# A lgebraic A spects of A belian Sandpile M odels 



## ABSTRACT

The abelian sandpile models feature a nite abelian group $G$ generated by the operators corresponding to particle addition at various sites. W e study the canonical decom position ofG as a product of cyclic groups $G=Z_{d_{1}} \quad Z_{d_{2}} \quad Z_{d_{3}} \quad d_{g}$ Where $g$ is the least num ber of generators of $G$, and $d_{i}$ is a $m u l t i p l e$ of $d_{i+1}$. The structure of $G$ is determ ined in term $s$ of the toppling $m$ atrix . W e construct scalar functions, linear in height variables of the pile, that are invariant under toppling at any site. These invariants provide convenient coordinates to label the recurrent con gurations of the sandpile. For an L L square lattioe, we show that $g=L$. In this case, we observe that the system has nontrivial sym $m$ etries, transcending the obvious sym m etries of the square, viz. those com ing from the action of the cyclotom ic $G$ alois group $G$ ale of the $2(L+1)$ \{th roots of unity (whid operates on the set of eigenvalues of ). These eigenvalues are algebraic integers, whose product is the order j j j. W ith the help of Gal we are able to group the eigenvalues into certain subsets whose products are separately integers, and thus obtain an explicit factorization of $\mathrm{j} \mathrm{j} . \mathrm{W}$ e also use G alf to de ne other sim pler, though under-com plete, sets of toppling invariants.

PACS num ber: 05.40+ j
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## 1. Introduction

T he concept ofself-organized criticality w as proposed by B ak, T ang and W iesenfeld in 1987, and has given rise to grow ing interest in the study of self-organizing system s . Bak et al argued that in $m$ any natural phenom ena, the dissipative dynam ics of the system is such that it drives the system to a critical state, thereby leading to ubiquitous power law behaviors [1,2]. Thism echanism has.been invoked to understand the power\{ law s observed in turbulent uids, earthquakes, distribution of visible $m$ atter in the universe, solar ares and surface roughening of grow ing interfaces [3-5].

Sandpile autom ata are am ong the sim plest theoretical models which show self\{ organized criticality. A specially nice subclass consists of the so \{called abelian sandpile $m$ odels (A SM 's) [6]. There have been $m$ any num erical as well as analytical studies of the A.SM. The case when there is a preferred direction of particle transfer tums out to be equivalent to the voter $m$ odel, and all the critical exponents can be determ ined in all dim ensions [7]. W hen there is no preferred direction, the m odel tums out to be related to the q ! 0 lim iting case of the Potts m odel [8]. T he problem has been solved exactly in the mean eld lim it [9-11]. In two and three dim ensions, only som e of the critical exponents of the problem are known analytically $[6,8,12]$.

M ost of these papers have been concemed w ith the critical properties of the A SM in the them odynam ic lim it of large system sizes. In this paper, our interest is rather in the properties of the nite autom ata. There have been only a handful of papers addressing these so far (and $m$ ainly in two dim ensions). C reutz' paper [13] exhibits very interesting exam ples of geom etrical pattems displayed by a special con guration (the so\{called identity con guration) of the ASM on a square lattice. Lin et al [14] have studied the pattems obtained by relaxing som e sim ple unstable con gurations. W iesenfeld et al [15] have studied the periods of determ inistic A SM , again on a square lattice. These studies have been extended by $M$ arkosova and $M$ arkos [16] to lattices of size up to 19 . This paper was inspired partly by the experim ental results of these authors. W ew ill concentrate on properties such as the structures of the abelian group and of the space of recurrent con gurations.

The plan of this paper is as follow s. In section 2 , we give the de nition of general A SM, and review their basic properties. In section 3, we construct, for a generalA SM , a set of functions, de ned on the space of recurrent con gurations, which are invariant
under topplings, and which can be used to label those con gurations. W ith these functions, we show how to determ ine the structure of the abelian group of the A SM in term $s$ of the norm al form decom position of its toppling $m$ atrix. In section 4, we consider the problem of com puting the rank of the abelian group when the ASM is de ned on an $L_{1} \quad L_{2}$ rectangular portion of the square lattice, and show that the rank equals $L$ on an $L$ L square. In section 5, we recapitulate brie $y$ the results from general Galois theory needed by us, and use them to study the G alois group of the characteristic polynom ial of the toppling $m$ atrix, and construct, in section 6 , another set of algebraic functions, invariant under topplings, thereby extracting inform ation about the abelian group from $G$ alois theory. This inform ation is incom plete and, we show that the $m$ ethod does not give the full structure of the group in general. In section 7, we rem ark on two other properties of the A SM on a square lattioe. Firstly, we derive a shanper upper bound on the tim e period of the determ inistic A SM studied in [15]. The second one is an interesting connection between the structure of identity con gurations on 2L 2 L and $(2 \mathrm{~L}+1) \quad(2 \mathrm{~L}+1)$ lattices. Som e technicalm aterial involving detailed calculations is given in appendioes A and B,while in appendix C we display som e identity con gurations for som e sm all square lattioes. In appendix D, we show how the toppling invariants discussed by Lee et al [17] are a special case of the invariants discussed in this paper.

## 2. P relim inaries and notation

The general ASM is de ned on a set of N sites, labelled say by integers 1 to N . E ach site $i$ is assigned an integer variable $z_{i}$, called the height of the sandpile at site $i$. The tim e evolution of the sandpile is de ned in term s of the follow ing tw o processes:
(1) A ddition of particles: W e choose a site at random, and increase its height by 1, while the heights at other sites rem ain unchanged. The probability of choosing the $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ site is denoted by $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}$. For sim plicity we take all $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}$ 's nonzero. IT his condition is needed to ensure the uniqueness of the steady state.]
(2) Relaxation: If the height at som e site $j$ equals or exceeds a prespeci ed value $z_{\text {crit }}(j)$, it is said to be unstable and topples, loosing som e grains of sand which
either fallon other heighbouring' sites (w hose height increases as a consequence), or drop out of the system. The updating of heights is speci ed in term $s$ of an $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{N}$ integer $m$ atrix , called the toppling $m$ atrix and satisfying ii 0 and
ij $\quad 0$ for $i \not j$. If there is a toppling at some site $j$, all heights $f z_{i} g$ are updated according to the rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { If } z_{j} \quad z_{\text {crit }}(j) \text {; then } z_{i}!z_{i} \quad \text { ij for all } i \text { : } \tag{2:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e m ay assum e that

$$
z_{\text {crit }}(j)=j j ;
$$

so that the values of $z_{j}$ in a stable con guration are betw een 0 and $j_{j} 1$.
A toppling at one site $m$ ay $m$ ake other sites unstable. A sequence of topplings caused by adding a single particle is called an avalanche. W hen all the unstable sites have toppled, we are left w ith a new stable con guration. This de nes a single step of tim e evolution. At the next tim e, a new particle is added at a random site, and the system is allowed to relax, and so on.

It is convenient to de ne operators a, $k=1$ to $N$, corresponding to the process of adding a particle at site $k$, and allow ing the system to relax. These operators $a_{k} m a p$ stable con gurations into stable con gurations.

A general analysis of abelian sandpile models was carried out in [6]. It was shown that the operators $f a_{k} g$ commute $w$ ith each others. This allows a sim ple characterization of the critical steady state: Only a sm all subset of all stable con gurations occur w ith non \{ zero probability in the steady state. These are called recurrent con gurations. Their number is equal to $D$ et, and in the steady state they occur w ith equal probability. T he $a_{k}$ 's map the space $R$ of recurrent con gurations onto itself, and are invertible on R .

Let $G$ be the abelian group generated by the operators faig, $i=1$ to $N . T$ his is $a$ nite group as these operators satisfy the closure relations [6]

$$
\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathbb{N}} a_{i}^{i j}=I \text {; for all } j \text { : }
$$

The order of $G$, denoted by $\mathcal{J} j$ is equal to the num ber of recurrent con gurations. $T$ his is a consequence of the fact that if $C$ and $C^{0}$ are any tw o recurrent con gurations,
then there is an elem ent $g 2 \mathrm{G}$ such that $\mathrm{C}^{0}=\mathrm{gC} . \mathrm{W}$ e thus have

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \mathrm{j} j=\mathrm{R} j=\mathrm{D} \text { et }: \tag{2:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Toppling invariants and the group structure for general A SM

The space of all con gurations $f_{z g}$ (w ith non (negative heights $z_{i}$ ) constitutes a com $m$ utative sem igroup over the given set of $N$ sites, $w$ ith the operation given by sitew ise addition of heights followed by relaxation if necessary. O ne can de ne an equivalence relation on this sem igroup by declaring two con gurations $f_{z g} g$ and $f z_{i}^{0} g$ equivalent (under toppling) if and only if there exist $N$ integers $n_{j}$ such that

$$
z_{i}^{0}=z_{i}{ }_{j}^{X} \quad i j n_{j} ; \quad \text { for all } i:
$$

Each equivalence class contains one and only one recurrent con guration. Indeed to any con guration $f_{z g}$, one can associate a recurrent con guration $C$ by letting

$$
C\left[z_{i}\right]={ }_{i}^{Y} a_{i}^{z_{i}} C ;
$$

$w$ here $C$ is any xed recurrent con guration. Then if $f$ ghand $f z_{i}^{0} g$ are related as in (3.1), one easily checks that $C\left[z_{i}\right]=C\left[z_{i}^{0}\right]$ on account of the relations (2.3). (N ote that $w$ th the de nition (3.1), two stable con gurations $m$ ay be equivalent under toppling.)

Toppling invariants are scalar functions de ned on the space of allcon gurations of the sandpile, such that they take the sam e value for any two con gurations which are equivalent under toppling. Toppling invariants which are linear in the height variables, and which are conserved modulo various integers were rst introduced by Lee and $T$ zeng [17] in the context of speci $c$ determ inistic one\{dim ensionalA SM. Their results will be shown to be particular cases of our general construction (see A ppendix D).
$G$ iven the toppling $m$ atrix , we de ne $N$ rational functions $Q_{i}(i=1$ to $N$ ) by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{i}\left(f z_{j} g\right)=X_{j}^{X} \quad{ }^{1} z_{j} \bmod 1: \tag{3:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is straightforw ard to prove that the functions $Q_{i}$ are toppling invariants. Indeed, under toppling at site $k$, the con guration $C \quad f_{\xi g}$ changes to $C^{0} \quad f z_{j}^{0}=z_{j} \quad{ }_{j k} g$, and from the linearity of the functions $Q_{i}$ in the height variables, we have

$$
Q_{i}\left(C{ }^{9}\right)=Q_{i}(C) \quad \begin{gathered}
X \\
i j
\end{gathered}{ }_{j k}^{1}=Q_{i}(C) \bmod 1:
$$

The functions $Q_{i}$ take rational values, but they are easily $m$ ade integer\{valued upon $\mathrm{multiplication} \mathrm{by} \mathrm{som} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{adequate} \mathrm{integer} .\mathrm{Being} \mathrm{toppling} \mathrm{invariants} ,\mathrm{the} \mathrm{functions} Q_{i}$ can be used to label the recurrent oon gurations, and thus the space $R$ can be replaced by the set of $N$ \{uples ( $Q_{1} ; Q_{2} ;::: ; Q_{N}$ ). H ow ever, the labelling by the $Q_{i}$ 's is generally overcom plete, they not being all independent. A sim ple exam ple will readily establish that.

Throughout this paper, we shall consider in detail the special case of the A SM de ned on an $L_{1} \quad L_{2}$ rectangular portion of a two \{dim ensional square lattioe. $W$ e choose the toppling $m$ atrix to be the discrete Laplacian, whose diagonal entries are given by $i$ i $=4$, and the 0 -diagonalentries $i j=1$ or 0 according to whether the sites $i$ and $j$ are nearest neighbours or not. N ote that this im plies open boundary conditions on all four boundaries of the rectangle, and thus any toppling there involves a loss of sand.

To be speci $c$, consider the case $L_{1}=L_{2}=2$, w th the con gurations speci ed as $\begin{array}{ll}z_{1} & z_{2} \\ z_{3} & z_{4}\end{array}$. In this case is a $4 \quad 4 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix of determ inant 192, and we nd

The de nition (3.3) yields the four invariants

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{1}=\frac{1}{24}\left(7 z_{1}+2 z_{2}+2 z_{3}+z_{4}\right) \bmod 1 ; \tag{3:6a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{2}=\frac{1}{24}\left(2 z_{1}+7 z_{2}+z_{3}+2 z_{4}\right) \bmod 1 ;  \tag{3:6b}\\
& Q_{3}=\frac{1}{24}\left(2 z_{1}+z_{2}+7 z_{3}+2 z_{4}\right) \bmod 1 ;  \tag{3:6c}\\
& Q_{4}=\frac{1}{24}\left(z_{1}+2 z_{2}+2 z_{3}+7 z_{4}\right) \bmod 1: \tag{3:6d}
\end{align*}
$$

They satisfy three linear relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{1}+Q_{2}=0 \bmod 1=8 ;  \tag{3:7a}\\
& Q_{3}=4 Q_{1} \quad Q_{2} \bmod 1 ;  \tag{3:7b}\\
& Q_{4}=Q_{1}+4 Q_{2} \bmod 1 ; \tag{3:7c}
\end{align*}
$$

from which one sees that only two independent invariants rem ain, which we choose integer\{valued for convenience

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
I_{1} & 24 Q_{1}=7 z_{1}+2 z_{2}+2 z_{3}+z_{4} \bmod 24 ; \\
I_{2} & 8\left(Q_{1}+Q_{2}\right)=3 z_{1}+3 z_{2}+z_{3}+z_{4} \bmod 8: \tag{3:8b}
\end{array}
$$

These two invariants provide a complete labelling for the space of recurrent con gurations, of cardinality 192.

A s this very sim ple exam ple already show s , for an anbitrary $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{N}$ matrix , we construct $N$ toppling invariants $Q_{i}$, but they are generally not independent. It thus seem $s$ desirable to isolate a m inim al set of independent invariants, as we did above to obtain the $m$ ininal set given by ( $I_{1} ; I_{2}$ ). We now show how this can be done for an arbitrary A SM using the classical theory of Sm th norm al form for integer $m$ atrioes.

In current $m$ athem atics literature, as in Jacobson [18], this is often discussed for $m$ atrioes $w$ ith entries in a principal ideal dom ain (the ring of integers being a prim e exam ple). From Theorem s 3.8 and 3.9 of Jacobson, any nonsingular N N m atrix can be w ritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
=A D B ; \tag{3:9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are $N \quad N$ integer $m$ atrioes $w$ ith determ inants 1, and $D$ is a diagonal $m$ atrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{i j}=d_{i j} \tag{3:10}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

1: $d_{i}$ is a multiple of $d_{i+1}$ for all $i=1$ to $N \quad 1$, and
2: $d_{i}=e_{i}=e_{i}$, where $e_{i}$ stands for the greatest com $m$ on divisor of the determ inants of all the $\left(\mathbb{N} \quad\right.$ i) $\left(\mathbb{N} \quad\right.$ i) subm atrioes of $\quad\left(\operatorname{set} \Theta_{\mathrm{N}}=1\right)$.
$T$ hus the $m$ atrix $D$ is uniquely determ ined by, but the $m$ atrioes $A$ and $B$ are far from unique. The integers $d_{i}$ are are called the elem entary divisors of . An e cient algorithm to com pute them can be found in C ohen [19].

In term s of the decom position (3.9), we de ne the set of scalar functions $\boldsymbol{I}$ (C ) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{i}(C)={ }_{j}^{X}\left(A^{1}\right)_{i j} Z_{j} \quad \operatorname{mod~d~d}_{i}: \tag{3:11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$D$ ue to the unim odularity of $A$, these functions are integer\{valued. A s argued for the Q's in Eq. (3.4), we see using (3.9) that $I_{i}(C)$ so de ned are invariant under the toppling of any site. C learly, only those invariants $I_{i} w i t h d_{i} 1$ are nontrivial. $N$ ote that they are written in term sofA, and hence not unique. It will be obvious from the discussion below that the set of non $\left\{\right.$ trivial $I_{i}$ is alw ays $m$ inim al and com plete.

A s suggested by the notation used in the above exam ple, this second set $f I_{i} g$ is a minim al set of invariants chosen from the overcom plete set $f Q_{i} g$. The Sm ith decom position precisely show s how to com bine the overcom plete invariants $Q_{i}$ so as to obtain a com plete set. Indeed, it is easily checked that the $I_{i}$ 's can be w rilten in term $s$ of the $Q_{i}$ 's as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{i}=\int_{j}^{X} d_{i} B_{i j} Q_{j} \quad \bmod d_{i}: \tag{3:12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For instance, in the above 22 exam ple, one nds the follow ing Sm th decom position ( w ritten in the form $\mathrm{A}^{1}=\mathrm{DB}$ )


From the rst two rows of A ${ }^{1}$ and (3.11), one recovers the two invariants $I_{1} ; I_{2}$ of (3.8). From the matrix $B$ and (3.12), one nds the relations (3.8) between ( $\mathbb{I}^{\prime} ; I_{2}$ )
and $\left(Q_{1} ; Q_{2}\right)$, while the trivial character of $I_{3} ; I_{4}$ leads to the linear relations (3.7b \{c) am ong the $Q$ 's.

Let us now show that the set $\mathrm{fI}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}$ not only form s a com plete set of toppling invariants, but that they also determ ine the structure of the abelian group $G$.

Let $g$ be the number of $d_{i}>1$. $W$ ith each recurrent con guration of the ASM, we associate a $g\left\{\right.$ uple ( $\left.I_{1} ; I_{2} ;::: I_{g}\right)$, where $0 \quad I_{i}<d_{i}$. The total num ber of distinct $g\{$ uples is ${ }_{i=1}^{g} d_{i}=j G j$ (since $A$ and $B$ in the $S m$ ith decom position of are unim odular).
$W$ e rst show that this $m$ apping from the set of recurrent con gurations to $g\{u p l e s$ is one\{to\{one. Let us de ne operators ey the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{i}=Y_{j=1}^{Y^{M}} a_{j}^{A_{j i}} ; \quad 1 \quad i \quad g: \tag{3:14}
\end{equation*}
$$

A cting on a xed recurrent con guration $C=f z_{j} g$, $e_{i}$ yields a new recurrent con guration, equivalent under toppling to the con guration $f_{f}+A_{j i} g$. If the $g\{$ uple corresponding to $C$ is $\left(I_{1} ; I_{2} ;::: ; I_{g}\right)$, it is easy to see from (3.11) that $e_{i} C$ gives a con guration whose toppling invariants are $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{F}}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{ik}$. By operating w ith these
 are obtainable. Thus, there is at least one recurrent con guration corresponding to any g \{uple ( $I_{1} ; I_{2} ;::: ; I_{g}$ ). A s the total num ber of recurrent con gurations exactly equals the totalnum ber ofg\{uples, we see that there is a one\{to\{one correspondence betw een the $g\left\{u p l e s\left(I_{1} ; I_{2} ;::: ; I_{g}\right)\right.$ and the recurrent con gurations of the ASM.

To express the operators $a_{j}$ in term $s$ of $e_{i}$, we need to invert the transform ation (3.14). This is easily seen to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{j}=Y_{i=1}^{Y^{g}} e_{i}^{\left(A^{1}\right)_{i j}} ; \quad 1 \quad j \quad N: \tag{3:15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hus the operators $e_{i}$ generate the whole of $G$. Since $e_{i}$ acting on a con guration increases $I_{i}$ by 1 , leaving the other invariants unchanged, and since $I_{i}$ is only de ned m odulo $d_{i}$, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{i}^{d_{i}}=I ; \quad \text { for } i=1 \text { to } g: \tag{3:16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the de nition (3.14) fore $m$ akes sense for ibetw een $g+1$ and $N$, and $m$ plies relations am ong the $a_{j}$ operators ( $e_{i}=I$ from (3.16)).

This show $s$ that $G$ has the canonical decom position as a product of cyclic groups

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{d}_{1}} \quad \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{d}_{2}} \quad::: \quad \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{g}}} ; \tag{3:17}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ 's de ned in (3.10). W e thus have show $n$ that the generators and the group structure of G for an arbitrary ASM can be entirely determ ined from its toppling $m$ atrix , through its norm al from decom position (3.9).

The invariants $f I_{i} g$ also provide a sim ple additive representation of the group $G$. A smentioned at the beginning of this section, one can de ne a binary operation of \addition" (denoted by ) on the space R ofrecurrent con gurations by adding heights sitew ise, and then allow ing the resultant con guration to relax. From the linearity of the $I_{i}$ 's in the height variables, and their invariance under toppling, it is clear that under this addition of con gurations, the $I$ also sim ply add, i.e. for any recurrent con gurations $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{i}\left(C_{1} \quad C_{2}\right)=I_{i}\left(C_{1}\right)+I_{i}\left(C_{2}\right) \quad \bmod d_{i}: \tag{3:18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he $I_{i}$ 's provide a com plete labelling of $R$. There is a unique recurrent con guration, denoted by $\mathrm{C}_{\text {id }}$, for which all $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{id}}\right)$ are zero. A lso, each recurrent C has a unique inverse $C$, also recurrent, and determ ined by $I_{i}(C)=\quad I_{i}(C) m o d d_{i}$. Therefore the addition is a group law on R , with identity given by $\mathrm{C}_{\text {id }}$. A sim ple recursive algorithm to com pute $\mathrm{C}_{\text {id }}$ has been given by C reutz [13].

There exists a one\{to \{one correspondence betw een the recurrent con gurations of A SM, and the elem ents of the group G: we associate with the group elem ent g 2 G , the recurrent con guration $\mathrm{gC}_{\mathrm{id}}$. It is then easy to see from (3.18) that for all $\mathrm{g} ; \mathrm{g}^{0} 2 \mathrm{G}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
g C_{i d} \quad g^{0} C_{i d}=\left(g g^{0}\right) C_{i d}: \tag{3:19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the recurrent con gurations with the operation form a group whid is isom orphic to the $m$ ultiplicative group $G$, a result rst proved in [13].

The invariants $\mathrm{fI}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}$ provide a sim ple labelling of all the recurrent con gurations. Since a recurrent con guration can also be uniquely speci ed by the height variables
$\mathrm{fz}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}$, the existence of forbidden subcon gurations in A SM 's im plies that these heights satisfy $m$ any inequality constraints. [Certain pattems cannot appear inside any recurrent con guration, and hence are called forbidden subcon gurations [6]; for instance, tw o neighbouring sites can never both have zero heights.]
4. The rank of $G$ for a rectangular lattice

For a generalm atrix , it is di cult to say m uch m ore about the group structure of . In the rest of this paper, unless otherw ise speci ed, we shall consider the special case when is the toppling $m$ atrix corresponding to a nite $\mathrm{I}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~L}_{2}$ rectangle of the (two\{dim ensional) square lattioe. H ere it is m ore convenient to label the sites not by a single index i going from 1 to $N=L_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{2}$, but by two Cartesian coordinates ( $\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}$ ), where $1 \quad x \quad L_{1}$ and $1 \quad y \quad L_{2}$. The toppling $m$ atrix is the discrete Laplacian, given by $(x ; y ; x ; y)=4, \quad\left(x ; y ; x^{0} ; y^{0}\right)=1$ if the sites $(x ; y)$ and $\left(x^{0} ; y^{0}\right)$ are nearest neighbours (i.e. $\dot{x} \quad x^{0} j+\dot{y} \quad y^{0} j=1$ ), and zero otherw ise. W ithout loss of generality, we can assum e that $L_{1} \quad L_{2}$.

The relations satis ed by the particle addition operators a ( $x ; y$ ) can be w rilten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(x+1 ; y)=a^{4}(x ; y) a^{1}(x ; y+1) a^{1}(x ; y \quad 1) a^{1}(x \quad 1 ; y) ; \tag{4:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we adopt the convention that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(x ; 0)=a\left(x ; L_{2}+1\right)=a(0 ; y)=a\left(L_{1}+1 ; y\right)=I ; \quad \text { for } a l l x ; y: \tag{4:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

TheEqns (4.1) can be recunsively solved to express any operator a (x;y) as a product of powers of $a(1 ; y)$. Therefore the group $G$ can be generated by the $L_{2}$ operators a ( $1 ; y$ ). $D$ enoting the rank of $G$ ( $m$ inim alnum ber of generators) by $g$, this im plies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{g} \quad \mathrm{~L}_{2}: \tag{4:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the special case of the linear chain, $\mathrm{L}_{2}=1$, we see that $g=1$, and thus $G$ is cyclic.

From (4.1), a ( $\left.L_{1}+1 ; y\right)$ can also be expressed as a product ofpowers of a ( $1 ; y$ ), say

$$
a\left(L_{1}+1 ; y\right)={ }_{y^{0}}^{Y} a\left(1 ; y^{0}\right)^{n_{y y^{0}}} ;
$$

where the $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{yy}}{ }^{0}$ are integers which depend on $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{2}$, and which can be explicitly determ ined by solving the linear recurrence relation (4.1). The condition a ( $\mathrm{L}_{1}+1 ; \mathrm{Y}$ ) $=$ I then leads to the closure relations

$$
y_{y^{0}=1}^{\Psi_{2}} a\left(1 ; y^{0}\right)^{n_{y y}}=I \text {; for all } 1 \quad y \quad L_{2} \text { : }
$$

The Eqns (4.5) give a presentation ofG, the structure ofw hich can be determ ined from the nom al form decom position of the $\mathrm{L}_{2} \quad \mathrm{~L}_{2} \mathrm{~m}$ atrix $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Yy}}{ }^{0}$. This is considerably easier to handle than the norm al form decom position of the $m$ uch largerm atrix needed for an arbitrary A SM .

Even though this is a real com putational im provem ent, the actual caloulation, for arbitrary $L_{1}$, of the rank of $G$ is nontrivial. Even in the $\operatorname{sim}$ plest case $L_{2}=2$, it depends in a com plicated way on the num ber\{ theoretic properties of $\mathrm{L}_{1}$. D etails of this case are given in A ppendix A .

A s to the square lattice where $L_{1}=L_{2}=L$, using the above algorithm we nd the follow ing structures of $G$ for the rst values of $L$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{L}=2: \mathrm{G}=\mathrm{Z}_{24} \quad \mathrm{Z}_{8} \text {; }  \tag{4:6a}\\
& \mathrm{L}=3: G=Z_{224} \quad Z_{112} \quad Z_{4} ;  \tag{4:6b}\\
& \mathrm{L}=4: \mathrm{G}=\mathrm{Z}_{6600} \quad \mathrm{Z}_{1320} \quad \mathrm{Z}_{8} \quad \mathrm{Z}_{8} ;  \tag{4:6c}\\
& \mathrm{L}=5: \mathrm{G}=\mathrm{Z}_{102960} \quad \mathrm{Z}_{102960} \quad \mathrm{Z}_{48} \quad \mathrm{Z}_{16} \quad \mathrm{Z}_{4}: \tag{4:6d}
\end{align*}
$$

This suggests, and it is in fact not di cult to prove, that for an L L square

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=L ; \text { for } L_{1}=L_{2}=L: \tag{4:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The idea of the proof of (4.7) is to use the fact that in this case, the $m$ atrix has exactly L linearly independent eigenvectors of eigenvalue 4, of which all com ponents
can be chosen to be integers. W e use them to construct $L$ independent operators (none can be expressed as product of pow ers of the others) $U_{k}, 0 \quad k \quad L \quad 1$, such that

$$
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}}^{4}=\mathrm{I}:
$$

$T$ his im plies that the num ber of generators is at least equal to $L$. C om bined w th the inequality (4.3), we get the result.

To w rite the operators $U_{k}$ explicitly, we consider the eigenvectors $n_{k}(x ; y)$ of of eigenvalue 4

$$
\begin{align*}
& x \\
& \left(x^{0}, y^{0}\right) \tag{4:9}
\end{align*}\left(x ; y ; x^{0} ; y^{0}\right) n_{k}\left(x^{0} ; y^{0}\right)=4 n_{k}(x ; y):
$$

There are L independent solutions to Eq. (4.9), and a possible choice is to set (1 x;y L, 0 k L 1)

$$
n_{k}(x ; y)=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
1)^{x} & (y) & x
\end{array}\right) \quad(y+x \quad k) \quad(x+y \quad 2 L \quad 2+k)+(y \quad x+k):(4: 10)
$$

It is then easy to verify by using (4.9) and the relations (2.3) satis ed by the a (x;y), that the operators $U_{k}$ de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{k}={\underset{x ; y}{Y} a(x ; y)^{n_{k}(x ; y)} ;, ~ ; ~}_{x} \tag{4:11}
\end{equation*}
$$

all satisfy $U_{k}^{4}=I$. N ote that a strong form of independence of the eigenvectors $n_{k}(x ; y)$ must hold for the operators $U_{k}$ to be multiplicatively independent: the eigenvectors must be linearly independent modulo the lattioe $f^{P}{ }_{x^{0} ; y^{0}} m_{x^{0} ; y^{0}}\left(x ; y ; x^{0} ; y^{0}\right)$ : $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}^{0}, y^{0}}$ integersg, on account of the relations (2.3). The vectors (4.10) are easily seen to satisfy this condition. This com pletes the proof.
$W$ hen $L_{1} L_{2}$, the above construction is easily generalized. If $f=\operatorname{god}\left[\left(\mathrm{L}_{1}+\right.\right.$ $1)$; $\left(L_{2}+1\right)$ ], it is easy to chedk that the $m$ atrix has $f 1$ independent eigenvectors of eigenvalue 4. Thus we obtain in this case that the rank $g_{L_{1}} L_{2}$ satis es the two inequalities

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{f} & 1 & \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{L}_{1}} & \mathrm{~L}_{2}  \tag{4:12}\\
\mathrm{~L}_{2}:
\end{array}
$$

That the eigenvectors of integer eigenvalue play a particular role in the above proof should be clear. An anbitrary eigenvalue is generically an algebraic num ber, and the
corresponding eigenvector has com ponents which are also algebraic num bers, so that (4.11) becom es m eaningless. H ow ever we show in the next two sections that one can construct toppling invariants from the spectrum of , which yield the proper setting to generalize the above idea to any eigenvalue. The corresponding construction is applicable to any A SM which has a diagonalizable toppling $m$ atrix.
5. A rem inder of Galois theory.

In this section, we recall the basic ideas of G alois theory, which can be used in any A SM, whatever its type. For illustrating the technique, we shall consider the fam iliar A SM de ned on an L L square lattioe. The toppling $m$ atrix is the discrete Laplacian, and its eigenvalues are easily determ ined and read

$$
\begin{equation*}
m m=4 \quad 2 \cos \frac{2 \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~N}} \quad 2 \cos \frac{2 \mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{~N}} ; 1 \quad \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{~L} ; \tag{5:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have de ned

$$
N=2(L+1):
$$

T he order of the group G is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
j G=Y_{m=1 n=1}^{Y^{\amalg} \quad Y^{\amalg}} \quad m: \tag{5:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e de ne the transform ations

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(m ; n)=m^{0}{ }^{\circ} n^{0} ; \quad s \text { coprim e w ith } N \text {; } \tag{5:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{m}^{0} ; \mathrm{n}^{0}$ are integers, $1 \mathrm{~m}^{0} ; \mathrm{n}^{0} \mathrm{~L}$, such that $\cos \left(2 \mathrm{~m}^{0}{ }^{0} \mathrm{~N}\right)=\cos (2 \mathrm{sm}=\mathrm{N})$ and $\cos \left(2 \mathrm{n}^{0}=\mathrm{N}\right)=\cos (2 \mathrm{sn}=\mathrm{N})$. W e see that these transform ations act on the set of eigenvalues by perm utation. M oreover, they form a group, and as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{s} \quad \mathrm{~s}^{0}=\mathrm{ss}^{0}=\mathrm{s}^{0} \quad \mathrm{~s} \text {; } \tag{5:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

the group is commutative and isom orphic to the multiplication modulo N of the num bers coprin $e$ with $N$. Finally from the explicit expression of $m, n$, the actions
of $s$ and $s$ are the same. Thus the group of all $s$ is isom onphic to the group $Z_{N}$ of invertible integers m odulo N (those which are coprim e with N ) quotiented by the subgroup f+1; 1g

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Gal}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{f} \mathrm{~s}: 1 \quad \mathrm{~s} \quad \mathrm{~L} \text { and } \mathrm{s} \text { coprim e with } \mathrm{N}^{\prime} \mathrm{g}^{\prime} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{f} \quad 1 \mathrm{~g} ; \tag{5:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is of order $\frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime}(\mathbb{N})$. W e call the group (5.6) the G alois group of this A SM .
It is instructive to group the set of eigenvalues $m$ in into orbits under the $G$ alois group (5.6). As an exam ple, let us take $L=3$ or $N=8$. The Galois group consists of the two transform ations $s=1$ (the identily transform ation) and $s=3 . W e n d$ $3(1 ; 1)=3 ; 3$ and $3(3 ; 3)=1 ; 1$, so that $\mathrm{f}_{1 ; 1}$; $3 ; 3$ form an orbit under the G alois group. Com puting the product of these two eigenvalues, we nd 1;1 3;3 $=8$. Likew ise $3(2 ; 2)=2 ; 2$ shows that $2 ; 2=4$ is an orbit on its own. (N ote that because of the existence ofsom e degeneracies in the eigenvalues, nding the orbit of $m$ is not $m$ erely nding the orbit of ( $m$; $n$ ) under a diagonalm ultiplication by all $s$. In the exam ple at hand, to say that $\mathrm{f}_{1 ; 1}$; 3;39 is the onbit of $1 ; 1$ supposes that we have checked that the two eigenvalues are di erent com plex numbers.) D oing the sam e calculation for the other eigenvalues, we can rearrange the product (5.3) giving the order of $G$ as a product over the orbits (6 in this case) to nd

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{L}=3: \quad \mathrm{J} \boldsymbol{j}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
11 & 33
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
22
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
12 & 32
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
21 & 23
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
13
\end{array}\right][31]  \tag{5:7}\\
& =8 \quad 4 \quad 14 \quad 14 \quad 4 \quad 4=100352:
\end{align*}
$$

$W$ e can do the sam e rearrangem ent for any value of $L$, writing $j \mathfrak{j}$ jas a product over orbits under the G alois group,

$$
j G j=\begin{array}{cc}
Y & Y  \tag{5:8}\\
\text { orbits } 0 & m ; n 20
\end{array} \quad \mathrm{~min} ;
$$

and then each sub \{product in square brackets is an integer. T his follow $s$ from the fact that each square bracket is by construction invariant under the whole of the G alois group, in which case the G alois theorem then states it m ust be a rational num ber. Because of the special form of the numbers m in (they are algebraic integers), all square brackets must even be integers. Not only is each square bracket equal to an
integer, but the Galois theorem asserts that none of them can be further split: the decom position (5.8) is the $m$ axim al factorization of $j \mathrm{j}$ as a product of integers $w$ ith the property that each integer is the product of a certain num ber of eigenvalues.

Let us now put the above considerations in a m ore general perspective. A clear account of $G$ alois theory can be found, for exam ple, in [20].

Let $P(x)$ be a nite degree polynom ial w ith integer coe cients, and let us de ne the algebraic extension $F \mathbb{P}]$ by adjoining to the eld of rational num bers $Q$ all the roots of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x})$. ( T his kind of algebraic extensions are technically known as separable norm al extensions.) Thus, $F \mathbb{P}]$ is constructed in two steps: rst we form the set of all rational linear com binations of the roots of $P$, and second, we prom ote this set to a eld by adding whatever is needed to m ake it closed under multiplication. H ence $F \mathbb{P}]$ contains all roots of $P$ and all products of roots, but it is always possible to choose a nite num ber ofbase elem ents (linearly independent over $Q$ ) of which $F \mathbb{P}]$ is the linear $Q$ \{span. The num ber of base elem ents is called the degree of the extension $F \mathbb{P}]$, which has in general no relation w ith the degree of $P$. For instance the degree of $F\left[\begin{array}{ll}x^{4} & 2\end{array}\right]$ is 8 , while that of $\left.F \begin{array}{ll}x^{4} & 1\end{array}\right]$ is 2 .
$C$ learly, $Q$ is a sub eld of $F \mathbb{P}]$, and it is thus a well\{de ned problem to look for autom orphism sof $F \mathbb{P}]$ which leave invariant every elem ent of $Q \quad F \mathbb{P}]$. The group of all such autom orphism $s$ is called the $G$ alois group of $F \mathbb{P}] w$ th respect to $Q$, and noted $G$ al $(\mathbb{P} \mathbb{P}=\mathbb{Q})$. Thee ect of the $G$ alois group on $F \mathbb{P}]$ is to perm ute the roots of $P$, although not all perm utations are allowed since they m ust be autom onphism s. A $n$ equivalent de nition of $G \operatorname{al}(\mathbb{F} \mathbb{P}=Q)$ is the set of perm utations of the roots of $P(x)$ which preserve all algebraic relations am ong them. It can be shown that the order of the $G$ alois group is equal to the degree of $F \mathbb{P}]$. W e can now form ulate the $G$ alois C orrespondence $T$ heorem : there is a one\{to\{one correspondence betw een the sub elds ofF $\mathbb{P}]$ and the subgroups ofG al( $\mathbb{F} \mathbb{P}]=Q$ ). Thebijection goes by associating a sub eld $M \quad F \mathbb{P}]$ w th the $m$ axim al subgroup $H \quad G a l(\mathbb{P}]=Q)$ which leaves the elem ents of M invariant. H ence the bigger $H$, the $s m$ aller $M$. For instance $M=Q$ is associated with $H=\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F} \mathbb{P}=Q$ ) by de nition of the $G$ alois group (hence an elem ent of $F \mathbb{P}]$ is in Q i it is invariant under the whole $G$ alois group), and $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{F} \mathbb{P}]$ is associated w th the trivial subgroup $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{f} 1 \mathrm{~g}$.

Before closing this digression, we $m$ ake a nal com $m$ ent about algebraic num bers
and algebraic integers. All the elem ents of an algebraic extension of $Q$ are algebraic numbers, which $m$ eans that they all satisfy polynom ial equations with integer Coe cients. H ow ever, som $e$ of them $m$ ay as well satisfy a polynom ial equation $w$ ith integer coe cients and w ith coe cient of the highest pow er equal to 1 . T hese elem ents are distinguished in the extension and are called algebraic integers. For instance, in $\left.F \begin{array}{ll}x^{2} & 2\end{array}\right]=Q\left[\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{P} \\ \overline{2}\end{array}\right]$, the num ber ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}$ is an algebraic integer whereas $1={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}$ is not. A iso the eigenvalues of a m atrix w ith integer entries are all algebraic integers. W hile the extension itself is a eld, the subset of its algebraic integers is only a ring. In Q , this am ounts to the usualdistinction between the rationals and the integers. An im m ediate consequence is that an algebraic integer of $F \mathbb{P}]$ which is invariant under the whole Galois group is actually an algebraic integer of $Q$, i.e. an integer of $Z$.

Let us now how these general ideas work ifwe take the extension $F[\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{x} \quad$ )] obtained by adjoining to $Q$ the roots of the characteristic polynom ial of the toppling $m$ atrix of an ASM. W e choose the ASM de ned in section 3 for an L L lattice, with the discrete Laplacian, but clearly the sam e analysis can be done in any model.

W e thus consider the algebraic extension $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{L}} \quad \mathrm{F}[\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{L})]$ obtained by adding to $Q$ the roots $m$ in given in (5.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}=4 \quad 2 \cos \frac{2 \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~N}} \quad 2 \cos \frac{2 \mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{~N}} ; 1 \quad \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{~L}: \tag{5:9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $F_{L}$ contains all rational combinations of the $m$, $n$, it contains cos $\frac{2 \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~N}}$ for all $1 \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{~L} \sin œ$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \frac{2 \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~N}}=2 \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~L}}_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\mathrm{XL}} \mathrm{~mm}: \tag{5:10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also see from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \frac{2 \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~N}} \quad \cos \frac{2 \mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{~N}}=\frac{1}{2} \cos \frac{2(m+n)}{N}+\frac{1}{2} \cos \frac{2(m \quad n)}{N} ; \tag{5:11}
\end{equation*}
$$

that any product of $m$ in can be expressed as a rational combination of 1 and the num bers (5.10), which shows that any elem ent of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{L}}$ can be written as a rational com bination of $1, \cos \frac{2}{N}, \cos \frac{4}{N},::: \cos \frac{2 L}{N}$. However, this $w r i t i n g$ is not unique as
these $L+1$ cosines are not independent over $Q$, but satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{le}^{1} \cos \frac{2\left(a p^{k}+b N=p^{k}+i N=p\right)}{N}=0 ; \quad 0 \quad a \quad \frac{N}{p^{k}} \quad 1 ; 0 \quad b \quad p^{k} 1 \quad 1 ; \tag{5:12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every prim e power $p^{k}$ entering the prim e decom position ofN. U sing these relations, it can be shown that only $\frac{1}{2}^{\prime}(\mathbb{N})$ am ong the $L+1$ cosines are independent over $Q$. Thus the extension $F_{L}$, usually denoted by $Q\left(\cos \frac{2}{N}\right)$, has degree $\frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime}(\mathbb{N})$. A basis of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{L}}$ over Q can be taken to be $\mathrm{fcos} \frac{2 \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~N}}: 0 \quad \mathrm{~m} \quad \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime}(\mathbb{N}) \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}$.

To nd the G alois group of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{L}}$, we rst note the follow ing identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \frac{2 \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~N}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}\left(\cos \frac{2}{\mathrm{~N}}\right) ; \tag{5:13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{m}$ is the $m$ \{th Chebyshev polynom ial of the rst kind. Equation (5.13) show $s$ that the G alois transform ation of $\cos \frac{2 \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~N}}, \mathrm{~m} \quad 2$, is determ ined by that of $\cos \frac{2}{\mathrm{~N}}$. The next step is to notioe that, since the Galois group acts on the roots $m$ m by perm utations, the $G$ alois transform ations of $\cos \frac{2}{\mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{~m}$ ust be in the set of $\cos \frac{2 \mathrm{~s}}{\mathrm{~N}}$, 1 s L. Hence suppose that $\mathrm{s}\left(\cos \frac{2}{\mathrm{~N}}\right)=\cos \frac{2 \mathrm{~s}}{\mathrm{~N}}$ is a $G$ alois transform ation for s betw een 1 and $L$. Being a group elem ent, $s m$ ust have an inverse which we denote by $s^{0}=s^{1}$. It satis es $s^{0}\left(\cos \frac{2 \mathrm{~s}}{\mathrm{~N}}\right)=\cos \frac{2 \mathrm{ss}^{0}}{\mathrm{~N}}=\cos \frac{2}{\mathrm{~N}}$, hence $\mathrm{ss}^{0}=1 \mathrm{modN}$. This is im possible if $s$ and $N$ have a com m on factor, while if $s$ is coprim ewith $N$, there is a unique $s^{0}$ betw een 1 and L satisfying either $s^{0}=s^{1} \mathrm{modN}$ or $s^{0}=s^{1} \mathrm{modN}$. $T$ hus the $G$ alois group contains at least the transform ations sfor $s$ between 1 and $L$ and coprim e with $N$. The num ber of such $s$ is equal to $\frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime}(\mathbb{N})$, and since this m ust also be the order of the $G$ alois group (equal to the degree of $F_{L}$ ), one recovers the sym $m$ etry group of (5.6)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Gal}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{s}}: 1 \quad \mathrm{~s} \quad \mathrm{~L} \text { and }(\mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{N})=1 \mathrm{~g}: \tag{5:14}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e have com pletely identi ed the extension $F_{\mathrm{L}}$ and determ ined its G alois group. The last point concems the ring of algebraic integers of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{L}}$. This is a far more di cult question, and we just quote the result: the algebraic number $w=a_{0}+$ $\left.P \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime}(\mathbb{N})^{1}\right)^{1}$ $2 a_{m} \cos \frac{2 m J}{N}$ of $F_{L}$ is an algebraic integer if and only if all coe cients $a_{m}$ are integers of $Z$. This ring of integers is usually denoted by $Z\left(\cos \frac{2}{N}\right.$ ). In particular, as noted above, the eigenvalues $m$ in are all algebraic integers.

Looking back at the decom position (5.8) of jG jas a product of orbits of eigenvahes under the G alois group, all the properties we m entioned easily follow from the above facts. The product ofeigenvalues belonging to an orbit is by de nition $G$ alois invariant and thusm ust be an rationalnum ber. Since the eigenvalues are algebraic integers, this rational num ber $m$ ust in fact be an integer. Finally the decom position is $m$ axim al by de nition of the orbits as the sm allest subsets invariant under the $G$ alois group.

Sim ilarly to the decom position of $=\mathrm{D}$ et , we $m$ ay consider the factorization of the characteristic polynom ial of in factors which are all invariant under the G alois group

In this case, the Galois theory states that the polynom ial within each square bracket, call it $P_{\circ}(x)$, has integer coe cients, and that the decom position (5.15) is $m$ axim al, nam ely one cannot perform a further splitting into polynom ials w ith rational coe cients (or in other words, all $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}}(\mathrm{x})$ are irreducible over the eld of rationals).

E xam ples of decom position (5.15) for sm all values of $L$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
4 & x
\end{array}\right)=x^{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x^{2} & 4
\end{array}\right) ;  \tag{5:16a}\\
& P_{3}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
4 & x
\end{array}\right)=x^{3}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x^{2} & 2
\end{array}\right)^{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x^{2} & 8
\end{array}\right) ;  \tag{5:16b}\\
& P_{4}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
4 & x
\end{array}\right)=x^{4}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & 1
\end{array}\right)^{2}(x+1)^{2}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
x^{2} & 5
\end{array}\right)^{2}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
x^{2} & 2 x & 4
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
x^{2}+2 x
\end{array} \quad 4\right) ;  \tag{5:16c}\\
& \vdots \\
& P_{7}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
4 & x
\end{array}\right)=x^{7}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x^{2} & 2
\end{array}\right)^{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x^{2} & 8
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x^{4} & 4 x^{2}+2
\end{array}\right)^{2}\left(x^{4} \quad 8 x^{2}+8\right)^{2} \\
& \left(\begin{array}{lllll}
x^{4} & \left.16 x^{2}+32\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
x^{4} & 8 x^{2} & 8 x
\end{array} \quad 2\right)^{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x^{4} & 8 x^{2}+8 x
\end{array} \quad 2\right)^{2}:
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

The number $F$ ( $L$ ) of factors in the decom positions (5.8) or (5.15) can be explicitely com puted. The behaviour of F ( L ) is rather chaotic, as can be checked from Table I, where we give som e of its values. It strongly depends on the prim e decom position of ( $L+1$ ), and for large $L, F(L)$ increases linearly with $L$. An analytic form ula for $F(L)$ is given in A ppendix B.

TA B LE I: Number F (L) of irreducible factors in $\operatorname{det}(x)$.

| L | $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{L})$ | L | $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{L})$ | L | $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{L})$ | L | $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{L})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 6 | 16 | 21 | 74 | 26 | 112 |
| 2 | 4 | 7 | 19 | 22 | 64 | 27 | 112 |
| 3 | 6 | 8 | 28 | 23 | 122 | 28 | 84 |
| 4 | 12 | 9 | 34 | 24 | 88 | 29 | 192 |
| 5 | 18 | 10 | 28 | 25 | 90 | 30 | 88 |

Finally, let us note that the G alois group (5.14) also acts on the L L lattioe on whidh the ASM is de ned. This action is de ned by $s(x ; y)=\left(x^{0} ; y^{0}\right)$ where $\cos \frac{2 x^{0}}{N}=\cos \frac{2 s x}{N}$ and $\cos \frac{2 y^{0}}{N}=\cos \frac{2 y s}{N}$. The operator s perm utes the lattice sites. In tum, this induces an action on the set of all con gurations of the A SM by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{s} C \quad: \quad s_{s}\left(z_{i}\right)=z_{s(i)}: \tag{5:17}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we can also look at the Galois transform ations either as autom orphism s of the lattioe or as autom orphism s of the set of all con gurations of the A SM. In fact, they even de ne autom onphism s of $R$, because, although ${ }_{s} C$ is not necessarily recurrent even if $C$ is, there is a unique recurrent oon guration equivalent to ${ }_{s} C$.

## 6. Toppling invariants from eigenvectors.

U sing the basic results of $G$ alois theory brie $y$ recalled in section 5 , we study here toppling invariants constructed from the (left) eigenvectors of. This can be done for any A SM w ith diagonalizable toppling $m$ atrix, but for de niteness, we proceed with the A SM de ned in section 4, for a square L L lattige.

Thus is the discrete Laplacian, whose eigenvalues $m, n$ were given in (5.1), and w hose eigenvectors read

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{m ; n}(x ; y)=\frac{\sin \frac{2 m x}{N}}{\sin \frac{2 m}{N}} \frac{\sin \frac{2 n y}{N}}{\sin \frac{2 n}{N}} ; \quad 1 \quad m ; n \quad L: \tag{6:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he norm alization is purely conventional, and willbe explained below .

For each eigenvector $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{n}$, we de ne an algebraic toppling invariant by taking its inner product $w$ th the $z$ vector of an anbitrary stable con guration, and by evaluating the result $m$ odulo the eigenvalue $m$ in of $v_{m}$ in

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{m ; n}(C)=X_{x ; y}^{X} V_{m ; n}(x ; y) z_{x ; y} m \text { od } m ; n: \tag{6:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the eigenvalues and the eigenvector com ponents are in general algebraic num bers in the algebraic extension $F[\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{x} \quad)]$, equalto $Q\left(\cos \frac{2}{\mathrm{~N}}\right.$ ) in this case, the congruence (62) m ust be understood in that extension. M oreover, $m$ odulo $m ; n m$ eans m odulo all $m$ ultiples $w m m$ ith $w$ in the ring of algebraic integers of $Q$ ( $\cos \frac{2}{\mathrm{~N}}$ ).
$C$ learly under the toppling at site $\left(x_{0} ; y_{0}\right)$, we have $A_{m, n}!A_{m m}+$ $m ; n V_{m}\left(X_{0} ; Y_{0}\right)$, so that $A_{m ; n}(C)$ is invariant provided $V_{m, n}\left(x_{0} ; y_{0}\right)$ is an algebraic integer for all $x_{0} ; Y_{0}$. This was precisely the reason for choosing the norm alization (6.1). On account of the fact that, for integer $x, \frac{\sin x}{\sin }$ is a polynom ial of 2 cos $w$ th integer coe cients, the com ponents of $v_{m}{ }_{\mathrm{n}}$ are indeed algebraic integers of $Q$ ( $\cos \frac{2}{\mathrm{~N}}$ ) (see section 5).
$T$ hus the invariants $A_{m}$ in are all valued in $Z\left(\cos \frac{2}{N}\right)$ where the congruence (62) is to be taken. To give an explicit exam ple, consider $L=3, N=8$. The relevant extension is $Q\left(\cos \frac{2}{8}\right)=Q\left({ }^{P} \overline{2}\right)$. Choosing for instance $m=n=1$, one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1 ; 1=4 \quad 2^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}, \mathrm{v}_{1 ; 1}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y})=\left(1 ;{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2} ; 1\right) \quad\left(1 ;{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2} ; 1\right), \text { so that } \\
& \mathrm{A}_{1 ; 1}(\mathrm{C})=\mathrm{z}_{1 ; 1}+{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}_{2 z_{1 ; 2}}+\mathrm{z}_{1 ; 3}+{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}_{2 z_{2 ; 1}}+ \\
& +2 z_{2 ; 2}+{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}_{2 ; 3}+\mathrm{z}_{3 ; 1}  \tag{6:3}\\
& \\
& +
\end{align*}
$$

It can be seen that this invariant takes 8 di erent values by setting $\pi_{; 1}=\mathrm{k}$ for $k=0 ; 1 ;::: ; 7$, and all other $z_{x ; y}=0$. Indeed $8=\left(4+2^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{2}\right)\left(42^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{2}\right)$ is the sm allest positive integer equal to a multiple of $4 \quad 2^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}$. A lso recall from section 5 that 1;1 belongs to the orbit $O=\mathrm{f}_{1 ; 1} ; 3 ; 39$ under the $G$ alois group, and that the associated irreducible polynom ial is $P_{\circ}(x)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & 1 ; 1\end{array}\right)(x \quad 3 ; 3)=x^{2} \quad 8 x+8$. So we have in this case that $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}}(0)=8$ is the num ber of values taken by the invariant $\mathrm{A}_{1 ; 1}$.

It seem sthat we have de ned $\mathrm{L}^{2}$ invariants $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}}$, one for each eigenvalue. H ow ever som e of them are related by Galois transform ations, and are thus not independent.

Indeed if $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}$ and $\mathrm{m}^{0} \mathrm{~m}^{0}$ are in the sam e Galois orbit, the corresponding eigenvectors are related by a Galois transform ation , and so are the corresponding invariants, $A_{m}{ }^{0} n^{0}=\left(A_{m, n}\right)$. So in fact we associate an invariant not to each eigenvalue, but rather to each orbit O under the Galois group, or equivalently to each irreducible factor $P_{\circ}(x)$ of the characteristic polynom ial of.$W$ e thus have $F(L)$ (the num ber of orbits) algebraic invariants. M oreover, because of the norm alization we chose in (6.1) for the eigenvectors, each invariant is linear in the height of the left top comer $(x=y=1)$ with coe cient 1:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{C})=\mathrm{z}_{1 ; 1}+::: \mathrm{mod}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}}: \tag{6:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since 1 is not an eigenvalue, we see that none of the $A_{m} n$ is trivial. H ow ever one cannot say in general the num ber of values they take. If one evaluates $A_{m}$ in (C ) at those con gurations for which $z_{i y}=0$ for all $(x ; y)(1 ; 1)$ and $z_{1 ; 1}=k 2 N$, one sees that $A_{m}, n$ takes positive integer values, but because of the congnuence, di erent $k$ 'sw ill give $A_{m, n}$ the sam evalue. D enoting by $k_{m a x}(m ; n)$ the sm allest positive integer equal to zeromodulo $m ; n, A_{m ; n} w$ illtake exactly $k_{m}$ ax $(m ; n)$ di erent values. To determ ine that integer is not easy. C learly an upper bound is given by the product of $m$ m $w$ ith all its $G$ alois con jugates, an integer by construction. This gives $k_{m ~ a x ~}(m ; n) \quad \mathcal{F}_{0}(0) j w h e r e$ $O$ is the orbit containing $m \mathrm{~m}$. It can be show $n$ that the equality holds whenever $m \mathrm{~m}$ is not the product of two integers belonging to two di erent subrings of $Z\left(0 s_{N}^{2}\right)$. In the other cases, the exact value of $k_{m ~ a x ~}(m ; n$ ) depends on the factorization properties of $m, n$. For instance, for $L=4, N=10$, we have $Q\left(\cos \frac{2}{10}\right)=Q\left(P^{p}\right)$. For ${ }_{1 ; 1}=5 P_{\overline{5}}$, we nd $\mathrm{m}_{\text {max }}=10$, while the associated value of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}}(0)=\left(5 \quad \mathrm{P}_{\overline{5})}\left(5+{ }^{\bar{p}} \overline{5}\right)\right.$ is equal to 20. The reason is that $5 \quad \mathrm{P}_{\overline{5}}=2 \frac{5^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{5}}{2}$ is the product of 2 , an integer of $Z$ and of $\frac{5^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{5}}{2}$, an integer of $Z(\overline{5})$.]

The next question is whether the invariants $A_{m}$ m are linearly independent. This is easily answered negatively. There are F (L) > L invariants and we have shown that none of them is trivial, so that if they were independent, the group $G$ would be the product of at least F (L) cyclic groups since it would have to contain the subgroup $m, n Z_{k_{m a x}(m ; n)}$. (Rem em ber from section 3 that toppling invariants provide additive representations of .) This is of course im possible since, from section 4, we know that $G$ is the product of exactly L cyclic factors.

Even if the set of $A_{m}, n$ is not linearly independent, we can still consider the sulset of independent ones, hopefiully of cardinality equal to $L$, and see if those provide a com plete labelling of the set of recurrent con gurations. This too can be easily shown to fail. If they did, the total num ber of di erent values they take should be equal to $D$ et. But since $D$ et $=Q \quad P_{0}(0) j$, the right num ber of values is reached only if we take all the invariants and if, for each of them, $k_{m a x}(m ; n)=\mathcal{P}_{\circ}(0) j$. $T$ his is clearly im possible from the linear dependence am ong som e of them .

Thus the invariants $A_{m}, n$ are neither independent nor com plete. In view of the results of section 3 , this is hardly sunprising since the Sm th norm al form is not related to a spectraldecom position. A naturalquestion is then whether one can nd a com plete set of algebraic invariants, analogous to the com plete set of integer invariants $I_{i}$ de ned in (3.11) in term s of the $S m$ ith norm alform. It is indeed possible by using the follow ing algorithm .

W e note that since the invariants involve congruences, there is no need to use the exact eigenvectors. W e can de ne invariants by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \quad(C)=\sum_{x ; y}^{X} v(x ; y) z_{x ; y} \bmod ; \tag{6:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we now take for $v$ a vector $w$ th the property that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v} \quad=0 \mathrm{mod}: \tag{6:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ th no loss of generality, we can assum e that the com ponents of $v$ are in the ring of integers of som e algebraic extension (otherw ise is simply rescaled), so that we can see the vectors $v$ as left eigenvectors of zero eigenvalue over a nite ring. W e w ill call them m odular eigenvectors (w ith zero eigenvalue). W e look for a set of such vectors, as sm all as possible and w ith as large values of as possible, in order to generate a com plete set of invariants $w$ th the few est invariants. To avoid irrelevant overall factors (if v is a m odular eigenvector modulo, 2 v is a m odular eigenvector modulo 2 ), we may assum e that at least one component of $v$ is coprime with , which we can then choose equal to 1. The system (6.6) is overdeterm ined since it yields $L^{2}$ equations for only $L^{2} \quad 1$ components of $v$ (one of them $w$ as set equal to
1). The extra equation can be seen as a constraint on the values of . By the C hinese Rem ainder theorem, it is su cient to look for solutions of (6.6) for a prim e power. For if $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are modular eigenvectors $m$ odulo 1 and 2 respectively, w ith 1 and 2 coprim $e_{1} v=v_{1} 2+v_{2} 1$ is a modular eigenvector modulo 12 . D eterm ining all independent solutions modulo prim e powers and system atically using the C hinese $R e m$ ainder theorem to obtain the $s m$ allest num ber of $m$ odular eigenvectors, we get a set of eigenvectors $\mathrm{fv}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}$ where i is a multiple of ${ }_{\mathrm{i}+1}$. ((6.6) m plies that ${ }_{1}$, hence all ${ }_{i}$, divides the determ inant of .) The corresponding set of invariants $f A{ }_{i} g$ de nes a com plete set of toppling invariants.

A s an exam ple, consider onœ m ore the 22 case. Let us choose the $v$ 's and the 's in $Z$, and assum e

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A} \quad(\mathrm{C})=\mathrm{z}_{1}+\mathrm{bz} z_{2}+\mathrm{Cz} 3+\mathrm{dz} \mathrm{z}_{4} \mathrm{mod} \text {; } \tag{6:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the con guration $C$ is represented by $\begin{array}{lll}z_{1} & z_{2} & \text { ! } \\ z_{3} & z_{4}\end{array}$. The equation (6.6) im plies $\mathrm{c}=4 \mathrm{bmod}$ and $\mathrm{d}=4 \mathrm{~b} \quad 1 \mathrm{mod}$, aswell as

$$
\begin{equation*}
8 \mathrm{~b} \quad 16=16 \mathrm{~b} \quad 8=0 \mathrm{mod}: \tag{6:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $=p^{m}$ with $p$ an odd prime, (6.8) is equivalent to $b=2=2^{1} \mathrm{mod} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{m}}$, whidh has no solution unless $p^{m}=3$, in which case one nds one invariant,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{3}(C)=z_{1}+2 z_{2}+2 z_{3}+z_{4} \bmod 3: \tag{6:9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $=2^{m}$, the two equations (6.8) are trivially satis ed for $z^{2}=8$, but cannot be satis ed for 216 ( $16 \mathrm{~b} \quad 8=8 母 0 \mathrm{mod} 16$ ). Thus the maxim al value of is 8 , and one nds two independent invariants modulo $8(b=1 ; 2)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{8}(C)=z_{1}+z_{2}+3 z_{3}+3 z_{4} \bmod 8:  \tag{6:10a}\\
& A_{8}^{0}(C)=z_{1} \quad 2 z_{2} \quad 2 z_{3} \quad z_{4} \bmod 8 ; \tag{6:10b}
\end{align*}
$$

U sing the Chinese Rem ainder theorem, we obtain two invariants, modulo 24 and 8 respectively:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{24}(C)=8 A_{3}(C)+3 A_{8}^{0}(C)=11 z_{1}+10 z_{2}+10 z_{3}+5 z_{4} \bmod 24 ; \tag{6:11a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{8}(C)=z_{1}+z_{2}+3 z_{3}+3 z_{4} \bmod 8: \tag{6:11b}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s expected, one recovers the invariants (3.8) constructed out of the Sm th norm al form of,$I_{1}=5 A_{24}$ and $I_{2}=3 A_{8}$. C learly, this is a general fact: choosing to work on $Z$, the above algorithm allow s to com pute the elem entary divisors of (the i's), as well as the relevant lines of the m atrix A ${ }^{1}$ needed to obtain the invariants (see (3.11)), since from (3.9) and (3.10), the row s of A ${ }^{1}$ are precisely $m$ odular eigenvectors modulo the elem entary divisors of . H ow ever the algorithm is com pletely general regarding the ring of integers we want to work in. In particular, one can nd a com plete set of invariants $w$ ith values in the ring of integers of any algebraic extension, som ething we w ill not pursue here since the algebraic invariants we would so obtain can be read o from the $Z$ \{valued ones (by decom posing the elem entary divisors $d_{i} 2 Z$ into a product of pow ers of prim e ideals of the extension).

A s a conclusion to this section, we found it rather attractive to use G alois theory in order to associate toppling invariants with irreducible factors of the characteristic polynom ial of , to the end of extracting inform ation about G from the Galois decom position of this polynom ial. A though this m ethod can be usefil, the usefiulness being dependent on the spectrum of , it generally provides only partial inform ation on G .
7. Som e related problem s

In this section, we com $m$ ent brie $y$ on tw o intriguing questions related to sandpile autom ata on nite square lattioes.

Q UEST IO N 1. W iesenfeld et al [15] have studied a determ in istic version of the sandpile autom aton considered here, in which the particle addition is alw ays done at the central site of $(2 L+1) \quad(2 L+1)$ square lattice. They observed, and it is easy to prove, that starting from an initial arbitrary con guration, once the transient con gurations are gone, the system show s a cyclic behavior, and the period of the cycle $T_{L}$ is independent of the initial con guration. $T$ he exact dependence of $T$ on $L$ is still quite puzzling.

W iesenfeld et alhave num erically determ ined $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{L}}=4 ; 16 ; 104 ; 544 ; 146248 ; 7889840$ for $L=0$ to 5 , and found that $T_{L}$ increases at a much slower rate than $D$ et, $T_{L} \quad \exp 0: 44 L^{2}$ against $D$ et $\quad \exp 4: 67 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$. The period for $L$ up to 9 has been determ ined by $M$ arkosova and $M$ arkos [16]. It is easy to see that $T_{L}$ is the order of the operator a $(L+1 ; L+1)$ on the space $R$ of recurrent con gurations and so is the sm allest positive integer such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(L+1 ; L+1)^{T_{L}}=I: \tag{7:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $a(L+1 ; L+1)^{T_{\mathrm{L}}}=I$ on $R$, we obtain that all the toppling invariants (3.3) must be equal to zero modulo 1 at the con guration which is zero everyw here except at the central site, where it is $T_{L}$. M ultiplying the invariants by $D$ et and de ning the integer $m$ atrix $E=\left(D\right.$ et ) ${ }^{1}$, we obtain that $T_{L}$ is the $s m$ allest positive integer satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i ;(L+1 ; L+1)} T_{L}=0 \bmod (D \text { et }) ; \text { for all } i: \tag{7:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $M=\operatorname{gcd} \mathrm{fE}_{i ;(\mathrm{L}+1 ; \mathrm{L}+1)}: 1 \quad$ i $2 \mathrm{~L}+1 \mathrm{~g}$, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{L}}=(\mathrm{D} \text { et })=\mathrm{M}: \tag{7:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $T_{L}$ is independent of the initial con guration, wem ay choose a con guration $w$ hich has the sym $m$ etry of the square. $T$ his sym $m$ etry is preserved under sym $m$ etrical topplings, and under addition ofsand at the centralsite, hence we can restrict ourselves to $R_{\text {sym }}$, the subspace of recurrent con gurations having the symm etry of a square. On a ( $2 L+1$ ) ( $2 L+1$ ) lattioe, a sym $m$ etrical oon guration is com pletely speci ed by the heights in an octant having $\frac{(L+1)(L+2)}{2}$ sites. Instead of studying the sym m etrical con gurations on a square lattice, we can just as wellstudy all recurrent con gurations on an octant $O_{L} w$ ith a new toppling $m$ atrix sym given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\text { sym }^{\prime}\right)_{i j}=\mathrm{j}_{j^{0}}^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{j}^{0} ; \text { fori;j2 } O_{\mathrm{L}} ; \tag{7:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum over $j^{0}$ is over sites which are related to $j$ by the sym $m$ etries of the square (dihedral group of order 8). Even though it does not satisfy $P_{i}{ }_{i}(\text { sym })_{i j} \quad 0$
for all i, it can be show $n$ that the general results of [6] hold for this case, so that for exam ple

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\text {sym }} j=\operatorname{D} \text { et } \quad \text { sym }=j \mathcal{J G}_{\text {sym }} j \tag{7:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{\text {sym }}$ is the abelian group generated by the operators $a_{i} ; i 2 O_{L}$, sub jected to the relations (2.3) w ith replaced by sym. A simple calculation show sthat

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Det sym }=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{~mm} \text {; } \tag{7:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the product over $m$ and $n$ is over odd values of $m \quad n$ betw een 1 and ( $2 L+1$ ),
 $T_{L}$ increases at a substantially lower rate than $j j_{\text {sym }} j$. A $s$ in section 3 , the structure of $\mathrm{g}_{\text {sym }}$ can be determ ined by com puting the elem entary divisors of sym $\cdot \mathrm{We}$ nd for the rst four values of $L$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{L}=1: \mathrm{G}_{\text {sym }}=\mathrm{Z}_{16} \quad \mathrm{Z}_{2} \text {; }  \tag{7:7a}\\
& \mathrm{L}=2: \mathrm{G}_{\text {sym }}=\mathrm{Z}_{104} \quad \mathrm{Z}_{4} \quad \mathrm{Z}_{2} \text {; }  \tag{7:7b}\\
& \mathrm{L}=3: \mathrm{G}_{\text {sym }}=\mathrm{Z}_{544} \quad \mathrm{Z}_{32} \quad \mathrm{Z}_{2} \quad \mathrm{Z}_{2} \text {; }  \tag{7:7c}\\
& \mathrm{L}=4: \mathrm{G}_{\text {sym }}=\mathrm{Z}_{146248} \quad \mathrm{Z}_{8} \quad \mathrm{Z}_{4} \quad \mathrm{Z}_{2} \quad \mathrm{Z}_{2}: \tag{7:7d}
\end{align*}
$$

W e see that the order of the largest cyclic group in $G_{\text {sym }}$ is apparently nothing but the period $T_{L}$. In term s of the invariants (3.11) constructed from the Sm ith norm al form of sym, this would $m$ ean that the elem ent of the rst row ofA ${ }^{1}$ corresponding to the central site is coprim $e w$ ith the largest elem entary divisor $d_{1}^{\text {sym }}$, im plying $T_{L}=d_{1}^{\text {sym }}$. W hat is certainly true is that $T_{L} m$ ust divide $d_{1}^{\text {sym }}$ since $a(L+1 ; L+1)$ generate a cyclic subgroup of ${ }_{\text {sym }}$, the largest one being of order $d_{1}^{\text {sym }}$. A though there are plausibility argum ents that $T_{L}$ is actually equal to $d_{1}^{\text {sym }}$, the proof (or disproof) of this sim ple fact is still lacking.

The question of the rank ofG ${ }_{\text {sym }}$ can also be addressed. H ow ever here, an argum ent based on the eigenvectors of eigenvalue 4, sim ilar to the one we used in section 4, does not work since the degeneracy of the eigenvalue 4 is only $\left[\frac{L}{2}\right]+1$, with $[x]$ the integral part of $x$. (It nevertheless implies that so $m$ any cyclic factors of $G_{\text {sym }}$ have order
multiple of 4.) But on the other hand, it is easy to see that there are exactly $\mathrm{L}+1$ independent $m$ odular eigenvectors $m$ odulo 2 (of sym), which show sthat the rank of $G_{\text {sym }}$ is $L+1$. ( $L+1$ is also an upper bound since alloperators a (x;y) for ( $x ; y$ ) $2 O_{L}$ can be expressed in term sofa $(1 ; y)$ for $y=1 ; 2 ;::: ; L+1$.) The independent m odular eigenvectors have only one non \{zero com ponent, at any of the $L+1$ positions on the principal diagonal of $O_{L}: v_{=2}^{(k)}(x ; y)=x_{x} y ; k$ for $1 \quad k \quad L+1$. This proves that each cyclic subgroup of sym has order multiple of 2 .

The fact that $T_{L} m$ ust be a divisor of $j_{\text {sym }} j$ already gives us a fairly good upperbound estim ate of it. It is instructive to look at the values of $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{mm}} \dot{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{L}}$ for sm all L. From the results of $M$ arkosova et al [10], we see that for $L=0$ up to 8, these values are $1 ; 2 ; 2^{3} ; 2^{7} ; 2^{7} ; 2^{11} ; 2^{9} ; 2^{22} ; 2^{15}$ 2701. N ote that they show a rather irregular, non $\{m$ onotonic behavior with $L$. They have a large power of 2 as divisor, but som etim es have other factors as well.

The uctuations in $T$ appear to be related to the appearance of degeneracies in the eigenvalue spectrum of sym. For exam ple, the fact that the eigenvalue 4 is ( $\left[\frac{\mathrm{L}}{2}\right]+1$ ) \{ fold degenerate and the existence of $\mathrm{L}+1 \mathrm{~m}$ odular eigenvectors m odulo 2 m ply that $T_{L}$ is a divisior of $\left.2(L+\mathbb{L}=2]\right){ }_{j} G_{\text {sym }} j$. A nother accidental degeneracy in the spectrum of $\mathrm{G}_{\text {sym }}$ occurs when $2 \mathrm{~L}+2$ is a multiple of 6 . W riting $2 \mathrm{~L}+2=6$, we can easily verify that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2^{\wedge} \mathrm{m} ; 2^{`}+\mathrm{m}=3^{`} ; \mathrm{m} \\
& 4^{\wedge} \mathrm{m} ; 4^{\wedge}+\mathrm{m}=3^{\wedge} ; 6^{\wedge} \mathrm{m}: \tag{7:8}
\end{align*}
$$

In the $G$ alois factorization of $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{sym}} j$ this im plies that there are som e factors which are repeated. For exam ple, for $L=8$, the factor 2701 occurs tw ioe in $j \in G_{\text {sym }} j$. W hile for a given value of $L$, the degeneracies, and the group structure of $G_{\text {sym }}$ can be explicitly determ ined, it seem s di cult at this stage to say much m ore about this \irregular" variation of $T_{L} w$ ith $L$ for general $L$.

QUESTION 2. This conœms the structure of the identity con guration. Even the L L square case shows nontrivial features. The identity con guration $C_{i d}$ is the unique recurrent con guration which is equivalent under toppling to the con guration w th all heights zero. W e have already noted that all toppling invariants $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {id }}\right) \mathrm{m}$ ust
be zero. It also implies that $C_{i d}$ is the unique recurrent con guration such that $T_{i} \quad P_{j}\left({ }^{1}\right)_{i j} z_{j}\left(C_{i d}\right)$ are integers for all $i$ (by using the invariants (3.3)). This integer vector has the interesting interpretation that $T_{i}$ is the num ber of topplings occurring at iwhen $\mathrm{C}_{\text {id }}$ is added to itself.

The identily con guration show s com plicated fractalstructures. Som e color\{coded pictures of these $m$ ay be found in [21]. W e $m$ ention here two rem arkable properties of the identity. First, in the central area of a 2L 2L lattice, there is a whole square where all sites have $m$ axim al height, equal to 3 . The linear size of this central square was num erically $m$ easured for $L$ up to 100 , and goes like $4 L=5$, so that the central square covers approxim ately $4=25$ of the whole lattice.

The second property, even $m$ ore rem arkable, is that the identity con guration on the $(2 \mathrm{~L}+1)(2 \mathrm{~L}+1)$ lattioe appears to be related in a very sim ple w ay to that on the 2L 2L lattice. Indeed, if we divide the con guration $C_{i d}^{(2 L)}$ into four equal squares and pull them apart by one lattioe spacing so as to leave a cross in the m iddle, we get $C_{\text {id }}^{(2 \mathrm{~L}+1)}$ provided the heights on the cross are properly assigned. In obvious notation, if

$$
C_{i d}^{(2 L)}=\begin{array}{ll}
B_{1} & B_{2}!  \tag{7:9}\\
B_{3} & B_{4}
\end{array} \quad ; \quad\left(B_{i} \text { are } L \quad L \text { blocks }\right),
$$

$w$ here the four blocks $B_{i}$ are related by the sym $m$ etry transform ations of the square (since $C_{\text {id }}$ has that sym metry), then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{llll}
0 & B_{1} & R_{1} & B_{2}
\end{array} \\
& C_{i d}^{(2 L+1)}=\stackrel{B}{@} R_{2} \quad z_{m \text { id }} \quad R_{3} A_{A}^{C} ; \quad\left(R_{i} \text { are } 1 \quad L \text { row } s\right) .  \tag{7:10}\\
& \begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{B}_{3} & \mathrm{R}_{4} & \mathrm{~B}_{4}
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

In addition the height at the center $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{m}}$ id is always 0 , and the branches of the cross given by the $R_{i}$ (also related by sym $m$ etry transform ations) have a sim ple structure. The rst instance of this phenom enon occurs when going from the 2 to the 3
lattioes:

$$
C_{\text {id }}^{(2)}=\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 2^{!}  \tag{7:11}\\
2 & 2
\end{array} \quad!\quad C_{\text {id }}^{(3)}=\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 2 & 1 & 2^{1} \\
\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{d}} & 1 & 0 & 1 \mathrm{C} \\
2 & 1 & 2
\end{array}:
$$

M ore identity con gurations are listed in A ppendix $C$, for which this property $m$ ay be checked (as well as the rst one m entioned above).

In addition, the array $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}$ de ned in a previous paragraph also has the \cross" property (7.9\{10). For instanœ,

Because the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{id}}$ and T arrays are related by $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{id}}=\mathrm{T}$, the fact that both have the \cross" property xes their values on the branches of the cross (for odd lattices). For instance, the row sin $T$ corresponding to the $R_{i}$ (see (7.10)) m ust be equal to the row s bordering them, as show $n$ in (7.12) for $2 \mathrm{~L}+1=7$. The rst property mentioned for $C_{\text {id }}$, nam ely the existence of a big central square w ith constant values, does not hold for $T$. There is in fact in $T$ a central square of constant values, but its linear size cannot exceed 3 if $\mathrm{C}_{\text {id }}$ is to be recurrent at all (see (7.12)). A s a nal rem ark, we observed that the total num ber of topplings occurring when the identity is added to itself, i.e. ${ }^{P}{ }_{i} T_{i}^{(L)}$, equal to 160 and 235 for $L=6$ and 7, grows like a power of $L$, with an exponent close to 4 ( $3: 9$ ).

Sim ilar geom etric structures are found when A SM are allow ed to relax from special unstable states, say all heights equal to 4 [14]. T hese fractal structures are not well understood yet.
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A ppendix A

In this appendix, we determ ine the structure of the group $G$ for the A SM de ned on the L 2 lattioe. In this case, the particle addition operators a ( $\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}$ ) satisfy the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(x+1 ; 1)=a^{4}(x ; 1) a^{1}(x \quad 1 ; 1) a^{1}(x ; 2) ; \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(x+1 ; 2)=a^{4}(x ; 2) a^{1}(x \quad 1 ; 2) a^{1}(x ; 1): \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here 1 x L, and by convention we assum $e$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(0 ; y)=a(L+1 ; y)=I ; \quad \text { for } y=1 ; 2: \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the recursion relations (A1) and (A 2) we can express $a(x ; 1)$ and $a(x ; 2)$ as product of powers of a $a(1 ; 1)$ and $b \quad a(1 ; 2)$. Explicitely we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{x} ; 1)=\mathrm{a} \times \mathrm{b} \times \boldsymbol{x}  \tag{A4}\\
& \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{x} ; 2)=\mathrm{a} \times \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{x}} ; \tag{A5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x$ and $x$ are positive integers satisfying the follow ing recurrence relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& x+1=4 x+x \quad x 1 ;  \tag{A6}\\
& x+1=4 x+x \quad x 1 ; \tag{A7}
\end{align*}
$$

w th the intialconditions $0=0=1=0$, and $1=1$. This can easily be solved by de ning the new functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{x} ; \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x} \text { : } \tag{A8}
\end{equation*}
$$

They satisfy uncoupled binary recurrence relations

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}+1} & =3 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{x}} & \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{x}} 1 ;  \tag{A9}\\
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}+1} & =5 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}} & \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}} 1 ; \tag{A10}
\end{align*}
$$

w th $\mathrm{m}_{0}=\mathrm{n}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~m}_{1}=\mathrm{n}_{1}=1$. The solutions read

The rst values of $m_{x} ; n_{x} ; x ; x$ are given below in Table II.

TABLE II

| x | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | 0 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 21 | 55 | 144 | 377 | 987 |
| $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | 0 | 1 | 5 | 24 | 115 | 551 | 2640 | 12649 | 60605 |
| x | 0 | 1 | 4 | 16 | 68 | 303 | 1392 | 6513 | 30796 |
| x | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 47 | 248 | 1248 | 6136 | 29809 |

O ne $m$ ay note that $m_{x}$ are precisely the even term $s$ in the standard $F$ ibonacci sequence.

To determ ine the structure of $G$ in term $s$ of the generators $a$ and $b$, we note from (A 3\{5) that the only relations am ong them are

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\mathrm{L}+1} \mathrm{~b}^{\mathrm{L}+1}=\mathrm{I} ; \tag{A13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\mathrm{L}+1} \mathrm{~b}^{\mathrm{L}+1}=\mathrm{I}: \tag{A14}
\end{equation*}
$$

A coording to the discussions in sections 3 and 4, the structure of G is given in term s of the elem entary divisors $d_{1} ; d_{2}$ of the matrix $\begin{array}{ccc}L+1 & L+1 \\ L+1 & L+1\end{array}$. We nd that

$$
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{L}} 2=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{d}_{1}} \quad \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{d}_{2}} ; \quad \mathrm{w} \text { th } \mathrm{d}_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 & 2  \tag{A15}\\
\mathrm{~L}+1 & 2
\end{array}\right)=\mathrm{d}_{2} \text { and } \mathrm{d}_{2}=\operatorname{god}(\mathrm{L}+1 ; \mathrm{L}+1):
$$

As a function of $L$, the num ber $d_{2}$ has an irregular behaviour, w th very large sudden jum ps. The only result we could m ly establish follows from the double inequality (4.12) : $d_{2}>1$ if $L+1$ is divisible by 3 , so in that case, $G$ is not cyclic.
$R$ ather surprisingly, the elem entary divisors can equally be expressed in term sof $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{L}+1}$ and $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{L}+1}$ by the neater form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{1}=m_{L+1} n_{L+1}=d_{2} ; \quad d_{2}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{L+1} ; n_{L+1}\right): \tag{A16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the relation (A 8), Eqns (A 15) and (A 16) are clearly equivalent when $m_{x}$ and $n_{x}$ are both odd, which is the case if x is not divisible by 3. That they are equivalent for x a m ultiple of 3 lies in the delicate fact that the largest pow er of 2 that divides $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}}$ is the sam e as that dividing $n_{x}$. To see this, we consider the subsequences $M(r)=m_{3 r}$ and $N(r)=n_{3 r}$. We nd from (A11\{12) that they satisfy the recursions

$$
\begin{align*}
& M(r+1)=18 M \quad(r) \quad M \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
r & 1) ; \\
N(r+1) & =110 N(r) \\
N(r & 1) ;
\end{array}, ~\right. \tag{A17}
\end{align*}
$$

sub jected to the initial conditions $M(0)=N(0)=0, M(1)=8$, and $N(1)=24 . N$ ow the desired result that for each $r, M(r)$ and $N(r)$ have the sam 2 \{potency (ie. the largest pow ers of 2 dividing them are the sam e) follow s from a m ore general result by the last author [22], which gives a form ula for the 2 \{potency for any binary recursive sequenc' $(r)$ de ned by $(0)=0,(1)=$, and $\quad(r+1)=2 R \quad(r)+S \quad(r \quad 1)$, where is an arbitrary integer, and $R$; $S$ are odd integers.

A ppendix B

In this appendix, we give the explicit form ula giving the num ber $F$ (L) ofirreducible factors (over Q) of the polynom ial $P_{L}(x)$ for arbitrary $L$. In section $5, P_{L}(x)$ was the characteristic equation of the discrete Laplacian on a L Lattioe w ith open boundary conditions and was de ned by $(\mathbb{N}=2(L+1))$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{L}(x)=Y_{m ; n=1}^{Y^{L}} x \quad 4+2 \cos \frac{2 m}{N}+2 \cos \frac{2 n}{N}: \tag{B1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The roots $m m=4 \quad 2 \cos \frac{2 m}{N} \quad 2 \cos \frac{2 n}{N} \operatorname{off}_{L}$ belong to the extension $Q\left(\cos \frac{2}{N}\right.$ ) and are perm uted by its G alois group G aly , which acts on them by (see section 5)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n}) \quad \mathrm{sm} ; \mathrm{sn} ; \text { fors } 2 \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{f} \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}: \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s discussed in section 5, nding the irreducible factors of $P_{L}$ am ounts to split the set of roots $f \mathrm{~m}$ m g into orbits under $G$ aly , so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(L)=\dot{j} m ; n \quad 1 \quad m ; n \quad L g=G a l_{4} j: \tag{B3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a classical theorem (see for instance [23]), the num ber of orbits of a set $X$ under the action of a group $G$ is equal to the average num ber of $x e d\{p o i n t s$ of $G$ in $X$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{X}=G j=\frac{1}{j G}_{g 2 G}^{X} \text { 开x } 2 x \quad: g x=x g j: \tag{B4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This allows to recast (B3) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(L)=\frac{2}{r(\mathbb{N})}{ }_{s 2 Z_{N}=f 1 g} \quad \mathbb{f} 1 \quad m ; n \quad L \quad: \quad s m ; s n=m ; n g j: \tag{B5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the expression of $m, n$, we have the obvious relations $m, n=m ; n=n$; $m$ where the signs are uncorrelated. T he only subtle point of the analysis lies in the possible existence of other relations. For exam ple, if $L=4$ (ie. $N=10$ ), s takes the
two values 1 and 3, we have that $3(1 ; 4) \quad 3 ; 2$ is actually equal to $1 ; 4=4$ even though $(3 ; 2)(1 ; 4)$ nor $(4 ; 1) m o d 10$. This situation is how ever not generic, and can be proved to only happen for the pairs ( $m$; $n$ ) w ith $m+n=L+1$ (related to the eigenvalue 4 of the Laplacian). Thus if we leave them aside, we obtain the result that sm ;sn $=m$ in if and only if $(\mathrm{sm} ; \mathrm{sn})=(\mathrm{m}$; n ) or ( n ; m$) \mathrm{modN}$. Each of the L eigenvalues $m ; L+1 \mathrm{~m}=4$ clearly form s an orbit on its own under the Galois group and we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\mathrm{sm} ; \mathrm{sn})=(\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{n}) \text { or }(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{mod} N \mathrm{gj} \text { : } \tag{B6}
\end{align*}
$$

The actual caloulation of (B6) being straightforw ard but som ew hat lengthy, we only quote the nal result. Let $\mathrm{N}=2(\mathrm{~L}+1)$ have the prim e factorization given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=p_{p}^{Y} p^{a_{p}}: \tag{B7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hen the num ber of irreducible polynom ial factors of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{x})$ equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\begin{array}{c}
Y \\
\left(2 a_{p}+1\right) \\
\left(7 a_{2}+5\right)^{Y}\left(a_{p}+1\right)
\end{array} \\
& \mathrm{p}=1 \mathrm{mod} 4 \quad \mathrm{p} 42 \\
& +\left[\begin{array}{lll} 
& \left(2 a_{p}+1\right) & 1]
\end{array}(2=1):\right. \\
& \text { p6 } 2
\end{aligned}
$$

It can be shown that the average grow th ofF (L) is linear in L. Som e particular values are given in Table I, section 5 .

A ppendix C

W e list here the identity con gurations $C_{i d}^{(L)}$, discussed in sections 3 and 7, for $\mathrm{L}=4$ and 5 and $\mathrm{L}=10$ and 11 .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{lllllllllll}
0 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 3 & 2^{1}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{llllllllll}
2 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 3 & 2
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{llllllllllll}
0 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 3 & 2^{1}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

A ppendix D

In [17], Lee and $T$ zeng have considered the follow ing one\{dim ensionaldeterm inistic
sandpile $m$ odel. The L sites of a linear chain, labelled 0 to L 1, are assigned a height variable $z_{i}$ taking positive integer values. A site $j$ becom es unstable if the di erence $z_{j} \quad z_{j+1}$ exceeds som e integer $N$, considered as a param eter. In this case, the site $j$ looses $N$ grains of sand which fall on its $N$ right nearest neighbours. They take open boundary conditions $z_{i}=0$ for all $i \quad L$, so that, under the toppling at site $j$, the sand is redistributed according to $z_{i}!z_{i} \quad i j$, where the toppling $m$ atrix is the low er triangular $m$ atrix

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\mathrm{ij}= & \mathrm{if} i=j, &  \tag{D1}\\
1 & \text { if } 1 & i & j & N .
\end{array}
$$

The determ inistic dynam ics is de ned by dropping, at each tim e step, one grain of sand on the leftm ost site $i=0$ and by letting the system relax. T he num ber of recurrent con gurations is equal to $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{L}}$, also equal to the determ inant of. N ote that thism odel is a non \{abelian sandpile $m$ odel as the toppling condition is not local.

It was shown in [17] that for $\mathrm{N}=2$ and 3, the recurrent con gurations can be labelled by the values of a toppling invariant, an integer function taken modulo $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{L}}$, (see also [24]). They w rote dow $n$ the invariant when $N=2$ for all values of $L$, as well as when $N=3$ for som e particular values ofL. $W$ e show here that our generalm ethod allow sto prove that one invariant is su cient to label all recurrent con gurations, for all $N$, and we will com pute this invariant for $N=2 ; 3$, all values of $L$.

That only one invariant gives a com plete labelling of the recurrent con gurations am ounts to prove that the elem entary divisors of are $d_{1}=N^{L}$ and $d_{i}=1$ fori 2. Equivalently, it am ounts to show that am ong all the invariants (3.3) constructed from
${ }^{1}$, only one is independent. Them atrix $\quad{ }^{1}$ is easily obtained and read

$$
\begin{equation*}
i{ }^{1}=\sum_{k=0}^{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}^{1}} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{i} j \mathrm{jk} ; \tag{D2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the entries $q_{k}$ satisfy the recurrence relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}}+:::+\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~N} ; \\
& \mathrm{C}_{0}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}} ; \quad \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}=0 \text { for all } \mathrm{k} \quad 1: \tag{D3}
\end{align*}
$$

W e thus obtain the $L$ invariants

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{i}(C)=X_{k=0}^{X_{i}} C_{i k} z_{k} \bmod 1 ; \quad i=0 ; 1 ;::: L \quad 1: \tag{D4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst invariants read $Q_{0}=\frac{1}{N} z_{0} \bmod 1, Q_{1}=\frac{1}{N^{2}} z_{0}+\frac{1}{N} z_{1} \bmod 1, Q_{2}=\frac{N+1}{N^{3}} z_{0}+$ $\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}^{2}} \mathrm{z}_{1}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{z}_{2} \mathrm{mod} 1$, so that we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{N} Q_{1}=\mathrm{Q}_{0} \mathrm{mod} 1 ; \\
& \mathrm{N} Q_{1}=(\mathbb{N}+1) \mathrm{Q}_{0} \mathrm{mod} 1 ; \quad \mathrm{N} Q_{2}=(\mathbb{N}+1) \mathrm{Q}_{1} \bmod 1 ;
\end{align*}
$$

show ing that $Q_{0}$ can be expressed in term $s$ of $Q_{1}$, itself expressable in term $s$ of $Q_{2}$. It is not di cult to show recursively that this pattem continues to hold, nam ely there exists, for all i between 1 and L 1, an integer $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}$ coprin e with N such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N Q_{j}=X_{i} Q_{j} 1 \bmod 1 ; \text { for all } 1 \quad j \quad i: \tag{D7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(From (D 6) we have $X_{1}=1$ and $X_{2}=N+1$.) Equation (D 7) im plies that allinvariants $Q_{0} ; Q_{1} ;:::_{\text {l }} \quad 2$ can be expressed in term $\operatorname{sof}_{\mathrm{L}} 1$ which is thus the only independent one and which provides a com plete labelling of the set of recurrent con gurations.

To com pute the invariant $Q_{\text {L }}$, , we note that the coe cients $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}}$ satisfy in fact a recurrence relation of order $\mathrm{N} \quad 1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\mathrm{Nq}_{\mathrm{k}}+\mathbb{N} \quad 1\right) \mathrm{q}_{1}+:::+\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}+1 \mathrm{~N}}=\mathrm{N} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{N}} 1+\mathbb{N} \quad 1\right) \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{N}} 2+:::+\mathrm{c}_{0}=1: \tag{D8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $N=2$ and 3, the solutions to (D 8) read (k 0)

$$
\begin{align*}
& N=2: q_{k}=\frac{1}{3}\left[1 \quad\left(\frac{1}{2 N}\right)^{k+1}\right] ;  \tag{D9a}\\
& N=3: q_{k}=\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{12} \quad \frac{1 \bar{p}_{2}^{2}}{3}+\frac{1+\frac{p-]_{2}^{k}}{3}}{}{ }^{k}: \tag{D9b}
\end{align*}
$$

U sing these values in $Q_{L} \quad$ of (D 4) yields the desired result. For higher values of $N$, the coe cients $\mathrm{q}_{k}$ are given by the generating function

$$
f_{N}(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{X^{1}} c_{k}^{(N)} t^{k}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & t): t^{N}+1  \tag{D10}\\
(N+1) t+N^{i}: \\
i
\end{array}\right.
$$
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