S.GURUSWAMY<sup>y</sup>\*, S.G. RAJEEV<sup>y</sup> and P.V ITALE<sup>yy</sup>

<sup>y</sup> Department of Physics, University of Rochester Rochester, NY 14627, USA

<sup>yy</sup> D ipartim ento di Scienze F isiche, Universita di Napoli and IN F N. Sez. di Napoli, M ostra d'O ltrem are Pad. 19, 80125 Napoli ITA LY

#### ABSTRACT

This talk is based on a recent paper<sup>1</sup> of ours. In an attempt to understand threedimensional conformal eld theories, we study in detailone such example { the large N limit of the O (N) non-linear sigm a model at its non-trivial xed point { in the zeta function regularization. We study this on various three-dimensionalmanifolds of constant curvature of the kind R ( = S<sup>1</sup> S<sup>1</sup>;S<sup>2</sup>;H<sup>2</sup>). This describes a quantum phase transition at zero temperature. We illustrate that the factor that determines whether m = 0 or not at the critical point in the di erent cases is not the Size' of or its R iem annian curvature, but the conformal class of the metric.

#### 1. Introduction

We begin in this paper a study of three-dimensional conform aleld theories. In three dimensions, it is necessary to study eld theories in curved space to understand conform al invariance (see appendix B in Ref. 1). The particular example we study is the O(N) non-linear sign a model, in the large N limit. This is an interacting theory with a non-trivialUV xed point. We compute the critical properties of this R, being a two-dimensionalmanifold theory on m anifolds (M;g) of the kind M = of constant curvature. The results can be summarized as follows: on  $S^1$  $S^1$ R (zero curvature), the physical mass of the eld, m, is non-zero at criticality. In this case, m is the inverse correlation length and therefore the correlation length is nite at the critical point. This is due to the nite size of the manifold in som e directions. (A nother well studied<sup>3</sup> case is  $R^2 = S^1$ . In Ref. 1, we rederive the results in Ref. 3 in the zeta function regularization.) M ore generally, whenever the manifold is not conformally equivalent to  $R^3$ , we should expect the value of mat criticality to be non-zero and our computations con m this. On  $S^2$  R (constant positive curvature), which is conform ally equivalent to R<sup>3</sup> fog, the mass m goes to zero at criticality. m = 0 does not how ever m ean an in nite correlation length on

<sup>\*</sup> Talk presented by S.G. at the 16<sup>th</sup> Annual Montreal-Syracuse-Rochester-Toronto (MRST) Meeting: \W hat Next? Exploring the Future of High-Energy Physics", held at M cG ill University, Montreal, Canada, 11{13 May 1994. To appear in Proceedings published by W orld Scientic.

 $S^2$  R; the correlation length at criticality is in fact nite. This is in contrast with the case H<sup>2</sup> R (constant negative curvature) where, m  $\notin$  0 at criticality, but the correlation length is in nite. A lso, negative curvature induces the 0 (N) symmetry to be spontaneously broken at the critical point. Thus we see that what m atters, form to be zero or otherwise, is the conform all class of the metric and not the Size' of the system. (Limitations of space force us to merely state the results in several places. The interested reader is referred to the longer version of this paper<sup>1</sup> for a general discussion on conform all invariance, for technical details and for a complete set of references.)

2. Large N lim it of the O (N) non-linear sigm a m odel in three dim ensions

The regularized euclidean partition function of the 0 (N) non-linear sigm a model in three dimensions, in the presence of a background metric, g(x), can be written as,

$$Z [g;; ()] = D [D [exp d^{3}x^{p}g[\frac{1}{2}^{i}(\Box_{g} + )_{i} \frac{1}{2}()]$$
(1)

where i = 1;2;; N. is a coupling constant and is the ultraviolet cut-o introduced to regulate the theory. (D [] =  $\begin{pmatrix} Q \\ d \end{pmatrix}$  and similarly D [].) The con-

straint on the elds, i(x) = 1, has been in plemented by a Lagrange multiplier, in the form of an auxiliary eld (x). (The canonical dimensions of (x) in mass units is, [(x)] = 2.) The part of the action quadratic in i is conformally invariant<sup>4</sup> under the conformal transformation of the metric,  $g(x) ! ^{2}(x)g(x)$  with  $(x) ! ^{1} \frac{d}{2}(x) (x)$  and  $(x) ! ^{2}(x) (x)$ .  $\Box_{g}$  is the \conform al laplacian",  $\Box_{g} = \frac{1}{g} \begin{pmatrix} p \\ g(x)g(x) \end{pmatrix} + R$  where R denotes the R icci scalar and  $= \frac{d}{4(d-1)}$  and is equal to 1=8 for dimensions d = 3. A lthough the classical action is not conform ally invariant, we will see that there is a non-trivial xed point for the quantum theory at which z is conform ally invariant.

We will now study this problem in the large N limit, as it is not possible to solve this theory exactly. In the large N limit (i.e., N ! 1 with N () xed), the generating functional can be calculated using the saddle point approximation. To do this, rede ne (N 1) () in the action as () which we keep xed as N ! 1. A lso, rescale the eld N to  $\frac{P}{N}$  1 N. On spaces of constant curvature, we can integrate out the rst N 1 components of the eld and rewrite z as,

$$Z [g; ; ()] = D [_{N}]D []exp \frac{(N - 1)}{2} TrLog (\Box_{g} + ) + d^{3}x^{p}g[_{N} (\Box_{g} + )_{N} - () (x)]$$

$$(2)$$

In the examples we consider in our work, the manifolds are of constant curvature and we can therefore look for the uniform saddle point,  $(x) = m^2$  and (x) = b. (The physical interpretation form is that of the physical mass of the eld and for b is that of the vacuum expectation value of the eld or spontaneous magnetization.) The saddle points are the solutions to the following \gap equations", which are obtained by extrem izing the action with respect to  $_{\rm N}$  (x) keeping (x) xed and vice{versa:

$$(\Box_{g} + m^{2})b = 0$$
 (3)

where G  $(x;x;m^2;g) = hxj(\Box_g + m^2)^1$ ;xi.G  $(x^0;x;m^2;g)$  is the two point correlation function of the elds.

We are interested in studying the critical behaviour of our theory. This is the point at which the theory becomes conformally invariant and all the divergences in the theory vanish. The question then is: Do the divergences that arise in the G reen's function, G (x;x;m<sup>2</sup>;g), depend on the metric g ?

$$G (x;x;;g) = dthxje^{(\Box_{3}+)t}jxi = dt h(t;x;x)$$

$$(5)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}$$

where h(t;x;y) is the heat kernel of the operator  $\square_g + .$  The divergence in the G reen's function comes from short distance (UV) and hence from the smallt region. To isolate the divergent part of the G reen's function, from the nite part, we use the asymptotic expansion of the heat kernel, which, in three dimensions, is given by,

$$h(t;x;y) = \frac{e^{\frac{d^2(x;y)}{4t}}}{(4 t)^{\frac{3}{2}}} x^{\frac{1}{4}} a_n(x;y)t^n$$
(6)

where d(x;y) is the R iem annian distance between points x and y on the manifold M. The leading term, with  $a_0(x) = 1$ , is the only term that produces a divergence in the G reen's function:

G (x;x; ;g) = 
$$\frac{1}{4(1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$
 + nite part:

W e see that the divergent part of the G reen's function is independent of the m etric g(x). Thus the critical value of the coupling constant, c(), at which the theory becomes nite, is independent of the background m etric.

# 3. Zeta function regularization

W e will be using the zeta function regularization in this work as we nd it to be m ore tractable when we are in curved space. Since the critical value of the coupling constant at which the theory becomes nite is independent of the metric, we nd this critical coupling on  $R^3$ . The Green's function,  $G(x;x;m^2;g)$ , is regularized as follow s:

G 
$$(x;x;m^2;g) = \lim_{s! = 1} hx j(\square_g + m^2)^{s} jx i = \lim_{s! = 1} g(s):$$
 (7)

where  $g(s) = \bigcap_{n \in 0} j_n j^s$  with n as the eigenvalues of  $(\Box_g + m^2)$  and the sum includes multiplicities. If the eigenvalues are continuous the sum is replaced by an integral. In this regularization, the gap equation (4) on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is,

$$\lim_{s! \to 1} \left[ \frac{1}{(s)} = b^2 + \frac{Z}{(2 )^3 (k^2 + m^2)^s} = b^2 + \frac{1}{2^2} \int_0^{Z^2} dt \frac{t^{s-1}}{(s)} k^2 dk e^{-(k^2 + m^2)t} \right];$$
(8)

The regularized coupling = () has been replaced by 1 = (s) in the zeta function regularization. Also, we have used the M ellin transform to analytically continue the zeta function. (Note that g(s) has no pole at s = 1.) It is easy to simplify the above gap equation to,

$$\lim_{s! \ 1} \frac{1}{(s)} = b^2 + \frac{m}{4}:$$

It is wellknow n<sup>2</sup> in the case of  $M = R^3$  that at the non-trivial (UV stable) xed point, m = 0 and b = 0. m and b are physical quantities and are therefore regularization independent. This implies that, in the zeta function regularization,  $\lim_{s! = 1} 1 = c(s) = 0$ . W e will be using this value of the critical coupling henceforth.

To the leading order in 1=N , the critical value of free energy density in this regularization scheme is

$$W_{c}[g;m^{2}] = \frac{N}{2} Tr \log (\Box_{g} + m^{2}) = \frac{N}{2} g(0;m^{2}):$$

( $(\square_g + m^2)$ ) is a positive operator in our case.) This is a conformally invariant quantity in 3{dimensions. We give an outline of the proof of this in odd dimensions<sup>4</sup>, in our paper Ref. 1.

## 4. Study of the O(N) sigm a model on manifolds of the type R

In the next three subsections we will be studying the O(N) non-linear sigm a model on a manifold M = R of constant curvature. This is of particular interest to us as it describes a quantum phase transition at zero tem perature. We rst discuss the general form of the gap equations on such manifolds before going on to study speci c cases of . The G reen's function we need,  $G_s(x;x;m^2;g) = hxj(\Box_g + m^2)^{-s}jxi$ , can be written in terms of the geometry of the two-dimensional manifold :

$$G_{s}(x;x;m^{2};g) = {}_{R}(s;x;m^{2}) = \frac{1}{p\frac{1}{4}} \frac{(s \frac{1}{2})}{(s)} (s \frac{1}{2};x;m^{2})$$
 (9)

where  $(s;x;m^2) = hxj[r^2 + R + m^2]^sjxi$ : At the critical point, in the lim it s! 1, the gap equations are given by,

$$(\Box_{g} + m^{2})b = 0$$
 (10)

$$b^{2} = \lim_{s! = 1} \frac{1}{2}$$
 (s  $\frac{1}{2}$ ;x;m<sup>2</sup>): (11)

The zeta function, (s), is analytic at  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  so that the above equation is nite as s = 1. To evaluate (s  $\frac{1}{2}$ ;x;m<sup>2</sup>), we have to nd the spectrum of the operator,  $r^2 + R + m^2$ , on the space .

# 4.1. S<sup>1</sup> S<sup>1</sup> R: Example of a space of zero curvature

This is a space of zero curvature. The R icci scalar R = 0. denotes the radii of the two circles. The spectrum of the conform allaplacian is,  $Sp(\Box_g) = \frac{4}{2}(p^2 + q^2) + k^2$  where, p;q=0; 1; 2; and k takes values on the real line. At the critical point, on  $S^1 = S^1 = R$ , the gap equations (10) and (11) take the form,

$$m^2 b = 0$$
 (12)

$$\lim_{s! \ 1} \frac{p}{4} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{dt}{(s)} t^{s} \frac{3}{2} e^{m^{2}t} e^{h x^{t}} e^{\frac{4^{2}p^{2}}{2}t^{t}} = b^{2}:$$
(13)

We have used the M ellin transform of the zeta function,  $s^1 + s^1 (s - \frac{1}{2})$ , in equation (13). We use the standard Poisson sum form ula,

$$X_{n} e^{(\frac{4^{2}n^{2}}{2})t} = \frac{X}{(4 t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{\frac{n^{2}}{4t}};$$

to extract the divergence in the sum from the smallt region and perform the resulting integrals to reduce (13) to,

$$\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{m} \log (1 - e^{-m}) + \frac{X^{4}}{p_{p,q=1}} - \frac{e^{\frac{p^{2}}{(p^{2} + q^{2})m}}}{m - p^{2} + q^{2}} = -\frac{b^{2}}{m};$$
(14)

The double sum we are left with is not an obvious one. Nevertheless, we can see that  $m \notin 0$  without actually solving the equation. To see this, we put in an ansatz m ! 0 and we will show that this is inconsistent with the gap equation. In the lim it m ! 0, the double sum can be approximated by a double integral which can be easily performed and the gap equation simpli es to a transcendental equation for m,

$$\frac{(m)^2}{4} + (m) \log m = \frac{1}{2} = b^2 m^{-2}$$
: (15)

It is apparent from the above equation that m = 0 cannot be one of its solutions. This implies that b has to be zero at the critical point in order to satisfy the gap equation (12). The critical value of m as a function of is given by the solution to (14) with b = 0. W ith this value of m, the free energy density can be computed.

## 4.2. S<sup>2</sup> R: Example of a space of constant positive curvature

The fact that  $S^2$  has nite volum emight lead one to expect m to be non-zero at criticality. But we will see that this is not the case; the manifold  $S^2$  R is conform al to  $R^3$  fog and it turns out that in fact m = 0.

On S<sup>2</sup> R, R = 1=4<sup>2</sup>. The conform allaplacian has the spectrum, Sp ( $\square_{S^2 R}$ ) =  $\left[\frac{(1+\frac{1}{2})^2}{2} + k^2\right]$  with degeneracy (21+1), where 1 = 0;1;2; and k 2 R. Notice that the conform al laplacian on S<sup>2</sup> R has no zero modes. At the critical point, the gap equations are,

$$(\frac{1}{4^2} + m^2)b = 0$$
 (16)

$$\lim_{s!} \frac{1}{p-\frac{1}{4}} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dt \frac{t^{s-\frac{3}{2}}}{(s)} e^{m^{2}t} X^{1} 2le^{\frac{t^{2}}{2}t} = b^{2}:$$
(17)

A gain in equation (17) we have used the M ellin transform of  $_{S^2}$  (s  $\frac{1}{2}$ ). From equation (16) we see that  $b = 0 \sin \alpha m^2 + \frac{1}{4^{-2}}$  cannot be equal to zero, both m and being positive. The sum in (17) is divergent in the smallt region and we therefore have to separate out the divergent piece in the sum before we can interchange the sum and the integral overt. To do this we use an analog of the Poisson sum form  $ula^1$  for the case of the sum over half-integers 1, and the gap equation simpli es to,

$$\frac{24}{p-p} = P \quad dx \quad (x \cos 2x \quad 1) \quad dt \quad t^2 e^{\frac{x^2 \cdot 2}{t} m^2 t} + m \quad (\frac{1}{2}) = 0 \tag{18}$$

where, by  $P \int_{1}^{R} dx f(x)$  we mean the principal value of the integral; (xcosecx 1) has simple poles at all non-zero integral multiples of .

It is easy to check<sup>1</sup> that the ansatz m = 0 in the lh s. of the above gap equation is indeed a consistent solution. Hence, at the critical point, both m and b are zero on S<sup>2</sup> R. It is important to note though that even though m = 0 at criticality, the correlation length of this system is not in nite. The correlation function given by h<sub>i</sub>(x;u) <sub>j</sub>(y;0)i, which is a function of x;y 2 S<sup>2</sup> and u 2 R, decays like an exponential in u as u ! 1 ( e<sup>-Ru</sup>). (In the x;y directions the space has nite size.) This is because the operator  $r_{S^2}^2 + R + m^2$  has no zero modes.

The regularized free energy density on S<sup>2</sup> R, at the critical point, is given by,  $\frac{W_{c}()}{N} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{0}{S^2} R(0;m^2)$  and it can be show n<sup>1</sup> to be zero at the critical point (m = 0). This just means that the regularized free energy density on S<sup>2</sup> R is the same as that on R<sup>3</sup> at the critical point, which is what we should expect from general considerations of conform al equivalence of the spaces S<sup>2</sup> R and R<sup>3</sup> fog.

# 4.3. H<sup>2</sup> R: Example of a space of constant negative curvature

H<sup>2</sup> is a two{dimensional hyperboloid. On the space H<sup>2</sup> R, R = 1=4<sup>2</sup>: Let the co-ordinate on R be denoted by u and the hyperboloid be parametrized as follows: H<sup>2</sup> = fz = (x;y) :x 2 R; 0 < y < 1 g with line element,  $ds^2 = \frac{2}{y^2} (dx^2 + dy^2)$  and laplacian  $r_{H^2}^2 = \frac{y^2}{2} (\theta_x^2 + \theta_y^2)$ : The spectrum of the operator  $r_{H^2}^2 + R + m^2$  on the hyperbolic space is continuous and therefore we need the density of states () to be able to evaluate  $H^2$  (s  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) = [Tr( $r_{H^2}^2 - \frac{1}{4^2} + m^2$ ] (s  $\frac{1}{2}$ ). () is found<sup>5;1</sup> to be,

$$() = \frac{1}{8} (\frac{1}{4} + ) \tanh \frac{1}{4} + :$$
 (19)

(For sim plicity, we assume that = 1). The gap equations at the critical point are,

$$\left(\frac{1}{4^{2}} + m^{2}\right)b = 0$$
 (20)

$$\lim_{s! \to 1} \frac{1}{2}^{Z} d \qquad () \left[ \frac{1}{4^{2}} + m^{2} \right]^{(s - \frac{1}{2})} = b^{2}; \qquad (21)$$

On using the M ellin transform of the zeta function and after som e sim pli cation, the gap equation (21) reduces to,

$$m + \frac{k}{m} + \frac{k}{k^2 + m^2} (1 \quad \tanh k) = b^2:$$
 (22)

O bærve that each term in the lh.s. is manifestly positive. b = 0 cannot therefore be a solution if  $m \in 0$ . If m = 0, it is easy to check that the lh.s. is non-zero (it is equal to  $(\ln 2) =$ ) and therefore  $b \in 0$ . In order to satisfy the gap equation (20), we require m = 1=2 (since we set  $= 1, m = \frac{1}{2}$ ) at the critical point. The non-zero value of the order parameter b gives the non-zero spontaneous m agnetization in the system |negative curvature has induced the symmetry to be spontaneously broken at the critical point. At criticality, from (22), we nd,

$$b^{2} = \frac{p}{2} \left[1 + \frac{x^{2}}{r} \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{2r+2} (2r+1)! (1 - 2^{-2r-1}) - (2r+2)\right]:$$
(23)

Notice,  $r_{H^2}^2 + R + m^2$  has a zero mode for the critical value m = 1=2. This means that the correlation functions fall o with distance like a power law, not an exponential. Thus even though m is non{zero, the correlation length is in fact in nite on  $H^2$  R.

The critical value of the free energy density on H<sup>2</sup> R works out to be,

$$\frac{W_{c}()}{N} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{0}{H^{2}}_{R}(0; ) = \frac{1}{24^{3}} \frac{1}{4^{3}} \frac{X}{r=0} \frac{1}{r} \frac{1}{2r+2} (2r+1)! (1 2^{2r-1}) (2r+2): \quad (24)$$

References

- 1. S. Guruswamy, S.G. Rajeev and P. Vitale, b N) Sigm a Model as a Three Dimensional Conform al Field Theory', Preprint UR-1357, hep-th/9406010 (and references therein).
- 2. A M. Polyakov, Gauge elds and strings, (Harwood A cadem ic Publishers, 1987).
- 3. S. Sachdev, Phys. Lett. B 309 (1993) 285; B. Rosenstein, B.J. W arr and S.H. Park, Nucl. Phys. B 116 (1990) 435.
- 4. T. Parker and S. Rosenberg, J. Di erential Geometry 25 (1987) 199.
- 5. S. Lang,  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ , (Springer-Verlag, 1985).