat every point (x;t), which im plies that

$$\overline{\min(a;b)}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \overline{a^2 + b^2} \quad (a \quad b^2) = \overline{ab};$$
 (12)

From Eq. (6) it follows that

$$\overline{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \theta_{t} \overline{a} = 0 \quad (t^{1} d^{-4}); \tag{13}$$

so one has the result

$$\overline{\min(a;b)} \quad O(t^{1=2 d=8}) \quad t^{d=4}$$
(14)

when d < 4. Therefore the localm inority density goes to zero relative to that of the majority species. A sketch of the segregated domains in d = 2 is shown in Fig.2.

In this case we may make a similar analogy to the steady-state case, except now the height at the edge of the depletion region is time-dependent. The rectangle in Fig. 2 gives the cross-section of a reaction zone, which will have same form as Fig. 1. The time dependence of




FIG.2. A sketch of the percolated dom ain con guration in d = 2. The dom ains have a characteristic length scale which grows in time as  $t^{1-2}$ .

the height is in general complicated, but we argue that in most regions it will scale with the bulk density. The picture one has is that the densities in the bulk will be fairly uniform since there the particles di use without reacting. This uniform density must scale as t  $^{d=4}$ , so that averages over the reaction zones of the whole system will yield exponents which can be derived simply by taking  $a_0; b_0 ! t^{d=4}$ . The resulting steady state analog is given by J  $t^{(d+2)=4}$ . This gives the scaling results Eqs. (3) and (4), with the exponents listed in table I for the hom ogeneous case.

The exponents and are, to our know ledge, new results. We note that ! 1=2 from below as d! 4. This is consistent with the view that segregation is breaking down, since the reaction zones are then on the same scale as the dom ains. The exponent was derived by Leyvraz and Redner [9,10] by a di erent method. They were interested in the quantity  ${}^{{}_{\mathrm{A}\,\mathrm{B}}}$  , which is the characteristic nearest-neighbor distance when the nearest neighbor of a particle is of the opposite species. This quantity can be measured directly in simulations. Since the particles are segregated, the contributing particles to the distribution for  $'_{AB}$  must be within the reaction zone, and rz t. Leyvraz and Redner found therefore 'AB from both scaling arguments and simulations values of

which agree with our predictions for d = 1;2. However, they argue for d > 2 that = 1=4, and show the results of  $d = 3 \sin u$  lations. Our prediction for d = 3 is = 5=18, which is very close to  $t^{1=4}$ . It appears from the data of [10] that either value of is an equally good t. A numerical value for a t to the data is not provided. The signi cance of this discrepancy is that the nearestneighbor distance in the bulk hai  $t^{1=d}$   $t^{1=d}$  for d < 4. We claim that 'rz represents a new length scale for all d < 4.

A check on the above results is to calculate the characteristic dom ain size. Integrating the equations of motion (6) over the entire system of size V gives

$$Z$$
  $Z$   $d^{d}x\theta_{t}hai$   $d^{d}xhabi$  (15)

or equivalently

$$t^{1 d=4}$$
 A dx<sub>2</sub> R (x<sub>2</sub>) At<sup>+</sup> (16)

where A is the interfacial area of the dom ain boundaries. This rst result follows from the fact that the only signicant contribution to the reaction rate comes from the reaction zones, the second from Eq. (4). This leads to the characteristic dom ain size

V

$$V = V = A + t^{+} + 1 + d = 4 + t^{-2}$$
 (17)

for all d < 4. This result, while often assumed, follows for d < 2 only because R  $\in$  haibbi.

W e would like to thank Stephen Cornell and M ichel D roz for useful discussions. This work was supported by a grant from the SERC.

- P resent address: Institute for Physical Science and Technology, University of Maryland, College Park, M D 20742, USA.
- [L] D. Toussaint and F.W ilczek, J. Chem. Phys. 78, 2642 (1983).
- [2] L.Peliti, J.Phys.A 19, L365 (1986).
- [3] M .D roz and L. Sasvari, Phys. Rev. E 48, R2343 (1993).
- [4] B.P.Lee, J.Phys.A 27, 2633 (1994).
- [5] B.P.Lee, Critical Behavior in Non-Equilibrium Systems, Ph.D.Thesis, University of California, Santa Barbara, 1994.
- [6] B.P.Lee and J.L.Cardy, to be published.
- [7] L.Gal and Z.Racz, Phys. Rev. A 38, 3151 (1988).
- [8] S.Comelland M.D roz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 3824 (1993).
- [9] F. Leyvraz and S. Redner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 2168 (1991); F. Leyvraz and S. Redner, Phys. Rev. A 46, 3132 (1992).
- [10] F.Leyvraz, J.Phys.A 25, 3205 (1992).
- [11] M. Bram son and J. L. Lebow itz, J. Stat. Phys. 65, 941 (1991).
- [12] L.Peliti, J.Physique 46, 1469 (1985).
- [13] M. Araujo, et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 3592 (1993).
- [14] S.Comell, to appear in Phys.Rev.Lett.; S.Comell, to be published.