

Microscopic Analysis of the Non-Dissipative Force on a Line Vortex in a Superconductor: Berry's Phase, Momentum Flows and the Magnus Force

Frank Gaitan

Department of Physics; University of British Columbia; Vancouver, British Columbia, CANADA;

V6T 1Z1

(December 31, 2021)

Abstract

A microscopic analysis of the non-dissipative force F_{nd} acting on a line vortex in a type-II superconductor at $T = 0$ is given. We first examine the Berry phase induced in the true superconducting ground state by movement of the vortex and show how this phase introduces a Wess-Zumino term in the hydrodynamic action S_{hyd} of the superconducting condensate. Appropriate variation of S_{hyd} gives F_{nd} and variation of the Wess-Zumino term is seen to contribute the Magnus (lift) force of classical hydrodynamics to F_{nd} . Because our analysis is based on the true superconducting ground state, we are able to confirm and strengthen earlier work by Ao and Thouless which examined the Berry phase arising in an ansatz for the many-body ground state. We also determine F_{nd} through a microscopic derivation of the continuity equation for the condensate linear momentum. This equation yields the acceleration equation for the superflow and shows that the vortex acts as a sink for the con-

Address after October 1st, 1994: International Center for Theoretical Physics; P.O. Box 586; 34100 Trieste, ITALY.

condensate linear momentum. The rate at which momentum is lost to the vortex determines the non-dissipative force F_{nd} and the result obtained agrees identically with the Berry phase calculation. The Magnus force contribution to F_{nd} is seen in both calculations to be a consequence of the vortex topology. A preliminary discussion is given regarding finite temperature extensions of the Berry phase calculation, with emphasis on its relevance for the sign anomaly occurring in Hall effect experiments on type-II superconductors in the low field regime.

74.20.-z, 03.40.Gc, 74.60.Ge

I. INTRODUCTION

As is well-known, the mixed state of a type-II superconductor is characterized by the partial penetration of magnetic flux into the superconductor in the form of flux lines, or vortices. Efforts to understand the dynamical behavior of such vortices have persisted for almost 30 years, and though much progress has been made, a number of basic issues continue to be controversial. A vortex in a type-II superconductor is acted on by 3 classes of forces: (i) pinning forces due to lattice defects; (ii) dissipative forces due to coupling of the vortex core to the lattice; and (iii) a non-dissipative force F_{nd} due to an applied magnetic field H_{ext} , an electric field E generated by the vortex motion, and the hydrodynamic pressure of the surrounding condensate of superconducting electrons. Already in the classic models of Bardeen-Stephen (BS)¹ and Nozières-Vinen (NV)², the form of F_{nd} is controversial. The debate centers around whether F_{nd} includes a contribution from the Magnus (lift) force of classical hydrodynamics which acts on a solid body moving through a fluid which circulates about it³. Both models: (1) are macroscopic/phenomenological in character, based on Maxwell-London electrodynamics, classical thermodynamics, and physical intuition; (2) assume strongly type-II superconductors so that the non-local character of BCS superconductivity can be approximated by a local dynamics; (3) assume $T = 0$ so that normal electrons are only present inside the vortex core whose radius is equal to the zero temperature coherence length ξ_0 ; and (4) the applied magnetic field satisfies $H_{c1} < H_{ext} < H_{c2}$ so that vortex-vortex interactions can be ignored. The vortex is assumed to be immersed in an applied transport current $J = n_s e v_s$, where n_s is the superconducting electron density far from the vortex; e is the electron charge; and v_s is the velocity of the applied supercurrent with respect to the lattice rest frame. In the BS model, the non-dissipative force (per unit length) is due to the Lorentz force, $n_s \hbar (v_s \times \hat{z}) = 2$. Here \hbar is Planck's constant; and $\ell = \pm 1$ is the vortex winding number whose sign specifies the sense of the condensate superflow about the vortex (which is threaded by a single flux quantum $\Phi_0 = hc/2e$). In this paper it will be assumed that all vortices are rectilinear (viz. line) vortices whose axis

lies along \hat{z} . In the NV model, F_{nd} is the sum of the Lorentz force and the Magnus (lift) force $-s m K v_L \hat{z}$, where m is the electron mass; $K = h/2m$ is the circulation of the condensate near the vortex core; and v_L is the translational velocity of the line vortex with respect to the lattice. The discrepancy in the form of F_{nd} in the two models leads to different predictions for the Hall angle in the flux flow regime⁴. Recent work by Ao and Thouless⁵ (A & Th) has renewed interest in this controversy concerning F_{nd} . These authors argue that the correct form for F_{nd} is the NV-form, $F_{nd} = -s h/2 (v_s - v_L) \hat{z}$, and that the Magnus (lift) force contribution arises from a Berry phase induced in the many-body ground state by the vortex motion. Their analysis is based on an ansatz for the many-body ground state and so it might be objected that their result concerning the presence of the Magnus force is a consequence of their ansatz and not an actual property of the true superconducting ground state. Thus it is of considerable interest to see if F_{nd} can be determined on the basis of a microscopic analysis in which the superconducting dynamics is treated exactly.

In this paper, two calculations of the non-dissipative force F_{nd} will be provided which are based on the microscopic formulation of the superconducting dynamics due to Bogoliubov⁶. This approach is powerful enough to treat problems with spatial inhomogeneities as occur when a vortex is present in the superconductor. In Section II, F_{nd} will be determined by working with the true superconducting ground state in the case where a vortex is present. We first show how this state is constructed from the exact solutions of the Bogoliubov equations in the presence of a vortex. This state is seen to develop a Berry phase as a consequence of the vortex motion. Our approach in this subsection is a significant generalization of one used previously to determine the intrinsic orbital angular momentum in $^3\text{He-A}$ for a spatially uniform orbital texture⁷. The Berry phase is calculated and found to agree with the result of Ref. 5 in the case of a neutral superfluid. A discussion of why the approximate calculation of A & Th has produced the exact result is also given. In the case of a charged superfluid, gauge invariance requires a correction to the Berry phase obtained in Ref. 5; however this correction will be seen to not influence the final result for F_{nd} . It is then shown how the Berry phase induces a Wess-Zumino term in the action describing the hydrodynamic degrees of freedom

of the superconducting condensate. Variation of the hydrodynamic action with respect to the vortex trajectory gives F_{nd} which is found to have the NV-form. This calculation also shows that the Magnus force contribution to F_{nd} is a consequence of the Berry phase induced by the vortex motion. As our calculation is based on the true superconducting ground state, we see that the result of A & Th is not a consequence of their ansatz, but a real property of type-II superconductivity. In Section III, F_{nd} is determined via a microscopic derivation of the acceleration equation for the superflow. We find the expected contributions of the vortex due to spatial variation of the chemical potential, and to the electric and magnetic fields present. But by carefully tracking the effects of the vortex topology on the dynamics, we also find a singular term that describes the disappearance of linear momentum into the vortex, and which represents the non-dissipative force acting on the vortex. We find that this force is also given by the NV-form of F_{nd} , in agreement with the Berry phase calculation of Section II. As NV have shown², the terms in the acceleration equation acting on the vortex lead to a flux of linear momentum in towards the vortex and corresponds to their determination of F_{nd} . By keeping track of topological effects, we will see that the rate at which linear momentum is appearing inside the vortex is exactly equal to the rate at which it is flowing in towards it so that linear momentum conservation is maintained in the combined condensate-vortex system as expected. We close in Section IV with a discussion of our results; and with preliminary remarks concerning finite temperature effects and their possible consequences for the sign anomaly occurring in Hall effect experiments on type-II superconductors in the flux flow regime. Two Appendices are also included: Appendix A indicates how the Berry phase appears in a propagator formulation of the Adiabatic Theorem; while Appendix B gives a microscopic derivation of the gauge invariant Wess-Zumino term which is independent of the Berry phase calculation given in Section II, and also obtains the hydrodynamic action for the superconducting condensate.

II. BERRY'S PHASE AND THE NON-DISSIPATIVE FORCE F_{ND}

This Section is organized as follows. In Section IIA we construct the true superconducting ground state in the case where a single vortex is present and derive an expression for the Berry phase induced in this state by the vortex motion in terms of the Berry phase induced in the eigenstates of the Bogoliubov equation (in the presence of a single vortex). In Section IIB the Berry phase in the true superconducting ground state is evaluated. In Section IIC we show how this Berry phase induces a topological Wess-Zumino term in the action describing the hydrodynamic degrees of freedom of the superconducting condensate. Variation of the hydrodynamic action with respect to the vortex trajectory gives F_{nd} and it is seen in the course of evaluating this variation that the Berry phase induced Wess-Zumino term is responsible for the occurrence of the classical Magnus (lift) force in F_{nd} .

A. Constructing the Ground State

Our starting point is the Bogoliubov equation⁶. This formulation of the quasiparticle dynamics is capable of handling the spatial inhomogeneities introduced by a line vortex which for the time being is assumed to be fixed at the origin (in the lattice rest frame). As in the models of BS and NV, we will: (i) assume $T = 0$ so that the physical behavior of the superconductor is captured by the superconducting ground state; (ii) approximate the non-local character of the BCS superconducting ground state by a local dynamics which is, strictly speaking, only true for strongly type-II superconductors (it is not anticipated that non-local effects will qualitatively modify our results); and (iii) assume $H_{c1} < H_{ext} < H_{c2}$ so that vortex-vortex interactions can be ignored and we can focus on a single vortex. We adopt cylindrical coordinates (r, θ, z) with the z -axis along the vortex axis. In the presence of a line vortex, the gap function takes the form $\Delta(r) = \Delta_0(r) \exp[i\theta]$ so that the phase of the gap $\Delta(r) = \Delta_0(r)$ is not a single valued function of position. As the field point r encircles the vortex axis, $\theta \rightarrow \theta + 2\pi$ (more generally, $\Delta(r) = \Delta_0(r) \exp[i\ell\theta]$ so that $\theta \rightarrow \theta + 2\pi/\ell$,

where l is an integer known as the vortex winding number). The gap amplitude $\Delta_0(r)$ goes linearly to zero at the origin and approaches a constant value far from the vortex. In the presence of a magnetic field $H = r^{-1} A$, the Bogoliubov equation is

$$(E_n - H_{B\&G}) \begin{pmatrix} u_n \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} = 0; \quad (1)$$

where

$$H_{B\&G} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla^2 + U_0(r) - E_f \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla^2 + U_0(r) + E_f \right); \quad (2)$$

Here $E_f = p_f^2/2$ is the Fermi energy ($\hbar = m = c = 1$ unless explicitly stated otherwise); n is an index which labels the spectrum of $H_{B\&G}$; $U_0(r)$ is the pinning potential which will henceforth be set equal to zero so that we are dealing with a clean type-II superconductor. As is well-known, equation (1) has positive and negative energy solutions (relative to the Fermi surface) which are related as follows: if (u_n, v_n) is (the adjoint of) a solution of equation (1) with energy $E_n > 0$, then $(-v_n, u_n)$ is (the adjoint of) a solution of equation (1) with energy $E_n < 0$. The two-component elementary excitations built up from the solutions of equation (1) will be referred to as Nambu quasiparticles (NQP) and quasiholes (NQH). Let $a_{n,1}^\dagger$ create a positive energy ($E_n > 0$) NQP and $a_{n,2}^\dagger$ create a negative energy ($E_n < 0$) NQP. Introducing the two-component field operator $\psi(r)$ for a NQP, we expand it in terms of the solutions of eqn. (1)

$$\psi(r) = \sum_n \begin{pmatrix} u_n \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} a_{n,1} + \sum_n \begin{pmatrix} v_n \\ u_n \end{pmatrix} a_{n,2}^\dagger; \quad (3)$$

$\psi(r)$ can also be defined in terms of the field operators for the spin-up and spin-down Landau quasiparticles (LQP)

$$\psi(r) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_\uparrow(r) \\ \psi_\downarrow(r) \end{pmatrix} = \sum_n \begin{pmatrix} u_n \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} a_n + \sum_n \begin{pmatrix} v_n \\ u_n \end{pmatrix} a_n^\dagger; \quad (4)$$

The right-most part of eqn. (4) comes from expanding the LQP field operator in terms of the creation and annihilation operators $f_n, f_n^\dagger, g_n, g_n^\dagger$ for the Bogoliubov quasiparticles

(BQP)⁶. Comparison of eqns. (3) and (4) indicates that $a_{n,1} = u_n$ and $a_{n,2} = v_n$. Thus the spin projection $2s_z$ labels the charge conjugation degree of freedom of the NQP's⁸ and the superconducting ground state is obtained by occupying the negative energy states

$$|BCSi\rangle = \prod_n a_{n,2}^\dagger |0\rangle = \prod_n v_n^\dagger |0\rangle ; \quad (5)$$

where $|0\rangle$ is the zero-particle state.

As H_{BQG} is Hermitian, the solutions of eqn. (1) satisfy orthogonality and completeness relations⁹. The orthogonality relations are:

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^3r [u_n(r)u_m(r) + v_n(r)v_m(r)] &= \delta_{nm} \\ \int d^3r [u_n(r)v_m(r) - v_n(r)u_m(r)] &= 0 ; \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and the completeness relations are:

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^3r [u_n(r)u_n(r^0) + v_n(r)v_n(r^0)] &= \delta(r - r^0) \\ \int d^3r [u_n(r)v_n(r^0) - v_n(r)u_n(r^0)] &= 0 : \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Use of the orthogonality relations allows us to invert eqn. (4) yielding

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &= \int d^3r [v_n(r)u_n(r) + u_n(r)v_n(r)] \\ v_n &= \int d^3r [v_n(r)u_n(r) - u_n(r)v_n(r)] : \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

As we shall see in the following sub-section, adiabatic motion of the vortex produces a Berry phase¹⁰ in the solutions of eqn. (1), $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow \exp[i\phi_n](u_n, v_n)$, where ϕ_n depends only on the vortex trajectory $r_0(t)$. From eqn. (8) we see that v_n inherits this phase, $v_n \rightarrow \exp[i\phi_n]v_n$. Consequently (see eqn. (5)), the superconducting ground state develops a Berry phase, $|BCSi\rangle \rightarrow \exp[i\phi] |BCSi\rangle$, where

$$\phi = \sum_n \phi_n : \quad (9)$$

The physical significance of ϕ will be discussed below. First we evaluate ϕ .

B. Calculating the Berry Phase

Berry¹⁰ showed that when a quantum system is coupled to an adiabatically-evolving environment and the system is initially prepared in an eigenstate of the $t = 0$ Hamiltonian $H[R(0)]$ (the adiabatic coupling of the system to its environment enters through the set of parameters $R(t) = (R_1(t); \dots, R_n(t))$ which appear explicitly in the system Hamiltonian $H[R(t)]$), that the time development of this state acquires a non-integrable phase $\gamma_B(t)$ now known as the Berry phase

$$|j(t)\rangle = \exp(-i\gamma_B(t)) \int_0^{Z_t} du E(u) |j(t)\rangle : \quad (10)$$

Here $|j(t)\rangle$ is the eigenstate of the instantaneous Hamiltonian $H[R(t)]$ which evolves continuously from the initial state $|j(0)\rangle$, and the gauge invariant Berry phase appropriate for an electrically charged particle is given by¹¹

$$\gamma_B(t) = \int_0^{Z_t} du \hbar E \frac{d}{d} - \frac{e}{h} A_0(\mathbf{r}) = \int_0^{Z_t} du \hbar E \frac{dR}{d} \quad \& \quad \frac{e}{h} A_0 \mathbf{r} : \quad (11)$$

In Appendix A we show how the Berry phase arises in a propagator approach to the Adiabatic Theorem which will be useful for our discussion in Section IIC. In our case, the quantum system is the two-component NQP field; the environment is the superconducting condensate described by the gap function $\Delta(\mathbf{r})$; and the adiabatically-varying parameters correspond to the vortex position $\mathbf{r}_0(t) = (x_0(t); y_0(t))$. To determine in eqn. (9) we must obtain the eigenstates of H_{B0G} for a given vortex position. These states were first considered by Caroli et. al.¹², and a detailed solution for the bound and scattering states was given by Bardeen et. al.⁹. These eigenstates take the form

$$\hat{\psi}_n(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{pmatrix} u_n \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} e^{ik_z z} e^{i\frac{1}{2}\theta} \hat{f}_n(\mathbf{r}) : \quad (12)$$

Here $\hbar k_z$ is the z-component of the quasiparticle momentum; 2 is required to be an odd-integer to insure single-valuedness of the eigenstate $\hat{\psi}_n$; $\tan \theta(\mathbf{r}) = (y - y_0)/(x - x_0)$; and $r = \sqrt{(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2}$. The spinor $\hat{f}_n(\mathbf{r})$ has been examined in Ref. 9 analytically for

a simple model of the gap function, and numerically via a variational method. We will not require its detailed form below. To simplify the notation, we have collected the quantum numbers specifying the eigenstate into the single label n . Finally, our calculation is done per unit length in the z -direction so that $d^3x \rightarrow d^2x$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{H}_n &= i \int d^2x \left[\hat{p}_0 \hat{r}_n^y + \frac{1}{2} \hat{p}_z \hat{r}_n^x + \hat{f}^y(r) \hat{r}_n^x \hat{f}_n^y(r) \right] \\
 &= \int d^2x \left[\hat{p}_0 \hat{r}_n^y + \frac{1}{2} \hat{p}_z \hat{r}_n^x + \hat{f}^y(r) \hat{r}_n^x \hat{f}_n^y(r) \right] \\
 &= \int d^2x \left[\hat{p}_0 \hat{r}_n^y + \frac{1}{2} \hat{p}_z \hat{r}_n^x + \hat{f}^y(r) \hat{r}_n^x \hat{f}_n^y(r) \right] :
 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Combining eqns. (9) and (13) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int d^2x \left[\hat{p}_0 \hat{r}_n^y + \frac{1}{2} \hat{p}_z \hat{r}_n^x + \hat{f}^y(r) \hat{r}_n^x \hat{f}_n^y(r) \right] \\
 &= \int d^2x \left[\hat{p}_0 \hat{r}_n^y + \frac{1}{2} \hat{p}_z \hat{r}_n^x + \hat{f}^y(r) \hat{r}_n^x \hat{f}_n^y(r) \right] \\
 &= \int d^2x \left[\hat{p}_0 \hat{r}_n^y + \frac{1}{2} \hat{p}_z \hat{r}_n^x + \hat{f}^y(r) \hat{r}_n^x \hat{f}_n^y(r) \right] :
 \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

At this point it is necessary to recall a basic property of BCS superconductivity. Specifically, that the global symmetry corresponding to changing the phase of the LQPEld operator is spontaneously broken. Because of this, the ground state becomes infinitely degenerate and is parameterized by a phase angle ϕ (see ref. 13). The phase ϕ and particle number N become canonically conjugate observables and two representations are possible for the many-body states. In one representation, particle number is well-defined, but ϕ is not; while in the second, ϕ is well-defined, but particle number is not¹⁴. Our calculation corresponds to the latter case where the many-body states do not contain a definite number of particles. Because of this, a calculation of ground state properties will require what amounts to a normal ordering prescription. An example of the kind of normal ordering we have in mind is the fixing of the Fermi energy through the requirement that the expectation value of the particle number operator in the ground state equal the number of electrons present: $\langle \hat{N} \rangle = N$. Because our calculation of χ is based on a ground state in which particle number is

not well-defined, a similar kind of normal ordering is necessary and can be implemented straightforwardly.

We begin by re-writing \mathbf{r} as

$$\mathbf{r} = \int_{\mathbf{r}_0}^{\mathbf{z}} d\mathbf{x} \mathbf{r}_0 \cdot (\mathbf{r}_0 \mathbf{r}) F(\mathbf{r}) ; \quad (15)$$

where $F(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_n^P \hat{f}_n \frac{d}{dr} \hat{f}_n$. $F(\mathbf{r})$ receives a divergent contribution from the scattering states present in the Fermi sea. This divergence is regulated by introducing a temporary cut-off in the sum over n which is removed at the end of the calculation. We now show that the finiteness of $F_{\text{reg}}(\mathbf{r})$ implies that $\mathbf{r} = 0$. Writing $\mathbf{r}_0 = r_r \hat{C}$, where $C = (\hat{x}\hat{y} - \hat{y}\hat{x})\hat{z}$, in eqn. (15) and using standard vector identities gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}_{\text{reg}} &= \int_{S_1}^{\mathbf{z}} d\mathbf{l} F_{\text{reg}}(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\mathbf{C}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} \hat{z} (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_r) \int_{\mathbf{r}_0}^{\mathbf{z}} d\mathbf{x} C \cdot (\mathbf{r} F_{\text{reg}} - \mathbf{r}_r \mathbf{r} + F_{\text{reg}} \mathbf{r}_r - \mathbf{r}_r \mathbf{r}) \\ &= 0 ; \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

(S_1 is the circle in the x - y plane at infinity) since: (i) $\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r$; (ii) $\mathbf{r}_r F_{\text{reg}}(\mathbf{r})$ and $\mathbf{r}_r \mathbf{r}$ are parallel; and (iii) r is a single-valued function of $(x; y)$. Removing the cut-off gives $\mathbf{r} = 0$.

can be re-written as

$$= \int_{\mathbf{r}_0}^{\mathbf{z}} d\mathbf{x} (\mathbf{r}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r}_0) \sum_n^X j_n j_n^2 + \frac{1}{2} + j_n j_n^2 - \frac{1}{2} \quad (17)$$

$$\int_{\mathbf{r}_0}^{\mathbf{z}} d\mathbf{x} (\mathbf{r}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r}_0) S : \quad (18)$$

From eqn. (17) and (12), we see that S is minus the z -component of the orbital angular momentum density (in units of \hbar) present in the Fermi sea. Although our expression for S is divergent because this sea is infinite, knowledge of its physical significance makes obvious what the physically relevant normal ordering choice is:

$$S_{\text{reg}} = \hbar \langle L_z \rangle = \hbar \int d\mathbf{r} \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{v}) = \hbar : \quad (19)$$

Here $\mathbf{v} = \hat{\mathbf{e}} (K=2\pi r) f(r)$ is the superflow about the vortex; $K = \hbar/2m$ is the circulation of the condensate near the vortex core (ℓ is the vortex winding number, see above); and

$$f(r) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^l} e^{-r} : \quad (20)$$

It is extremely important to realize that this form of $f(r)$ insures that only one flux quantum threads the vortex¹⁵. Thus our normal ordering choice, eqn. (19), is fixed by the requirement that the flux through the vortex agree with our assumption $\Phi = hc/2e$. (In the following subsection the ground state Berry phase will be related to the occurrence of a Wess-Zumino term in the hydrodynamic action. For an independent derivation of this Wess-Zumino term which does not rely on the above normal ordering prescription, see Appendix B.) With this choice of normal ordering

$$S_{\text{reg}} = S_s = 2\pi ; \quad (21)$$

and

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_s \left[\frac{1}{2} \dot{x}_s^2 - \epsilon_0 \left(\frac{e}{h} A_0 \right)^2 \right] ; \quad (22)$$

for $\ell = 1$, as assumed in our calculation. Thus we see that the true superconducting ground state does in fact develop a Berry phase as a consequence of the vortex motion. We are able to obtain this Berry phase and we see that the approximate calculation of Ref. 5 has produced the exact result in the case of a neutral superfluid. For a charged superfluid, the gauge invariant form of the Berry phase must be used which adds the eA_0 term to the ground state Berry phase. It is clear from our calculation that, in the case of a neutral superfluid, the Berry phases are ultimately due to the azimuthal symmetry of the vortex which requires the eigenstates of the Bogoliubov equation to be eigenfunctions of the z-component of orbital angular momentum. Examination of the ansatz of A & T shows that it is such an eigenfunction and so has the essential ϕ -dependence necessary for a proper determination of the Berry phase in the case of a neutral superfluid. Because we have worked with the true superconducting ground state it is clear that the gauge invariant Berry phase and its physical consequences, to be discussed below, are true properties of

the superconducting ground state when a moving vortex is present, and not the result of a specific ansatz wavefunction.

C. Berry's Phase, the Hydrodynamic Action and F_{nd}

Our starting point is the vacuum-to-vacuum transition amplitude for a system of electrons with an effective attractive interaction due to phonons which is responsible for the pairing instability which characterizes BCS superconductivity,

$$W = \langle \text{vac}; t = T | \text{vac}; t = 0 \rangle = \langle \text{vac}; t = T | U(T; 0) | \text{vac}; t = 0 \rangle : \quad (23)$$

Here $U(T; 0) = T \left(\exp \left[i \int_0^T dt H_{BCS} \right] \right)$; T is the time ordering operator; and the BCS Hamiltonian is

$$H_{BCS} = \int d^3x \left[\psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 - E_f + eA_0 \right) \psi(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{g}{2} \int d^3x \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \psi(\mathbf{x}) \psi(\mathbf{x}) + \int d^3x \frac{1}{8} (\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H}_{ext})^2 - E^2 \right] : \quad (24)$$

Here $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ is the field operator for a Landau quasiparticle with spin $\frac{1}{2}$; $\mathbf{H} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$ is the microscopic magnetic field and its associated vector potential; \mathbf{H}_{ext} is an applied magnetic field; $\mathbf{E} = -(\nabla A_0 + \partial \mathbf{A} / \partial t)$ is the electric field produced by the vortex motion; $g > 0$ is the (attractive) coupling constant; and repeated indices are summed over. The gap function is most conveniently introduced via a Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation¹⁶ which allows eqn. (24) to be written as a path integral over $(\psi; \bar{\psi})$ in which the four Fermion interaction is replaced by the bilinear Fermion pairing interaction

$$W = \int D[\bar{\psi}] D[\psi] \langle \text{vac}; t = T | U(T; 0) | \text{vac}; t = 0 \rangle : \quad (25)$$

Here $U(T; 0) = T \left(\exp \left[i \int_0^T dt H_{eff} \right] \right)$, where $H_{eff} = H_f + L_c + L_{em}$; and

$$H_f = \int d^3x \left[\psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 - E_f + eA_0 \right) \psi(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \bar{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) \psi(\mathbf{x}) \bar{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) \psi(\mathbf{x}) \right] ; \quad (26)$$

$$L_c + L_{em} = \int d^3x \frac{j \cdot j^2}{2g} + \int d^3x \frac{1}{8} (H - H_{ext})^2 - E^2 : \quad (27)$$

Note that the vacuum state and H_{eff} both depend on the particular "path" taken by \mathbf{r} . As discussed earlier, we are limiting ourselves to "paths" whose time dependence corresponds to adiabatic motion of the line vortex (i.e. the vortex velocity satisfies $|\dot{\mathbf{r}}_0| \ll v_f$; see also Bardeen¹⁷).

The action for the superconducting condensate S is given by

$$\begin{aligned} e^{iS} &= e^{i(S_0 + S_{hyd})} \\ &= \text{hvac}; (T) \int_0^T dt \text{hvac}; (0) i \\ &= \text{hvac}; (T) \int_{(n)} (t_{n+1}; t_n) \int_{(k)} U_{(k+1); t_k} U_{(0)} U_{(1); t_0} \text{hvac}; (0) i ; \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where S_0 is the action for the bulk degrees of freedom of the condensate; S_{hyd} is the action for the hydrodynamic degrees of freedom; $U_{(k)}(t_k)$; $t_{n+1} = T$; and $t_0 = 0$. To make use of the Adiabatic Theorem it proves useful to define the instantaneous eigenstates $\mathcal{E}_n(t)$ of the instantaneous effective Hamiltonian $H_{eff}(t)$. Appropriately inserting complete sets of instantaneous eigenstates gives

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i(S_0 + S_{hyd})} &= \text{hvac}; (T) \int_{(n)} (t_{n+1}; t_n) \\ &\quad \int_{(k)} U_{(k+1); t_k} U_{(0)} U_{(1); t_0} \text{hvac}; (0) i : \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

From the Adiabatic Theorem, we know that $U_{(0)}(t_1; t_0)$ evolves $\text{hvac}; (0) i$ into an eigenstate of $H_{eff}(t_1)$ (see Appendix A) which will be denoted $\mathcal{E}_0(t_1); (t_1) i$. Similarly, $U_{(k+1)}(t_k; t_{k+1})$ evolves $\mathcal{E}_0(t_{k+1}); (t_{k+1}) i$ into the energy eigenstate of $H_{eff}(t_k)$ denoted $\mathcal{E}_0(t_k); (t_k) i$ for $n \leq k \leq 2$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i(S_0 + S_{hyd})} &= \text{hvac}; (T) \int_{(n)} (t_{n+1}; t_n) \mathcal{E}_0(t_n); (t_n) i \\ &\quad \int_{(k)} U_{(k+1); t_k} U_{(0)} U_{(1); t_0} \text{hvac}; (0) i : \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

From the Adiabatic Theorem (see Appendix A), we have that ($\epsilon = T/(n+1)$)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle E_0(t_k); (k) | U_{(k)}(t_k; t_{k-1}) | E_0(t_{k-1}); (k-1) \rangle \\
&= \langle E_0(t_k); (k) | e^{i\gamma_B} e^{iE_0(t_k)} | E_0(t_k); (k) \rangle \\
&= e^{i\gamma_B} \langle E_0(t_k); (k) | e^{iH_{eff}(t_k)} | E_0(t_k); (k) \rangle :
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Here γ_B is the time derivative of the gauge invariant generalization of the Berry phase at time t_k . The matrix element appearing in the r.h.s. of eqn. (31) can be written as a path integral over the fermionic degrees of freedom which can be evaluated exactly since H_f is quadratic in the fermion field operators. This calculation is described in Appendix B and the result is given by $\exp[-S]$ ¹, where

$$S = S_0 + \int d^3x \frac{j \cdot j^2}{2g} + \int d^3x d\tau \left[\frac{m}{2} v_s^2(\mathbf{x}; t) + N(0) \tilde{A}_0^2 \right] : \tag{32}$$

Here S_0 is the action for the bulk degrees of freedom, which is not of immediate interest to us, and so will not be written out explicitly; v_s is the density of superconducting electrons at $T=0$; $v_s = (\hbar=2m) [\mathbf{r} + (2eA)\mathbf{e}_z] / (\hbar c)$; θ is the phase of the gap function; $N(0)$ is the electron density of states at the Fermi level; $\tilde{A}_0 = eA_0 + (\hbar=2)\partial_t \theta$; and \hbar, m and c have been re-instated. Thus from eqns. (30), (31) and (32), the hydrodynamic action is

$$S_{hyd} = \int d\tau \int d^3x \left[\gamma_B + \frac{m}{2} v_s^2 + N(0) \tilde{A}_0^2 + \frac{1}{8} (\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H}_{ext})^2 - E^2 \right] : \tag{33}$$

We see that the Berry phase induced in the superconducting ground state by the vortex motion has found its way into S_{hyd} . Such contributions to low energy effective actions by Berry phases are well-known and the induced term is referred to as a Wess-Zumino term¹⁹. Wess-Zumino terms are topological in origin and in our case it is the vortex topology which is responsible for the occurrence of such a term in S_{hyd} . We are interested in a super low

¹The result quoted in eqn. (32) does not include the contribution S_1 found in Appendix B. This is because we will obtain S_1 in this subsection from the ground state Berry phase (found in Section IIB).

which is the sum of an applied steady super flow $\mathbf{v} = (\hbar/2m)\mathbf{r}$ and one that circulates with velocity $\mathbf{v}_{\text{circ}}(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{r}_0(t))$ about the adiabatically moving vortex. The gap phase acts as the velocity potential for the super flow $\phi = \phi(\mathbf{r}; t)$, and ϕ is the azimuthal angle about the vortex at $\mathbf{r}_0(t)$.

In the absence of pinning centers, the energy of the ground state will not depend on the location of the vortex so that the initial ground state $|\psi_{\text{vac}}; (0)\rangle$ will evolve adiabatically into the ground state of $H_{\text{eff}}(t)$ for all t of interest. Thus γ_B is the ground state Berry phase calculated in Section II B. Making use of eqn. (22) gives

$$S_{\text{hyd}} = \int d^3x \left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 + \frac{m_s}{2} \mathbf{v}_s^2 + N(0) A_0^2 + \frac{1}{8} (\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H}_{\text{ext}})^2 \right] E^2 \quad (34)$$

The terms in S_{hyd} linear in $\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}_0$ describe the coupling of the vortex to the applied super flow \mathbf{v} ; to the electric and magnetic field via (A_0, \mathbf{A}) ; and to the superconducting condensate via the Berry phase. Thus we re-write eqn. (34) as

$$S_{\text{hyd}} = \int d^3x \left[L_{\text{cond}} - \frac{\hbar}{2} \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}_0 \nabla \phi - \frac{e}{m c} \mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \phi + 2e A_0 \frac{N(0)}{s} \mathbf{r}_0 \cdot \nabla \phi \right] \\ = S_{\text{cond}} + S_{\text{int}} \quad (35)$$

The non-dissipative force acting on the vortex is given by the functional derivative of S_{int} with respect to \mathbf{r}_0 . Carrying out the functional derivative gives

$$\mathbf{F}_{\text{nd}} = \frac{\hbar}{2} (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{r}_0) \times \hat{\mathbf{z}} + 0 \quad (36)$$

for a vortex with winding number $n = 1$, which is the case we have been considering. The effect of the scalar and vector potential on the vortex is seen to contribute to higher order in $\frac{r_0^2}{\lambda_0^2} = \frac{r_0^2}{\lambda_0^2}$, where λ_0 is the zero temperature coherence length and λ is the London penetration depth. For arbitrary winding number, we have the general result

$$\mathbf{F}_{\text{nd}} = \frac{\hbar n}{2} (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{r}_0) \times \hat{\mathbf{z}} \quad (37)$$

This is the principal result for Section II and is seen to agree with the result of Ao and Thouless⁵ which was based on an ansatz for the many-body ground state. In this section we have worked with the true superconducting ground state (in the presence of a vortex) and found that the Berry phase generated in this ground state is responsible for producing a Magnus (lift) force on the vortex as argued by Ao and Thouless⁵, and that F_{nd} is given by the NV-form. In the following section we will focus on the momentum flows occurring in the superconductor and from this analysis we will be able to determine the non-dissipative force on the vortex. The result obtained in Section III will be found to be identical to the result in eqn. (37).

III. LINEAR MOMENTUM FLOW ANALYSIS AND F_{ND}

In this section we will examine the flow of linear momentum in a superconductor when a moving line vortex is present. Our microscopic analysis will be based on the Bogoliubov equation. In the first subsection we will re-write the Bogoliubov dynamics in a pseudo-relativistic notation which proves convenient for calculational purposes. In Section IIIB we work out the continuity equation for the condensate linear momentum at $T = 0$. This equation is seen to contain a source term which is shown in Section IIIC to be non-vanishing and follows from the non-invariance of the measure in a path integral description of the NQP dynamics under a phase transformation of the NQP field operator. In Section IIIC we evaluate the variation of the measure to obtain an explicit expression for the linear momentum source term. In Section IIID we show that the continuity equation is simply the acceleration equation for the super flow. The topological character of the vortex is seen to lead to a transfer of linear momentum from the condensate to the vortex which corresponds to the non-dissipative force F_{nd} . Our analysis shows that the result found for F_{nd} from tracking the momentum flows agrees exactly with the result of the Berry phase calculation. The contribution to F_{nd} rooted in the topology of the vortex and manifesting in the non-invariance of the measure is further seen to contribute the classical Magnus (lift) force to

F_{nd} .

A. Preliminaries

Our starting point is the Bogoliubov equation given in eqn. (1) for the case where a vortex is present with winding number $l = 1$ (viz. $\phi = \phi_0(r) \exp[i\theta]$). Substituting

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_n^0 \\ v_n^0 \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\theta} \begin{pmatrix} u_n \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} \quad (38)$$

into eqn. (1) leads to the Bogoliubov Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} H_{BOG} &= \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{v}_s)^2 E_f + \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{v}_s)^2 + E_f \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{v}_s)^2 E_f + \sum_{\mathbf{q}} 1; \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where the \mathbf{f}_{ij} are the 2×2 Pauli matrices corresponding to the two-dimensional Nambu space and have nothing to do with spin; and $v_s = (l=2)r / eA$ ($\hbar = m = c = 1$).

We now make an eikonal approximation for the eigenstates near the Fermi surface²⁰

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_n^0 \\ v_n^0 \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \begin{pmatrix} U_n \\ V_n \end{pmatrix}; \quad (40)$$

where $|\mathbf{q}| = k_f$ and the (U_n, V_n) vary on a length scale $L \sim k_f^{-1}$. To first order in gradients

$$H_{BOG} = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} [\mathbf{q} \cdot (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{v}_s)] + \sum_{\mathbf{q}} 1; \quad (41)$$

This gives rise to the gauge invariant second quantized Lagrangian

$$L[\hat{\psi}] = \int d^3x \left[i\partial_t + \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \frac{1}{2} \partial_t^2 - eA_0 + \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \mathbf{q} \cdot (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{v}_s) \right] \hat{\psi}^\dagger \hat{\psi}; \quad (42)$$

We see that the original $3+1$ NQP dynamics has separated into a collection of independent $1+1$ subsystems labeled by $\hat{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{v}_s$ which will be referred to as $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$ -channels. This separation allows us to reconstruct the $3+1$ dimensional dynamics by adding together the contributions

from the separate \hat{q} -channels. Because the dynamics of the \hat{q} -channels is $1+1$, and the Pauli matrices are the two-dimensional representation of the Dirac gamma matrices, we can rewrite eqn. (42) in a pseudo-relativistic notation. With $x^0 = t$; $x^1 = q - x$; and $\gamma^0 = \gamma_1$, $\gamma^1 = i\gamma_2$, $\gamma_5 = \gamma_3$; we can write

$$L[\hat{q}] = \frac{\hbar}{i} \partial_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi - \bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \tilde{A} \psi \quad (43)$$

Here $\gamma = 0, 1$; $\tilde{A}_0 = eA_0 - (1/2)\partial_x^2 q$; $\tilde{A}_1 = q - x$; and $\gamma = \gamma^0$. Our manipulation involves analytically continuing to imaginary time, $x^0 = ix^2$, to obtain the Euclidean Lagrangian

$$L_E[\hat{q}] = \frac{\hbar}{2} \partial_a \bar{\psi} \psi + \bar{\psi} \gamma_5 A_a \psi \quad (44)$$

Here $a = 1, 2$; $d^2x = dx^2 dx^1$; $\tilde{A}_0 = A_2$, $\tilde{A}_1 = -A_1$; and $\gamma^0 = \gamma_2$, $\gamma^1 = i\gamma_1$, and $\gamma_5 = \gamma_3 = i\gamma_1\gamma_2$. These definitions insure that the operator $i\partial_a \bar{\psi} \psi + \bar{\psi} \gamma_5 A_a \psi$ is Hermitian.

B. The Continuity Equation for the Condensate Linear Momentum

The result of the last subsection is the separation of the $3+1$ NQP dynamics into a collection of independent $1+1$ dynamical subsystems to be referred to as \hat{q} -channels. This arises from the eikonal approximation made for the eigenstates of H_{B0G} near the Fermi surface in terms of wavepackets with mean momentum $p_F \hat{q}$. By construction, both positive and negative energy eigenstates (viz. above and below the Fermi surface) carry mean momentum $p_F \hat{q}$.

Focusing on the \hat{q} -channel, its single particle excitations will be quasiparticles with (mean) momentum $p_F \hat{q}$ (right go-ers) and quasi-holes with (mean) momentum $-p_F \hat{q}$ (left go-ers). In the superconducting phase, a Landau quasiparticle with (mean) momentum $p_F \hat{q}$ will be a superposition of right go-ers and left go-ers

$$\psi_{\hat{q}}(\mathbf{x}) = e^{ip_F \hat{q} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \psi_R(\mathbf{x}; \hat{q}) + e^{-ip_F \hat{q} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \psi_L(\mathbf{x}; \hat{q}) \quad ; \quad (45)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_R(\mathbf{x};\hat{q}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{k}_f}^{\mathbf{X}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\hat{q}\cdot\mathbf{x}} a_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{k}_f} \\ \psi_L(\mathbf{x};\hat{q}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{k}_f}^{\mathbf{X}} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\hat{q}\cdot\mathbf{x}} a_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

a_p (a_p^{\dagger}) annihilates (creates) a LQP with momentum \mathbf{p} ; \hat{q} is a cuto that determines the spread of the wavepacket in momentum space; and spin indices have been suppressed. From this, the Nambu quasiparticle field operator is

$$\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_R(\mathbf{x};\hat{q}) \\ \psi_L(\mathbf{x};\hat{q}) \end{pmatrix} \quad (47)$$

The Noether current associated with the phase transformation $\hat{\psi} \rightarrow \exp[i\theta]\hat{\psi}$ is $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{x}) = \hat{\psi}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathbf{p}} \hat{\psi}$. Using eqn. (47) we see that (suppressing the \hat{q} -dependence for the time being)

$$\begin{aligned} j_0(\mathbf{x}) &= \lim_{\hbar \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\hbar} (\psi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x} + \frac{\hbar}{2}) \psi(\mathbf{x})) \\ &= \lim_{\hbar \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\hbar} (\psi_R^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x} + \frac{\hbar}{2}) \psi_R(\mathbf{x}) + \psi_L^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x} + \frac{\hbar}{2}) \psi_L(\mathbf{x})) \\ &= \psi_R^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}) \psi_R(\mathbf{x}) - \psi_L^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}) \psi_L(\mathbf{x}) \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Clearly, $j_0(\mathbf{x})$ is the net Fermion number density (particle minus hole density) and $\hat{p}_f \hat{q} j_0(\mathbf{x})$ is the operator representation of the density of linear momentum along \hat{q}

$$g(\mathbf{x};\hat{q}) = \hat{p}_f \hat{q} j_0(\mathbf{x}) \quad (49)$$

Taking the vacuum expectation value of eqn. (49) and summing over all \hat{q} -channels gives the density of linear momentum in the condensate

$$g_i(\mathbf{x}) = k_f^3 \sum_{\mathbf{z}} \frac{d^2 \hat{q}}{4\pi^2} \hat{q}_i \langle \text{vac} | j_i^0(\mathbf{x};\hat{q}) | \text{vac} \rangle_{\hat{q}} \quad (50)$$

Here i is the spin index (x, y, z) and $|\text{vac}\rangle_{\hat{q}}$ is the vacuum (ground) state for the \hat{q} -channel. Similarly, the condensate stress tensor is

$$T_{ij} = k_f^3 \sum_{\mathbf{z}} \frac{d^2 \hat{q}}{4\pi^2} \hat{q}_i \hat{q}_j \langle \text{vac} | j_i^j(\mathbf{x};\hat{q}) | \text{vac} \rangle_{\hat{q}} \quad (51)$$

The continuity equation for the condensate linear momentum is then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t g_i + \partial_j T_{ij} &= k_f^3 \int \frac{d^2 \hat{q}}{4\pi^2} \hat{q}_i \text{hvac} \hat{p}_t j^0 + \hat{q}_j \partial_j j^1 \text{vac} i_{\hat{q}} \\ &= k_f^3 \int \frac{d^2 \hat{q}}{4\pi^2} \hat{q}_i \text{hvac} \hat{p}_j j^1 \text{vac} i_{\hat{q}} : \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

We go on to evaluate the matrix element appearing in eqn. (52) and will see that it is non-vanishing due to the non-invariance of the measure in the path integral used to formulate the NQP dynamics.

C. Non-invariance of the Measure

The generating functional for the NQP Green's functions in the \hat{q} -channel is

$$W_{NQP}[\hat{q}; \hat{p}] = N \int \mathcal{D}[\hat{q}] \exp \left[i \int d^4 x \left(i \partial_\mu \hat{q}_\mu - \frac{1}{2} \hat{q}_\mu \hat{q}_\mu + \hat{q}_\mu \hat{p}_\mu \right) \right] : \quad (53)$$

Here \hat{p}_μ are external sources for \hat{q}_μ ; and N is a normalization factor. Invariance of W_{NQP} under the change of variable $\hat{q}(x) \rightarrow \hat{q}(x) + \delta \hat{q}(x)$ gives

$$0 = \int \mathcal{D}[\hat{q}] \int d^4 y \exp \left[i \int d^4 x \left(i \partial_\mu \hat{q}_\mu - \frac{1}{2} \hat{q}_\mu \hat{q}_\mu + \hat{q}_\mu \hat{p}_\mu \right) \right] \delta \hat{q}_\mu \delta \hat{q}_\mu : \quad (54)$$

$F(y)$ enters eqn. (54) through the Jacobian of the transformation $d[\hat{q}^0] = J d[\hat{q}]$ and (as we shall see shortly), J has the form: $J = \exp \left[i \int d^4 x \hat{q}(x) F(x) \right]$. Setting $\delta \hat{q}_\mu = \delta \hat{q}_\mu$ in eqn. (54) gives

$$\partial_j \hat{p}_j = F(x) : \quad (55)$$

To calculate the Jacobian we follow Fujikawa²¹ and go over to Euclidean space $x^0 \rightarrow ix^4$. From Section IIIA, this transformation leads to the Euclidean Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} L_E(\hat{q}) &= \frac{1}{2} \partial_a \hat{q}_a + \frac{1}{2} \hat{q}_a \hat{q}_a + \hat{q}_a \hat{p}_a \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\hat{q}_a \hat{q}_a + \hat{p}_a \hat{p}_a) : \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

and

$$iS = \int d^4x_E \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{4} \lambda \phi^4 \right) \quad (57)$$

The continuation to Euclidean space has been done in such a manner as to insure that iD_a is Hermitian and so has a complete set of states $iD_a |n\rangle = E_n |n\rangle$.

Under the change of variable $\phi = \exp[i\chi(x)]$,

$$\phi^0 = \sum_n a_n^0 |n\rangle = \sum_n a_n e^{i\chi_n} |n\rangle; \quad (58)$$

where the field operator has been expanded in the complete set $|n\rangle$. Thus

$$a_n^0 = C_{nm} a_m = e^{i\chi_n} a_n \Rightarrow C_{nm} = \delta_{nm} e^{i\chi_n} \quad (59)$$

As χ is a Grassmann variable

$$J = (\det C)^{-1} = \exp \left(i \int d^4x_E \sum_n \chi_n(x) \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \chi_n(x)} \right) \right) \\ = \exp \left(i \int d^4x_E F_E(x) \right) \quad (60)$$

as anticipated, and

$$F_E(x) = \sum_n \chi_n(x) \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \chi_n(x)} \right) = \sum_n \text{tr}_n(x) \chi_n(x) \quad (61)$$

Here tr is a sum over Nambu indices only. $F_E(x)$ is divergent and its finite part is isolated by introducing a cutoff M and a subtraction to remove the divergent part. Thus

$$F_E(x) = \lim_{M^2 \rightarrow 1} \sum_n \chi_n(x) e^{\frac{M^2}{2}} \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \chi_n(x)} \right) - \sum_m \chi_m(x) e^{\frac{M^2}{2}} \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \chi_m(x)} \right) \quad (62)$$

The f_n are eigenfunctions of $iD_a |_{\lambda=0} = i\partial_a$ and E_m are the associated eigenvalues.

Then,

$$F_E(x) = \lim_{M^2 \rightarrow 1} \left(\sum_n \chi_n(x) e^{\frac{(i\partial)^2}{M^2}} \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \chi_n(x)} \right) - \sum_m \chi_m(x) e^{\frac{(i\partial)^2}{M^2}} \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \chi_m(x)} \right) \right) \\ = \lim_{M^2 \rightarrow 1} \lim_{y \rightarrow x} \text{tr} \left(e^{\frac{\partial^2}{M^2}} \sum_n \chi_n(x) \chi_n(y) e^{\frac{\partial^2}{M^2}} \sum_m \chi_m(x) \chi_m(y) \right) \\ = \lim_{M^2 \rightarrow 1} \text{tr} \int \frac{d^2k}{4} e^{ik \cdot x} e^{\frac{\partial^2}{M^2}} e^{ik \cdot x} e^{ik \cdot x} e^{\frac{\partial^2}{M^2}} e^{ik \cdot x}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{M^2 \rightarrow 1} \text{tr} \frac{d^2 k}{4^2} : \exp^4 \frac{k_a k_a + \partial_a A_a + \frac{1}{2} \partial_a \partial_b F_{ab}}{M^2} \exp^3 \frac{k_a k_a}{M^2} ; \\
&= \lim_{M^2 \rightarrow 1} \frac{M^2}{4} \text{tr}^4 \frac{\partial_a A_a + \frac{1}{2} \partial_a \partial_b F_{ab}}{M^2} + O \frac{1}{M^4} \\
&= \frac{i}{4} \partial_a \partial_b F_{ab} ;
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

where $\partial^0 = 1$. In going from the second to the third line the completeness of the $f_n g$ ($f'_m g$) has been used as well as the $1+1$ character of the \hat{q} -channel dynamics.

Switching back to Minkowski space gives $F(x) = (\tilde{F}) = 4$ so that

$$\partial_j = \frac{\tilde{F}}{4} ; \tag{64}$$

In the following subsection we will use this result to obtain the acceleration equation for the condensate superflow.

D. The Acceleration Equation and F_{nd}

Inserting eq. (64) into eqn. (52), the continuity equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t g_i + \partial_j T_{ij} &= k_f^3 \int \frac{d^2 \hat{q}}{4^2} \hat{q}_i \frac{1}{2} \tilde{F}_{01} \\
&= k_f^3 \int \frac{d^2 \hat{q}}{8^3} \hat{q}_i \partial_0 \tilde{A}_1 - \partial_1 \tilde{A}_0 \\
&= k_f^3 \int \frac{d^2 \hat{q}}{8^3} \hat{q}_i \hat{q}_j \partial_0 \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_j \tilde{A}_j - \partial_j \tilde{A}_0 - \frac{1}{2} \partial_t \right) \\
&= C_0 \left(\frac{h}{2} [\partial_0 ; \partial_j] + e E_j \right) ;
\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

where $C_0 = k_f^3 = 3^{-2}$ is the particle density in the normal phase when the chemical potential equals the Fermi energy; and h has been restored. The source term is clearly non-vanishing and contains the effects of the electric field whose origin is the vortex motion as well as a term whose origin is the topology of the vortex. The essential topological property of the vortex is that the phase of the gap function changes by 2π as we wind once around the vortex (recall ℓ is the vortex winding number) so that

$$[\mathcal{Q}_x; \mathcal{Q}_y] = 2 \hat{z} \cdot (\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{y}) ; \quad (66)$$

where θ is the gap phase ($\theta = 0$ for our calculation). Thus

$$\frac{\hbar}{2} [\mathcal{Q}_0; \mathcal{Q}_j] = \frac{\hbar}{2} (\mathbf{r}_0 \cdot \hat{z})^2 (\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}_0) ; \quad (67)$$

We also note that since $v_s = (\hbar r) = 2 eA$,

$$\mathbf{v}_s \cdot (\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{y}) + \frac{\hbar}{2} v_s \cdot (\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{r}) + e \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 ; \quad (68)$$

It is straightforward to show that (recall $\hat{z} = \mathbf{z}/r$)

$$\mathbf{v}_s \cdot (\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{r}) = \frac{\hbar}{2} (v_s \cdot \hat{z})^2 (\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}_0) ; \quad (69)$$

so that

$$\mathbf{v}_s \cdot (\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{y}) + \frac{\hbar}{2} (v_s \cdot \hat{z})^2 (\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}_0) + e v_s \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 ; \quad (70)$$

Eqn. (70) is recognized as the (cross product of \mathbf{v}_s with the) London equation in the presence of a vortex¹⁵, and follows automatically from our definition of \mathbf{v}_s . Thus eqn. (65) can be re-written as

$$\mathcal{Q}_t g_i + \mathcal{Q}_j T_{ij} = C_0 \mathbf{v}_s \cdot (\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{y}) + e \mathbf{E} + e v_s \cdot \mathbf{B} - \frac{\hbar}{2} (v_s \cdot \mathbf{r}_0) \cdot \hat{z}^2 (\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}_0) ; \quad (71)$$

It is possible to evaluate the LHS of eqn. (71) using eqns. (50) and (51) once the matrix element $\langle \mathbf{h} v a c j j | \mathbf{j} v a c i_q \rangle$ is known. A simple diagrammatic calculation along the lines of Goldstone and Wilczek²² gives

$$\langle \mathbf{h} v a c j j | \mathbf{j} v a c i_q \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{A} ; \quad (72)$$

We will not reproduce that calculation here as eqn. (72) is clearly consistent with eqn. (64) (though see ref. 23 if further details are desired). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} g_i &= k_f^3 \langle \mathbf{x} | \hat{z} | \frac{d^2 \hat{q}}{4} \hat{q}_i \frac{1}{2} \tilde{A}_1 \rangle \\ &= k_f^3 \langle \mathbf{x} | \hat{z} | \frac{d^2 \hat{q}}{8} \hat{q}_i \hat{q}_j (v_s)_j \rangle \\ &= \frac{k_f^3}{3} (v_s)_i \\ &= C_0 (v_s)_i ; \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T_{ij} &= k_f^3 \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{q}}{4\pi^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{q}}{\partial t^2} \mathbf{q}_i \mathbf{q}_j = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}_0 \\ &= C_0 \frac{\hbar}{2} \partial_t \mathbf{A}_0 \cdot \mathbf{v}_{ij} : \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

Combining eqns. (71), (73), and (74); together with some algebra gives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{v}_s \cdot \mathbf{v}_s (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{y}) = \quad (75)$$

$$r \cdot (e\mathbf{A}_0) + r \cdot \left(\frac{\hbar}{2} \partial_t \mathbf{v}_s \right) + e\mathbf{E} + e\mathbf{v}_s \cdot \mathbf{B} = \frac{\hbar}{2} (\mathbf{v}_s \cdot \mathbf{r}_0) \hat{z}^2 (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{y}) : \quad (76)$$

From the Josephson equation $(\hbar \partial_t \phi) = \mu_0$, where μ_0 is the chemical potential in the vortex rest frame and can be written as $\mu_0 = \mu + v_s^2/2 + e\mathbf{A}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r}$ (μ is the chemical potential in the lattice rest frame and $m = 1$). Recalling that $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_0 + \mathbf{y}$, together with the preceding remarks gives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{v}_s + r \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}_s^2 \right) \mathbf{v}_s (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{y}) = r \cdot \mathbf{A}_0 + e\mathbf{E} + e\mathbf{v}_s \cdot \mathbf{B} = \frac{\hbar}{2} (\mathbf{v}_s \cdot \mathbf{r}_0) \hat{z}^2 (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{y}) ; \quad (77)$$

or nally,

$$\frac{d\mathbf{v}_s}{dt} = r \cdot \mathbf{A}_0 + e\mathbf{E} + e\mathbf{v}_s \cdot \mathbf{B} = \frac{\hbar}{2} (\mathbf{v}_s \cdot \mathbf{r}_0) \hat{z}^2 (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{y}) ; \quad (78)$$

which is the acceleration equation for the condensate super flow. Thus we find the expected forces related to the hydrodynamic pressure ($r \cdot \mathbf{P} = -\mathbf{v}_s r$), and the electric and magnetic fids. We also see that linear momentum (recall $m = 1$) is disappearing from the condensate into the vortex at $\mathbf{r}_0(t)$ at the rate $(\hbar/2) (\mathbf{v}_s \cdot \mathbf{r}_0) \hat{z}^2$ per unit length so that

$$\mathbf{F}_{nd} = -\frac{\hbar}{2} (\mathbf{v}_s \cdot \mathbf{r}_0) \hat{z} ; \quad (79)$$

in agreement with our Berry phase calculation. It is clear from eqns. (66), (67) and (69) that the origin of this force is the vortex topology and that the Magnus force contribution to \mathbf{F}_{nd} arises from the non-invariance of the path integral measure. Our result is also consistent with Ref. 2 which showed that the first 3 terms on the RHS of eqn. (78) lead to a flux of

linear momentum in towards the vortex at the rate $(\hbar/2m)(v_s - v_0)/\xi$ which is exactly equal to the rate at which we find it appearing on the vortex. This topology driven flow of linear momentum from the 3+1 dimensional condensate onto the 1+1 dimensional vortex is a particular example of the Callen-Harvey mechanism of anomaly cancellation²⁴ (see below).

IV. DISCUSSION

In this paper we have examined the non-dissipative force F_{nd} acting on a line vortex in a type-II superconductor at $T = 0$. Our analysis throughout has been microscopic and is based on the dynamics inherent in the Bogoliubov equation. In the first half of this paper we have verified and strengthened an earlier result of Ao and Thouless⁵ which argued that F_{nd} contains a contribution from the Magnus (lift) force familiar from classical hydrodynamics and which they argued was a manifestation of a Berry phase induced in the many-body ground state by the vortex motion. We have been able to determine the Berry phase induced in the true superconducting ground state and find that the result of Ref. 5, which is based on an ansatz for the many-body ground state wavefunction, agrees with the exact result in the case of a neutral superfluid. For a charged superconductor, gauge invariance requires an eA_0 -term to appear in the ground state Berry phase. This correction is seen however to not effect the final result for F_{nd} . It is clear from our calculation that, in the case of a neutral superfluid, the ground state Berry phase is ultimately a consequence of the topology and axial symmetry of the vortex. This requires the energy eigenfunctions to be eigenstates of the z-component of the angular momentum. Examination of the ansatz of Ref. 5 shows that it has captured this essential property of the true superconducting ground state, and as a consequence, their calculation produces the exact result in the case of a neutral superfluid. Although our paper is clearly based on the BCS theory of superconductivity, the fact that the Berry phase is ultimately a consequence of the topology and axial symmetry of the vortex leads us to suspect that vortices in non-BCS type-II superconductors will likely induce Berry phases in their corresponding ground state. One would thus expect a similar

Magnus force contribution to F_{nd} in non-BCS type-II superconductors as well. By deriving the action for the hydrodynamic degrees of freedom of the superconducting condensate from the microscopic Bogoliubov dynamics we are able to see how the ground state Berry phase manifests itself as a Wess-Zumino term in this action²⁵. Variation of the hydrodynamic action with respect to the vortex trajectory gives the non-dissipative force acting on the vortex. This variation also shows that the Wess-Zumino term is responsible for producing the Magnus force contribution to F_{nd} . This calculation substantiates the discussion given by Ao and Thouless which again captures the essential issues involved. In the second part of this paper we have examined F_{nd} by examining microscopically the continuity equation for the condensate linear momentum. We show that this equation leads to the acceleration equation for the superflow and by being careful to track the effects of the vortex topology, are able to see that a flux of linear momentum flows from the $3+1$ dimensional condensate onto the $1+1$ dimensional vortex where it appears at a rate equal to $(\hbar/2m)(v_s - v_0)^2$ (per unit length). The sink terms in the continuity equation for the condensate linear momentum are seen to arise from the topology of the vortex. This topology related transfer of quantum numbers (in our case, linear momentum) from a higher dimensional system S_1 in which a lower dimensional system S_2 is embedded is an example of the Callen-Harvey mechanism of anomaly cancellation²⁴. Specially, linear momentum is not conserved in either the condensate or the vortex, but is conserved in the combined system. In our case, the issue of anomaly cancellation simply describes the linear momentum transfers expected between the condensate-vortex subsystems. It should be stressed that our calculational procedure is able to keep track of the more subtle momentum transfers that are a consequence of the vortex topology. Both calculations yield the same result for the non-dissipative force and verify the presence of the Magnus force in F_{nd} which is seen to be a manifestation of the vortex topology.

It is straightforward to calculate the Berry phase for the many-body excited states. Positive energy NQP excitations are created with γ_n^\dagger and positive energy NQH excitations

with $\frac{Y}{n\#}$. For a many-body excited state with N_Q P 's in the single particle state $(P_1; \dots; P_N); P$ and N_Q H 's in the states $(H_1; \dots; H_M)$, the Berry phase is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_B(P_1; \dots; P_N; H_1; \dots; H_M) = & \frac{X}{n} + \frac{X}{fP_i g} + \frac{X}{fH_i g} \\ = & +_{exc} : \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

We see that a 2-uid picture naturally develops in the Berry phase in which the contribution from the superconducting condensate is given by the ground state Berry phase obtained in Section II together with a contribution $_{exc}$ from the elementary excitations present. Because the Berry phase gives the orbital angular momentum along the vortex axis present in the energy eigenstates, we see that the total orbital angular momentum (along the vortex axis) in an excited state receives contributions from the condensate and the elementary excitations. From eqn. (8) we see that the orbital angular momentum associated with the elementary excitations is opposite to that of the condensate so that the orbital angular momentum of the excited states is less than the orbital angular momentum in the ground state (viz. $\mathcal{M}_B < 0$). Because experiments are done at finite temperature, it is clearly of interest to generalize our $T = 0$ analysis of F_{nd} to finite temperature. An important question is the effect of temperature on the Berry phase contribution to the hydrodynamic free energy, and, consequently, of the effect of temperature on the Magnus force contribution to F_{nd} . Naively, one would expect this would lead to a thermal average of the Berry phase given in eqn. (80). As we have just seen, $\mathcal{M}_B < 0$ so that a thermal average of \mathcal{M}_B is expected to decrease with increasing temperature. Thus one would naively expect the Magnus force contribution to F_{nd} to correspondingly decrease with temperature. We should also mention that since \mathcal{M}_B depends on the superconducting electron density which decreases and eventually goes to zero with increasing temperature, whereas $_{exc}$ increases with increasing temperature, it becomes clear that the thermal average of \mathcal{M}_B should change sign as a function of temperature. Because of the anticipated connection between the thermal average of \mathcal{M}_B and the Magnus force contribution to F_{nd} , such a sign change will lead to a sign change in the Magnus force contribution to F_{nd} . Thus $F_{nd} = (\frac{1}{2} \hbar^2) (v_s^2 - (T/T_0)^2) \hat{z}$, and

$\theta(T)$ changes sign with temperature. Such a temperature dependence for $\theta(T)$ will act, in general, to change the sign of the Hall angle in a Hall effect experiment on a type-II superconductor in the flux flow regime²⁶. Such a sign change in the Hall angle as a function of temperature has been observed²⁷ and the fact that neither the BS or NV models can explain this sign change is the reason for this effect being known as the "sign anomaly in the Hall effect" in superconductors. Further work on the temperature dependence of the Berry phase contribution to F_{nd} is in progress and is clearly of interest to efforts aimed at understanding the sign anomaly in the Hall effect. We will report on this work elsewhere. Volovik²⁸ has argued that, for temperatures satisfying $k_B T \ll (\Delta = E_f)$, thermal broadening of single particle states bound to the vortex will lead to creation of excitations trapped on the vortex as a consequence of spectral flow and that this mechanism effectively wipes out the Magnus force contribution to F_{nd} which has been found to be present at $T = 0$. Although Volovik's analysis is different from ours, it is clear that at these temperatures, the important excitations for a thermal average of $\mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ will come from excitations bound to the vortex so that the remarks above regarding a weakening of the Magnus force contribution to F_{nd} are qualitatively in agreement with Volovik's result. It should be mentioned that Volovik does not discuss the possibility of the Magnus force contribution to F_{nd} changing sign with temperature, nor of its possible consequences for Hall effect experiments on type-II superconductors in the flux flow regime. Ao et. al.²⁹ have described a finite temperature calculation of the non-dissipative force and argue that the $T = 0$ form of this force is preserved for $T \neq 0$ and that the only effect of temperature is to replace the zero temperature superconducting electron density with its finite temperature form.

I would like to thank: Dr. Ping Ao for interesting me in this problem and for a number of helpful discussions; Dr. Michael Stone for interesting comments and discussions, particularly with regard to the issue of gauge invariance; T. Howell III for constant support; and NSERC of Canada for financial support.

APPENDIX A : THE ADIABATIC THEOREM AND BERRY'S PHASE

In this appendix we provide a derivation of the Adiabatic Theorem being careful not to overlook the appearance of the geometric/topological phase discovered by Berry¹⁰. Our analysis focuses on the appearance of the Berry phase in the propagator (viz. time development operator) for the wavefunctions as this is the relevant object for our analysis in Section II. For a standard treatment of the Adiabatic Theorem along these lines (minus the Berry phase), see Messiah³⁰.

As in our discussion of Section II, we consider a quantum system coupled to an environment which is evolving adiabatically. This coupling is described by the appearance of a set of parameters $R(t) = (R_1(t); \dots, R_N(t))$ in the system Hamiltonian $H[R(t)]$. It proves convenient to introduce the instantaneous eigenstates of $H[R(t)]$,

$$H[R(t)]|E_j(t)\rangle = E_j(t)|E_j(t)\rangle : \quad (A1)$$

Clearly $E_j(t)$ and $|E_j(t)\rangle$ are continuous functions of $R(t)$ so that one can introduce an operator $A(t)$ such that

$$|E_j(t)\rangle = A(t)|E_j(0)\rangle ; \quad A(0) = 1 : \quad (A2)$$

For simplicity, assume the instantaneous energy eigenvalues are discrete and non-degenerate throughout $0 \leq t \leq T$. From eqn. (A2), the projection operator $P_j(t) = |E_j(t)\rangle\langle E_j(t)|$ obeys

$$P_j(t) = A(t)P_j(0)A^\dagger(t) : \quad (A3)$$

Time evolution is governed by the propagator $U(t; t_0)$ which satisfies

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} U(t; t_0) = H(t)U(t; t_0) : \quad (A4)$$

We now state the Theorem to be proved:

Adiabatic Theorem :

If initially the system is in the eigenstate $|j\rangle$ of $H[R(0)]$, then the state of the system at time t is given by the eigenstate of $H[R(t)]$ that evolves continuously from $|j\rangle$ (viz. $|j(t)\rangle$ to within a phase factor); or formally,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} U(t;0) |j\rangle = P_j(t) \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} U(t;0) |j\rangle : \quad (A 5)$$

Proof:

The operator $A(t)$ is determined by the initial condition $A(0) = 1$ and the differential equation

$$i\hbar \frac{dA}{dt} = K^0(t)A(t) ; \quad (A 6)$$

where $K^0(t)$ must satisfy

$$[K^0(t); P_j(t)] = i\hbar \frac{dP_j}{dt} : \quad (A 7)$$

Because of the presence of projection operators in eqn. (A 7), this equation does not determine $K^0(t)$ uniquely. The most general solution can be shown to be

$$K^0(t) = i\hbar \sum_1^X \frac{dP_j}{dt} + P_1 f_1 P_1 : \quad (A 8)$$

Here $f_1(t)$ is an arbitrary collection of operators. Requiring that the dynamics satisfy the Schrodinger equation will allow us to fix the $f_1(t)$.

In the adiabatic limit $U(t;0) \rightarrow A(t) \langle t |$ as $t \rightarrow 1$, where

$$\langle t | = \sum_j e^{i \int_0^t dE_j(\tau)} P_j(0) : \quad (A 9)$$

In general, $U(t;0) = A(t) \langle t | W(t)$, where $W(t)$ is determined by the condition $W(0) = 1$ together with

$$\frac{dW}{dt} = \frac{i}{\hbar} K^0 W ; \quad (A 10)$$

and

$$K^0 = \sum A^\dagger K^0 A : \quad (A 11)$$

By sandwiching eqn. (A 10) between the states $\langle E_j(0) |$ and $| E_i(0) \rangle$, it can be shown that $W_{ij} = \langle E_j(0) | \dot{W} | E_i(0) \rangle = 0$ ($i \neq j$) and so vanishes in the adiabatic limit. The diagonal elements are easily shown to be given by

$$W_{ii} = \langle E_i(0) | \dot{W} | E_i(0) \rangle = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} R_t} \frac{d}{dt} K_{ii}^0 : \quad (A 12)$$

Thus

$$\dot{W} = \sum_i W_{ii} P_i(0) : \quad (A 13)$$

Thus for the situation envisioned in the premise of the Adiabatic Theorem ,

$$\begin{aligned} | j(t) \rangle &= U(t;0) | E_i(0) \rangle \\ &= A(t) \sum_j W_{jj}(t) P_j(0) | E_i(0) \rangle \\ &= e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} R_t} \frac{d}{dt} [K_{ii}^0 E_i(t)] A(t) | E_i(0) \rangle \\ &= e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} R_t} \frac{d}{dt} [K_{ii}^0 E_i(t)] | E_i(t) \rangle : \end{aligned} \quad (A 14)$$

This proves the Adiabatic Theorem . To fix the phase, we now determine K_{ii}^0 .

By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} | E_j(t) \rangle &= \frac{d}{dt} A(t) | E_j(0) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} K^0 | E_j(t) \rangle \\ &= \sum_1 \left(\frac{dP_1}{dt} + P_1 f_1 - P_1 \right) | E_j(t) \rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{dP_j}{dt} + P_j f_j - P_j \right) | E_j(t) \rangle : \end{aligned} \quad (A 15)$$

Taking the time derivative of the definition $P_j(t) | E_j(t) \rangle = | E_j(t) \rangle$ gives

$$\frac{dP_j}{dt} | E_j(t) \rangle + P_j(t) \frac{d}{dt} | E_j(t) \rangle = \frac{d}{dt} | E_j(t) \rangle : \quad (A 16)$$

Equating eqns. (A 15) and (A 16) gives

$$P_j f_j | E_j(t) \rangle = P_j \frac{d}{dt} | E_j(t) \rangle : \quad (A 17)$$

From this we find

$$\langle \mathbf{h} \mathbf{E}_j(t) | \mathbf{f}_j | \mathbf{E}_j(t) \rangle = i \hbar \mathbf{E}_j(t) \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{E}_j(t) ; \quad (\text{A } 18)$$

and so

$$K_{jj}^0 = i \hbar \langle \mathbf{h} \mathbf{E}_j(t) | \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{E}_j(t) \rangle + i \hbar \langle \mathbf{h} \mathbf{E}_j(t) | \frac{d\mathbf{P}_j}{dt} | \mathbf{E}_j(t) \rangle : \quad (\text{A } 19)$$

But the second term on the RHS of eqn. (A 19) vanishes since $\frac{d}{dt} [\langle \mathbf{h} \mathbf{E}_j(t) | \mathbf{E}_j(t) \rangle] = 0$. Thus

$K_{jj}^0 = i \hbar \langle \mathbf{h} \mathbf{E}_j(t) | \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{E}_j(t) \rangle$ and

$$\begin{aligned} | \mathbf{E}_j(t) \rangle &= e^{i \int_0^t \langle \mathbf{h} \mathbf{E}_j | \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{E}_j \rangle dt} e^{i \frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^t \langle \mathbf{h} \mathbf{E}_j | \frac{d\mathbf{P}_j}{dt} | \mathbf{E}_j \rangle dt} | \mathbf{E}_j(0) \rangle \\ &= e^{i \mathbf{B}_j(t)} e^{i \frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^t \langle \mathbf{h} \mathbf{E}_j | \frac{d\mathbf{P}_j}{dt} | \mathbf{E}_j \rangle dt} | \mathbf{E}_j(0) \rangle : \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A } 20)$$

Thus we recover the Berry phase contribution to the phase factor appearing in the Adiabatic Theorem.

The derivation of the Berry phase given above assumes an electrically neutral system. For a system composed of electrically charged particles such as the electron gas in a superconductor, the Berry phase must be generalized in a manner consistent with the gauge symmetry underlying electromagnetism. This is because the hydrodynamic action S_{hyd} must be gauge invariant, and the Berry phase induced in the superconducting ground state finds its way into S_{hyd} (as seen in Section II C). The appropriate generalization has been given by Aharanov and Anandan¹¹. We will simply quote their result as the correct form can be guessed straightforwardly from knowledge of how the Berry phase transforms under a time dependent gauge transformation,

$$\mathbf{B}_j(t) = \int_0^t \langle \mathbf{h} \mathbf{E}_j | \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{E}_j \rangle dt - \frac{e}{\hbar} \mathbf{A}_0(t) \cdot \mathbf{E}_j(t) : \quad (\text{A } 21)$$

Eqn. (A 21) will be used in Section II of this paper.

APPENDIX B : THE HYDRODYNAMIC ACTION REVISITED

In this Appendix an alternative derivation of the gauge invariant Wess-Zumino term will be given. The calculation to be described is based on Eckem et. al.¹⁸. We will briefly

present their approach and show how the Wess-Zumino term arises very naturally in this approach. The result found will be seen to agree with the Berry phase calculation presented in Section IIC. It is hoped that the independent calculation of the Wess-Zumino term given in this Appendix will reassure the reader that no sleight-of-hand was perpetrated in the normal ordering of the Berry phase in Section IIB. A secondary aim of this Appendix is to point out that the effective action for a single superconductor obtained in Ref. 18 also contains a gauge invariant Wess-Zumino term (viz. a gauge invariant term first order in time derivatives of the gap phase).

As in Section IIC, our starting point is the vacuum-to-vacuum transition amplitude ($\hbar = m = c = 1$)

$$W = \langle \text{vac}; t = T | T \exp \left[-i \int_0^T dt H_{BCS}(t) \right] | \text{vac}; t = 0 \rangle ; \quad (\text{B1})$$

and

$$H_{BCS} = \int d^3x \left[\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{r} - ie\mathbf{A})^2 E_f + eA_0 \right] (\mathbf{x}) \\ + \frac{g}{2} \int d^3x \left[\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{r} - ie\mathbf{A})^2 E_f + eA_0 \right] (\mathbf{x}) \left[\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{r} - ie\mathbf{A})^2 E_f + eA_0 \right] (\mathbf{x}) \\ + \int d^3x \frac{1}{8} (\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H}_{ext})^2 E^2 : \quad (\text{B2})$$

(See Section IIC for a definition of the symbols.) The quartic interaction term is removed via a Hubbard-Stratonovitch transformation¹⁶ so that W is expressed as a path integral over (\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{A})

$$W = \int \mathcal{D}[\mathbf{r}] \mathcal{D}[\mathbf{A}] \langle \text{vac}; t = T | T \exp \left[-i \int_0^T dt H_{eff}(t) \right] | \text{vac}; t = 0 \rangle ; \quad (\text{B3})$$

where

$$H_{eff} = H_f + L_c + L_{em} ; \quad (\text{B4})$$

and

$$H_f = \int d^3x \left[\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{r} - ie\mathbf{A})^2 E_f + eA_0 \right] (\mathbf{x}) \\ + \int d^3x \left[\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{r} - ie\mathbf{A})^2 E_f + eA_0 \right] (\mathbf{x}) \left[\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{r} - ie\mathbf{A})^2 E_f + eA_0 \right] (\mathbf{x}) ; \quad (\text{B5})$$

$$L_c + L_{em} = \int d^3x \frac{j^2}{2g} + \int d^3x \frac{1}{8} (H - H_{ext})^2 - E^2 : \quad (B6)$$

The condensate effective action S is defined through the matrix element appearing in eqn. (B3)

$$e^{iS} = e^{i \int_0^{R_T} dt (L_c + L_{em})} \langle \text{vac}; t = T | T \exp \left[i \int_0^T dt H_f(t) \right] | \text{vac}; t = 0 \rangle : \quad (B7)$$

The matrix element in eqn. (B7) can be calculated easily once H_f is rewritten in terms of the NQP field operator introduced in Section II,

$$H_f = \int d^3x d^3x^0 \gamma(x) H_{BOG}(x; x^0) (x^0) ; \quad (B8)$$

where

$$H_{BOG}(x; x^0) = \frac{1}{2} (r - ie_3 A)^2 - E_f + eA_0 \gamma_3 + \text{Re}(\gamma) \gamma_1 - \text{Im}(\gamma) \gamma_2 \\ \gamma^3(x - x^0)(t - t^0) : \quad (B9)$$

With H_f given by eqn. (B8),

$$\langle \text{vac}; t = T | T \exp \left[i \int_0^T dt H_f(t) \right] | \text{vac}; t = 0 \rangle = \exp^h \text{Tr} \ln G^{-1} ; \quad (B10)$$

where

$$G^{-1} = i \gamma^3 (x - x^0) \partial_t (t - t^0) H_{BOG} : \quad (B11)$$

As in Section IIIA, we make $\text{Im} \gamma = 0$ through the unitary transformation $H_{BOG} \rightarrow \exp[i(\gamma=2)\gamma_3] H_{BOG} \exp[-i(\gamma=2)\gamma_3]$. This gives

$$G^{-1} = G_0^{-1} + G^{-1} \\ = i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} r^2 + E_f \gamma_3 - \frac{1}{2} (v_s^2 - A_0^2) \gamma_3 + \frac{1}{2} v_s^2 \gamma_3 \gamma^3 (x - x^0)(t - t^0) : \quad (B12)$$

Here \tilde{A}_0 and v_s are defined as in Section IIIA ($\gamma = \gamma_3$); and $G^{-1} = G_{t1}^{-1} + G_{s1}^{-1} + G_{s2}^{-1}$ contains 3 contributions which are gauge invariant and are (respectively) first order in time

derivatives of the gap phase, first order in space derivatives (of the gap phase), and second order in space derivatives. Putting these results together gives

$$S = i\text{Tr} \ln G^{-1} + S_c + S_{\text{em}} ; \quad (\text{B13})$$

(S_c and S_{em} are the time integrals of L_c and L_{em} respectively).

The trace in eqn. (B13) is evaluated perturbatively

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} \ln G^{-1} &= \text{Tr} \ln G_0^{-1} + \text{Tr} G_0^{-1} G^{-1} \\ &= \text{Tr} \ln G_0^{-1} + \text{Tr} G_0^{-1} G^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} G_0^{-1} G^{-1} G_0^{-1} G^{-1} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B14})$$

For the purpose of finding the hydrodynamic action, it is only necessary to work out the expansion in eqn. (B14) to second order in space/time derivatives of the gap phase. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} S &= S_0 + S_1 + S_2 + \dots \\ &= S_0 + S_{\text{hyd}} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B15})$$

Eckern et. al.¹⁸ have determined S_0 and S_2 . S_0 is the action for the bulk degrees of freedom. It is not of immediate interest to us, and so will not be discussed further. S_2 is found to be

$$S_2 = \int d^3x \, dt \left[\frac{m}{2} v_s^2 + N(0) \tilde{A}_0^2 \right] ; \quad (\text{B16})$$

where m has been re-instated; v_s is the density of superconducting electrons at $T = 0$; and $N(0)$ is the electron density of states at the Fermi surface.

It is our purpose to show that S_1 is the gauge invariant Wess-Zumino term found in Section II C, where

$$S_1 = S_{\text{t1}} + S_{\text{s1}} = i\text{Tr} G_0^{-1} G_{\text{t1}}^{-1} + G_{\text{s1}}^{-1} ; \quad (\text{B17})$$

We will evaluate S_{t1} below. A similar calculation (which will not be reproduced here) shows that S_{s1} vanishes. Thus we focus on

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{t1}} &= \text{Tr} G_0^{-1} G_{\text{t1}}^{-1} \\ &= i \int d^3x \, d^3y \, \text{tr} \, \mathbf{h} \, G_0^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \, \mathbf{h} \, G_{\text{t1}}^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) \\ &= i \int d^3x \, d^3y \, \text{tr} \, G_0^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \, \tilde{A}_0(\mathbf{y}) \, \mathbf{h} \, \mathbf{h} \, G_{\text{t1}}^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) ; \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B18})$$

and tr is a sum over Nambu indices only. We are interested in evaluating eqn. (B18) to lowest order in gradients of the gap phase. As \tilde{A}_0 is already first order in gradients, we can write

$$\tilde{A}_0(\mathbf{y}) = \tilde{A}_0(\mathbf{R}) = 2\tilde{A}_0(\mathbf{R}) ; \quad (\text{B19})$$

where $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})/2$; and $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}$. Also, $G_0(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$ can be written as

$$G_0(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{e^{ik(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})}}{k_0((k^2 - 2) - E_f)} ; \quad (\text{B20})$$

Due to the vortex, ϕ_0 varies with position near the vortex core. In the local limit, ϕ_0 is constant except on a set of measure zero (viz. on the vortex core). We will approximate ϕ_0 by its constant value away from the vortex core. Putting all this together gives

$$\begin{aligned} S_{t1} &= \int d^3R \int d^3y \text{tr} \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{e^{ik(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})}}{k_0((k^2 - 2) - E_f)} \tilde{A}_0(\mathbf{R}) \int \frac{d^4k^0}{(2\pi)^4} e^{ik^0(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})} \\ &= \int d^3R \tilde{A}_0(\mathbf{R}) \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \text{tr} [iG_0(k)] \\ &= \int d^3R \left[\frac{\hbar}{2} \partial_t \epsilon A_0 \right] ; \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B21})$$

where \hbar has been re-instated. Thus, S_{t1} is the gauge invariant Wess-Zumino term found in Section IIC. Since $S_{s1} = 0$, we have $S_1 = S_{t1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{hyd}} &= \int d^3x dt \left[\frac{\hbar}{2} \partial_t \epsilon A_0 \right] \\ &\quad + \int d^3x dt \left[\frac{m}{2} v_s^2 + N(0) \frac{\hbar}{2} \partial_t \epsilon A_0 \right] ; \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B22})$$

We stress that the calculation of the Wess-Zumino term given in this Appendix is independent of the Berry phase calculation given in Section IIC and thus acts to confirm its result, which is that S_{hyd} contains a gauge invariant term first order in time derivatives of the gap phase. The occurrence of this term in S appears to have been overlooked in Ref. 18.

REFERENCES

- ¹ J. Bardeen and M. J. Stephen, *Phys. Rev.* **140**, A1197 (1965).
- ² P. Nozières and W. F. Vinen, *Phil. Mag.* **14**, 667 (1966).
- ³ G. K. Batchelor, *An Introduction to Fluid Dynamics*, pp. 404-407 (Cambridge University Press, New York 1967).
- ⁴ Y. B. K in and M. J. Stephen, in *\Superconductivity*", R. D. Parks, ed., (Marcel Dekker, New York 1969); W. F. Vinen, in *\Superconductivity*", R. D. Parks, ed., (Marcel Dekker, New York 1969).
- ⁵ P. Ao and D. J. Thouless, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 2158 (1993).
- ⁶ P. G. deGennes, *\Superconductivity of Metals and Alloys*", chap. 5 (Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc. New York 1989).
- ⁷ W. E. Go , F. Gaitan and M. Stone, *Phys. Lett. A* **136**, 433 (1989).
- ⁸ Y. Nambu, *Phys. Rev.* **117**, 648 (1960).
- ⁹ J. Bardeen, R. Kummel, A. E. Jacobs and L. Tewordt, *Phys. Rev.* **187**, 556 (1969).
- ¹⁰ M. V. Berry, *Proc. R. Soc. London A* **392**, 45 (1984).
- ¹¹ Y. Aharanov and J. Anandan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **58**, 1593 (1987).
- ¹² C. Caroli, P. G. deGennes and J. Matricon, *Phys. Lett.* **9**, 307 (1964).
- ¹³ J. R. Schrieffer, *\Theory of Superconductivity*", p. 59 (Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc. New York 1964).
- ¹⁴ P. W. Anderson, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **38**, 298 (1966).
- ¹⁵ A. L. Fetter and P. C. Hohenberg, in *\Superconductivity*", R. D. Parks, ed. (Marcel Dekker, New York 1969).

- ¹⁶ R. L. Stratonovitch, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. S. S. R. 115, 1907 (1957) [Sov. Phys. Dokl. 2, 416 (1958)]; J. Hubbard, Phys. Rev. Lett. 3, 77 (1959).
- ¹⁷ J. Bardeen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 13, 747 (1964).
- ¹⁸ U. Eckern, G. Schon and V. Ambegaokar, Phys. Rev. B 30, 6419 (1984).
- ¹⁹ J. Wess and B. Zumino, Phys. Lett. B 37, 95 (1971); A. Shapere and F. Wilczek, "Geometric Phases in Physics", chap. 7 (World Scientific Publishing Co. Singapore 1989).
- ²⁰ A. Garg, V. P. Nair and M. Stone, Ann. Phys. 173, 149 (1987); F. Gaitan, Ann. Phys. (to be published); F. Gaitan, Phys. Lett. A 151, 551 (1991).
- ²¹ K. Fujikawa, Phys. Rev. D 21, 2848 (1980).
- ²² J. Goldstone and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 47, 986 (1981).
- ²³ A similar calculation was done in the last paper cited in Ref. 20.
- ²⁴ C. G. Callan and J. A. Harvey, Nuc. Phys. B 250, 427 (1985).
- ²⁵ M. Hatsuda, S. Yahikozawa, P. Aro and D. J. Thouless, Phys. Rev. B 49, 15870 (1994) propose a Ginzburg-Landau action in which a topological term is introduced by hand. This contrasts with the approach taken in this paper in which we derive the Wess-Zumino term from a microscopic starting point.
- ²⁶ C. J. Lobb, invited talk presented at 1994 APS March Meeting (Pittsburgh, Pa.).
- ²⁷ Y. Iye, S. Nakamura and T. Tamagai, Physica C 159, 616 (1989); S. N. Arsenenko, I. G. Gorlova and Yu. I. Latyshev, Phys. Lett. A 138, 428 (1989); S. J. Hagen, C. J. Lobb, R. L. Greene, M. G. Forrester and J. H. Kang, Phys. Rev. B 41, 11630 (1990); S. J. Hagen, C. J. Lobb, R. L. Greene and M. Eddy, Phys. Rev. B 43, 6246 (1991); T. R. Chien, T. W. Jing, N. P. Ong, and Z. Z. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 3075 (1991); J. Luo, T. P. Orlando, J. M. Graybeal, X. D. Wu and R. Muenchausen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 690 (1992).

²⁸ G . E . Volbvik, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 104, 3070 (1993) [Sov. Phys. JETP 77, 435 (1993)].

²⁹ P . A o, Q . N iu and D . J . Thouless, Physica B 194–196, 1453 (1994).

³⁰ A . M essiah, "Q uantum M echanics", Vol. II (North-Holland Publishing Company. Amsterdam 1961).