Impurity Spin Coupled to Strongly Correlated Electron System: Ground State Properties

D. F. Wang and C. Gruber

Institut de Physique Théorique École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne PHB-Ecublens, CH-1015 Lausanne-Switzerland.

Exact results are provided for the ground state properties of an impurity spin coupled to a conducting band of strongly correlated electrons described by a t-J model. The ground states are proved to be unique, apart from the spin rotational degeneracy, and the ground state spins are found in the following case: (1) J = 0, one hole hopping on a lattice in dimensions $d \ge 2$. (2) $J \ne 0$, arbitrary number of holes hopping on a one dimensional lattice, i.e., a Luttinger liquid interacting with a localized impurity spin.

PACS number: 71.30.+h, 05.30.-d, 74.65+n, 75.10.Jm

Typeset Using *REVTEX*

The effect of impurities Zn and Ni in the cuprate superconductors was studied from various aspects, such as T_c depression, penetration depth depending on temperatures, conductivity, Knight shift and nuclear relaxation rate [1]. Recently, Poilblanc, Scalapino and Hanke modeled the effect of a Zn impurity with S = 0 by a t-J model with an inert site, which has no exchange coupling or electron transfer terms. It was found that a hole experienced an extended effective impurity potential, depending on the host J/t, and that the hole could form bound states [2].

More recently, the same authors have considered an approximate picture of the Ni impurity. The host electron system is still described by the t-J model, and the impurity is viewed as a hard-core repulsion plus an exchange interaction between the impurity spin 1/2and the host electrons [3]. Although in reality, Ni would have spin 1, it was argued that the model system provides still a qualitatively correct picture. PSH have found that if the exchange coupling of the impurity with the neighboring spins is ferromagnetic or weakly antiferromagnetic, an extra hole can form bound states of different spatial symmetries with the impurity extending to a few lattice spacings. Exact diagonalizations through a Lanczos algorithm of small clusters at half filling or with a single hole were performed, for the ground state energies and dynamical correlations. The binding was found to disappear for an antiferromagnetic coupling exceeding 0.3J [3].

Due to the strong correlation of the host electrons, as well as due to the interaction of the impurity with the electrons, it is very difficult to extract some exact results for such a complex system. Any rigorous theorem can certainly provide helpful informations for their correlated behaviors. In the following, we provide some exact results for the ground state properties of the model system of strongly correlated electron host interacting with a localized impurity spin, in various special cases.

The system is defined on a cubic lattice in dimensions d, with Hamiltonian:

$$H = P_G \{ J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle, i \neq j \neq 0} (\vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j - 1/4) n_i n_j + t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle, i \neq j \neq 0, \sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow} (c^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} c_{j\sigma} + h.c.) + J' \sum_{|\vec{a}|=1} (\vec{S}_f \cdot \vec{S}_a - 1/4) n_a \} P_G,$$
(1)

where the sum $\langle i, j \rangle$ is over nearest neighbors. The first two terms, i.e. the ordinary t-J model, represent the strongly correlated electrons with t > 0, the parameter associated with the hopping matrix, and J the spin exchange interaction between the correlated electrons. The impurity spin $S_f = 1/2$ is localized at the site 0, and J' is the coupling constant between the impurity spin and the spins of the electrons at the nearest neighboring sites. A hard core repulsion forbid any conducting electrons to occupy the site 0. The Gutzwiller projector P_G indicates that the system is defined on the Hilbert space with no double occupancies. The system is rotationally invariant, the total spin z component, $S^z = \sum_i (n_{i\uparrow} - n_{i\downarrow})/2 + S_f^z$, and the total spin of the system \vec{S}^2 are constants of motions. Since the lattice where the conducting electrons are hopping is bipartite, we perform the unitary transformation $U' : c_{i\sigma} \to (-1)c_{i\sigma}, c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} \to (-1)c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger}$ for all sites i on one sublattice, which changes the sign of t. We thus consider in the following t > 0, to which the situation t < 0 can be related through the unitary transformation. Under the unitary transformation U', the total spin operator of the system remains unchanged.

We consider first a cubic lattice in dimensions $d \ge 2$ with N lattice sites (N odd) and open boundary condition. We want to investigate the ground states in the special case J = 0 and only one hole. Without the impurity spin, the one hole case was studied by Thouless and Nagaoka [6–8], and it was explicitly demonstrated that the ground state is ferromagnetic. Our goal is to show that if the interaction between the impurity and the electrons is ferromagnetic, i.e. J' < 0, the ground state is completely ferromagnetic with spin $S_G = (N-1)/2$. In other words, the ferromagnetic coupling between the impurity spin and the electrons preserves the ferromagnetism. To establish this result we introduce the following basis vectors:

$$|\alpha\rangle = |\{\sigma\}, \sigma_f\rangle = (-1)^{i-1} c_{1\sigma_1}^{\dagger} c_{2\sigma_2}^{\dagger} \cdots c_{i-1\sigma_{i-1}}^{\dagger} c_{i+1\sigma_{i+1}}^{\dagger} \cdots c_{N-1\sigma_{N-1}}^{\dagger}|0\rangle \bigotimes |\sigma_f\rangle,$$
(2)

where the hole is at site *i*, the spins of the electrons are specified explicitly, and the impurity spin is σ_f . Since each energy level has a representative in the subspace $S^z = 0$, the ground state also has a representative in this subspace. In the following we shall thus restrict our attention to the subspace $S^z = 0$, whose dimension is $2 \times (N-1) \times \frac{(N-2)!}{(\frac{N-3}{2})!(\frac{N-1}{2})!}$. We can simply calculate the Hamiltonian matrix element with these basis vectors:

$$K_{\alpha\beta} = <\alpha |H|\beta > = K_{\beta\alpha} = M_{\alpha\beta} + m_{\alpha}\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{3}$$

where the off-diagonal element $M_{\alpha\beta}$ is always non-positive, since J' < 0 and t > 0. The ground state of the Hamiltonian can be expanded as a linear combination of the basis vectors, with all the coefficients being real numbers.

$$|\phi\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} C(\alpha)|\alpha\rangle.$$
(4)

Using Perron-Frobenius type argument, several interesting results were previously obtained on ground state properties of correlated electron systems or magnetic systems [4,5]. Following similar ideas, we see that the state vector $|\bar{\phi}\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} |C(\alpha)||\alpha\rangle$ is also a ground state of the Hamiltonian. For a cubic lattice in dimensions $d \geq 2$, we can carry out a simple connectivity argument to show that all $C(\alpha)'s$ are nonzero and have the same sign. Therefore, the ground state is unique. Consider then the trial wavefunction $(S^-)^{N-1}c_{1\uparrow}^{\dagger}c_{2\uparrow}^{\dagger}\cdots c_{N-2\uparrow}^{\dagger}|0\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle$. We can expand $(\sum_{i=1}^{L}c_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger}c_{i\uparrow} + S_f^{-})^{N-1}$ using the property that each object $c_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger}c_{i\uparrow}$ commutes with others, without giving rise to overall extral sign for any term in the expansion. When the trial wavefunction is written in terms of a linear combination of the basis vectors, the coefficients have the same sign (some coefficients being zero). Therefore, the overlap between the ground state and the trial wavefunction, which is S = (N-1)/2. Hence, if the impurity exchange coupling is ferromagnetic J' < 0, we have proved that the ground state is unique (apart from its spin degeneracy), and is ferromagnetic with total spin $S_G = (N-1)/2$.

Let us consider the case where the impurity exchange is antiferromagnetic, J' > 0, $(J = 0, d \ge 2)$. In this case, we introduce the unitary transformation $U = \exp[i\pi S_f^z]$. Since

$$US_f^{\dagger}U^{\dagger} = (-1)S_f^{\dagger}$$
$$US_f^{-}U^{\dagger} = (-1)S_f^{-}$$

$$US_f^z U^\dagger = S_f^z,\tag{5}$$

we have, with $H' = UHU^{\dagger}$,

$$< \alpha |H'|\beta > = M'_{\alpha\beta} + m'_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{\alpha\beta},$$
 (6)

where, as before, $M'_{\alpha\beta}$ is non-positive. Therefore, the ground state $|\phi'\rangle$ of H' is unique and $|\phi'\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} C(\alpha)' |\alpha\rangle$, with all $C(\alpha)' > 0$. Consider the following trial wavefunction:

$$|\phi_t'\rangle = U\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N-3} c_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{i\uparrow}\right)^{\frac{N-3}{2}} c_{1\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{2\uparrow}^{\dagger} \cdots c_{N-3\uparrow}^{\dagger} \left[c_{N-2\uparrow}^{\dagger}|0\rangle \bigotimes |\downarrow\rangle - c_{N-2\downarrow}^{\dagger}|0\rangle \bigotimes |\uparrow\rangle\right], \quad (7)$$

which satisfies $\langle \phi'_t | \phi' \rangle \neq 0$. Since the ground state of H' is unique, the same is true for the ground state of H (up to spin rotational degeneracy). From the nonzero overlap between the trial wavefunction and $|\phi'\rangle$, it follows that the ground state of H, $|\phi\rangle = U^{\dagger}|\phi'\rangle$, thus has $S_G = (N-3)/2$. Loosely speaking, the impurity forms a singlet with one electron, while the other electrons still form a ferromagentic state due to their strong correlation. At this point we should remark that the hole is not bound to the impurity spin in these special cases. At any lattice site (except the site 0), the probability of observing the hole presence is positive, because of the positivity property of the ground state. In this sense, the wavefunction for the hole is extended on the lattice.

When there are many impurity spins localized on the lattice, we can generalize the above argument in a straightforward way. The same discussion can be carried out, if the localized impurity spins are positioned in such a way that all the basis vectors of the Hilbert space with fixed S_z are connected to each other. We can thus prove the uniqueness of the ground state and find the ground state spin.

One interesting question is what would happen if we add one more hole. The spin background of the correlated electrons definitely affect the motion of the holes. If the impurity is decoupled, it was shown that the ferromagnetic state is unstable against adding one more hole on a finite size lattice [9,10]. We would expect that adding one more hole would also destroy the ferromagnetism proved above for this impurity system. We also wish to note that this extreme limit J = 0 with one hole hopping is far from the realistic situation, where the holes are believed to hop within antiferromagnetic background for sufficient large J and sufficient number of holes doped in real materials.

Let us finally investigate the one dimensional case. The lattice is a closed chain of length L, with a localized impurity spin at site 0. Again, the impurity spin \vec{S}_f interacts with the electrons on the nearest neighboring sites. The Hamiltonian is given by:

$$H = P_G \{ J \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} (\vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1} - 1/4) n_i n_{i+1} + t \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} (c^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} c_{i+1,\sigma} + h.c.) + J' (\vec{S}_f \cdot \vec{S}_{L-1} - 1/4) n_{L-1} + J' (\vec{S}_f \cdot \vec{S}_1 - 1/4) n_1 \} P_G.$$
(8)

We consider now the general case J > 0, t > 0. This model represents a Luttinger liquid coupled to a localized impurity spin, which is different from the ordinary Kondo impurity model, where a Fermi liquid is interacting with a localized impurity spin.

Before we carry out further steps, we perform the unitary transformation U' to change the sign of the hopping matrix element. As before we first consider the situation where the impurity coupling is ferromagnetic J' < 0. The number of the electrons N_e is assumed to be odd, and we work in the subspace where S^z , the z-component of the total spin of the system, is zero. The basis vectors of the Hilbert space can be choosen in the following way:

$$|x_{1}, \cdots, x_{N_{e}-M}; y_{1}, \cdots, y_{M}; \uparrow >$$

$$= (-1)^{M(M-1)/2} c^{\dagger}_{x_{1}\uparrow} \cdots c^{\dagger}_{x_{N_{e}-M}\uparrow} c^{\dagger}_{y_{1}\downarrow} \cdots c^{\dagger}_{y_{M}\downarrow} |0 > \bigotimes| \uparrow >_{f},$$

$$|x_{1}, \cdots, x_{N_{e}-M+1}; y_{1}, \cdots, y_{M-1}; \downarrow >$$

$$= (-1)^{(M-1)(M-2)/2} c^{\dagger}_{x_{1}\uparrow} \cdots c^{\dagger}_{x_{N_{e}-M+1}\uparrow} c^{\dagger}_{y_{1}\downarrow} \cdots c^{\dagger}_{y_{M-1}\downarrow} |0 > \bigotimes| \downarrow >_{f},$$
(9)

where $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_{N_e-M+1}, y_1 < y_2 < \cdots < y_M$, N_e is the number of the electrons on the lattice, and $N_e - M + 1 = M$ such that $S^z = 0$.

When J' < 0, choosing the basis vectors in the above fashion, we see that the offdiagonal matrix elements of the Hamiltonian are always non-positive. Since $J \neq 0$, all the basis vectors are connected to each other, and therefore the ground state is unique and a positive vector. Moreover, the ground state has spin $S_G = 1$, since it has nonzero overlap with the trial wavefunction:

$$|trial\rangle = (c_{1\uparrow}^{\dagger}c_{2\downarrow}^{\dagger} - c_{1\downarrow}^{\dagger}c_{2\uparrow}^{\dagger})(c_{3\uparrow}^{\dagger}c_{4\downarrow}^{\dagger} - c_{3\downarrow}^{\dagger}c_{4\uparrow}^{\dagger})\cdots$$

$$\cdots (c_{N_{e-2\uparrow}}^{\dagger}c_{N_{e-1\downarrow}}^{\dagger} - c_{N_{e-2\downarrow}}^{\dagger}c_{N_{e-1\uparrow}}^{\dagger})\left[c_{N_{e\uparrow}}^{\dagger}|0\rangle\otimes|\downarrow\rangle + c_{N_{e\downarrow}}^{\dagger}|0\rangle\otimes|\uparrow\rangle\right],$$
(10)

which is an eigenstate of total spin operator with eigenvalue S = 1.

When J' > 0, that is, the coupling between the impurity spin and the conducting band is antiferromagnetic, we perform the unitary transformation $U = \exp i\pi S_f^z$ as before. After the operation, we can choose the basis vectors in a similar fashion for the transformed Hamiltonian, and the off-diagonal matrix elements of this Hamiltonian are non-positive. The connectivity argument shows that its ground state is unique, indicating that the ground state of the original Hamiltonian is also unique. This ground state spin can be found to be $S_G = 0$.

In the one hole case, as the ground state is a positive vector, the probability of finding the hole at any lattice site is nonzero, indicating that the hole is not bound to the impurity spin. When there are many holes on the chain, it is also true that not a single hole can be bound to the impurity spin.

In summary, we have obtained the ground state spins for the system where an impurity spin interacts with strongly correlated electrons in various special cases. In particular, the model Hamiltonian in one dimension represents a Luttinger liquid coupled to a localized impurity spin. It remains to find how the impurity spin behaves under the influence of the Luttinger liquid, such as how much the impurity spin is screened, whether a dynamical scale is generated as in the usual Kondo model, where a Fermi liquid is interacting with a localized impurity spin [11–13].

We would like to thank Dr. N. Macris for conversations. This work was supported by the World Laboratory.

REFERENCES

- G. Xiao, M. Z. Cieplak, J. Q. Xiao and C. L. Chien, Phys. Rev. B 42, 8752 (1990).
 W. N. Hardy, D. A. Bonn, D. C. Morgan, R. Liang and K. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 399 (1993). D. A. Bonn etc., preprint (1993). K. Ishida, Y. Kitaoka, N. Ogata, T. Kamino, K. Asayama, J. R. Cooper and N. Athanassopoulou, J. Phys. Soc. Japan, 62, 2803 (1993).
- [2] D. Poilblanc, D. J. Scalapino and W. Hanke, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 884 (1994).
- [3] D. Poilblanc, D. J. Scalapino and W. Hanke, LPQTH-94/6 preprint.
- [4] E. H. Lieb and D. Mattis, Phys. Rev. **125**, 164 (1962).
- [5] E. H. Lieb, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 1201 (1989).
- [6] D. J. Thouless, Proc. Phys. Soc. London 86, 893 (1965).
- [7] Y. Nagaoka, Phys. Rev. **147**, 392 (1966).
- [8] H. Tasaki, Phys. Rev. **B40**, 9192 (1989).
- [9] B. Doucot and X. G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B 40, 2719 (1989), Y. Fang, A. E. Ruckenstein,
 A. E. Dagotto and E. Schmitt-Rink, Phys. Rev. B 40, 7406 (1989).
- [10] B. S. Shastry, H. R. Krishnamurthy and P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. B 41, 2375 (1990),
 Andras Suto, Commun. Math. Phys. 140, 43 (1991).
- [11] P. W. Anderson, G. Yuval and D. R. Hamann, Phys. Rev. **B1** 4464 (1970).
- [12] N. Andrei, Phys. Rev. Lett. 45, 379 (1980).
- [13] N. Andrei, K. Furuya and J. H. Lowenstein, Rev. Mod. Phys. 55, 331 (1983).